

Brent O. Hatch (5715)
Mark F. James (5295)
HATCH, JAMES & DODGE
10 West Broadway, Suite 400
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
Telephone: (801) 363-6363
Facsimile: (801) 363-6666

David Boies (admitted pro hac vice)
Robert Silver (admitted pro hac vice)
Edward Normand (admitted pro hac vice)
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
333 Main Street
Armonk, New York 10504
Telephone: (914) 749-8200
Facsimile: (914) 749-8300

Stuart H. Singer (admitted pro hac vice)
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
401 East Las Olas Boulevard—Suite 1200
Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33301
Telephone: (954) 356-0011
Facsimile: (954) 356-0022

Stephen N. Zack (admitted pro hac vice)
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
Bank of America Tower—Suite 2800
100 Southeast Second Street
Miami, Florida 33131
Telephone: (305) 539-8400
Facsimile: (305) 539-1307

Devan V. Padmanabhan (admitted pro hac vice)
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
Telephone: (612) 340-2600
Facsimile: (612) 340-2868
Attorneys for Plaintiff, The SCO Group, Inc.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH**

THE SCO GROUP, INC.

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

v.

NOVELL, INC.,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

**SCO'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO FILE ITS
MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO
NOVELL'S MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON SCO'S
FIRST CLAIM FOR SLANDER OF
TITLE AND THIRD CLAIM FOR
SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE**

Case No. 2:04cv139

Honorable Dale A. Kimball
Magistrate Judge Brooke C. Wells

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant The SCO Group (“SCO”) hereby moves the Court pursuant to District Court Rule 7-1(e) for an Order granting it leave to file *SCO’s Memorandum in Opposition to Novell’s Motion for Summary Judgment on SCO’s First Claim for Slander of Title and Third Claim for Specific Performance* (the “Opposition Memorandum”) that consists of 76 pages, exclusive of face sheet, table of contents and authorities, appendixes and exhibits.

SCO’s Motion is made upon the grounds that the Opposition Memorandum of the indicated length is necessary given the complex nature of the issues raised by Novell’s Motion for Summary Judgment on SCO’s First Claim for Slander of Title and Third Claim for Specific Performance (the “Motion”) to the end that a Opposition to Novell’s Motion of the same complexity was necessitated.

SCO has endeavored to be as concise as possible, but respectfully submits that the excess length is necessary to fully and fairly address the issues being placed before the Court.

SCO respectfully requests that it be allowed to file its Opposition Memorandum containing 76 pages, exclusive of face sheet, table of contents and authorities, appendixes and exhibits.

DATED this the 18th day of May, 2007.

HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C.
Brent O. Hatch
Mark F. James

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
Robert Silver
Stuart H. Singer
Stephen N. Zack
Edward Normand

By: /s/ Edward Normand
Counsel for The SCO Group, Inc.