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WILMINGTON, DELAWARE, MONDAY, JULY 27, 2009, 9:38 AM.
THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Thank you and please be seated.

ALL: Good morning, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Good morning. Well we are here for the Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Approve a Sale. And I am ready having reviewed all of the documents. And how shall we proceed, Mr. Spector? Good morning.

MR. SPECTOR: Good morning, Your Honor. I suppose appearances would be helpful.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: My name is Arthur Spector. I'm here with my partner, Frank Caplan over there.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. SPECTOR: Representing SCO Group,
Incorporated, SCO Operations, Incorporated both of whom are Debtors in Possession in this case. With me also is our paralegal, Carmen Cruz who's going to try to keep things in order for us.

THE COURT: Okay, welcome.
MR. SPECTOR: And I'll let the other counsel -of course, Mr. Tibbitts, general counsel to the Debtor is here as well.

THE COURT: Yes, of course. Good morning, Mr. Tibbitts. Any other introductions? Good morning.

MR. GREECHER: Good morning, Your Honor, Sean Greecher from Young, Conaway.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.
MR. GREECHER: On behalf of Novell here with Adam
Lewis who you know.
THE COURT: Absolutely, Mr. Lewis.
MR. GREECHER: And I believe you've met Michael
Jacobs --
THE COURT: Yes, good to see you. Ms.
Silverstein, good morning.
MS. SILVERSTEIN: Good morning, Your Honor.
Laurie Silverstein for IBM here with Richard Levin.
THE COURT: Of course, Mr. Levin.
MR. LEVIN: Good morning, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Good to see you again.
MS. SILVERSTEIN: Also David Marriott.
MR. MARRIOTT: Good morning, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Marriott, yes, sir.
MS. SILVERSTEIN: And Michael Reynolds will be addressing the Court.

MR. REYNOLDS: Good morning, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right, thank you, Mr. Reynolds, welcome.

MS. SILVERSTEIN: Thank you.
MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. O'Neill.
MR. O'NEILL: I just wanted to say good morning.
I'm here with Mr. Spector.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. O'NEILL: On behalf of the Debtors.
THE COURT: Thank you, thank you. Now I know that I had --

MR. SPECTOR: We have counsel --
MR. NORMAND: Good morning, Your Honor. It's Ted Normand from Boies Schiller for the Debtor.

THE COURT: Good morning. Anyone else? Well I know we talked about two and a half hours a side. That is, you know, I'm not inflexible on that of course depending upon how things are moving along. I'll just be even handed with the amount of time. And if it looks like despite your best efforts it's taking a little bit longer, obviously I'm not going to cut people off just because you've hit the magic amount of time, but we will -- I'll try and, you know, keep mind of the time, keep track of the time, and see how we go. Mr. Spector?

MR. SPECTOR: Good morning, again, Your Honor. Am I on the clock yet?

THE COURT: Not yet.
MR. SPECTOR: Okay. I'm prepared to --

THE COURT: Because there may be some preliminary matters. Is that --

MR. SPECTOR: Well I was just going into my opening.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. SPECTOR: Okay.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. SPECTOR: We are here today on two matters SCO's Motion to Sell substantially all of their assets under 363 and IBM's, Novell's, and the U.S. Trustee's Motion to Convert the cases.

Putting aside the U.S. Trustee's Motion for the time being, these motions by IBM and Novell to convert the cases to Chapter 7 and even more so their objections to the sale to Unxis are baseless. Moreover, their reasons for filing the motions have nothing to do with their interest as alleged creditors hoping to be paid for their claims. Their active in these cases for one reason only and that is to put SCO out of business in order to kill SCO's lawsuits against them. These parties who call themselves creditors are subverting the purpose of Chapter 11, namely to keep a business in business in order to pay the just debts, preserve jobs, provide a return to stockholders if we're fortunate enough to have a solvent case. IBM and Novell want to kill SCO's business and they care not a whip that
they and the creditors, the legitimate creditors will receive little or nothing in the process. Why in heaven's name does IBM care whether SCO sells its Unix business to Unxis and that's how that name is pronounced we learned.

THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. SPECTOR: Why did IBM unleash its hordes of high powered attorneys coast to coast to depose anyone who might dare to testify for SCO? What possible reason does IBM have to oppose the sale? The only reason is that by the sale SCO would survive. And survive with the potent claims against IBM intact. IBM and Novell want to crush SCO and soon before the Court of Appeals can vindicate it. They know that once that happens, they will have to face juries to answer for what they have done to SCO.

The sale to Unxis is complex technically, but simple in bankruptcy terms. It's complex because as you will hear and probably already know, the circumstances of this case make sale of the Unix business an extremely difficult proposition. It is nevertheless quite simple from a bankruptcy perspective. If there's a buyer willing and able, virtually unconditionally to pay enough within a matter of days or permit SCO to pay all of their allowed claims in full, there is no more due diligence, there is nothing else for the SCO Debtors or the sellers to do other than to satisfy the closing, other than to obtain an order
from this Court.
The evidence will demonstrate the nearly non-stop efforts the Debtor has made to market these assets to ensure that the estate would be getting a fair deal. The Court already knows simply by instructs the position with these Motions to Convert alleging continuing losses, there is a good business reason to sell these assets under Section 363 without a plan.

The sale requires that the Debtors assume and assign to the purchaser a large number of executory contracts. You will hear testimony about the factual reasons why these contracts may be assumed properly under Section 365 of the Code and the legal authority to support it. To the extent any of these contracts is in default and virtually none of them is, the defaults will be cured promptly on or immediately after closing.

Mr. Steven Norris will testify about how you Unxis will provide adequate assurance of future performance on these contracts. All routine, but Novell and IBM will try to divert the Court's attention from the real Section 363 issues and try to focus on all sorts of red herrings. We urge the Court to keep an eye on the ball here and remember that the purpose of Court oversight of a sale in bankruptcy is the protection of the estate as a whole.

And as a result of the proposed sale, all claims
will be paid in full and the remaining stakeholders of the estate, the shareholders will get to keep the company's most valuable asset, the claims. No one with a legitimate creditor interest in these cases loses by Your Honor's authorizing the Debtors to close the transaction. The only potential losers are Novell and IBM, but not as creditors, but as competitors. The interests of competitors of course have no real weight in these matters.

As I noted, the sale is only part of the hearing today. We submit that the Court, if the Court authorizes the sale, our hearing -- our business here should be over. However, the Court has expressed its view that we should put it all in together so let's speak about Conversion Motions.

Timing and discretion are the two key things to keep in mind. At the outset of the cases, these Debtors candidly stated that they would continue to lose money during the pendency of these cases. They have expected that their stay would be much briefer. As a result, their losses would be less intense. They also said that from the outset they would pay all of the claims in full and the Debtors still have the means and intent to do so. The means of course is the sale.

SCO is a chapter -- is in Chapter 11 because it's business was destroyed by IBM with the help of Novell. SCO has sued for the damages that these companies caused, but it
has been continuously drained of life at an accelerated rate by its much larger and better capitalized adversaries. It finally reached the point that if it had not filed Chapter 11 when it did, it might have had all of its assets placed into a constructive trust thereby immediately putting it out of business and thwarting their efforts to take an appeal of the judgment that we find erroneous.

Once in Chapter 11 as predicted, the Debtors did lose the money and this occurred in each of the first ten months of the case. Then SCO received the answer of the Utah District Court on the amount of Novell's claim with interest, some of which is improper post petition interest which we'll take up on another occasion, approximately $\$ 3.5$ million, without interest about $\$ 2.5$ million. Not between the $\$ 30$ and $\$ 40$ million that Novell had originally tallied in this case. When that sum is added to the then existing universe of allowed claims, that is back in the Summer of '08 of about $\$ 1.8$ million at that time, SCO was facing liabilities of about $\$ 5.3$ million. But in July 2008 when the judgment was rendered, the vendors had cash of no more than $\$ 4.3$ million. Without a sale of some or all of its assets or collection on its lawsuits, SCO would not as of July 2008 or any month through now pay its claims in full. So nothing material in that context has changed in the last 11 months. The Tenth Circuit -- except of course the sale
we're pending.
The Tenth Circuit has shown that its keenly aware of timing issues and why it's crucial to the life of these companies that it resolve the critical appeal promptly. we have good reason to expect as the Court knows that we will have a ruling before August 31 or by August 31 because the imminent retirement of one of the three judges on the three judge panel. That's only 34 days from today. You've heard me say that over -- it's only -- in another few more days. I have to do it because it's the truth. It is only 34 more days and we will have a definitive ruling on the most important issues on the case.

Losses during this 34 days period will not materially affect the dividend that unsecured creditors would receive. If on or before the 31st of August the Tenth Circuit reverses the judgment in favor of Novell, the \$3.5 million judgment goes away. The $\$ 625,000$ we reserved on that judgment becomes back into the coffers of the Debtor. And of course if the sale is approved we pay the creditors and we have 625 to start out the mobility business. And fund litigation in full. And if the sale closes, we also have the funds to do that. So either way that could work.

How can it be accomplished? When the sale closes, we dismiss the case, pay our creditors or if there's an appeal, the judgment is overturned, there is no longer a
reason (indiscernible) to be here and we would also ask to appeal and pay our creditors in full. We'll have the ability to do that.

With these facts in mind, we need to focus on the Bankruptcy Code itself. Under 1124(b)(4)(a), the Movant has to prove two things and I'm not going to tell the Court because the Court well knows and I have to save my time. There is no doubt there's been a continuing loss, but case law suggests that such loss is a mere evidence of cause and do not ipso facto establish cause and I've cited those cases in my response. These decisions are keeping with the general rule that a court must make -- must consider all circumstances and make a full evaluation of the present condition of the estate, not merely look at the Debtors' financial statement. Looking at the present financial, present condition as the Debtor is about to get $\$ 2.4$ million to pay claims of about a million dollars and post petitions of about I don't know $\$ 340,000$ or something of that range.

Even if the Movants prove the first half of cause under Section 1124(b)(4)(a), the Debtors submit that the Movants will not and cannot prove that there is absence of reasonable likely rehabilitation. We submit that other than casting aside assertions, the Movants will have no evidence to show that the steps that the Debtors have taken both in the early days in the case and even more recently and
especially more recently, have no reasonable likelihood of rehabilitating the Debtors. The plan you will hear is we pay our creditors, the reorganized SCO much slimmed down will have a business, a mobility application business and the litigation. People will still be working for the company. It will be rehabilitated. Without benefit of plan, but rehabilitated nonetheless.

With respect to $1124(\mathrm{~b})(4)(\mathrm{b})$, the Movant has to prove not just typical or ordinary mismanagement, but gross mismanagement. Once again, the Debtors submit the Movants will fail in their burden. Our response already explained without subsequent contrary argument by the Movants that Section 1124(b)(4)(j) just doesn't apply.

