EXHIBIT 61

MORRISON FL STER

425 MARKET STREET SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA 94105-2482

TELEPHONE: 415.268.7000 FACSIMILE: 415.268.7522

WWW.MOFO.COM

DRRISON & FOERSTER LLP

.BW YORK, SAN FRANCISCO,
LOS ANGELES, PALO ALTO,
SAN DIEGO, WASHINGTON, D.C.
NORTHERN VIRGINIA,
ORANGE COUNTY, DENVER
SACRAMENTO, WALNUT CREEK
TOKYO, LONDON, BEIJING,
SHANGHAI, HONG KONG,
SINGAPORE, BRUSSELS

March 5, 2007

Writer's Direct Contact (415) 268-6943 KBrakebill@mofo.com

By Telefacsimile (914) 749-8300

Edward J. Normand, Esq. Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP 333 Main Street Armonk, NY 10504

Re:

The SCO Group, Inc. v. Novell, Inc. Case No. 2:04 CV 00139 DAK

Dear Ted:

I write in response to SCO's wholesale objections to certain of Novell's discovery requests relating to SCO's damages claims.

In response to Novell's Interrogatory No. 15, SCO has refused to provide any facts concerning its allegations that Novell's actions caused SCO damage, including the "factual justification" for SCO's claim, "all documents that SCO contends support the bases for its damages claims" and "all persons with knowledge of the related facts." The sole ground for SCO's refusal to answer this basic interrogatory is its contention that "the information [the interrogatory] seeks is properly the subject of expert discovery." Although SCO may plan to put forth an expert on its damages claims, this does not prevent Novell from obtaining discovery of the factual predicates for SCO's allegations. Courts have rejected SCO's objection outright. See, e.g., Geer v. Cox, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 9230, at *5, *10 (D. Kan. May 21, 2003); Zapata v. IBP, Inc., 1995 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6436 (D. Kan. May 10, 1995).

Likewise, SCO has refused to designate a Rule 30(b)(6) witness on Topic No. 23 from Novell's Rule 30(b)(6) deposition notice. This refusal is unfounded. Novell's Topic No. 23 asks SCO to produce a witness on "[d]amages caused by Novell to SCO from the acts and omissions alleged by SCO in its Second Amended Complaint." This is an entirely appropriate topic for a Rule 30(b)(6) deposition and, regardless of whether SCO also seeks to have expert testimony admitted on this topic, Novell is entitled to examine a SCO designee on the factual bases and predicates for SCO's damages claims. See, e.g., United Techs. Motor Sys., Inc. v. Borg-Warner Auto., Inc., 1998 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 21837, at *2, *8 (E.D. Mich. Sept. 4, 1998). In addition, none of the litany of SCO's other boilerplate objections are valid or applicable. See, e.g., Payless Shoesource Worldwide, Inc. v. Target Corp., No.

MORRISON F STER

Edward Normand March 5, 2007 Page Two

05-4023-JAR, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 84072, at *18-25 & n.53 (D. Kan. Nov. 17, 2006) (rejecting "boilerplate" irrelevancy and undue burden objections to a 30(b)(6) seeking information relevant to damages).

Please let us know in three business days (i.e., by the close of business on March 8, 2007) whether SCO will withdraw its objections to Interrogatory No. 15 and Topic No. 23. If not, we will have no choice but to move to compel.

Sincerely,

Kenneth W. Brakebill

MORRISON

RSTER

425 MARKET STREET SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA 94105-2482

TELEPHONE: 415.268.7000 FACSIMILE: 415.268.7522

WWW.MOPO.COM

ORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

MEW YORK, SAN FRANCISCO, LOS ANGELES, PALO ALTO, SAN DIEGO, WASHINGTON, D.C.

DENVER, NORTHERN VIRGINIA, ORANGE COUNTY, SACRAMENTO, WALNUT CREEK, CENTURY CITY

TOKYO, LONDON, BEIJING, SHANGHAI, HONG KONG, SINGAPORE, BRUSSELS

To:

Name:	FACSIMILE:	TELEPHONE:
Edward J. Normand Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP	(914) 749-8300	(914) 749-8200

FROM:

Kenneth W. Brakebill, (415) 268-6943

DATE:

March 5, 2007

Number of pages with cover page:

3

Original will not follow by mail

Preparer of this slip has confirmed that facsimile number given is correct:

8642/MPE1

CAUTION - CONFIDENTIAL

This facsimile contains confidential information which may also be privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not copy, use, or distribute it. If you have received it in error, please advise Morrison & Foerster LLP immediately by telephone or facsimile and return it promptly by mail.

Comments:		•
	·	
,		

* * * COMMU. TION RESULT REPORT (MAR. 5, 2007 17PM) * * *

TTI MOFO-FAX CTR X7522

FILE MODE OPTION ADDRESS (GROUP) RESULT PAGE
3926 MEMORY TX 8642#15905#23#19147498300# OK 3/3

REASON FOR ERROR
E-1). HANG UP OR LINE FAIL
E-3) NO ANSWER

E-2) BUSY E-4) NO FACSIMILE CONNECTION

MORRISON FOERSTER

425 MARKET STREET SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA 94105-2482

TFILEPHONE: 415.268.7000 FACSIMILE: 415.268.7522

WWW,MOPO.COM

MORRIPON & FORSTER LLP NEW YORK, SAN FRANCISCO,

LOS ANGYLES, PALO ALTO, FAN DIGGO, WASHINGTON, D.C. DENVES, NORTHERN VIRGINIA, QEANGE COUNTY, SACRAMENTO, WALNUT CREEK, CENTURY CITY

TOKYO, LONDON, BUIJING, SHANGIIAI, HONG KONG, SINGAFORR, BRUSSELS

To:

Name:	FACSIMILE:	TELEPHONE:
Edward J. Normand Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP	(914) 749-8300	(914) 749-8200

FROM:

Kenneth W. Brakebill, (415) 268-6943

kebill, DATE:

March 5, 2007

Number of pages	3	Original will not follow by mail	•
with cover page:			

Preparer of this slip has confirmed that facsimile number given is correct:

8642/MPE1