Exhibit 20

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
MDL Docket No. 1332


DEPOSITION OF JOHN BENNETT, Ph.D., P.E.
August 31, 2009

NOVELL, INC.,

Plaintiff,
vs.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,
Defendant.

## APPEARANCES :

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
By David L. Engelhardt, Esq. and
Alex E. Hassid, Esq.
1825 Eye Street NW
Washington, DC 20006-5403 Appearing on behalf of Plaintiff.

SULLIVAN \& CROMWELL LLP
By Steven L. Holley, Esq. and
Nuri G. Frame, Esq.
125 Broad Street
New York, New York 10004-2498 Appearing on behalf of Defendant.

I have cited Kliger and Creighton in support of that fact.

Q Well, time permitting, we might find Moon.
Since you have pointed out and, properly so, your reference is to Creighton and Kliger, you quote here Mr . Creighton for the proposition that Microsoft provided support to WordPerfect software developers considered comparable to support offered to other vendors.

Do you continue to believe that to be accurate?

A Yes, I do. I mean, let us go to these depositions.

Q Let me just ask first, didn't Mr. Creighton specifically offer an exception to his statement about support comparable to what is given to other vendors.

Didn't he specifically except out the namespace extensions?

A That is why I want to go to the deposition and look at what he said.

Q My question is very simple: Do you recall that?

A I did not memorize his testimony. I would like to look at that if you want to ask me a question about it.

Q Wouldn't it be crucial to know that before citing him here for the proposition that Microsoft provided support to WordPerfect software developers considered comparable to support offered to other vendors?

A As I have stated a couple of times, let us go look at the deposition testimony and I will be happy to refresh my memory.

Q Let us turn a moment to Mr. Kliger.
A Is it Kliger (pronouncing) ?
Q I just did it your way. One of us is right I am sure.

In the testimony you cite of Mr . Kliger in support of the proposition that Microsoft provided support to WordPerfect software developers considered comparable to support offered to other vendors, didn't he specifically limit his testimony to his ability to do his own job?

A Again, I would like to go look at the testimony to -- I mean, I tried throughout my report to offer meaningful citation to statements that I make. Let us go look at it and see if I managed to do that.

Q Well, Alex will dig that out, if he can.
You don't have recollection of the testimony you cite; is that what you are telling me?

A I am telling you that I did not memorize. all of the testimony.

Q No one expects you to.
Wouldn't that be a very material point in the testimony if Mr. Kliger, for instance, were to limit his statement about the adequacy of Microsoft support to his own ability to do his own work?

A I guess I want to go and see what he said.
Q My question is, would it be material? MR. HOLLEY: I object to the form of the question.

A As I have testified, I endeavor to provide meaningful citations as for all the statements that I have made. I believe these are meaningful. To answer with any more specificity we need to go look at the testimony.

Q (BY MR. ENGELHARDT) With respect to anyone that you cite for the proposition Microsoft provided support to WordPerfect software developers considered comparable to support offered to other vendors, would it be material to know whether that witness made an exception for the namespace extensions that we have been discussing today?

A I don't know. I would want to see what he said. You brought up Mr. Moon to start with. I think
this statement in the middle of page 66 is consistent with what Mr . Moon, the chief technology officer of WordPerfect, I believe he said something similar to that in his public statement on the subject.

Q Let me read some of Mr . Creighton's testimony to you. This actually begins with the next line after the last line in your citation. You cite page 84, line 1 through, page 85 , line 16 . Beginning on page 75 , line 17, Mr. Creighton is asked "And did you share the view that Microsoft had worked closely with WordPerfect to be responsive to WordPerfect's concerns and questions? Yes, he answers. There was the issue of the namespace extension, but I don't remember precisely how that unfolded. So I am going to hesitate on that one. But I think in general that that's the case.

Why did you not include that testimony within your citation?

A Can I see what I did cite so that we can -I mean, you have the benefit of having the testimony in front of you and I do not.

MR. HOLLEY: Let us mark the Creighton deposition as our next exhibit. I will even go in and dog ear the page where your citation begins so you can see it.
(Exhibit 12 marked.)

A Give me a moment to refresh my memory, if I could.

Q (BY MR. ENGELHARDT) Sure.
A This testimony is referring to Mr. Moon's statement. It states, the quote states in part, "It is incorrect to paint WordPerfect Corporation as being overly concerned or complaining about the use of undocumented calls or operating system knowledge by Microsoft developers. We recognize that there is no "Chinese wall" -- that has been made very clearly by Microsoft -- it is simply a fact of life that MS develops operating systems and the applications that run on them and that there will always be some benefit to them from that. We did not whine about this or worry about it." Did you ever hear Mr. Moon expressing this view?

Answer. I don't remember him expressing it, which doesn't surprise me, because -- but I don't recall.

Question. Why doesn't it surprise you?
Answer. Because I think that most people in the development community at WordPerfect would have basically said the same thing.

Question. He goes on to state, "We have enjoyed a very good relationship with the Microsoft
systems development group and appreciate the service and support they give to us. Rich is correct when he says that they have worked closely with us and that they try very hard to be responsive to concerns or questions we have. Particularly lately, they have tried very hard to provide information about operating system functionality, APIs, and designs in a timely and forthright way.

Did you ever hear Mr. Moon expressing this view?

Answer. I don't remember him expressing that view.

Did you share that view? Yes. So you shared -- did you share the view that Microsoft tried hard, very hard to provide information about operating systems functionality, APIs, and design? Yes. In a timely and forthright way? Answer. As far as I knew.

That I think is directly supportive of the point that $I$ make. You read going on, and did you share -- well, you have already read it into the record. I will not read it again.

What Mr. Creighton says in answer to the question whether or not Microsoft worked closely to be responsive to WordPerfect's concerns and questions, he said yes. There was the issue of the namespace

