## In The Matter Of:

THE SCO GROUP, INC., v.

THE SCO GROUP, INC., v.

THE SCO GROUP, INC., v.

OTIS L. WILSON June 10, 2004

## LEGALINK MANHATTAN

420 Lexington Avenue - Suite 2108 New York, NY 10170 PH: 212-557-7400 / FAX: 212-692-9171

WILSON, OTIS L.



THE WITNESS: The organization responsible Page 41 A It was a competitive selection. I was for this, the licensing of software, was one I was 1 A. 1. was number one out of 10,000 people that were -- that a part of, and I was there at the -- the inception. 2 So I know all of the agreements concerning the 3 Further, in paragraph two, you say, "I was software products actually came through my 4 went in for it. responsible for licensing operating systems under 5 the UNIX brand beginning in 1980. Initially I was organization. 6 BY MR. MARRIOTT: on the staff for negotiating license agreements Q. Would you read, please, paragraph six into 7 8 with our customers. A. "The UNIX System V source code license Beginning in 1983 until I retired in 1991 9 agreements generally included a number of standard Twas the head of the group responsible for 10 licensing the UNIX System V operating system form agreements with each licensee. The standard 11 worldwide." Is that an accurate description of software agreement granted the licensee the right 12 your employment at AT&T during the relevant period? to use and modify the source code for its own 13 14 internal business purposes. Q. Would you read, please, into the record "In addition, many licensees were parties 15 to sublicensing agreements, which granted the 16 A. "In 1989 AT&T separated the organizations licensee the right to furnish sublicensed products paragraph four? 17 based on UNIX System V to customers in object code responsible for UNIX, and associated system 18 software products and services, into a business 19 18 format. "A substitution agreement provided that unit called UNIX software operation. In 1991 the 20 the software agreement, and, if applicable, the rights to the UNIX operating system and related 21 20 sublicensing agreement, replaced earlier agreements products, technology and intellectual property were 22 transferred to USL." I remained the head of the 23 relating to UNIX System V software." Q. Are you sure that's true, Mr. Wilson? organization -- licensing organization throughout 24 25 these changes. Page 44 MR. GANT: Objection. Compound, vague, Page 42 1 1 Q. Does that accurately describe your leading, foundation. 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2 employment at AT&T? 3 BY MR. MARRIOTT: Q. In paragraph seven you state that you are 4. MR. GANT: Objection. Compound, vague. A. Yes. 4 familiar with licensing agreements between AT&T 5 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, it does. Technologies, Inc. and IBM, which you say were 6 negotiated under your supervision while you were BY MR. MARRIOTT: 7 Q. In paragraph five you state that, "During head of AT&T's licensing group. Is that an 7 the period from 1980 to 1991 AT&T and USL licensed 8 9 9 UNIX source code, including UNIX System V source accurate statement? 10 MR. GANT: Same objections. 10 code, to hundreds of licensees. Nearly every UNIX 11 license agreement executed by AT&T" -- well, 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. 12 BY MR. MARRIOTT: Q. Who's David Frasure, Mr. Wilson? 12 withdrawn. 13 In paragraph five you state, "During the 13 14 14

period from 1980 to 1991 AT&T and USL licensed UNIX 15 source code, including UNIX System V source code, 16 16 to hundreds of licensees. Nearly every UNIX 17 17 license agreement executed by AT&T during this 18 period was signed by me or on my behalf by people 19 that reported to me." Is that an accurate 20 statement, Mr. Wilson? 21 MR. GANT: Same objections. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. 23 Q. And how is it that you know that to be an 24 accurate statement, Mr. Wilson? 25 MR. GANT: Same objection. Vague.

15

20

21

22

23

A. David Frasure was one of the negotiators in our organization that reported -- whom I supervised.

Q. Okay. And during what period of time did Mr. Frasure report to you?

A. Oh.

O. Withdrawn.

Over what period of time roughly did

Mr. Frasure report to you?

A. About six years. The period -- I'm trying to -- the period from about '84 to '91, I would

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

35

37

38

OTTS L. WILSON Page 45 <sup>2</sup>96 49 group responsible for negotiating the IBM agreements and the Sequent agreements and hundreds think. 1 2 MR. GANT: I couldn't hear the end of your of other UNIX System V licensing agreements, I have 2 3 a thorough understanding of these agreements and 3 answer. THE WITNESS: '84 to '91. I'm not exactly 4 what the parties intended" -- "intended them to 4 sure exactly the period, but he was -- he was there 5 5 accomplish." 6 for a good six years, I guess. Q. Why did you say that in your declaration, 6 7 BY MR. MARRIOTT: 7 Q. And how did Mr. Frasure come to work for 8 Mr. Wilson? 8 A. That's -- that's a statement of fact. 9 you at AT&T? 9 It's -- it's what I believe. 10 A. I actually recruited Dave Frasure for one 10 Q. Let me direct your attention, if I may, to of the other organizations within Western Electric 11 11 paragraph ten of your declaration. There you state 12 at the time. that from 1983 until 1991, while you were 12 Q. Why did you do that, Mr. Wilson? 13 responsible for licensing UNIX System V for AT&T 13 A. Personal knowledge of his work and the --14 and USL, your group licensed UNIX System V. source 14 his expertise with the software and -- and through 15 code and related materials to a large number of 15 16 the interview process. licensees around the world. Is that an accurate 16 Q. In paragraph eight you state that you were 17 17 statement of your activities during the period from familiar with licensing agreements between AT&T 18 18 Technologies and Sequent Computer Systems, which 1983 to 1991? 19 MR. GANT: Objection. Leading, compound, 19 20 you say were also supervised under your 20 vaque, foundation. 21 supervision; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. 21 MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, 22 22 BY MR. MARRIOTT: 23 Q. Would you read paragraph 11 into the foundation. 23 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. 24 record for me, please? 25 BY MR. MARRIOTT: 25 Page 46 A. "The standard software agreement that we Q. And did you, as stated in -- in paragraph 1 used to license UNIX System V source code and 1 eight, sign those agreements on behalf of AT&T, 2 related materials sets forth the various rights 2 3 given to licensees and the restrictions imposed on 3 Mr. Wilson? 4 the licensees with respect to such materials, which A. Yes, I did. 4 5 MR. GANT: Same -- same objections. were called the," quote, "software product or 5 6 software products in the agreement." 6 7 THE WITNESS: Excuse me. Q. To the best of your understanding, 7 8 Mr. Wilson, is there anything inaccurate about the Yes, I did. 8 9 BY MR. MARRIOTT: 9 statement? O. And is it, in fact, your understanding 10 MR. GANT: Same objections and leading. 10 that Sequent has now been acquired by and merged 11 THE WITNESS: I believe that to be an 11 12 into IBM? 12 accurate statement. 13 (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD) A. Yes. 13 14 Q. You need to speak audibly. 14 Q. Mr. Wilson, may I direct your attention to BY MR. MARRIOTT: 15 A. Yes. 15 paragraph 12 of your declaration, dated April 26 16 No. I was waiting --16 MR. GANT: I appreciate it. Thank you, 17 17 Contrary to Mr. Marriott's suggestion, I'm not 2004? 18 Q. Paragraph 12 lists five provisions of what 18 19 going to object to every question, only you describe here as the standard early software 19 20 objectionable questions. agreement of AT&T. Are you familiar with each 20 21 BY MR. MARRIOTT: 21 Q. Take a look, if you would, Mr. Wilson, to 22 the provisions listed there? MR. GANT: Objection. Mischaracterizes 22 paragraph nine. Would you read that for me, 23 23 the document, vague, foundation, compound. 24 please, into the record? 24 A. "As a result of my role as head of the 25