Although the burden of proof is on the Movants, the Debtor will provide a thorough record of why cause does not exist. The Debtors will present the testimony of Ken Nielson, the company's CFO who will testify about the Debtors' historical losses and about the two -- about the short-term projected losses. His testimony will show that the risk to the creditors holding allowed claims over the next 34 days is insignificant. He will -- we will offer the testimony of Ryan Tibbitts, the Debtors' general counsel who will among other things, testify about the headway made in reducing claims against the estate which makes the reduction on the asset side of the ledger pale in comparison. He'll
also testify about how a favorable ruling from the Tenth Circuit in any of a number of ways would help to rehabilitate the Debtor.

We will introduce the testimony of Darl McBride, the Debtors' Chief Executive Officer who will testify to among other things the ramification to SCO's business from the events that led to the litigation. I guess we're not going to be discussing that. That was something we thought we were going to be discussing. Instead, he'll testify about management's heroic efforts during the case to save this company and managed to keep SCO alive despite impossible odds to this day. Mr. McBride, as well as, Jeff Hunsaker, the President and Chief Operating Officer of SCO Operations and by his deposition, Renee Beltran, a third party distributor of SCO products will testify about the very unusual circumstances in these cases that justify denial of the motions, even if a prima facie case were made.

The unusual circumstances justifying denial of the motions even if the Movants were able to establish cause are numerous. They include the temporal proximity of a definitive ruling by the Tenth Circuit on whether or not the Debtor owns legacy software around which its business is built. Furthermore, the determination if it goes as the Debtors anticipate and desire, would unlock the door to perhaps enormous damages and will reconfigure the
marketplace for operating system software. This is a battle that began six years ago and has consumed tens of millions of dollars in legal fees.

Another unusual circumstance is that the Debtors not only propose to pay all of their legitimate debts in full including Novell's claim should it be allowed, it has the present ability to do so within the next 34 days. Case law will -- is allegiant about it and the Debtor can show at a conversion hearing that it can pay its debts in full, that is an unusual circumstance.

Mr. McBride will testify not only about the various negotiations concerning a variety of proposed transactions that would have previously supported a plan to pay the debts in full. He will also testify about the sale to Unxis which but for this Court's imprimatur is ready to close within the next month. Mr. McBride will testify that the cash consideration for the Debtors is sufficient to pay the creditors in full and that the purchaser will post a letter of credit sufficient when added to the \$625,000 that SCO holds in escrow for Novell to satisfy the Novell judgment should it survive. The purchaser will get the Unix business and the mobility server while SCO gets to keep its litigation claims and the mobility applications business.

As intimated earlier, a most unusual circumstance is that the Debtors have insurance against any potential
loss from now until August 31 . And most certainly through the date of the anticipated closing of the Unxis sale in a couple of weeks in the form of a personal guarantee from the Debtors largest stockholder, Ralph Yarrow [ph]. Mr. Yarrow is committed to underwrite up to $\$ 200,000$ of losses should they occur. So that the Debtors' cash is not eroded when the Court grants the Debtors' extended life. There's no reason if we're worried about true creditors not to extend the life to August 31 when the losses are being underwritten.

Mr. Hunsaker, SCO's operations COO and Mr. Beltran an independent distributor of SCO products will testify in the latter case by deposition about the public interest in SCO's survival. Most important, public interest is one of the other unusual circumstances that cases have indicated. Most important to the decision is the discretion the Court advised, timing and discretion. This Court -- as the Court's in the cases that $I$ cited in the response and I won't cite them now have held, the Bankruptcy Court still has wide discretion to determine if cause exists and how to ultimately adjudicate the case and that's a quote.

The Debtors submit that the cases that advise that a Court considering a motion under 1112(b) must view the totality of the circumstances are saying much the same thing. Court's must weigh the evidence and use their
judgment in deciding whether cause exists. This is the essence of discretion. And that's only on the issue of cause. The statute is peppered with discretionary calls of get even after Bapseepo [ph]. Right at the top the Court is given the discretion to deny a motion even if cause is shown if in the Court's mind the Court finds unusual circumstances to justify denial. Then even if cause is established an unusual circumstances are not, the Court has discretion to order four different forms of relief as I discussed in my response.

For a Court to properly exercise such broad areas of discretion, it must be provided a full record. Today you will get such a record from the Debtors even if not from the Movants. For the Debtors, their corporate existence in the livelihood of their employees, the resellers, and the public interest of its many important customers lie in the balance. Failure on the part of the Movants today carries no such lethal consequences.

In summary, the Debtors believe that the record will support, indeed mandate the Court's exercise of its discretion to deny those motions.

Finally, I'm compelled to address certain points raised in Novell's and IBM's replies very briefly. First, that if SCO wins in the Tenth Circuit, it must means we'll go to trial years from now and it will be on and on forever.

It doesn't matter. The sale is consummated. The real -the creditors in the case will be paid. And if in Novell's judgment if it's overturned which is the premise, it won't be there anymore, it will be a regular company, small company suing a big company just like it happened beforehand and just like with afterwards. That's not a negative.

Secondly, say the Debtors will be asking for similar deferrals of the Chapter 11 cases while the lawsuits proceed. Well, no we won't, we're telling you we'd like to just get out. If the Novell judgment is overturned, we're out. If the sale is approved, we're out. So it's not like this case will linger any longer than it has.

Lastly, they say the Debtors insult the integrity of Chapter 7 Trustees by suggesting that they would settle the litigation for a pittance to pay creditors -- that would pay creditors a few cents on the dollar rather than to hold out for trial and get a big pay day that will pay something to the equity. And it's just reality, Judge. There's -that's this Court's experience and I'll argue that when we get to the end.

There's one other thing and that is the Trustee could sell this business, the Unix's business. Well you'll hear testimony that says no, they can't. If this Court converted to seven, the cadre of engineers working there for 20 years or more as a group coming from AT\&T labs and other
predecessors that developed this, they're going to scatter to the winds. And when that happens, an operating system business has very little value when the knowhow is gone, the people that develop this stuff is gone. And so the Chapter 7 Trustee is going to have an empty hull to sell if this case converts. The best way to sell, the only real way to sell this kind of a business is as an operating company which we're doing now. A Chapter 7 Trustee is very unlikely in this Court's experience to run a company that's losing a couple hundred thousand dollars a month or more the way the Movants argue.

The Debtors submit that because the evidence will not support a finding of cause, the Court will never even be required to make that decision. Moreover, even if the Court finds cause, the evidence will support findings of unusual circumstances. We ask the Court to do just that and that is to deny the Motions to Convert and grant the Debtors' motion for authority to sell the assets of Unxis. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Spector. By the way, I failed to acknowledge Mr. Harrington's appearance for the Office of the United States Trustee. Good morning, Mr. Harrington.

MR. HARRINGTON: Good morning, Your Honor.
MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, do you have a clock
timer?
THE COURT: I'm keeping track.
MR. SPECTOR: Can you share it?
THE COURT: Oh, that was 15 minutes.
MR. SPECTOR: Oh, I'm in business.
THE COURT: Mr. Levin, good morning.
MR. LEVIN: Good morning, Your Honor, Richard Levin for Cravath, Swaine and Moore for International Business Machines Corporation. Your Honor, it was not IBM's original intention to oppose the sale of the assets proposed. There were just a few things in the Sale Agreement that concerned us when we read it. One was what we called the vanishing, I'm sorry, the poison pill. The second was the vanishing letter of credit sun. We call that the solar eclipse. (Laughter)

MR. LEVIN: And the third was the need to for the Debtors to make a record to support the sale. But what we found out in discovery surprised us. And you see some of that reflected in our opposition paper.

THE COURT: Yes, yes.
MR. LEVIN: We found out that there were secret payments from the Debtor and its CEO to Mr. Norris. There was a reduction in the purchase price for the -- for an asset that is currently generating no revenue, no material
revenue. There is the as I said the solar eclipse. We learned that there's no committed financing for this transaction. And that the agreement is largely an open for which the Debtors have received a payment of $\$ 250,000$. We believe that we needed to call these matters to the Court's attention and with them we found it hard not to oppose the sale. What we found out we believe also supports the Conversion Motion and we'll get into that in the evidence.

Since then, there's been a new development. This case is full of new developments. Last Thursday, Your Honor, we received and by copy of -- we saw a copy of an email to the Debtor, the Debtor received a proposal from LNS Acquisition, LLC which is an affiliate of DMEP Corporation, d/b/a Hale Global to acquire all of the assets of the Debtor. We haven't had time since Thursday afternoon when this came in to evaluate whether it is a higher and better offer or whether it is not. But what it does tell us is that the idea in addition to all of the other problems that we have with the sale, it does tell us that the idea of a private sale is not a good idea in this case.

If you look at the structure of the Sale Agreement itself, there is no urgency to closing the sale. There's time to conduct an auction. We have a competing bidder. And I also might mention that the principal of LNS Acquisition is present in Court today as is his counsel who
can represent to the Court that they are willing to participate in an auction. Obviously, they're not ready to bid. It's a little early for that, but they've reviewed, they'll represent that reviewed the Unxis agreement and even in the face of that are willing to bid which suggests they would come up with something higher and better, otherwise why bother.

So we believe that there should be an auction. That this should not go by private sale. And because of the dynamics of this case, Your Honor, and all of the other things that we will show during this case, we believe that this Court should exercise its discretion under 1104(a)(3) to order the appointment of a trustee to conduct that auction rather than allowing SCO to conduct it itself since it is so closely allied with the current bidder. There can't be a mutual party, a disinterested Board of Directors in effect to conduct an auction process, unless some independent directors were appointed at this point.

So we believe that the fastest and easiest way to get there would be the prompt appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee to conduct that auction. Your Honor, on the other hand if the Court determines not to order an auction for this case, then for the reasons we stated in our opposition and as the evidence will show, we believe the sale should not be approved and the case should be converted to Chapter
7.

THE COURT: All right, thank you, Mr. Levin. Mr. Lewis, good morning.

MR. LEWIS: Good morning, Your Honor. I don't have very much here as an opening. I think I just want to follow on a few points.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. LEWIS: If you listen especially to the beginning of the Debtors' opening, what you heard, I think very clearly was this case is all about the litigation. That's what this is about. And so if that's what this is about, then in a sense part of what's confronting the Court today is who should decide what to do with that litigation? The Debtor has said repeatedly that we want to squash the Debtor and crush the litigation. We've not asked for any such thing. We've asked for conversion to a Chapter 7 for the appointment of a neutral to assess that litigation given management's obvious commitment to it, pretty much come what may. And I think that's still a really good idea here.

So the sale should be disapproved among other reasons because a neutral needs to evaluate this whole approach to this case and we have not had a neutral since the beginning of this case to do that. And you heard it again this morning. But they won't concede that point, but that's what you heard. And I think that you heard it very,
very clearly and I want to reiterate again that we have not tried to end the litigation through this case, we have tried to get the litigation in the hands of someone who can approach it rationally. Maybe that someone will decide that the litigations are worth billions of dollars and we will not see an end to it. Maybe that person will decide it's worth a whole lot less and there's a lot of risks to the creditors, a lot of uncertainties, a lot of maybes, and a long way down the road even if the case gets reversed in the Tenth Circuit and what's in the best interest of creditors is some other approach than litigation, perhaps a settlement. But that should be in the hands of someone who's judgment now can be trusted and I don't think the Court or the creditors can trust the management of the Debtor right now. That's just the way it is.

