BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

333 MAIN STREET • ARMONK NY 10504 • PH. 914.749 8200 • FAX 914 749 8300

January 16, 2006

By Facsimile (212-474-3700) and U.S. Mail Peter Ligh, Esq. Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10019-7475

Re: SCO v. IBM, Civil No. 2:03CV-0294DAK

Dear Peter:

Enclosed please find a subpoena and notice of deposition of Otis Wilson to be taken on January 27, 2006, at a location to be determined in Greensboro, North Carolina.

Sincerely,

Mauricio Gonzalez

Encls.

cc: Todd Shaughnessy, Esq. (By Facsimile: 801-257-1800)

LV ORK

WASHINGTON DC

AO 88 (Rev. 1/94) Subpoens in a Civil Case - SDNY WEB 4/99

Issued by the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
Middle	DISTRICT OF		North Carolina
The SCO Group, Inc.			
v		SUBPOENA	IN A CIVIL CASE
V.		CASE NUMBER: 1	2:03CV0294 District of Utah
International Business Machines Corp.			
TO: Otis Wilson			
YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the Unin the above case.	ited States District Cou	t at the place, date,	
PLACÉ OF TESTIMONY			COURTROOM
			DATE AND TIME
he above case. LACE OF DEPOSITION Location to be determined	ace, date, and time spo	ecified below to test	ify at the taking of a depositi
he above case. LACE OF DEPOSITION Location to be determined n Greensboro, North Carolina YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and perr	mit inspection and copy		January 27, 2006 9 a.r
he above case. LACE OF DEPOSITION Location to be determined In Greensboro, North Carolina YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and perrelate, and time specified below (list documents of	mit inspection and copy		January 27, 2006 9 a.r
he above case. LACE OF DEPOSITION Location to be determined in Greensboro, North Carolina YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and peril date, and time specified below (list documents of	mit inspection and copy r objects):	ing of the following o	DATE AND TIME January 27, 2006 9 a.r locuments or objects at the p
he above case. LACE OF DEPOSITION Location to be determined in Greensboro, North Carolina YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and perrolate, and time specified below (list documents of the company). LACE YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection	mit inspection and copy r objects):	ing of the following o	DATE AND TIME January 27, 2006 9 a.r locuments or objects at the p
he above case. LACE OF DEPOSITION Location to be determined in Greensboro, North Carolina YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and perrodate, and time specified below (list documents of Packs Service) YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection remains of the permit inspection remains of the permit inspection remains and	mit inspection and copy r objects): on of the following prem is subpoenaed for the ersons who consent to	ing of the following of the following of the following of the date and taking of a deposit taking of a deposit testify on its behalf, a	DATE AND TIME January 27, 2006 9 a.r locuments or objects at the p DATE AND TIME d time specified below. DATE AND TIME
YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection	mit inspection and copy r objects): on of the following prem is subpoenaed for the ersons who consent to i testify. Federal Rules	ing of the following of the following of the following of the date and taking of a deposit taking of a deposit testify on its behalf, a	DATE AND TIME January 27, 2006 9 a.r locuments or objects at the p DATE AND TIME d time specified below. DATE AND TIME

⁽See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Parts C & D on Reverse)

¹ If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

	PROOF OF SERVICE
DATE	PLACE
SERVED	
SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)	MANNER OF SERVICE
SERVED BY (PRINT NAME)	TITLE
	DECLARATION OF SERVER
I declare under penalty of perjury under in the Proof of Service is true and correct.	the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information containe
Executed on	SIGNATURE OF SERVER

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Parts C & D:

(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.

- (1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoens shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fee.
- (2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection and copying of designated books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.
- (8) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit Inspection and copying may, within 14 days after service of subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to inspection or copying of any or all of the designated materials or of the premises. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect and copy materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production. Such an order to compel production shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection and copying commanded.
- (3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it
 - (i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance,
- (ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that,

subject to the provisions of clause (c)(3)(B)(iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held, or

- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies, or
 - (lv) subjects a person to undue burden.
 - (B) If a subpoena
- requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or
- (ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or
- (iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena, or, if the party in whose beath the subpoena is Issue shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA

- (1) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.
- (2) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.

Brent O. Hatch (5715) Mark F. James (5295) HATCH, JAMES & DODGE 10 West Broadway, Suite 400 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 Telephone: (801) 363-6363 Facsimile: (801) 363-6666

Stuart H. Singer (admitted pro hac vice) BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 401 East Las Olas Boulevard - Suite 1200 Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33301

Telephone: (954) 356-0011 Facsimile: (954) 356-0022 Robert Silver (admitted pro hac vice) Edward Normand (admitted pro hac vice) BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 333 Main Street

Armonk, New York 10504 Telephone: (914) 749-8200 Facsimile: (914) 749-8300

Stephen N. Zack (admitted pro hac vice) BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP Bank of America Tower - Suite 2800 100 Southeast Second Street

Miami, Florida 33131

Telephone: (305) 539-8400 Facsimile: (305) 539-1307

Attorneys for The SCO Group, Inc.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

THE SCO GROUP, INC.

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant

٧.

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff

PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM-**DEFENDANT SCO'S NOTICE** OF VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION

Case No. 2:03CV0294DAK Honorable Dale A. Kimball Magistrate Judge Brooke C. Wells PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Rules 26, 30, and 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, counsel for Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant, The SCO Group, Inc. ("SCO"), will take the following deposition upon oral examination at the date and time specified:

WITNESS	DATE AND TIME	LOCATION
Otis Wilson	January 27, 2006 at 9 a.m.	Location to be determined in
	-	Greensboro, North Carolina

The deposition will be taken pursuant to Rules 26, 30, and 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, will be recorded by stenographic and videotape means, and will continue from day to day until completed.

DATED this 16th day of January, 2006.

HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C. Brent O. Hatch Mark F. James

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP Robert Silver (admitted pro hac vice) Stuart H. Singer (admitted pro hac vice) Stephen N. Zack (admitted pro hac vice) Edward Normand (admitted pro hac vice)

FOR E. Noumo

Attorneys for The SCO Group, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant, The SCO Group, Inc., hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM-DEFENDANT SCO'S NOTICE OF VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION was served on Defendant International Business Machines Corporation on the 16th day of January, 2006, by facsimile and U.S. Mail to:

David Marriott, Esq. Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, New York 10019

Todd Shaughnessy, Esq. Snell & Wilmer LLP 1200 Gateway Tower West 15 West South Temple Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1004

and by U.S. Mail to:

Donald J. Rosenberg, Esq. 1133 Westchester Avenue White Plains, New York 10604