25

LE.

"Section 7.10," colon, open quote.
"Except as provided in section 7.06," paren, small B, "nothing in this agreement grants to licensee the right to sell, lease or otherwise transfer or dispose of a software product in whole or in part." Close quote.

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

17

18

20

25

3

4

5

6

10

11

12.

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

23

Q. Let me just stop you there, if I may, Mr. Wilson, is -- is there anything, to your understanding, inaccurate about what you've read so far from paragraph 12?

MR. GANT: Objection -- objection. Vague, compound, foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions.

Q. Would you -- direct your attention, please, to that portion of the latter part of paragraph 12 that begins, "At least as I understood." Could you read that portion, please, for me into the record?

A. "At least as I understood these sections and discussed them with our licensees, they do not, and were not intended to, restrict our licensees' rights to use, export, disclose or transfer their own products and source code, as long as they did not use, export, disclose or transfer AT&T's UNIX System V source code along with it. I never

35

37

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 53

restriction on our customers' use of their own 2 3 original work." 4 Q. What is the basis, Mr. Wilson, of -- of 5 those statements? 6 MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound. THE WITNESS: The -- the statement goes 7 8 to -- goes to the heart of the licensing program, from the standpoint that we required our licensees 9 to protect the software products under the -- under 10 the stipulations in the software agreement, and we 11 did not intend to exercise any control or 12 restriction on those products that did not contain 13 portions of the software products. 14 15 BY MR. MARRIOTT: 16 Q. Did AT&T intend to exercise any control 17 over modifications or derivative works that --18 withdrawn. 19 Did AT&T intend to exercise any control over those portions of modifications or derivative 20 21 works of the software product that did not include 22 UNIX System V source code? MR. GANT: Objection. Leading, compound, 23 24 vague, lack of foundation, calls for speculation 25 and for legal conclusions. Q. Would you like the question read back? 1 A. No. 2 3 No. We didn't -- we did not intend to 4 5 other than the software product delivered with 6 those -- those agreements. O. Direct your attention, please, Mr. Wilson, 7 8 to paragraph 13. 9 MR. GANT: I'm sorry, David. Can I ask 10 that last answer to be read back? MR. MARRIOTT: Sure. 11 12 MR. GANT: It was long, and I want to make 13 sure I got it. Thank you. 14 (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD) 15 (REQUESTED PORTION OF THE RECORD READ) 16 MR. GANT: Thank you. Thank you, David. (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD) 17 18 BY MR. MARRIOTT: 19 Q. May I look at paragraph -- may I direct 20

understood AT&T's software agreements to place any

1

21

22

23

24

25

36

34

33

IBM software agreement and the Sequent softw agreement, included the following language: S right to use includes the right to modify such software product and to prepare derivative work based on such software product provided the resulting materials are treated hereunder as par of the original software product."

Do you see that, sir?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. Do you agree with the statements made paragraph 13 of your declaration?

MR. GANT: Objection. Leading, foundation, vague, calls for speculation and leas conclusions.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

## BY MR. MARRIOTT:

O. Is there anything about that statement would change, Mr. Wilson?

MR. GANT: Objection. Vaque. THE WITNESS: I would not.

Q. Would you, please, read into the record for me paragraph 14 of your declaration?

A. "As my staff and I communicated to our licensees, this provision was only intended to ensure that if a licensee were to create a

Page 54

extend our licensing agreement clauses to anything

your attention rather to paragraph 13, Mr. Wilson. Paragraph 13 states, "AT&T's standard software agreements also granted licensees the right to modify UNIX System V source code and to prepare derivative works based upon that code. Section 2.01 of our early software agreement, including the modification or derivative work based on UNIX® System V, any material portion of the original UN System V source code provided by AT&T or USL was included in the modification or derivative wo would remain subject to the confidentiality and other restrictions of the software agreement.

"As we understood section 2.01, any sour code developed by or for a licensee and included a modification or a derivative work would not constitute resulting materials to be treated as part of the original software product, except for any material proprietary UNIX System V source ( provided by AT&T or USL and included therein."

Q. Is that an accurate statement, sir? MR. GANT: Objection. Vaque, compound lack of foundation, calls for speculation and lega conclusions.

THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. BY MR. MARRIOTT:

Q. Would you, please, read for me into the record paragraph 15?

A. "AT&T and USL did not intend to assert ownership or control over modifications and derivative works prepared by licensees, except t the extent of the original UNIX System V source

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 60

35

36

38

code included in such modifications and derivative works.

"Although, the UNIX System V source contained in a modification or derivative work continued to be owned by AT&T or USL, the code developed by or for the licensee remained the property of the licensee, and could, therefore, be used, exported, disclosed or transferred freely by the licensee."

Q. You testified, Mr. Wilson, previously that that paragraph represents a true statement. Why did you provide that testimony?

A. Because I believe it to be a true statement.

Q. May I direct your attention, please, to paragraph 16. There you say, "I do not believe that our licensees would have been willing to enter into the software agreement if they understood section 2.01 to grant AT&T or USL the right to own or control source code developed by the licensee or provided to the licensee by a third party.