The idea that we're not are real creditor is ridiculous. We are a real creditor. Your Honor, even if we didn't have a $\$ 3.5$ million judgment, we'd be a real creditor. When a bankruptcy is filed, continued creditors are creditors and liquidated creditors are creditors. We're as entitled to how some provision for our wellbeing out of the bankruptcy case as anyone else. The same would be true for IBM, even though it doesn't have a judgment at all. It has claims. It's filed a proof of claim. It's a creditor. The notion that we've heard again and again is because they
think we're wrong, we're not real creditors. That's not how the Bankruptcy Code works.

And that's the -- another one of the problems here with this case which is what the Debtor is proposing here is some sort of inchoate resolution of this case without a plan of reorganization. We don't have a Motion to Dismiss in front of you. There's nothing specific about how this all will be accomplished that they're going to tell you it's going to be done. There's just a lot of talk about it. And the idea that we're provided for doesn't hold water.

Even with the amendments, Your Honor, our protection goes away at some point, this letter of credit that supposedly is protecting us. And so does the $\$ 650,000$. And where would that $\$ 650,000$ go? It will disappear down the maw of the Debtors continuing the litigation and running the mobility business which is losing money. And if the Debtors own board minutes which is one of their exhibits say on June 11 in their liquidity report section that the Debtor is losing \$650,000 a month, that's its cash burn and they will be out of money by the end of July.

So let's suppose that the case gets reversed in some fashion in the Tenth Circuit. Gets remanded. There is a new trial and we win again in a year, two years, whatever it is. Who's going to be there to pass? How is that going to happen? Why are we now at the end of this bankruptcy
case only to be told we amongst all creditors are at the beginning of the bankruptcy case in essence. Everybody else gets paid and we get left to our devices and our chances down the road. That's not the way bankruptcies should work. There should be a much better, much more certain, much more secure plan for dealing with all creditors within the meaning of the Bankruptcy Code. And that is not here before this Court today and I don't see how the Court can approve the sale without some sort of definitive plan in front of it that we can all attest because that's where are in this case.

Finally, Your Honor, on the sale among the other problems including those we mentioned in our opposition to the Sale Motion per se and of course in our Motion to Dismiss, our Motion to Convert is that the sale doesn't take in account, into account the asset -- the Purchase Agreement which we believe has to be assumed in order to be able to sign the SVRX contracts and that hasn't happened. And frankly, I don't think it can happen. And that's a problem. Maybe we'll hear some testimony about that this morning about how that can happen, at least factual testimony. But I don't see how it can happen on the present plan. And for that reason alone I think the sale has to be denied. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Lewis. Anyone else
wish to be heard?
MR. DOSHI: Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. DOSHI: If I may interrupt on the phone for a second.

THE COURT: Who is this?
MR. DOSHI: This is Amish Doshi with Day Pitney on behalf of Oracle USA, Inc.

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Doshi.
MR. DOSHI: Well good morning, Your Honor. I just want to note my appearance for the record and also note that we do not take any position with respect to this Motion to Convert issue, but at some appropriate time when Your Honor is ready with respect to the Sale Motion and the assumption and assignment or attempt of assumption and assignment of any Oracle agreement, at that point, I would like to be heard. We have filed a limited objection and I just wanted to note for the record that at some appropriate time I want an opportunity to be heard on that limited issue. Once again, we take absolutely no position with respect to this motion and I don't want to interrupt the proceedings that are going on with respect to that.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Doshi. I certainly will give you an opportunity to be heard at the right time. MR. DOSHI: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Levin?
MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, Mr. Levin. We have a procedural question.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. LEVIN: At approximately 11:30 last night, we received a proposed amendment to the Purchase Agreement. THE COURT: Yes.

MR. LEVIN: We're at a loss as to how to deal with that. It seems only appropriate that we be given time to look at that. If this Court determines to order an auction process, a standard Section 363 auction process, it will not be an issue. On the other hand, if this Court doesn't go that way, we -- I think we cannot get through the sale hearing without having to look at that, having some time to look at that amendment. It was handed to me this morning, a hard copy after the hearing started. It's a little difficult to read on a blackberry last night.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. LEVIN: So I would ask for the Court's guidance on how we want to handle that.

THE COURT: Well why don't I ask Mr. Spector to assist us a little bit on what he would propose. In other words, what you're proposing is that the Court consider the terms of the sale as amended?

MR. SPECTOR: Yes. There were objections that
raised issues. This poison pill nonsense. It isn't a poison pill. We can argue as a matter of law and we will later, I'm sure that there are certain things that they pointed out that were unclear in the prior one or created problems. They said well chronology doesn't work. What happens -- they pointed some of those things out and we said, you know, they have a point and we'd try to fix that. The principals of Unxis are located -- well one of the principals, one of the primary principals is located in Europe. And to get the things done, we had to have Mr. Norris fly in yesterday. He didn't get here till last -late last night and he couldn't sign it till then. So we couldn't -- we had it drafted, we just couldn't execute it and file till last night.

These are the things that address responses, address the issues that are in the objections. I don't have a problem with him looking at it during the day. I don't think we're going to be talking about those issues the first few hours of the hearing anyway. I should tell you that everything has been fast and furious. I'm just standing here basically alone. I got an update this morning when I woke up on their exhibit list, two or three more exhibits showed up. I'm not objecting to it. And frankly, until maybe I don't know, in five minutes they will, but they haven't objected to the fact that we were amending our
exhibit list yesterday as well. Given the time exigencies, I'm sure even they recognize it's impossible sometimes. We give it to them as soon as we get it and they give it to us as soon as we get it.

For example, they objected to every single exhibit that we put in as of a few days ago. Some of them because they weren't signed. Well the minutes weren't signed because the Board was still meeting. I gave it to them as a draft and they said it wasn't signed, but we have signed ones now, we'll replace it. They objected to the sale, the Purchaser Sale Agreement because the one we put up wasn't the signed version. I got the signed version. I've been carrying it around since June 15.

So I don't know what we're going to do with those things but, you know, we'll be substituting those things. There are various other objections, I guess we'll deal with those when they come up. My long winded speech is I don't care if they take time to review it's fair for them to do. I think they won't find anything in there other than largely responses to their objections, making the deal a better deal for everybody on our side of the table, the creditors and the shareholders and the like.

While I'm up here and I've got the mike, you know, you hate to lose the mike when you have it. The notion about having an action.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: You will hear testimony from one of our witnesses, $I$ don't know who, maybe several that they consider hiring an IB, an investment banker to do the job. They don't have the money to do that. In lieu of that, you're going to hear experiential testimony. How many efforts we've done to market these assets before what the offers were and so forth. The record will be replete with testimony to show that the state is getting a fair price notwithstanding those red herrings I told you were going to be coming up on another deal. Mr. Hale is not new to this Court. Mr. Hale was the lead of York, remember York. Because of York --

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: -- we're in the position we're in now. We spent tons of money to try to bring that York deal home early in the case. Mr. Hale -- you'll hear testimony. I'm not -- I'm doing an opening. No, don't put that on the clock, I'm responding to their argument. You're going to hear testimony --

MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, excuse me. I just asked a procedural question of how we were going to handle the amendments.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. LEVIN: That's all I asked.

MR. SPECTOR: Well it invoked the idea of an auction and says we can't do an auction without looking at it. So while we're talking about the auction, Mr. Hale through Global whatever it's Hale Global came back and you'll hear Mr. McBride talk about it. He came back in the last several months before the sale. He's one of those people we considered this offer and rejected it. Now on Thursday of last week while we're getting -- doing everything, getting documents, we get this email from him copy to IBM's lawyer and Novell's lawyer by the way saying oh, by the way, here's what is. Well one of our deal partners disassembled it and said here's what the deal really is. We get to the merits of the deal, if we get to the merits of the deal it stinks. It stinks and we don't trust the person who's proposing it for experiential reasons, for a lot of reasons. But we don't have to get to that because we're doing like GM did. We're doing like Chrysler did. We're doing like so many other companies have done and warned -- I raised it earlier in the case that the code allows a sale to -- a private sale without an auction. And we've done the best thing for -- better than an auction, we've marketed for two years. So this case can't support an auction. And we've got enough money out of this to pay all the creditors in full.

So I guess I'm going to repeat myself. I think
the idea of an auction is full hearted at this point.
THE COURT: Well thank you. In answer to Mr.
Levin's question though, let me get back to the question. I think that in fairness changes in terms of various matters is not unusual in a Bankruptcy Court. And I think what I would propose to do is allow if necessary an adjournment, you know, for a brief time, a half an hour, an hour, whatever time you tell me you need to review the amendment and to be prepared to proceed with it.

MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, our first witness, however, doesn't deal with that --

THE COURT: Mr. Harrington is --
MR. SPECTOR: Oh, I'm sorry.
THE COURT: Mr. Harrington, yes, sir.
MR. SPECTOR: I'm sorry.
MR. HARRINGTON: Good morning, Your Honor, William Harrington.

THE COURT: Good morning.
MR. HARRINGTON: From the Office of the United States Trustee pinch hitting for Joseph McMahon today. Your Honor, I wanted to just get up briefly. There's been a lot of talk mainly from the Debtors about what would happen sort of post sale and some sort of structured dismissal but that hasn't really been defined. There's no motion on the table. I know Your Honor has read our motion. Our motion is very
simple.
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. HARRINGTON: And I don't think the Debtor really disputes what's contained in our motion, although they sort of disputed it today. I don't think they dispute there's a continuing loss here. The reasonable likelihood of rehabilitation, $I$ think they were sketchy as to what's going to happen with that. And the code talks about a plan, Your Honor and the Debtors being able to propose a plan to prove unusual circumstances and the best interest of the creditors. That's not being discussed by the Creditors -by the Debtors. And we have serious concerns regarding some sort of structured dismissal that doesn't follow the code, Your Honor.

There's two ways, there's I guess three ways to get rid of a case in bankruptcy and the first is a plan. And that's what is contemplated under the code in Chapter 11 that you'll get out through a plan of reorganization. We're not -- no one's talking about doing that here. The second is to convert the case and let a Chapter 7 go through the claims and evaluate the claims and their distributions. And then third would be a straight dismissal with no sort of structure to it in certain circumstances.

So I did want to get up and rise because there is sort of a lingering discussion of a structured dismissal
here with no sort of an amorphous structured dismissal. It's been mentioned, but there's no kind of discussion as to what form that would take. And we believe, Your Honor, the two ways out here are reorganization plan or a Chapter 7 Trustee. And that's why we move for conversion. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Harrington, thank you. All right. Anyone else?

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, David Marriott if I may --

THE COURT: Of course.
MR. MARRIOTT: I think it might be to everyone's advantage if we cleared some procedural underbrush. We have provided the Court and counsel for the Debtors a set of exhibits --

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. MARRIOTT: -- that we propose to move into evidence during the course of these proceedings, Your Honor. And I believe I'm right that the Debtors have objected to only five of our proposed exhibits.

