Exhibit 20

DEPOSITION OF JOHN BENNETT, Ph.D., P.E. August 31, 2009 NOVELL, INC., Plaintiff, vs. MICROSOFT CORPORATION, Defendant. APPEARANCES: DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP By David L. Engelhardt, Esq. and Alex E. Hassid, Esq. 1825 Eye Street NW Washington, DC 20006-5403 Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff. SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP By Steven L. Holley, Esq. and Nuri G. Frame, Esq. 125 Broad Street New York, New York 10004-2498 Appearing on behalf of Defendant.	1DL Docket No	b. 1332
August 31, 2009 NOVELL, INC., Plaintiff, vs. MICROSOFT CORPORATION, Defendant. APPEARANCES: DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP By David L. Engelhardt, Esq. and Alex E. Hassid, Esq. 1825 Eye Street NW Washington,DC 20006-5403 Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff. SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP By Steven L. Holley, Esq. and Nuri G. Frame, Esq. 125 Broad Street New York, New York 10004-2498		
August 31, 2009 NOVELL, INC., Plaintiff, vs. MICROSOFT CORPORATION, Defendant. APPEARANCES: DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP By David L. Engelhardt, Esq. and Alex E. Hassid, Esq. 1825 Eye Street NW Washington, DC 20006-5403 Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff. SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP By Steven L. Holley, Esq. and Nuri G. Frame, Esq. 125 Broad Street New York, New York 10004-2498	DEPOSITION OF	F JOHN BENNETT, Ph.D., P.E.
NOVELL, INC., Plaintiff, vs. MICROSOFT CORPORATION, Defendant. APPEARANCES: DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP By David L. Engelhardt, Esq. and Alex E. Hassid, Esq. 1825 Eye Street NW Washington, DC 20006-5403 Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff. SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP By Steven L. Holley, Esq. and Nuri G. Frame, Esq. 125 Broad Street New York, New York 10004-2498		August 31, 2009
Plaintiff, vs. MICROSOFT CORPORATION, Defendant. 		······································
Plaintiff, //S. MICROSOFT CORPORATION, Defendant. APPEARANCES: DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP By David L. Engelhardt, Esq. and Alex E. Hassid, Esq. 1825 Eye Street NW Washington,DC 20006-5403 Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff. SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP By Steven L. Holley, Esq. and Nuri G. Frame, Esq. 125 Broad Street New York, New York 10004-2498	INVELL TNC	
vs. MICROSOFT CORPORATION, Defendant. APPEARANCES: DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP By David L. Engelhardt, Esq. and Alex E. Hassid, Esq. 1825 Eye Street NW Washington, DC 20006-5403 Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff. SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP By Steven L. Holley, Esq. and Nuri G. Frame, Esq. 125 Broad Street New York, New York 10004-2498		1
MICROSOFT CORPORATION, Defendant. APPEARANCES: DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP By David L. Engelhardt, Esq. and Alex E. Hassid, Esq. 1825 Eye Street NW Washington, DC 20006-5403 Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff. SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP By Steven L. Holley, Esq. and Nuri G. Frame, Esq. 125 Broad Street New York, New York 10004-2498	Plaintiff,	
Defendant. APPEARANCES: DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP By David L. Engelhardt, Esq. and Alex E. Hassid, Esq. 1825 Eye Street NW Washington,DC 20006-5403 Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff. SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP By Steven L. Holley, Esq. and Nuri G. Frame, Esq. 125 Broad Street New York, New York 10004-2498	vs.	
APPEARANCES: DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP By David L. Engelhardt, Esq. and Alex E. Hassid, Esq. 1825 Eye Street NW Washington,DC 20006-5403 Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff. SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP By Steven L. Holley, Esq. and Nuri G. Frame, Esq. 125 Broad Street New York, New York 10004-2498	MICROSOFT CON	RPORATION,
APPEARANCES: DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP By David L. Engelhardt, Esq. and Alex E. Hassid, Esq. 1825 Eye Street NW Washington,DC 20006-5403 Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff. SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP By Steven L. Holley, Esq. and Nuri G. Frame, Esq. 125 Broad Street New York, New York 10004-2498	Defendant.	
By Steven L. Holley, Esq. and Nuri G. Frame, Esq. 125 Broad Street New York, New York 10004-2498		David L. Engelhardt, Esq. and Alex E. Hassid, Esq. 1825 Eye Street NW Washington,DC 20006-5403
		Steven L. Holley, Esq. and Nuri G. Frame, Esq. 125 Broad Street New York, New York 10004-2498

Г

I have cited Kliger and Creighton in support of that 1 2 fact. Well, time permitting, we might find Moon. 3 0 Since you have pointed out and, properly so, 4 5 your reference is to Creighton and Kliger, you quote here Mr. Creighton for the proposition that Microsoft 6 provided support to WordPerfect software developers 7 considered comparable to support offered to other 8 9 vendors. 10 Do you continue to believe that to be 11 accurate? Yes, I do. I mean, let us go to these 12 Α 13 depositions. Let me just ask first, didn't Mr. Creighton 14 0 15 specifically offer an exception to his statement about support comparable to what is given to other vendors. 16 Didn't he specifically except out the 17 18 namespace extensions? That is why I want to go to the deposition 19 Α 20 and look at what he said. My question is very simple: Do you recall 21 0 22 that? I did not memorize his testimony. I would 23 Α like to look at that if you want to ask me a question 24 25 about it.