"I understood that many of our licensees invested substantial amounts of time, effort and creativity in developing products based on UNIX System V. The derivative works provision of the

derivative works prepared by or for the licensee, except for any original UNIX System V source code provided by AT&T or USL and included therein.

"We provided such clarification when asked, because that is what we understood the language in the standard software agreement to mean in any event. In some cases we provided this clarification orally, and in some cases we provided it in writing."

Is there anything you would change about the accuracy of that statement, Mr. Wilson?

MR. GANT: Objection. Foundation.

THE WITNESS: I would not.

BY MR. MARRIOTT:

Q. In paragraph 18 you state, "In fact, although I am not a lawyer, it was my view at the time that we could not claim any rights to non-UNIX System V source code, as the plaintiff here appears to be doing, without raising serious antitrust issues.

"In light of the divestiture of AT&T around that time, we, as a company, were very concerned with the potential anticompetitive effects of our actions.

"As a result, one of the reasons we made

Page 58

software agreement was not meant to appropriate for IBM" -- "was not meant to appropriate for AT&T," rather, "or USL the technology developed by our licensees." Is that --

MR. GANT: Where is Dr. Freud when we need him?

MR. MARRIOTT: Dead. BY MR. MARRIOTT:

Q. Is -- is that an accurate statement, Mr. Wilson?

MR. GANT: Same objections.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q. And why do you say what you say there in paragraph 16 of your declaration?

MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound. THE WITNESS: Both 15 and 16 were -- are directed towards clarifying what was the intent of our software licensing program, and that -- that was what I was trying to -- to focus on with these two statements.

BY MR. MARRIOTT:

Q. In paragraph 17 of your declaration you say, "In fact, some licensees sought to clarify that under the agreements the licensee, not AT&T or USL, would own and control modifications and

clear to our licensees that our UNIX System V software agreements did not impose any restrictions on the use or disclosure of their own original code, except insofar as it included UNIX System V code, was to avoid any appearance of impropriety."

Why do you say that in paragraph 18, Mr. Wilson?

MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, leading, calls for speculation and legal conclusions.

THE WITNESS: I stated that, because during this period of time -- 1983 being the date that really kicked off -- the Bell system was going through another separation or breakup. The first was in 1956, when Sequenta decreed our -- our business with the AT&T Bell system was limited to communication.

And in 1983 there was a major separation of the operating telephone companies and AT&T into different groups. And there was a high level of concern that we did not infringe on any businesses that we were not supposed to be into.

And so the whole software program was started with software that was developed for other purposes within AT&T, and we went through our patent licensing organization as a -- stuff that

Page 61 had been used for a primary purpose was now made 1 1 available to the -- to licensees under -- under 2 2 3 these agreements. 3 Q. Let me just -- and I don't want to cut off 4 4 5 your answer, but let me just caution you in 5 responding to the question not to provide any 6 6 information that might be privileged of AT&T. So 7 7 with that caveat, continue, if you -- if you have 8 8 9 more to say. 9 10 A. No. I'll - I'll stop right there. 10 MR. GANT: Well, let me just ask for a 11 11 clarification. Has that been the case with all of 12 12 your prior questions and all of the witness' prior 13 13 answers, that none of the answers that he has 14 14 provided have been based in any way on any 15 15 16 communications with AT&T's counsel? 16 MR. MARRIOTT: Well, you'll have to ask 17 17 that question of the witness, but it's certainly 18 18 not my intent by way of any of my questions to seek 19 19 20 information that -- that is privileged. 20 MR. GANT: And has that been your intent 21 21 during the -- your questions that you've already 22 22 23 asked? 23 24 MR. MARRIOTT: I think I just said that. 24 MR. GANT: Okay. I just wanted to make it 25 25 Page 62 1 clear, if you did. So --1 2 MR. MARRIOTT: Yeah. 2 Q. To -- just so -- for clarity, to your 3 3 understanding, Mr. Wilson, has the testimony you've 4 4 provided to this point in the deposition in any way 5 5 required you to disclose information that you 6 6 7 believe to be protected by an attorney/client 7 8 privilege? 8 MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, calls for a 9 9 10 legal conclusion. 10 11 THE WITNESS: It has not. 11 12 BY MR. MARRIOTT: 12 Q. In paragraph 19 you state, "We provided 13 13 IBM with just such a clarification in paragraph A.2 14 14 15 of the IBM side letter." The side letter 15 referenced there, Mr. Wilson, is attached to this 16 16 declaration as -- as tab four; is that correct? 17 17 18 A. That is correct. 18 19 Q. Direct your attention, if I may, 19 Mr. Wilson, to page two of the side letter, which 20 20 is at tab four of your declaration. Do you see --21 21 do you see on page two, paragraph two --22 22 23 A. Yes, I do. 23 24 Q. -- what's stated in the beginning,

in to that accurately -- is that

12

33

34

24

Page 63 accurately quoted in your declaration at page eight, paragraph 19? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: It's not -- it's not verbatim, but it -- it -- it captures the essence of both places. BY MR. MARRIOTT:

Q. When you say, "It's not verbatim," I'm actually referring only to the quoted portion in paragraph 19, where it says, "Regarding section 2.01."

MR. GANT: I think he means because of the brackets it's not verbatim.

THE WITNESS: Right.

MR. MARRIOTT: Okay. Fair enough. Thank you for the clarification.

BY MR. MARRIOTT:

Q. Do you think in substance that what's quoted at page eight of your declaration accurately reflects paragraph two of the side letter at tab four of your declaration?

MR. GANT: Objection. Vague. THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

BY MR. MARRIOTT:

Q. Under the quote at paragraph 19 of your

Page 64

declaration you state, "I understood this language," referring to the language of the side letter, "to mean that IBM, not AT&T or USL, would have the right to control modifications and derivative works prepared by or for IBM.

"IBM, like all licensees under the agreements, fully owns any modifications of and derivative works based on UNIX System V prepared by or for IBM, and can freely use, copy, distribute or disclose such modifications and derivative works, provided that IBM does not copy, distribute or disclose any material portions of the original UNIX System V source code provided by AT&T or USL, except as otherwise permitted by the IBM agreements."

Does paragraph 19 reflect your understanding, Mr. Wilson?

MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, lack of foundation, calls for speculation and for legal conclusions.