THE COURT: Yes, emails apparently.
MR. MARRIOTT: Yes, Your Honor. And we are prepared to not seek the admission of two of those five exhibits and I'll specifically identify them for Your Honor. And other than -- and then I think you should have an
argument about those exhibits. But we'd like to move the admission of the Movants' exhibits with the exception, Judge, of Exhibit 11, 36, and 49. And 36 and 49 are two of the exhibits to which the Debtors objected. That leaves Your Honor, I need to argue about the admissibility of Exhibits 37, 39, and 40. And so for the record if it's agreeable to the Court, I'd like to move the admission of those exhibits with the exceptions of 11,36 , and 49 now and then have a discussion with Your Honor about the three as to which there was an issue.

THE COURT: Is there any objection from the Debtors' side? They're move --

MR. SPECTOR: Whatever procedurally I guess whatever they say. I was going to say let's take it up if and when it wasn't to come in one -- I think there are three exhibits in that whole stack.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. SPECTOR: We didn't object to the 60--59
other ones or whatever it was. These we have three objections on. And I was -- typically I would like to get the piece started. I waited since June. But if we're going to spend -- if we're going to have to have a separate record of this is what I'm anticipating which is why I hesitated.

THE COURT: Well my only reluctance is it's helpful sometimes for me to be ruling on evidence more in
context of the case and it might be best, I think it would be best were we to take those up when they're going to be introduced.

MR. MARRIOTT: That's fine, Your Honor with respect to those three.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. MARRIOTT: So -- we then I believe -- so --
THE COURT: And the others I think I'm hearing from Mr. Spector he does not have any objection to them and I would admit them into evidence.

MR. MARRIOTT: Okay, fine, Your Honor, thank you.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. MARRIOTT: Two other procedural matters. I think what would be helpful is to give us the 30 minutes or so which Mr. -- to which Your Honor would -- to review the PSA if their first witness, the amendments to the PSA, if their first witness is going to in any way address that so that we don't find ourselves in a situation midstream in the examination having to break to review the documents. So it may make sense, Your Honor, to take those 30 minutes now.

And then finally, we would ask, Judge, that any witness who will testify in the case be --

THE COURT: Be sequestered?
MR. MARRIOTT: Exactly, Judge, be sequestered during the exam.

THE COURT: I certainly will grant that oral motion to sequester witnesses. I think it's appropriate in these cases. As far as the 30 minutes now will your first witness be discussing the sale, Mr. Spector?

MR. SPECTOR: Not really. We're going to have Mr. Nielson, CFO and Mr. McBride is the one who's primarily talking about the sale.

THE COURT: All right. If it so happens that there is any discussion of the sale, then I will certainly give you that adjournment.

MR. MARRIOTT: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Marriott.
MR. SPECTOR: With regard to sequestration, of course that's typical.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: I just have to point out Mr.
Tibbitts who's the general counsel is going to assist me in trial, but he's also a witness.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. MARRIOTT: I'm sorry, Your Honor, I apologize, $I$ didn't hear you.

THE COURT: Mr. Tibbitts is going to assist Mr. Spector here. He is a -- he will be a witness.

MR. MARRIOTT: Well, Your Honor, we would -anyone who is a witness we think ought to be excluded during
the testimony. So yes, there's an objection.
MR. SPECTOR: What's the practice on that, Your Honor? If --

THE COURT: Well I'm going to allow Mr. Tibbitts to remain in the courtroom. I think to a large extent he is the Debtors' representative.

MR. MARRIOTT: Can I suggest this compromise, Your Honor. What if Mr. Tibbitts is the first witness?

THE COURT: Oh --
MR. MARRIOTT: I'm sorry.
THE COURT: -- yeah, we have to make sure we get you on the record, Mr. Marriott. I'm sorry.

MR. MARRIOTT: I apologize. Can we solve the problem by having Mr. Tibbitts as the first witness, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Mr. Spector?
MR. SPECTOR: I suppose we could, but it wouldn't -- I suppose we could do that. I -- there's really no reason. It's just going to be a little out of order.

THE COURT: Is he going to be discussing the sale? Will Mr. Tibbitts be discussing the sale?

MR. SPECTOR: Not really. That's not his primary area either.

THE COURT: Okay, all right, that's a good --
MR. SPECTOR: Primarily Mr. McBride will be
talking about the sale. But, you know, in cross examination as things come up, I noticed that, you know, they asked us pre-trail what's this person going to talk about specifically? And we said -- and we went through the deposition and it was four hours of six of the topics had nothing to do with it. So if that happens, Your Honor, and I don't object for example, then we wind up going into other areas. That's all I'm --

THE COURT: And if we go to the sale, then we'll take a recess.

MR. MARRIOTT: Thank you.
THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Marriott, thank you. Thank you for the -- I think that was a helpful suggestion.

MR. SPECTOR: Well in that case, we would ask Mr. Nielsen and Mr. McBride to step outside. Who else? We've got Mr. Hunsaker and Mr. Norris I suppose should step out as well.

THE COURT: Yes, thank you.
MR. SPECTOR: Mr. Roderick is not listed as a witness, but he could be rebuttal if something comes up.

THE COURT: Then why don't we have him excuse himself as well.

MR. SPECTOR: One other housekeeping matter.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. SPECTOR: This is going to be the cleanest
house in Wilmington. We have potential confidentiality NDA concerns as things get through the testimony and the exhibits come in. Counsel for the adversaries have agreed that when we reach that we'll give a high sign or something and they would not oppose clearing the court for those periods.

THE COURT: All right, thank you.
MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, now we have a very interesting procedural question we have to ask Your Honor to help us on. We took Your Honor's suggestion to expedite the matter and three of our witnesses have prepared declarations, not live testimony. Mr. Tibbitts is one of those. I would like to hand up Mr. Tibbitts' declaration and give copies of course to counsel and give everybody some time to read it and then we'll let Mr. Tibbitts take the stand for cross unless Your Honor has a better suggestion.

THE COURT: Mr. Marriott?
MR. MARRIOTT: May I, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes. There is a microphone at the counsel table if that's helpful to you.

MR. MARRIOTT: Apparently I'm not close enough to that though. I think it's sensible to proceed by way of declaration, Your Honor. We have not of course seen these declarations and this is the first we're hearing that the declarations were used. As you know, we made the suggestion
last week and thought it had been declined. But what I would suggest in view of that, Your Honor, is that we get the declarations now, all of the declarations now. That we take a break and that we look at the declarations and then we proceed.

THE COURT: Yeah.
MR. MARRIOTT: And frankly, you know, I don't know how Your Honor's going to count time. I don't want to be petty about this, but there's some element here of the time it takes to read them that we would suggest ought to be taken into account in the time presentation.

MR. SPECTOR: One of the reasons I thought the declaration idea was a great idea was because that way it doesn't count on the clock.

THE COURT: Well as I said, you know, I'm not inflexible on the time. I'm hoping the time will not be that significant a factor --

MR. SPECTOR: The declarations are 8 pages, 10 pages, they're not 50 page declarations.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. SPECTOR: I think it makes more sense -- if were at a real trial, I'm not saying this isn't a real trial, but if we had a trial the traditional way, we wouldn't hear anything that direct is until the witness took the stand and they'd hear it real time. I would suggest
that we shouldn't be penalized for doing the expedited method. We could stand there with a proffer and read it out loud one at a time which is another way Your Honor suggested.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. SPECTOR: I don't think they should have all of it advance and read it because they would get an advantage they wouldn't get in a traditional trial. And there -- you may not see it from the bench, Judge, but there's an awful lot of points being scored in the procedural side on their side that they don't really need that extra help.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, isn't the compromise here that they give us the declarations --

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. MARRIOTT: -- we take a break. They don't count against their time and we move these things along?

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. MARRIOTT: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: But I do think we ought to give the Movants an opportunity to review the declarations.

MR. SPECTOR: Why don't we give them to you, too?
THE COURT: I would like that, too.
MR. MARRIOTT: We have no objection, Judge.
THE COURT: All right, sir.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, when I say we have no objection subject to whatever objections we may have when we see the declarations.

THE COURT: Of course, I understand that.
MR. SPECTOR: May I approach?
THE COURT: Yes, of course, Mr. Spector, thank you.

MR. SPECTOR: This would have been our first witness.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay.
MR. SPECTOR: And here are the other two exhibits.

THE COURT: So we have Declarations of Mr. Nielsen, Mr. Tibbitts, and Mr. Hunsaker and that's what we'll review. And you'll just let me know when you're ready to proceed. Is that right, Mr. Marriott?

MR. MARRIOTT: Yes, Your Honor, thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you. All right, we'll stand in recess as long as necessary.
(Recess from 10:30 a.m. to 11:10 a.m.)
THE COURT: You may be seated, thank you. Mr. Marriott, did you want to respond to the declarations? I mean, $I$ know you're going to be -- you'll probably want to cross examine, probably.

MR. MARRIOTT: We will, I believe have some
objections to the content of the declarations.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. MARRIOTT: But I think perhaps what makes sense is to have the witnesses called and we can state our objections when they've adopted their declarations which is I assume the procedure we will be following.

THE COURT: Exactly.
MR. MARRIOTT: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. SPECTOR: So you want me to --
THE COURT: Mr. Spector?
MR. SPECTOR: How exactly do you --
THE COURT: Well I guess we have to call Mr.
Tibbitts to the stand.
MR. SPECTOR: All right. Do I do that now?
THE COURT: Why not? I'll do it. Mr. Tibbitts? (Laughter)

THE COURT: You may --
MR. TIBBITTS: Do I keep my declaration up there with me?

THE COURT: Yes. I think that's a good -- you'll probably need that, Mr . Tibbitts. Is that all right, Mr. Marriott? Any objection?

MR. MARRIOTT: That's fine by me, Your Honor. I have no objection.

THE COURT: Okay. And we will have you sworn, Mr. Tibbitts.

RYAN E. TIBBITTS, DEBTORS' WITNESS, SWORN
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Tibbitts. When you're
-- yes, you may proceed.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Mr. Tibbitts, do you adopt your declaration?
A Yes, I do.
MR. MARRIOTT: Got it.
THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. SPECTOR: Thank you.
THE COURT: All right, now that's official.
MR. MARRIOTT: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Marriott?
MR. MARRIOTT: Good morning, Mr. Tibbitts.
MR. TIBBITTS: How are you?
MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, I may, I think in the interests of expedition, what I'd like to do is state for the record our objections to the certain paragraphs of Mr . Tibbitts' declaration.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. MARRIOTT: And then $I$ have but a few questions for Mr. Tibbitts on behalf of IBM. Your Honor, we would object to Paragraphs 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 16, and 17
on the grounds that they are speculative, not based on personal knowledge and based on hearsay for reasons that I believe are plain on the face of the document. I assume Your Honor will take those objections under advisement if and when you consider the merits of the testimony and you don't wish to have further argument on that.

THE COURT: Well I suppose to the extent that I sustained your objections, you would have to cross examine on those points.