1 0 Wouldn't it be crucial to know that before 2 citing him here for the proposition that Microsoft 3 provided support to WordPerfect software developers 4 considered comparable to support offered to other 5 vendors? As I have stated a couple of times, let us 6 Α 7 go look at the deposition testimony and I will be happy to refresh my memory. 8 9 Let us turn a moment to Mr. Kliger. 0 10 Α Is it Kliger (pronouncing)? 11 I just did it your way. One of us is right Q 12 I am sure. 13 In the testimony you cite of Mr. Kliger in 14 support of the proposition that Microsoft provided 15 support to WordPerfect software developers considered 16 comparable to support offered to other vendors, didn't 17 he specifically limit his testimony to his ability to do 18 his own job? 19 Again, I would like to go look at the А 20 testimony to -- I mean, I tried throughout my report to 21 offer meaningful citation to statements that I make. 22 Let us go look at it and see if I managed to do that. 23 Well, Alex will dig that out, if he can. 0 You don't have recollection of the testimony 24 25 you cite; is that what you are telling me?

1 А I am telling you that I did not memorize. 2 all of the testimony. 3 0 No one expects you to. 4 Wouldn't that be a very material point in 5 the testimony if Mr. Kliger, for instance, were to limit 6 his statement about the adequacy of Microsoft support to 7 his own ability to do his own work? 8 I guess I want to go and see what he said. Α 9 0 My question is, would it be material? 10 MR. HOLLEY: I object to the form of the question. 11 12 Α As I have testified, I endeavor to provide meaningful citations as for all the statements that I 13 14 have made. I believe these are meaningful. To answer 15 with any more specificity we need to go look at the 16 testimony. 17 0 (BY MR. ENGELHARDT) With respect to anyone 18 that you cite for the proposition Microsoft provided 19 support to WordPerfect software developers considered 20 comparable to support offered to other vendors, would it 21 be material to know whether that witness made an 22 exception for the namespace extensions that we have been 23 discussing today? 24 Α I don't know. I would want to see what he 25 said. You brought up Mr. Moon to start with. I think

this statement in the middle of page 66 is consistent with what Mr. Moon, the chief technology officer of WordPerfect, I believe he said something similar to that in his public statement on the subject.

5 Let me read some of Mr. Creighton's 0 6 testimony to you. This actually begins with the next 7 line after the last line in your citation. You cite 8 page 84, line 1 through, page 85, line 16. Beginning on 9 page 75, line 17, Mr. Creighton is asked "And did you 10 share the view that Microsoft had worked closely with 11 WordPerfect to be responsive to WordPerfect's concerns 12 and questions? Yes, he answers. There was the issue of the namespace extension, but I don't remember precisely 13 14 how that unfolded. So I am going to hesitate on that 15 one. But I think in general that that's the case. 16 Why did you not include that testimony

17 within your citation?

A Can I see what I did cite so that we can --I mean, you have the benefit of having the testimony in front of you and I do not.

21 MR. HOLLEY: Let us mark the Creighton 22 deposition as our next exhibit. I will even go in and 23 dog ear the page where your citation begins so you can 24 see it.

(Exhibit 12 marked.)

25

1

2

3

1 Α Give me a moment to refresh my memory, if I 2 could. 3 (BY MR. ENGELHARDT) Q Sure. 4 А This testimony is referring to Mr. Moon's 5 statement. It states, the quote states in part, "It is 6 incorrect to paint WordPerfect Corporation as being 7 overly concerned or complaining about the use of 8 undocumented calls or operating system knowledge by 9 Microsoft developers. We recognize that there is no 10 "Chinese wall" -- that has been made very clearly by Microsoft -- it is simply a fact of life that MS 11 12 develops operating systems and the applications that run on them and that there will always be some benefit 13 14 to them from that. We did not whine about this or 15 worry about it." Did you ever hear Mr. Moon expressing this view? 16 17 Answer. I don't remember him expressing it, which doesn't surprise me, because -- but I don't 18 19 recall. 20 Question. Why doesn't it surprise you? 21 Answer. Because I think that most people in 22 the development community at WordPerfect would have 23 basically said the same thing. 24 Question. He goes on to state, "We have 25 enjoyed a very good relationship with the Microsoft

1 systems development group and appreciate the service and 2 support they give to us. Rich is correct when he says 3 that they have worked closely with us and that they try 4 very hard to be responsive to concerns or questions we 5 have. Particularly lately, they have tried very hard to 6 provide information about operating system 7 functionality, APIs, and designs in a timely and forthright way. 8 9 Did you ever hear Mr. Moon expressing this view? 10 11 Answer. I don't remember him expressing 12 that view. 13 Did you share that view? Yes. So you 14 shared -- did you share the view that Microsoft tried 15 hard, very hard to provide information about operating 16 systems functionality, APIs, and design? Yes. In a 17 timely and forthright way? Answer. As far as I knew. 18 That I think is directly supportive of the 19 point that I make. You read going on, and did you 20 share -- well, you have already read it into the record. 21 I will not read it again. 22 What Mr. Creighton says in answer to the 23 question whether or not Microsoft worked closely to be 24 responsive to WordPerfect's concerns and questions, he 25 said yes. There was the issue of the namespace