THE WITNESS: Yes, they do. BY MR. MARRIOTT:

25

Q. In -- in paragraph 20 you say, "Clarifications of the kind reflected in" - "in paragraph A.2 of the IBM side letter did not

1 2

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

35

37

38

2

3

4

5

6

7

Page 69 it without -- you know, try to reduce the number of 1 2 phone calls we had about repetitive issues that 3 would come up in those inquiries. BY MR. MARRIOTT: 4 5 Q. Would you read to yourself, please, paragraph 25 of your declaration? 6 7 A. (THE WITNESS COMPLIED) Q. Do you stand by that statement? 8 8 9 MR. GANT: Objection. Leading, vaque, 9 10 compound, foundation, calls for speculation and for 10 11 legal conclusions. 11 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 12 13 BY MR. MARRIOTT: 13 14 Q. What is a specimen copy, Mr. Wilson? 14 15 A. As referenced here, a specimen copy was 15 a -- what do you call it? I'm trying to think of 16 16 17 the right term. It was a -- it was a copy of the 17 agreement that could not be executed. It was just 18 18 19 a copy of the language. 19 20 Q. Did the \$ echo publications provide 20 clarification to your licensees concerning AT&T's 21 21 22 understanding of the AT&T licensing agreements? 22 23 MR. GANT: Objection. Leading, vaque, 23 24 foundation, calls for legal conclusions. 24 25 25 THE WITNESS: I hope they did. We got Page 70 1 good response from the licensing community with the 1 2 \$ echo once we started putting it out. We had very 2 3 positive response. 3 4 BY MR. MARRIOTT: 4 5 Q. And who -- who did you intend to be the --5 6 the beneficiaries of the clarifications made in the 6 7 \$ echo publications? 7 8 MR. GANT: Same objections. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Both the licensing 9 10 organization and our licensees, because it was a --10 11 it was a way -- a way of communicating. And so it 11 12 was to our mutual benefit. Us, by not having to 12 13 keep answering the same questions, and, also, it 13 14 assured our licensees that the information being 14 15 provided was being provided to everyone. 15 16 BY MR. MARRIOTT: 16 17 Q. Are you familiar with the term side 17 18 letter? 18 19 A. Yes, yes. 19 20 MR. MARRIOTT: You're very good at helping 20 21 him. 21 22 MR. GANT: I appreciate it, as does the 22 23 court reporter, I'm sure. 23 24

Q. What is a side letter, Mr. Wilson?

A. A side letter is a term that we use to -to classify a document that was written in response to an inquiry about the base software agreement or the sublicense agreement, what have you. So it was a -- usually a clarification or a modification of terms.

Page 71

Page 72

Q. In paragraph --

MR. GANT: I'm sorry. I wanted that read back. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to -- the question and the answer.

(REQUESTED PORTION OF THE RECORD READ) BY MR. MARRIOTT:

Q. I think that got a little confused. Let me ask you, by way of clarification, Mr. Wilson. you say in paragraph 27 of your affidavit, "Whether or not we entered into a side letter or other agreements with our licensees to clarify the treatment of modifications and derivative works or altered the language of section 2.01, AT&T's and USL's intent was always the same." What do you mean by that, sir?

MR. GANT: Objection. Leading, vague, compound.

THE WITNESS: What I meant by that is

THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

BY MR. MARRIOTT:

section 2.01 in its original presentation, as well as the -- the clarification that we provided later, the intent behind the language in both cases was the same. It was just another way of stating what was meant by our intent in writing the language the way we did.

BY MR. MARRIOTT:

24

25

Q. In the next sentence in paragraph 27 you indicate that, "We never intended to assert ownership or control over any portion of a modification or derivative work that was not part of the original UNIX System V source code provided by AT&T or USL.

"The licensee was free to use, copy, distribute or disclose its modifications and derivative works, provided that it did not use, copy, distribute or disclose any portions of the original UNIX System V source code provided by AT&T or USL, except as permitted by the license agreements."

You say, Mr. Wilson, there that you never intended to assert such ownership or control. Why is that, sir?

MR. GANT: Same objections. THE WITNESS: That -- that just was not

25

LE.

35

DE.

35

33

25

Page 77 except for any protected UNIX System V source code 1 A. That's correct. provided by AT&T or USL actually included in them 1 Q. You say in paragraph 29, "In my view, 2 2 because they are owned by the licensees? these claims are inconsistent with the provisions 3 3 MR. GANT: Same objections. of the IBM agreements and the Sequent agreements. 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe that to be 5 I do not believe that anyone at AT&T or USL 5 intended these agreements to be construed in this 6 true. 6 BY MR. MARRIOTT: 7 7 way." Q. In paragraph 30 of your declaration you For how long, Mr. Wilson, did you work 8 8 state, "In my view, any claim that the IBM software with the AT&T, UNIX licensing agreements? 9 9 agreement and the Sequent software agreement MR. GANT: I'm going to object to the 10 prohibit the use, export, disclosure or transfer of 10 question as vague. You quoted from a paragraph, 11 any code other than UNIX System V code is clearly 11 and then you asked a seemingly unrelated question. 12 12 wrong. Not only did we at AT&T not intend the So if you're intending to link them somehow, I'm 13 agreements to be read that way, but we also went 13 going to object to that and object to the question 14 out of our way to assure our licensees that that is 14 15 as vague and lacking foundation. 15 not what the agreements meant." MR. MARRIOTT: Okay. Do you need the 16 Is that an accurate statement? 16 17 question read back? 17 MR. GANT: Same objections. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, it is. MR. GANT: Stipulate the same objections; 19 19 BY MR, MARRIOTT: 20 right, David? Q. And, finally, in paragraph 31, Mr. Wilson, 20 MR. MARRIOTT: I don't think we need to 21 you state that all of the statements made in your 21 stipulate. Just so it's clear, I think they're on 22 22 declaration in Exhibit 76 are made under penalty of the record. So when she repeats the question, she 23 23 perjury; is that right? 24 doesn't re-type it. So --24 A. That's correct. (PREVIOUS QUESTION THEN READ) 25 25 Page 80 Page 78 MR. MARRIOTT: Okay. Should we take a (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD) 1 1 break? 2 BY MR. MARRIOTT: THE VIDEOGRAPHER: One moment, please. 2 3 O. Okay. During what period of time, This marks the end of tape number one in 3 Mr. Wilson, did you -- did you work with the AT&T, 4 the deposition of Otis Wilson. Going off the 4 5 UNIX licensing agreements? 5 record. The time is 10:57 a.m. 6 MR. GANT: Objection. Vague. (RECESS TAKEN AT 10:57 A.M. TO 11:21 A.M.) 6 THE WITNESS: Through the period of 1980 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record. 7 8 Here marks the beginning of tape number two in the 8 through 1991. 9 deposition of Otis Wilson. The time is 11:21 a.m. BY MR. MARRIOTT: 9 Q. And based upon your having worked with 10 10 Please, continue. those agreements during that period do you believe 11 11 BY MR. MARRIOTT: that anyone at AT&T or USL intended those 12 Q. Mr. Wilson, I hand you what has been 12 agreements to be construed in the way described in previously marked as Exhibit 77, which I believe is 13 13 paragraph 29 as being the claim of the plaintiff in 14 a copy of the subpoena served on you in -- in 14 15 connection with this matter. Could you, please, this litigation? 15 16 just tell me if that's the subpoena served on you MR. GANT: Objection. Leading, vague, 16 compound, lack of foundation, calls for speculation 17 and whether you're appearing pursuant to the 17 18 and for legal conclusions. 18 subpoena? 19 THE WITNESS: I do not. 19 A. Yes, it is, and I am. 20 BY MR. MARRIOTT: 20 Q. Thank you, sir. Q. To the best of your understanding, is it 21 21 Q. Let me now show you what I've previously an accurate statement that modifications and 22 22 marked as Exhibit 78, which is a copy of a letter derivative works under these AT&T, UNIX licensing 23 sent from me to you on April 6th, 2004. Would you 23 agreements are not subject to the confidentiality 24 24 and other restrictions contained in the agreements, 25