MR. MARRIOTT: I don't intend, Your Honor, to cross examine on those points. The speculative nature -the testimony in those paragraphs, Your Honor, speaks to what would happen in a world in which the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals were to reverse Judge Kimball's decision in Novell's favor in the District Court in Utah. And Mr. Tibbitts' testimony is as Your Honor knows if you've had an opportunity to review this --

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. MARRIOTT: -- lays out his view of several possibilities in the event that event in the future, that uncertain event in the future would occur. It's our position that that is necessarily and inherently speculative. That it isn't and can't be based on personal knowledge. That it's based in parts on hearsay and for those reasons alone Your Honor not take that into evidence.

I don't believe there's much additional cross examination to about it. I think it is speaks for itself and on its face and suffers from those problems. I have only several additional questions for --

MR. JACOBS: If I may?
THE COURT: Please.
MR. JACOBS: This will be the first and last time I disagree with Mr. Marriott today. But I actually think if you were to rule, it would expedite my cross examination at least because if it -- if I have to be concerned that it might come in, then I have to develop further aspects of the proposed testimony so --

THE COURT: And forgive me, your name again?
MR. JACOBS: Michael Jacobs, Your Honor, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Jacobs.
MR. JACOBS; And I'm sorry for not being at the microphone also.

THE COURT: No, I think we picked you up right there at the table.

MR. MARRIOTT: And to be clear, Mr. Jacobs I believe is the primary questioner of Mr. Tibbitts.

THE COURT: Yeah, okay.
MR. MARRIOTT: I am principally stating IBM's objections which I think Your Honor should grant. And
because I only have several questions, it doesn't impede my examination in the way of Mr . Jacobs.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Spector, any response to the objection?

MR. SPECTOR: Mr. Normand will handle this witness, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, good morning.
MR. NORMAND: Good morning, Your Honor, Ted Normand.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. NORMAND: This goes to an issue that Mr. Spector addressed in the argument last week so I have one broad point and one specific point. As abroad matter, I'm not sure the label speculative is of particular significance here. And what Your Honor is charged with doing is to some extent making a prediction about how these claims are going to be resolved. I don't think there's any question that the pendency of the litigation is relevant. We're not going to address the merits. We're not trying to prove up the merits.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. NORMAND: But I don't think there's a question IBM and Novell haven't gone so far as to say that the pendency of the litigation is irrelevant. So given its relevance, Your Honor is charged with making one prediction
or another about what might happen. That's my general comment. I would say specifically we have the general counsel of the company who's been exposed to the proceedings and who as a mechanism for Your Honor to gain some knowledge through a witness about the company's view, trying to make the point that, you know, there's a reasonable prospect of rehabilitation. The company's view about how things might play out. Now they're entitled to put up, I think they can argue as attorneys. They can argue against it. The way we've chosen to do it is to use the general counsel of the company to argue about how he things given his many year exposure, his investment in the issues as the general counsel of the company, his non-stop experience of dealing with them. He's qualified to speak to what he thinks will happen upon, you know, any one of a number of events in particular concerning the Tenth Circuit appeal. So for both of those reasons, I think Mr. Tibbitts should be permitted to testify to the substance of those paragraphs.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Normand. Anything further, Mr. Marriott?

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, I would say simply that without boring Your Honor again with all the detail of our letter brief, Mr. Levin's letter brief to the Court, we laid out there why Your Honor this testimony is inherently speculative, why it's been disallowed by Courts in other
jurisdictions. It is offered here as I understand it, for the purpose of showing that the Debtors have some prospect of rehabilitation and an uncertain potential recovery at a date uncertain in the future, Your Honor, is necessarily and inherently speculative and for the reasons set out in those papers and that have otherwise stated here, Your Honor, that it not respectfully submit be allowed.

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Jacobs?
MR. JACOBS: Michael Jacobs, Your Honor. For the record, Novell joins in IBM's objections to this testimony.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Normand?
MR. NORMAND: One last word, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. NORMAND: It's important to note that part of what Mr. Tibbitts is testifying to in these paragraphs is based on his personal experience and that is in particular what he thinks will happen in the market for lack of a better term if there is some sort of reversal from the Tenth Circuit. That's an issue that he has grounds to address based on firsthand knowledge. And again, I think we're -we shouldn't get hung up on labels. Is it speculative? It's forward looking, but that's the context in which everyone finds themselves, of course Your Honor knows that. And Your Honor's task is to predict what's going to happen in the future and Mr. Tibbitts has personal knowledge based
on which he can speak to that issue.
MR. MARRIOTT: May I just one additional point, Your Honor raised by Mr. Normand's argument. A further problem with Mr. Tibbitts' testimony, Your Honor is it is in effect improper lay opinion testimony. What Mr. Norman should have said and what Mr. Tibbitts says at Paragraph 10 of his declaration is that he will speak to the effect that he personally believes a decision by the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals would have on the stock price and the market capitalization of the SCO Group. That is if anything, Your Honor, a classic question of expert opinion. It is not a question for a lay witness, independent of the other reasons for objection which we laid out. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Well, you know, Mr. -let me ask Mr. Tibbitts one question. Mr. Tibbitts as general counsel for SCO is your responsibility and there may be others, but is it one of your responsibilities to monitor the litigations to which you refer in your declaration?

MR. TIBBITTS: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Well given the fact that Mr. Tibbitts is a lawyer, is general counsel, is charged with the responsibility of monitoring litigation, I am going to overrule the objection except as it relates to what impact the litigation would have upon the stock prices because I do not believe that Mr. Tibbitts as a lawyer has the expertise
to opine on that or to even speak to it as a fact, matter of fact. But other than that as far as what might happen, I think it goes to the weight of the evidence. I think we all know that this is based on surmise to a large extent, but nonetheless as general counsel, I do think that Mr. Tibbitts ought to be entitled to testify or his declaration at least as to those points should be admitted.

MR. MARRIOTT: May I inquire, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q Thank you. Mr. Tibbitts in your declaration, you describe certain of the claims that the Debtors have against Novell and IBM. Correct?

A Yes.
Q You do not mention, however, in your declaration any counterclaims that either Novell or IBM has against the Debtors. Correct?

A That's correct.
Q And, in fact, IBM has a number of counter claims against the Debtors. Correct? Against the SCO Group, Inc.?

A Well I know at one point they had a number of counterclaims and they dropped many of those. And I don't know if they have many claims left or one or two, sorry. Q But isn't a fact, Mr. Tibbitts that IBM asserted more
than ten counterclaims against the SCO Group including four patent counterclaims which were -- which patent counterclaims were dropped?

A I don't have the numbers in my head, but that sounds about right.

Q And they're -- and IBM has asserted against the SCO Group counterclaims in addition to patent counterclaims. Correct?

A Yes.
Q And those cases are pending. Correct?
A Yes.
MR. MARRIOTT: In fact, IBM moved for summary judgment for declaration -- with respect -- withdrawn, Your Honor. No further questions.

THE COURT: All right, thank you. Mr. Jacobs, when you're ready, sir.

BY MR. JACOBS:
Q Mr. Tibbitts, let's talk first about the timing of a possible Tenth Circuit ruling. In your declaration, you say that you have been advised, that SCO has been advised that Judge McConnell plans to rule on this case as prior to his departure date. Do you recall that testimony --

A Correct.
Q -- or declaration? What exactly has been said by whom to whom, sir?

MR. NORMAND: Your Honor, let me just object on the basis of privilege. I think the phrasing of Mr. Tibbitts' declaration on this point was intentional and carefully done. We wouldn't want Mr. Tibbitts to speaking to his discussions with counsel.

MR. JACOBS: Move to strike, Your Honor. If they're asserting privilege over the basis for this testimony, then that has to be stricken from the record.

MR. NORMAND: Your Honor, someone can have an understanding that they've developed from discussion with counsel and testify to that understanding without testifying to the content of the discussion with counsel. I didn't mean to preclude an answer to the question, I was objecting to the extent that question was implicating discussions with counsel and testimony directly about those discussions. Mr. Tibbitts can speak to understandings he developed following discussions with counsel.

MR. JACOBS: I don't see how he can testify to what he understands the Tenth Circuit has told SCO without telling us what the Tenth Circuit has told SCO.

THE COURT: I understand and I'll overrule that objection.

MR. JACOBS: So, Mr. Tibbitts, who has said what to whom?

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

MR. TIBBITTS: I thought his counsel --
MR. JACOBS: You overruled the objection.
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. JACOBS: So I'm re-asking the question -THE COURT: Yes, yes, okay.

MR. JACOBS: -- just to get --
MR. TIBBITTS: I just thought your co-counsel was waiving you there. So that's why I pointed over there. The first information $I$ have on this is within a week of the hearings on May the 6th, 2009, I picked up the phone and called the -- there's an informational clerk at the Tenth Circuit to ask them the general question of -- let me back up. Judge McConnell announced he would be leaving the bench, I believe the day before our case was argued. I wondered -- we had discussed what the impact of that might be. And I wondered if they, if the Tenth Circuit had any information on it. So I called the general information clerk over there and said what is the effect of Judge McConnell's leaving the bench at the end of August? Would it be his intent or do you know is there a procedure that the intent would be that he would issue opinions on all the cases he's involved in prior to that date and that clerk said absolutely. I don't think we even discussed the SCO case. I just said in general what is McConnell going to do. And then I am aware that our counsel had a similar contact
some time after that, but my first information was when I called the clerk.

BY MR. JACOBS:
Q And the similar contact, similar in terms of it being of a general nature was the plan here in view of Judge McConnell's impending departure?

A I don't know the answer to that. You mean with others? I didn't -- it was general. My call was general. Q And your counsel's call?

A I don't know.
Q Now have you - at your deposition you were asked about scenarios in which a Judge leaves the bench while a case is pending. Do you recall that question or answer? A Yes.

Q Isn't a fact, sir, that in certain circuits in the -in this country if a Judge leaves the bench the two Judge panel can continue to deliberate and issue a ruling? A That may be true, but as I said in my deposition that's news to me if they can do that.

Q And you don't know, in fact, what could happen, what will happen here. It may be that the panel is divided. That it takes more time for a ruling to come out.

A I suppose that's possible. What I do know is that Judge McConnell sat on ten cases after he announced his departure and if I got my numbers correct, I believe
opinions have come out on six of those ten so he is working on the cases that he heard.

Q Were any of those cases complex commercial cases of the sort at issue here?

A That he sat on?
Q Correct.
A I haven't drilled down on all of them. I know at least one that was argued with our panel seemed fairly complicated.

Q So it was on an asylum [ph] case I think wasn't it, sir?

A That was the first one, the second one was a commercial dispute as $I$ recall.

Q Now in your declaration, you discussed various scenarios what could happen depending on various Tenth Circuit outcomes, yes?

A Correct.
Q And then you talk about -- and in your declaration you discuss the scenario in which say the copyright rulings are reversed.

A Yes.
Q It's possible that the -- that one of the possible outcomes here is that the ruling, the Tenth Circuit affirms Judge Campbell's decision on Novell's claim for money against SCO.

A Correct.
Q And it's possible that that could be coupled with different decisions say a remand on the copyright issue?

A Correct.
Q And then we would have a trial on the copyright issue?
A Correct.
Q And that could take place in 2009, 2010, possibly even 2011. Correct?

A Sure.
Q In addition, there's a pending arbitration relating to copyright issues, isn't there?