	Page 81		Page 83
	that, please, and tell me if you've	1	MR. GANT: Okay. I thought you said
take a look at	that, please, and terrine it you've	2	paragraph six. I think you did, which is why I
an that Dell	JIC:	3	couldn't find it.
A. Yes, 11	ave.	4	MR. MARRIOTT: I apologize. It's
		5	paragraph six, page 14.
5 at paragraphi	nces under which you came to be	6	BY MR. MARRIOTT:
6 the circumsta	y by my law firm?	7	Q. A reference is made there on page six,
7 represented b	y by my care man	8	paragraph 14 to methods or concepts. Is that a
A. 103.		9	term with which you're familiar, Mr. Wilson?
	NT: Yes, you can tell him, or, yes,	10	A. Yes, it is.
10	, , , , , ,	11	(MR. DAVIS THEN RE-ENTERED THE ROOM)
11 it is!	TTNESS: Yes, it is.	12	Q. And what rights as you understand IBM's
12 MD MA	ARRIOTT: Thank you.	13	UNIX licensing agreements with AT&T does IBM have
12 MR. MA 13 BY MR. MARR	NOTT:	14	with respect to the methods or the concepts of UNIX
	a direct vour attention now, it i	15	System V?
NAP IN/ile	on to Exhibit 75, which has been	16	MR. MARRIOTT: Could I have the question
in a proviously ma	arked. This is, I believe, a copy of	17	read back?
10.000 (A)	ion, dated December 11, 2003?	18	(PREVIOUS QUESTION THEN READ)
Λ That's	correct.	19	MR. GANT: Are you sticking -
20 Q. How C	lid you come to sign this declaration, ,	20	MR. MARRIOTT: Let me restate the
Mr. Wilson?		21	question.
MR. GA	NT: Objection. Vague.	22	MR. GANT: Okay.
∥ <sub>23</sub> THE W	ITNESS: This this is what I was	23	BY MR. MARRIOTT:  Q. You're familiar with the term methods or
74 asked to sign		24	concepts; right?
<b>1</b> 25 (MR. D	AVIS THEN EXITED THE ROOM)	25	Concepts, right:
	Page 87		Page 84
	Page 82	-	A. Yes, I am.
1 Q. And yo	ou signed it, because you believe	1	A. Yes, I am. O. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing
1 Q. And yo	ou signed it, because you believe orrect?	1 2 3	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does
1 Q. And yo 2 it's true and c 3 MR. GA	ou signed it, because you believe	2	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing — licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does IBM have with respect to the methods and concepts
1 Q. And you 2 it's true and o 3 MR. GA 4 compound.	ou signed it, because you believe orrect? NT: Objection. Leading, vague,	2 3	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does IBM have with respect to the methods and concepts of UNIX?
1 Q. And you 2 it's true and o 3 MR. GA 4 compound.	ou signed it, because you believe orrect?	2 3 4	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does IBM have with respect to the methods and concepts of UNIX? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound,
1. Q. And you 2 it's true and compound. 4 compound. 5 Q. Why do 6 Mr. Wilson?	ou signed it, because you believe orrect? NT: Objection. Leading, vague,	2 3 4 5	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does IBM have with respect to the methods and concepts of UNIX? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, lack of foundation, calls for speculation and legal
1 Q. And you 2 it's true and of 3 MR. GA 4 compound. 5 Q. Why d 6 Mr. Wilson? 7 A. This	ou signed it, because you believe orrect? NT: Objection. Leading, vague, id you sign the declaration,	2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does IBM have with respect to the methods and concepts of UNIX? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, lack of foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions.
1 Q. And you 2 lit's true and of 3 MR. GA 4 compound. 5 Q. Why d 6 Mr. Wilson? 7 A. This 8 made, and it's 9 it, and so I sig	ou signed it, because you believe orrect?  NT: Objection. Leading, vague,  id you sign the declaration,  it represented the declaration I s been written up, and I agree with gned it after reading it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does IBM have with respect to the methods and concepts of UNIX? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, lack of foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions. THE WITNESS: Of the phrase methods and
1 Q. And you 2 it's true and of 3 MR. GA 4 compound. 5 Q. Why d 6 Mr. Wilson? 7 A. This 8 made, and it's 9 it, and so I sign	ou signed it, because you believe orrect?  NT: Objection. Leading, vague,  id you sign the declaration,  it represented the declaration I is been written up, and I agree with goned it after reading it.  NNT: Could you read back the answer,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does IBM have with respect to the methods and concepts of UNIX? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, lack of foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions. THE WITNESS: Of the phrase methods and concepts was deleted from the IBM software
1 Q. And you 2 it's true and of 3 MR. GA 4 compound. 5 Q. Why d 6 Mr. Wilson? 7 A. This 8 made, and it's 9 it, and so I sign 10 MR. GA 11 and if David v	ou signed it, because you believe orrect?  NT: Objection. Leading, vague,  id you sign the declaration,  it represented the declaration I s been written up, and I agree with gned it after reading it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does IBM have with respect to the methods and concepts of UNIX? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, lack of foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions. THE WITNESS: Of the phrase methods and concepts was deleted from the IBM software agreements.
1 Q. And you 2 it's true and of 3 MR. GA 4 compound. 5 Q. Why d 6 Mr. Wilson? 7 A. This 8 made, and it's 9 it, and so I sign 10 MR. GA 11 and if David v 12 fine,	our signed it, because you believe orrect?  NT: Objection. Leading, vague,  id you sign the declaration,  it represented the declaration I is been written up, and I agree with gned it after reading it.  NNT: Could you read back the answer, would like the question too, that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does IBM have with respect to the methods and concepts of UNIX? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, lack of foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions. THE WITNESS: Of the phrase methods and concepts was deleted from the IBM software agreements. MR. GANT: Objection. Move to strike as
1 Q. And you 2 it's true and of 3 MR. GA 4 compound. 5 Q. Why d 6 Mr. Wilson? 7 A. This 8 made, and it's 9 it, and so I sign 10 MR. GA 11 and if David v 12 fine, 13 MR. MA	ou signed it, because you believe orrect?  NT: Objection. Leading, vague,  id you sign the declaration,  it represented the declaration I as been written up, and I agree with gned it after reading it.  NT: Could you read back the answer, would like the question too, that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing — licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does IBM have with respect to the methods and concepts of UNIX? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, lack of foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions. THE WITNESS: Of — the phrase methods and concepts was deleted from the IBM software agreements. MR. GANT: Objection. Move to strike as nonresponsive.