A Yes.
Q You didn't mention that in your declaration, did you?
A I did not.
Q But that arbitration has been stayed because, partly because SCO argued look, the ruling on copyright ownership moves any need to have an arbitration in Zurich over copyright issues.

A Say that again.
Q SCO argued in arguing that the arbitration shouldn't go forward --

A In this Court. Is that what you're referring --
Q In this Court and to the arbitration panel as well. SCO argued that the copyright ruling in the District Court mooted any need for the arbitration to go forward in Zurich.

A I haven't studied all of that. It sounds about right, but what I do know is the copyright issue was scheduled to go to trial in September of '07 and was ready to go in Utah. And I think we could get back there quickly.

Q If schedules allow. Correct, sir?
A Sure.
Q And depending on what additional record if any needs to be developed after a Tenth Circuit ruling.

A Yes, if any.
Q And in terms of the arbitration, that's a whole other category of potential expenses to SCO, isn't it?

A Yes.
Q You note in your declaration that the interest, some of the interest owing may be non-allowable in bankruptcy in Paragraph 7. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And that's one of the detriments to Novell isn't it for the -- given the protracted nature of this bankruptcy. If it should turn out that interest is not allowable, the longer this persists, the lower the value of Novell's claim against SCO. Correct?

A I guess you could do that math, yes. MR. JACOBS: A moment, Your Honor? THE COURT: Certainly. MR. JACOBS: Thank you, Your Honor, no further

THE COURT: All right. Any redirect, Mr.
Normand?
MR. NORMAND: Just briefly, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Sure.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. NORMAND:
Q Good morning, Mr. Tibbitts.
A How are you?
Q Mr. Marriott had asked you about counterclaims. Do you recall that?

A Yes.
Q Do you recall what amount of damages IBM claims for those counterclaims that do remain?

A Not specifically. It was in the -- and I'm just going from memory here. I haven't researched this. It was in the -- well let me back up. I think when we took discovery on that, their expert admitted something to the effect of they didn't have any damages other than attorneys fees and what that number is, $I$ don't recall, but it was -- I just don't remember specifically.

MR. MARRIOTT: And I would just object to the characterization of what the expert admitted.

MR. NORMAND: I was asking Mr. Tibbitts about his understanding --

THE COURT: Right.
MR. NORMAND: -- as to whether IBM had asserted any damages for -- in support of their counterclaims.

THE COURT: Mr. Marriott?
MR. MARRIOTT: And in response, Your Honor -THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. MARRIOTT: -- the witness purported to characterize an expert report which is not of record at which Your Honor ruled out of the record.

MR. NORMAND: He's not putting it in for the truth of the matter, he's putting it in to explain the basis for his understanding.

MR. MARRIOTT: Again, Your Honor, and the basis of his understanding is speculative and irrelevant. What matters is the underlying truth.

MR. NORMAND: That turns everything that I've heard for the last week around, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm going to overrule that objection. BY MR. NORMAND:

Q Mr. Tibbitts, did you attend the oral argument in front of the Tenth Circuit?

A I did.
Q And was Judge McConnell at the argument?
A Yes, he was.
Q And do you recall whether he asked any questions?

A He asked the majority of the questions.
Q On this appeal in particular?
A On our case, yes.
MR. NORMAND: That's all I have, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Any new cross?
MR. MARRIOTT: Just briefly.
RECROSS EXAMINATION
BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q Mr. Tibbitts, you don't know precisely what IBM's damages experts say are the damages that IBM has suffered at the hands of SCO, right?

A I'm just going on memory from what $I$ recall from that deposition but $I$ don't know the specifics.

MR. MARRIOTT: Thank you, no further questions.
THE COURT: Mr. Normand?
MR. NORMAND: This could be bad form in that it's not within the scope of what Mr. Marriott just asked, but I wanted to ask one further question on my redirect if that's okay with Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'll let you have that leeway, certainly.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. NORMAND:
Q Mr. Tibbitts, from your experience at the company when you were with the company, do you know what the stock price
was before the summary judgment ruling in August of 2007 and the day before that?

A Yes, I do.
Q And do you recall what that stock price was?
A On August 10, 2007 the day the ruling came out after the market closed, our market cap was $\$ 35.5$ million approximately and our stock price was $\$ 1.56$ a share. Q And you recall what those numbers were the next day after the ruling?

A Yeah, the next trading day was Monday and our stock price closed at 44 cents for a market cap of $\$ 9$ million.

MR. NORMAND: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right, Mr. Normand. Anything relating --

MR. MARRIOTT: Nothing here, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Yes, I'm sorry, Mr.
Jacobs, nothing more? Mr. Tibbitts, you may step down, sir.
MR. TIBBITTS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. SPECTOR: Mr. Tibbitts is going to go call Mr. Nielsen who --

THE COURT: Oh, fine, good.
MR. SPECTOR: -- who would have been our first, but we -- now Mr. Tibbitts can stay here with us.

THE COURT: Yes, he's entitled to stay.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, may I just inquire how much time we've expended in the interest of keeping everyone on the same page?

THE COURT: You know, it's very difficult because some of -- a lot of its been argument. I have you at the moment at 15 minutes.

MR. MARRIOTT: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: And I have the other side at about 25 minutes.

MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, I guess we'd call Ken Nielsen.

THE COURT: Mr. Nielson, thank you. If you'll just remain standing while you're sworn, Mr. Nielson.

MR. SPECTOR: Now I think they want you behind -there's a bible.

KENNETH RAY NIELSEN, DEBTORS' WITNESS, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Do you adopt your declaration, sir?
A Yes.
Q Do you know what that means?
A No, but I assume it means do I -- is this my declaration.

Q Yes. And you're agreeing that that is accurate?
A Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. REYNOLDS: Good morning, Your Honor. Good morning, Mr. Nielsen.

MR. NIELSEN: Good morning.
MR. REYNOLDS: I'm Michael Reynolds from Cravath Swaine and Moore in New York on behalf of IBM. As a threshold matter, Your Honor, I'd like to on behalf of IBM object to Paragraphs 28 to 36 of Mr. Nielsen's declaration on the grounds that those -- the subjects described therein are beyond the scope of the topics that the Debtors indicated Mr. Nielsen would be here to testify about. The topics that we were advised he would be testifying about were and I quote "financial results and management steps to conserve" and did not relate to the topics that are addressed in the paragraphs $I$ just listed. If $I$ could further explain, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I was just waiting for a response, but go ahead. Please go ahead while you're there Mr. Reynolds.

MR. REYNOLDS: Yes. If you had a chance to review those paragraphs briefly, you'll see they relate to the witness' views on various intercompany impact of the conversion of Chapter 7.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. REYNOLDS: And that's a topic that does not
fall within the two that $I$ mentioned. And, in fact, during Mr. Nielsen's deposition, I specifically asked him just to make sure there were no -- there was no chance of him going into this area whether it was his intent to address this subject and he was instructed by counsel not to go into that subject even though I thought that was something that were entitled to do in these depositions. And so as a result, we do not have testimony going into great -- any real length on his views as expressed here, nor did we receive documents of which I'm aware that relate to these topics and it's the first time that $I$ am seeing these kinds of numbers and information and so forth on these areas. And so for those reasons, we object to those paragraphs.

THE COURT: All right, thank you, Mr. Reynolds. Mr. Spector?

MR. SPECTOR: Well as a threshold matter, Mr. Reynolds should stay right here. I'd like him to cite for me where it is I told the witness not to testify about this because that was the day that discovery was going on and Mr. Nielsen and I were in another room with depositions. And I don't recall that. I'd like to hear -- I'd like to see it.

MR. REYNOLDS: On page -- here it is, right here, Mr. Spector. On Page 251 of Mr. Nielsen's deposition, I asked do you have any view in particular of whether the Bankruptcy Court should convert this proceeding to a Chapter

7 under the Bankruptcy Code? Yes, I would. What is that view? Which it should not. What is your basis for that view other than anything you may have discussed with counsel? I'm talking about your own personal view as to why that should not happen. I don't think it serves the benefit of stakeholders, be it customers, employees, creditors. Is that a subject upon which you plan to testify at the hearing on Monday? And Mr. Spector said objection, the witness said I don't know. Mr. Spector: I want to finish the objection. I objected the last time you asked it of another witness. What this witness is going to testify about could only be derived from conversations with counsel who prepares him for that testimony. So I would ask that it not be answered.

MR. SPECTOR: Okay.
MR. REYNOLDS: And then it goes on and I explained that $I$ thought we could go into that. And he instructed the witness not to answer.

MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor the -- a large -- this is one of those, you know, don't take this pejoratively, okay? I can't -- I'm having -- I'm tired and it's hard for me to find the right words sometimes. It's one of those pre-trial traps. There isn't anything in the Court Order. There isn't anything in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy, Magna Carta anywhere that say before we come to trial we have to say oh,
by the way, this person is going to step $A$ through $C$ and somebody else is going to do $D$ through $F$. And as a courtesy, I tried when they asked and I'll remember if there's a next time not to be so courteous, but I tried when they asked to say well what is this guy going to talk about? On each witness, I said well we're going to try put him for this, we'll try to put him in that for that and then things changed during the course. And I'm not saying that Mr. Reynolds is misquoting anything that we said in emails three weeks ago when I was thinking about how we're going to put this case together. We had other witnesses. They didn't show up. I won't even go into the side story about that. I got subpoenas and they disappeared. And so things had to change.

Having said that, Your Honor, there isn't anything in these paragraphs that is mind blowing or outside of the scope. The primary witnesses who are going to be talking about conversion are Mr. McBride, Mr. Hunsaker. The testimony they elicited in that deposition was and that I objected to and they asked other witnesses, too is what are you going to testify at trial? And I objected saying that the witness is not prepared -- it's not proper to ask the witness defense strategy, trial strategy. That's what that objection goes to, Your Honor. I directed the witness not to tell what you plan to testify to, what the lawyer tells
you you're going to testify about and so forth. That's what I'm talking about. Now these questions, these paragraphs talk about how the financials work in the company. The intercompany transactions.

Some the issues that are addressed earlier in the declaration talk about discrepancies between Novell and IBM's accounting, the MRO's. Some of that is foreign accounting. This all is part and parcel of one. There are I have to admit not everyone of these paragraphs is that way. Some of these talk about oh, by the way if it converts we have 19 employees overseas and that may be a problem for the case because they would have claims under the foreign jurisdiction. We do have things like possible claims by customers because the service contracts won't be audited. Those things I probably could get in through other witnesses later, but I don't think that's going so far a field or that it's unfair to IBM, Novell, or the U.S. Trustee to let the CFO testify about the internal financial affairs of the company even if three weeks ago I may have thought that wasn't going to come in through his testimony. And that's really all I can say.

THE COURT: And how about documents? Were documents produced?

MR. SPECTOR: I don't -- when Mr. Nielsen was in the deposition when everybody else was producing documents.