1 Q. And you 2 it's true and of 3 MR. GA 4 compound. 5 Q. Why d 6 Mr. Wilson? 7 A. This 8 made, and it's 9 it, and so I sign 10 MR. GA 11 and if David v 12 fine, 13 MR. MA. 14 MR. GA	ou signed it, because you believe orrect?  NT: Objection. Leading, vague,  id you sign the declaration,  it represented the declaration I as been written up, and I agree with gned it after reading it.  NT: Could you read back the answer, would like the question too, that's  ARRIOTT: Sure.  NT: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing — licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does IBM have with respect to the methods and concepts of UNIX? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, lack of foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions. THE WITNESS: Of the phrase methods and concepts was deleted from the IBM software agreements. MR. GANT: Objection. Move to strike as nonresponsive. BY MR. MARRIOTT:
1 Q. And you 2 it's true and co 3 MR. GA 4 compound. 5 Q. Why do 6 Mr. Wilson? 7 A. This 8 made, and it's 9 it, and so I sign 10 MR. GA 11 and if David vo 12 fine, 13 MR. MA 14 MR. GA 15 (REQUI	ou signed it, because you believe orrect?  NT: Objection. Leading, vague,  id you sign the declaration,  it represented the declaration I is been written up, and I agree with gned it after reading it.  NT: Could you read back the answer, would like the question too, that's  ARRIOTT: Sure.  ANT: Thank you.  ESTED PORTION OF THE RECORD READ)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing — licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does IBM have with respect to the methods and concepts of UNIX? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, lack of foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions. THE WITNESS: Of — the phrase methods and concepts was deleted from the IBM software agreements. MR. GANT: Objection. Move to strike as nonresponsive. BY MR. MARRIOTT: Q. Do you have an understanding, Mr. Wilson,
1 Q. And you 2 it's true and of 3 MR. GA 4 compound. 5 Q. Why d 6 Mr. Wilson? 7 A. This 8 made, and it's 9 it, and so I sign 10 MR. GA 11 and if David v 12 fine, 13 MR. MA 14 MR. GA 15 (REQUI 16 BY MR. MARE	ou signed it, because you believe orrect?  NT: Objection. Leading, vague,  id you sign the declaration,  it represented the declaration I is been written up, and I agree with gned it after reading it.  NNT: Could you read back the answer, would like the question too, that's  ARRIOTT: Sure.  ANT: Thank you.  ESTED PORTION OF THE RECORD READ)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing — licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does IBM have with respect to the methods and concepts of UNIX? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, lack of foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions. THE WITNESS: Of — the phrase methods and concepts was deleted from the IBM software agreements. MR. GANT: Objection. Move to strike as nonresponsive. BY MR. MARRIOTT: Q. Do you have an understanding, Mr. Wilson, as to whether the term methods or concepts was
1 Q. And you 2 it's true and co 3 MR. GA 4 compound. 5 Q. Why do 6 Mr. Wilson? 7 A. This 8 made, and it's 9 it, and so I sign 10 MR. GA 11 and if David vo 12 fine. 13 MR. MA 14 MR. GA 15 (REQUI 16 BY MR. MARE 17 Q. Let me 18 paragraph six	ou signed it, because you believe orrect?  NT: Objection. Leading, vague,  id you sign the declaration,  it represented the declaration I is been written up, and I agree with gned it after reading it.  NT: Could you read back the answer, would like the question too, that's  ARRIOTT: Sure.  ANT: Thank you.  ESTED PORTION OF THE RECORD READ)  RIOTT:  de direct your attention, please, to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does IBM have with respect to the methods and concepts of UNIX? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, lack of foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions. THE WITNESS: Of the phrase methods and concepts was deleted from the IBM software agreements. MR. GANT: Objection. Move to strike as nonresponsive. BY MR. MARRIOTT: Q. Do you have an understanding, Mr. Wilson, as to whether the term methods or concepts was deleted from IBM's licensing agreements with AT&T?
1 Q. And you 2 it's true and co 3 MR. GA 4 compound. 5 Q. Why do 6 Mr. Wilson? 7 A. This 8 made, and it's 9 it, and so I sig 10 MR. GA 11 and if David vo 12 fine. 13 MR. MA 14 MR. GA 15 (REQUI 16 BY MR. MARE 17 Q. Let ma paragraph six	ou signed it, because you believe orrect?  NT: Objection. Leading, vague,  id you sign the declaration,  it represented the declaration I is been written up, and I agree with gned it after reading it.  NNT: Could you read back the answer, would like the question too, that's  ARRIOTT: Sure.  ANT: Thank you.  ESTED PORTION OF THE RECORD READ)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing — licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does IBM have with respect to the methods and concepts of UNIX? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, lack of foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions. THE WITNESS: Of the phrase methods and concepts was deleted from the IBM software agreements. MR. GANT: Objection. Move to strike as nonresponsive. BY MR. MARRIOTT: Q. Do you have an understanding, Mr. Wilson, as to whether the term methods or concepts was deleted from IBM's licensing agreements with AT&T? MR. GANT: Objection. Foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions.
1 Q. And you 2 it's true and co 3 MR. GA 4 compound. 5 Q. Why do 6 Mr. Wilson? 7 A. This 8 made, and it's 10 MR. GA 11 and if David vo 12 fine. 13 MR. MA 14 MR. GA 15 (REQUI 16 BY MR. MARE 17 Q. Let mo 18 paragraph six 19 A. Okay.	ou signed it, because you believe orrect?  NT: Objection. Leading, vague,  id you sign the declaration,  it represented the declaration I as been written up, and I agree with gned it after reading it.  NT: Could you read back the answer, would like the question too, that's  ARRIOTT: Sure.  NT: Thank you.  ESTED PORTION OF THE RECORD READ)  RIOTT:  de direct your attention, please, to see of the declaration.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing — licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does IBM have with respect to the methods and concepts of UNIX? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, lack of foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions. THE WITNESS: Of the phrase methods and concepts was deleted from the IBM software agreements. MR. GANT: Objection. Move to strike as nonresponsive. BY MR. MARRIOTT: Q. Do you have an understanding, Mr. Wilson, as to whether the term methods or concepts was deleted from IBM's licensing agreements with AT&T? MR. GANT: Objection. Foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions.
1 Q. And you 2 it's true and co 3 MR. GA 4 compound. 5 Q. Why do 6 Mr. Wilson? 7 A. This 8 made, and it's 10 MR. GA 11 and if David vo 12 fine. 13 MR. MA 14 MR. GA 15 (REQUI 16 BY MR. MARE 17 Q. Let mo 18 paragraph six 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. A refe	ou signed it, because you believe orrect?  NT: Objection. Leading, vague,  id you sign the declaration,  it represented the declaration I is been written up, and I agree with gned it after reading it.  NT: Could you read back the answer, would like the question too, that's  ARRIOTT: Sure.  ANT: Thank you.  ESTED PORTION OF THE RECORD READ)  RIOTT:  It direct your attention, please, to so the declaration.  Tence is made here to methods or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing — licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does IBM have with respect to the methods and concepts of UNIX? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, lack of foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions. THE WITNESS: Of — the phrase methods and concepts was deleted from the IBM software agreements. MR. GANT: Objection. Move to strike as nonresponsive. BY MR. MARRIOTT: Q. Do you have an understanding, Mr. Wilson, as to whether the term methods or concepts was deleted from IBM's licensing agreements with AT&T? MR. GANT: Objection. Foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions. THE WITNESS: Yes, it was deleted. BY MR. MARRIOTT:
1 Q. And you 2 it's true and of 3 MR. GA 4 compound. 5 Q. Why d 6 Mr. Wilson? 7 A. This 8 made, and it's 9 it, and so I sign 10 MR. GA 11 and if David v 12 fine, 13 MR. MA 14 MR. GA 15 (REQUI 16 BY MR. MARF 17 Q. Let me 18 paragraph six 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. A reference of the six on th	ou signed it, because you believe orrect?  NT: Objection. Leading, vague,  id you sign the declaration,  it represented the declaration I is been written up, and I agree with gned it after reading it.  NT: Could you read back the answer, would like the question too, that's  ARRIOTT: Sure.  ANT: Thank you.  ESTED PORTION OF THE RECORD READ)  RIOTT:  the direct your attention, please, to so the declaration.  Tence is made here to methods or believe you testified earlier that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does IBM have with respect to the methods and concepts of UNIX? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, lack of foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions. THE WITNESS: Of the phrase methods and concepts was deleted from the IBM software agreements. MR. GANT: Objection. Move to strike as nonresponsive. BY MR. MARRIOTT: Q. Do you have an understanding, Mr. Wilson, as to whether the term methods or concepts was deleted from IBM's licensing agreements with AT&T? MR. GANT: Objection. Foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions. THE WITNESS: Yes, it was deleted. BY MR. MARRIOTT: Q. Okay. And what is your understanding as
1 Q. And you 2 it's true and co 3 MR. GA 4 compound. Q. Why do 6 Mr. Wilson? 7 A. This 8 made, and it's 9 it, and so I sign 10 MR. GA 11 and if David vo 12 fine. 13 MR. MA 14 MR. GA 15 (REQUI 16 BY MR. MARR 17 Q. Let mo 18 paragraph six A. Okay. 20 Q. A reference concepts. I to 23 that's a term 23 treatly to	our signed it, because you believe orrect?  NT: Objection. Leading, vague,  id you sign the declaration,  it represented the declaration I is been written up, and I agree with gned it after reading it.  NT: Could you read back the answer, would like the question too, that's  ARRIOTT: Sure.  NT: Thank you.  ESTED PORTION OF THE RECORD READ)  RIOTT:  e direct your attention, please, to so the declaration.  rence is made here to methods or believe you testified earlier that with which you're familiar. Do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does IBM have with respect to the methods and concepts of UNIX? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, lack of foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions. THE WITNESS: Of the phrase methods and concepts was deleted from the IBM software agreements. MR. GANT: Objection. Move to strike as nonresponsive. BY MR. MARRIOTT: Q. Do you have an understanding, Mr. Wilson, as to whether the term methods or concepts was deleted from IBM's licensing agreements with AT&T? MR. GANT: Objection. Foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions. THE WITNESS: Yes, it was deleted. BY MR. MARRIOTT: Q. Okay. And what is your understanding as to why it was deleted?
1 Q. And you 2 it's true and ou 3 MR. GA 4 compound. 5 Q. Why d 6 Mr. Wilson? 7 A. This 8 made, and it's 9 it, and so I sign 10 MR. GA 11 and if David v 12 fine. 13 MR. MA. 14 MR. GA 15 (REQUI 16 BY MR. MARF 17 Q. Let me 18 paragraph six 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. A reference concepts. I to 21 that's a term 22 that's a term 23 recall that test 24 MR. M.	ou signed it, because you believe orrect?  NT: Objection. Leading, vague,  id you sign the declaration,  it represented the declaration I is been written up, and I agree with gned it after reading it.  NT: Could you read back the answer, would like the question too, that's  ARRIOTT: Sure.  NT: Thank you.  ESTED PORTION OF THE RECORD READ)  RIOTT:  e direct your attention, please, to so of the declaration.  rence is made here to methods or believe you testified earlier that with which you're familiar. Do you stimony?  ARRIOTT: I'm on page six, at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does IBM have with respect to the methods and concepts of UNIX? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, lack of foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions. THE WITNESS: Of the phrase methods and concepts was deleted from the IBM software agreements. MR. GANT: Objection. Move to strike as nonresponsive. BY MR. MARRIOTT: Q. Do you have an understanding, Mr. Wilson, as to whether the term methods or concepts was deleted from IBM's licensing agreements with AT&T? MR. GANT: Objection. Foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions. THE WITNESS: Yes, it was deleted. BY MR. MARRIOTT: Q. Okay. And what is your understanding as to why it was deleted? MR. GANT: Same objection, as in vague.
1 Q. And you 2 it's true and of 3 MR. GA 4 compound. 5 Q. Why d 6 Mr. Wilson? 7 A. This 8 made, and it's 9 it, and so I sign 10 MR. GA 11 and if David v 12 fine, 13 MR. MA 14 MR. GA 15 (REQUI 16 BY MR. MARF 17 Q. Let me 18 paragraph six 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. A refer 20 concepts. I to 21 that's a term 22 recall that test 23 MR. M.	our signed it, because you believe orrect?  NT: Objection. Leading, vague,  id you sign the declaration,  it represented the declaration I is been written up, and I agree with gned it after reading it.  NT: Could you read back the answer, would like the question too, that's  ARRIOTT: Sure.  NT: Thank you.  ESTED PORTION OF THE RECORD READ)  RIOTT:  e direct your attention, please, to so the declaration.  rence is made here to methods or believe you testified earlier that with which you're familiar. Do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes, I am. Q. As you understand IBM's UNIX licensing licensing agreements with AT&T, what rights does IBM have with respect to the methods and concepts of UNIX? MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, lack of foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions. THE WITNESS: Of the phrase methods and concepts was deleted from the IBM software agreements. MR. GANT: Objection. Move to strike as nonresponsive. BY MR. MARRIOTT: Q. Do you have an understanding, Mr. Wilson, as to whether the term methods or concepts was deleted from IBM's licensing agreements with AT&T? MR. GANT: Objection. Foundation, calls for speculation and legal conclusions. THE WITNESS: Yes, it was deleted. BY MR. MARRIOTT: Q. Okay. And what is your understanding as to why it was deleted?