There are documents. We have attached them. I don't know that he has anything else to produce. Other people were doing the document production while he was being deposed. It was only a 24 hour process at that point, Your Honor. Everybody was scrambling. I think we produced 12,000 or 17,000. How many -- more than 12, less than 17 perhaps thousand documents in a space of 24 hours. Privileged things got in there. And actually they put on some privileged things. We're not even objecting to it. We just don't want to take -- the effort isn't worth it anymore. But, you know, we were writing these declarations right up to midnight last night because the strategy is changing. And they want to lock me into something I said as a courtesy three weeks ago. I don't think it's fair.

THE COURT: Anyone else?
(No audible response heard)
THE COURT: The documents that are attached to the declaration, Mr. Reynolds --

MR. REYNOLDS: Those documents --
THE COURT: -- were they produced?
MR. REYNOLDS: Oh, the documents attached this declaration?

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. REYNOLDS: Most of those were -- almost every page from what I remember were brought to the deposition by

Mr. Nielsen and I did have a chance to examine him about those. But none of these relates to the intercompany -THE COURT: No.

MR. REYNOLDS: -- matter that's the subject to the paragraphs to which I referred, Your Honor. THE COURT: I understand.

MR. REYNOLDS: And I would just like to refer back to the transcript of the hearing before Your Honor on June 15 in which the topic of a list of witnesses and an outline of what their testimony would entail was discussed and that Mr . Spector as he indicated would be happy to supply that. My principal point is that we have not had discovery on this matter. And I believe on that basis it is unfair for the witness to be able to testify on the subject. And as Mr. Spector has acknowledged, he has other witnesses who have been identified as speaking directly to this topic and I believe he should be able to rest on that, but I don't see the reason to have this particular witness go into these areas.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. SPECTOR: Are those live is it just -- is more convenient for us to do that way?

THE COURT: It probably would be just as well to speak right from the table on objections.

MR. SPECTOR: All right. But in colloquy I guess

I got to get up.
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: Okay.
THE COURT: Well you can remain at the -- you certainly remain at the table on objections though.

MR. SPECTOR: Two things about that. The other witnesses, there's only two live witnesses, Mr. Norris who doesn't know anything about this. Mr. Tibbitts, pardon me, Mr. Tibbitts' testified. Mr. McBride doesn't know anything about what we're going to hit him with. Those are not things that we prepped him for. So that's unfair.

Second, Your Honor, and I may come back to this multiple times today. We showed up in Court on the assigned date for trial on June 15 with eight live witnesses. Mr. Nielsen was one of them. They wouldn't have had one width of discovery on that day and we would have proceeded to trial. I know we brought in some evidence. We could have done that as the last witness at the trial if we wanted to. We could have put that into evidence with the last of the eight witnesses and say and here's the Purchaser Sale Agreement that was inked three hours ago. And we would have had the trial and they wouldn't have had anything from Mr. Nielsen or anybody else. We've produced thousands and thousands of documents. They've had -- they deposed everybody. None of that would have happened if we had gone
to trial on June 15 when we were scheduled to go. We're not begrudging we got six extra weeks, but it was all to the advantage of IBM Novell.

I don't think the fact that they didn't get some question in about something really as -- well foreign employees or the effects in his mind of breaching contracts on -- to customers or the effects of employee claims on -that's what this is about is so overreaching or that I was taking advantage of them by not telling them in advance who's going to testify about that. Or I never permitted them specifically in talking about the foreign employees, never talked to them, prevented them from talking about the effects of contracts. They didn't ask about that. They could have asked about that. But I guess that's what I have to say about it.

THE COURT: Well just look -- I think just for the sake of moving things along, I'm going to overrule the objection. I understand it. But I think that Mr. Spector has made a good point and that is we had a hearing scheduled previously that did not proceed and I will reluctantly overrule the objection. And I think a few of the paragraphs don't relate to the -- a few of the objected to paragraphs don't relate to the foreign business.

MR. REYNOLDS: Very well, Your Honor. I'll do my best to ask questions on this topic.

THE COURT: Okay.
CROSS EXAMINATION
BY MR. REYNOLDS:
Q I mean, we got the -- are we still in the morning? Good morning, Mr. Nielsen again. I would like to ask you first if you have had a chance to review your declaration since you signed it?

A Yes.
Q Do you believe it to be true and correct in every way?
A Yes.
Q I would like to refer you if I could, Mr. Nielsen, do you have a copy of the declaration in front of you, right? A I do.

Q To page, Paragraph 18 of your declaration. And then I would also like to refer the witness to Movants Exhibit 1. Do you have the binder of exhibits there, Mr. Nielsen?

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, may I be -- the
witness needs to have the binder of exhibits. May I take them to --

THE COURT: You certainly may, yes, Mr. Marriott. These are your exhibits, Mr. Reynolds?

MR. REYNOLDS: That's correct.
THE COURT: Yes, I think that's a good idea, Mr. Marriott. Thank you very much, thank you.

BY MR. REYNOLDS:

Q Mr. Nielsen, do you have Movants' Exhibit 1 in front of you?

A Yes.
Q Which is the Debtors' response to the objections by IBM and Novell. Is that right?

A Correct.
Q And is that document that is Movants' Exhibit 1 the document that you are quoting in Paragraph 18 of your declaration?

A Yes.
Q Okay. So if you go to Page 5 of the Exhibit 1 which contains language from that correspondence to the language quoted here in Paragraph 18, I would just like to start by asking you where do you see the first sentence, the monthly operating reports, MOR's suggest an aggregate operating loss of $\$ 8,652,000$ on Page 5 of Exhibit 1.

A I don't see it.
MR. SPECTOR: Oh, oh, my goodness, my bad, Your Honor. I think I got that from an early draft because the documents on our PDF and I went back to my computer and I pulled up what I thought was our response and I quoted from the wrong paragraph. I have to take that one on me. And I apologize to Mr. Nielsen who signed that declaration based on my -- it was late at night.

BY MR. REYNOLDS:

Q Well the numbers there are the ones, things I want to talk about. Isn't it true, Mr. Nielsen that the number $\$ 8,652,000$ does not appear on Page 5 of document -- of Exhibit 1?

A Correct.
Q Okay. The next part of that first sentence does appear on Exhibit 1. Is that right? The part that starts the true aggregate net operating loss over that time period was actually \$4.37 -- sorry, \$4.357 million, approximately half of what the Movants allege. Is that right?

A Yes.
Q And at the end of that paragraph in Exhibit 1 is there not a footnote?

A Yes, there is.
Q Is there a footnote in the corresponding part in Paragraph 18 of your declaration that reflects that footnote?

A No.
Q And isn't true that the number $\$ 4,357,000$ that appears in that sentence is false?

A That's incorrect.
Q What's the correct number?
A If we go to Paragraph 20 of my testimony or my declarations, that correct number is $\$ 5,339,000$.

Q So the submission to the Bankruptcy Court that is

Exhibit 1 understated the true aggregate net operating loss of the Debtors over the time period described. Is that right?

A Correct.
Q If you look now at the footnote that appears in Exhibit 1, but not in Paragraph 18 of your declaration, does it not state the MOR's, the monthly operating reports include non-cash items. As of the latest MOR, aggregate non-cash items listed as part of losses total \$4.295 million. Is that right?

A On Page 5 of Exhibit 1, right?
Q Yes.
A That's correct.
Q Okay. And that language again does not appear in Paragraph 18 of your declaration. But if you look at Paragraph 20 where you were putting forth what you say is the correct version, there is a footnote at that space at the end of the first sentence. Is that right?

A Yes.
Q And the first part of that footnote does say the MOR's include non-cash items. But then it goes on to say that as of the March 2009 MOR, aggregate non-cash items listed as part of losses on the statement of operations totaled $\$ 8.623$ million before net advances to foreign subsidiaries of $\$ 6.004$ million. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q If you look back at Exhibit 1 in Footnote 7, what should the correct number have been in that sentence where it said the aggregate non-cash items listed as part of losses total \$4.295 million?

A It would be the net of the $\$ 8.623$ and the $\$ 6.004$, so it would be $\$ 2,619,000$ if my math works.

Q So the non-cash items reflected in Footnote 7 were considerably less than the amount that you or the Debtors rather had submitted in Footnote 7 of Exhibit 1. Is that right?

A Correct.
Q Okay. Going back to this Paragraph 18, I could go through the numbers, but it's clear by comparing 18 to Paragraph 18 and Paragraph 20 that the submission that was made to the Bankruptcy Court, that is Exhibit 1, understated the financial liabilities and exposure of the Debtors. Is that right?

A That's correct.
Q And, in fact, looking into some of the key numbers, the amount that was listed as the true losses from business operations in Exhibit 1 that went to the Bankruptcy Court on June 5 was said to be $\$ 561,000$, but in fact, what should that number have read?

A $\quad \$ 1,137,000$.

Q Which is more than twice \$561,000, right?
A Correct.
Q Now if you look then in the next final paragraph of your Paragraph 18 quoted text, it talks about an erosion in cash of $\$ 3.5$ million in the 19 months. And it goes on to say when averaged over that time, the rate of cash burn is around $\$ 184,000$ a month. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q What is the number that should have appeared there instead of \$184,000?

A $\$ 281,000$.
Q \$100,000 more per month. Is that right?
A Correct.
Q Now if you go to Paragraph 20, Mr. Nielsen, looking at that same quoted paragraph that begins the erosion in cash of $\$ 3.5$ million, isn't it true that here in Paragraph 20 you're supposed to be correcting the numbers that were false in the previous submission? Isn't that right?

A Yes.
Q But isn't it true that $\$ 3.5$ million is incorrect?
A No, that's still correct.
Q I thought you told me in deposition if you recall testifying on July 22, that that number should have been $\$ 5.3$ million.

A There's a difference there. And that difference is
the $\$ 5.9$ is the cash burn, the $\$ 3.5$ is the change in the cash balance when that -- so what that means is of the $\$ 5.3$ that was generating cash operating loss, it was funded by working capital resulting in a diminution of cash of $\$ 3.5$ million.

Q So are you telling me that when you said in deposition that that number should have been $\$ 5.3$ million you were wrong?

A Yes.
Q And then when you see in the next sentence it says when averaged over that time period, the rate of cash and working capital burn is around $\$ 281,000$. Is that right?

A That's correct.
Q Okay. And that number is greater than the one that you previously reported as \$184. Correct?

A Correct.
Q \$184,000. And then going to the end of this paragraph, let's look at 18. In Paragraph 18, the brief that was submitted by counsel states that taking away those months of cash burn yields a more normal rate of reduction of $\$ 150,000$ per month, but in fact, that number was false. Correct?

A Correct.
Q What is the correct number?
A $\$ 244,000$.

Q I'd like to refer you now to Paragraph 23 of your declaration where you state that based on current assumptions you project that SCO will have between \$1.4 and $\$ 1.6$ million in total cash on hand as of August 31, 2009. Do you see that?

A I do.
Q Isn't it true that approximately $\$ 1$ million of that stated cash amount is restricted cash?

A Yes.
Q Turning onto the next page where you talk about in Paragraph 26 that management's implemented several programs to preserve cash. You talk about reduction of $R$ \& $D$ efforts and reduction in marketing expenses. Isn't it true that research and development is something that is necessary to help you actually achieve sales in connection with the revenue that you were hoping to achieve in Paragraph 25 ?