35

36

OTIS L. WILSON Page 85 concepts, know-how, methods or techniques embodied The -- there was nothing that we could really 1 1 in the software products." define as methods and concepts at this time that 2 2 Why did you say that, Mr. Wilson? would be -- would be protected. So we just removed 3 3 MR. GANT: Objection. Vague and leading. 4 it from the agreement. 4 THE WITNESS: In reading this again, it's 5 BY MR. MARRIOTT: 5 probably a little -- it's -- it's clear to me, but Q. Is there anything, to your understanding, 6 6 I can see if someone else is reading it -- because. that IBM cannot do properly with respect to UNIX 7 7 it says -- a clarification of this -- this 8 methods or concepts? 8 statement here. MR. GANT: Objection. Leading, vague, 9 9 BY MR. MARRIOTT: foundation, compound, calls for speculation and 10 Q. Sure. How would you clarify the contents 10 11 legal conclusions. 11 THE WITNESS: However you might want to of paragraph 16? 12 12 A. Paragraph 16 is -- where it picks up. define methods and concepts, it just was no longer 13 "other than to refrain from disclosing the actual 13 applicable to the IBM software agreement. So 14 14 UNIX System V source code," that should really be. anything contained therein that might be considered 15 15 "software product." a method or concept is -- is no longer applicable. 16 16 Q. Okay. Is there anything else about 17 BY MR. MARRIOTT: 17 paragraph 16 that you would change for Q. As you understand AT&T's intent, at least 18 18 clarification? by the time you left the company, did AT&T seek to 19 19 A. I would not. enforce rights to methods or concepts of UNIX as 20 (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD) 20 they related to any of its licensees? 21 21 BY MR. MARRIOTT: MR. GANT: Objection. Leading, vague, 22 Q. In paragraph 17 you say, "I did not view 22 compound, lack of foundation, calls for speculation 23 these changes," referring to the changes made by 23 24 and for legal conclusions. the side letter referenced in the preceding 24 25 THE WITNESS: We did not. 25 Page 88 Page 86 paragraphs, "as substantive. They were all 1 BY MR. MARRIOTT: 1 Q. Would you take a look, please, Mr. Wilson, clarifications. 2 "Even though we may have" -- "have entered 2 at paragraphs 12 through 15 of your declaration 3 into side letters or other agreements with a number 3 that appears in Exhibit 75, and read those to 4 of licensees that clarified the confidentiality 4 5 yourself and tell me when you've had the restrictions and other provisions in the standard 5 6 software agreement, my intent was always to treat opportunity to do that? 6 7 MR. GANT: That was 12 through 15? 7 all licensees the same." 8 MR. MARRIOTT: Yes. Why was it your intent to treat all 8 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. 9 licensees the same, Mr. Wilson? 10 MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, BY MR. MARRIOTT: 10 Q. Is there anything about the content of 11 11 lack of foundation. paragraphs 12 through 15 that you would change, THE WITNESS: We were very careful to make 12 12 13 sure that all licensees and all licensing Mr. Wilson? 13 MR. GANT: Objection. Vague, compound, 14 agreements were the same for -- for all of our 14 15 lack of foundation: 15 In other words, they were -- it was just a 16 THE WITNESS: I would not. 16 17 matter of policy that no -- any -- any right or BY MR. MARRIOTT: 17 Q. Paragraph 16 of your declaration states 18 clarification that we would give to any one 18 that, "IBM had no confidentiality obligation with licensee, we would give to all of our licensees. 19 19 respect to any UNIX System V information, other 20 20 BY MR. MARRIOTT: than to refrain from disclosing the actual UNIX Q. In -- in the following sections of 17 you 21 21 System V source code provided by AT&T and USL, and 22 say, "In fact, clarifications provided to 22 particular licensees in side letters were generally to refrain from referring to that source code while 23 23

24

25

shared with other licensees through informal

developing or providing products or services. IBM

was free to use and disclose any of the ideas,

24

25

31