A No. The projections in Paragraph 25 are based upon existing $R$ \& $D$ and existing product line. It doesn't have any introduction of new product lines or new products.

Q In Paragraph 25, are you making an assumption based on reduced R \& D or then current existing R \& D ?

A Current and existing R \& D.
Q So not taking into account the reductions that you're taking part in right now?

A Paragraph 26 says management has implemented. So
referring to $R$ \& D cutbacks that were implemented throughout the period of this pre-petition or of this bankruptcy.

Q Are you planning any further reductions in R \& D or marketing expenses?

A At this time, no.
Q In Paragraph 27, you mention that the Debtors did not retain the services of an investment banker because they could not afford the cost. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And you state that you -- everyone you spoke with wanted a retainer of at least \$100,000.

A Correct.
Q Do you recall specific bankers with whom you spoke who mentioned they wanted a retainer of that amount?

A D. A. Davidson.
Q I'm sorry, Mr. Nielsen?
A D. A. Davidson.
Q Anyone else?
A We spoke with others who weren't interested.
Q But can you name any other investment bankers with whom you spoke who said they wanted a retainer of at least \$100,000 besides D. A. Davidson?

A No.
Q Now that mention of $\$ 100,000$, isn't it true that one of the Debtors' subsidiaries according to you paid Mr.

Steven Norris who represents the purchaser here, \$100,000 in connection with work that he did for the Debtors or their subsidiaries?

A Yes.
Q Now looking at these paragraphs that relate to intercompany expenses and again, I don't have documentation on this, but the way I -- my reading this correctly in Paragraph 28 to say that the foreign subsidiaries of the Debtors receive a commission on all of their sales of the Debtors' products equal to 110 percent of the subsidiaries operating expense. Is that right?

A Yes, of their direct selling and operating expenses.
Q From whom do they receive that commission?
A It's received through an accounting convention that's booked through the general ledger.

Q Okay. So in a sense, the commission is received from the Debtors, from an accounting point of view?

A Correct.
Q Okay. Are you aware of any documents, Mr. Nielsen and at that, by that I include studies or XL spreadsheets and so forth that relate to the topics that are reflected in Paragraphs 28, 29, 30, 31 for example?

A Paragraphs 28, 29, 30 and 31?
Q Yes, the ones relating to this intercompany accounting treatment.

A Yes, there's agency agreements with each of its foreign subs that support the 110 percent.

Q Have you performed any study or have you -- are you aware of anyone else performing any study of the subjects that are put forth in Paragraphs 28 to 31?

A Yeah, the intercompany pricing transfer is assessed, has been assessed with outside parties like Price Waterhouse Coopers.

Q Has it been assessed in terms of the subject that you're putting forth here? In other words, the impact on the Debtors should there be a Chapter 7 conversion?

A No. In this case, the information in those paragraphs are talking to the implementation of that 110 percent that the company follows with its foreign subs.

Q In paragraph 34, you talk about employee protections in various nations.

A Yes.
Q Do you personally have knowledge of those employee protections and what significance they may have?

A I have a general understanding.
Q What's the basis of your understanding?
A Is that in most of our foreign subsidiaries which are in Europe, their benefits carryover and the credit -- if the employee is terminated that the employer has to pay those benefits.

Q Are you a lawyer, Mr. Nielsen?
A No.
Q In Paragraph 34, you state that you estimate that if the foreign subsidiaries were to close down, the resulting liability would be in the order of $\$ 1.2$ million. Do you see that?

A I do.
Q Did you perform any analysis that you reduced to writing that relates to that subject right there?

A The Director of H. R. performed that analysis who has a better understanding of the impact of terminating foreign employees.

Q Have you see any written analysis by the Director of H. R. or anyone else regarding your assertion that the liability would be in the order of $\$ 1.2$ million?

A Yes.
Q And do you know whether that's been produced to the Debtor -- to IBM or Novell in this matter?

A Not that I'm aware of.
Q In Paragraph 36, you discuss that if SCO goes out of business and is not able to fulfill its contractual obligations to licensees, there will likely be millions of dollars of new claims. Do you have any source for that information?

A Yes.

Q And what is that?
A We've had claims submitted by CTI Group of $\$ 3$ million.
Q Other than that?
A We also have revenues that we book every -- annually for one year licenses and support agreements which are earned as the passage of time.

Q Have you performed or are you aware of anyone else who's performed any analysis or study regarding this topic?

A Not that I'm aware of.
Q I would like to refer you -- let's see if I've got it here -- to Movants' Exhibit 5 which is titled, captioned the United States Bankruptcy Court here in the District of Delaware and it's titled Third Amended Summary of Schedules. Do you see that?

A I do.
Q Is this a document that you signed on July 1, 2009 under penalty of perjury?

A Yes.
Q And does this document state that the total amount of the Debtors' liabilities as of that date is $\$ 4,015,620$ ? A Yes.

Q I'd like to move onto a different topic if I could, Mr. Nielsen. Do you recall testifying at your deposition on July 22 that barring a transaction, the Debtors lacked sufficient liquidity resources to fund their operations
through any period after July 31, 2009?
A Yes.
Q Isn't it true that you actually testified in Exhibit
11 of Movants' exhibits which is your transcript that you're free to look at if you'd like, Mr. Nielsen at Page 238. Do you believe that the Debtors have sufficient liquidity resources at this point today to fund their operations through any period after July 31, 2009? Answer: No, barring a transaction, no. Is that right?

A Yes.
Q And do you recall --
MR. SPECTOR: What deposition exhibit is his transcript, please?

THE COURT: Eleven.
MR. REYNOLDS: Eleven.
MR. SPECTOR: Eleven, thank you.
BY MR. REYNOLDS:
Q Now you did go on to revise that. There was a little colloquy with counsel if you recall. And there was a revision in which you said that yes, the company could survive one or two days. Do you recall that?

A I do.
Q And then we discussed before how the projections that appear in Debtors' Exhibit, Movants -- not Movants' exhibits, but Debtors' Exhibit 22 which is one of the
documents you brought to your depositions that one page consolidate sheet, balance sheet, in which you estimate that cash on hand by the end of September 2009 would be $\$ 69,000$. Is that right?

A Barring the restricted cash.
Q Right. Now you're a certified public account, Mr. Nielsen, right?

A I am.
Q And prior to becoming the CFO of the SCO Group, you acted first as the Controller of Mrs. Fields Cookies and then as the CFO of Foreign Foods. Is that right?

A Correct.
Q And you've performed evaluations of businesses before, have you not?

A Internally for those purposes.
Q And you consider yourself to be competent to perform evaluation analysis of the business. Is that correct?

A Yes.
Q And isn't true that you've never in the entire time that you've been either interim or actual CFO of the Debtors, you've never performed evaluation analysis of the businesses that are the subject of the Purchase and Sale Agreement that's before the Court today. Is that right? A That's correct.

Q Isn't it true that you've never requested either that
any employee perform an evaluation analysis of any of the businesses owned by the Debtors. Is that right?

A Correct.
Q And finally, isn't it the case that as CFO you never requested an outside entity perform evaluation of any of the assets of the Debtors. Is that correct?

A That's correct for the same reason we didn't engage an IB.

Q Do you have a sense of the value of the mobility business of the Debtors?

A No.
Q Okay. I'd like to now ask you, Mr. Nielsen about some documents that are in our materials. I'll start off by asking you whether Mr. Steven Norris has received \$100,000 from a SCO subsidiary in connection with any work that he may have done for the Debtors or for the SCO subsidiary.

A Yes.
Q And did you authorize that payment?
A Yes.
Q And do you know approximately when that payment was made?

A June of '08.
Q June of 0 ?
A 2008 .
Q Okay. And if I could refer you to IBM or the Movants'

Exhibit 57. And this is an invoice from Steven Norris, Capital Partners, LLC. Do you see that, Mr. Nielsen?

A Yes.
Q Isn't it true that this invoice is for $\$ 100,000$ ? Yes?
A Yes.
Q Isn't it true that it states that it's due on receipt by May 31, $2008 ?$

A Yes.
Q And isn't it also true that this invoice is directed to Darl McBride of the SCO Group with its address in Lindon, Utah?

A Correct.
Q And isn't true there's no mention anywhere on this invoice of SCO Japan or any SCO subsidiary. Isn't that true?

A That's correct.
Q And your testimony is that this money that's requested in this invoice was paid?

A Yes, it was.
Q In you said around June of 2008?
A The first week of June.
Q I'd like to call your attention to the next -- the authorization which I think is on the next page. And do you see a one page document that says the SCO Group, Inc., payment authorization. Is that what it says?

A Correct.
Q And does this document contain your signature at the bottom?

A Yes, it does.
Q Which is that you approve the payment of these \$100, 000?

A Correct.
Q Is this the same $\$ 100,000$ that we previously discussed?

A Yes.
Q Did there come a time when you received invoices from SCO Japan for various amounts of money in connection with Mr. Norris?

A Yes.
Q And isn't it true that those invoices from SCO Japan which appear later in the same exhibits, there are three of them, that they are all dated after the payment of $\$ 100,000$ was made to Mr. Norris. Isn't that right?

A Correct.
Q In fact, they're dated September 1, 2008, October 1, 2008, and October 31, 2008. Isn't that right?

A That's correct.
Q And that each one requests approximately \$25,000. Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And, in fact, each one is billed to the SCO Group at its address in Lindon, Utah. Isn't that right?

A That's correct.
Q Did the SCO Group pay these invoices?
A No.
Q And why not?
A Those are used for intercompany billings to reassign expenses from Japan as we consolidate everything in the U.S. and then it's reallocated out to the various marketplaces. Q So these were accounting adjustments that were made in connection with the $\$ 100,000$ payment?

A Correct.
Q Is that correct?
A Correct.
Q That was already made?
A Correct.
MR. SPECTOR: You should wait until the question
is finished because your voice isn't going to be carried over. So you're saying correct before he's finished the question. I'm not sure you're answer is registering.

MR. NIELSEN: Okay.
BY MR. REYNOLDS:
Q Are there any more invoices other than these three SCO Japan ones that the Debtors received from SCO Japan?

A Not that I know of. I don't know the answer to that.

Q Are you aware of any other payments from the Debtors or any of their officers or affiliates to Mr. Steven Norris? A No.

Q Are you aware that Darl McBride made a payment to Mr. Norris of \$100,000?

A Yes.
Q Are you aware of any agreement between Mr. Norris and the SCO Group's German subsidiary?

A No.
Q We discussed in your deposition, Mr. Norris an acronym called BRICKMIA [ph]. Do you recall that?

A Yes.
Q Can you state for the Court what BRICMIA stands for?
A It's for the emerging markets, Brazil, Russia, India, Middle East, China, and Asia.

Q But isn't it true that BRICKMIA does not really stand for Asia at the end, it stands for Africa?

A Oh, okay, Africa.
Q Does it now refresh your recollection that it does not refer to Asia?

A Well I guess when I say China.
MR. REYNOLDS: No further questions.
THE COURT: All right, Mr. Reynolds, thank you.
Mr. Lewis whenever you're ready.
BY MR. LEWIS:

Q Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon, Mr. Nielsen.
A Mr. Lewis.
Q I'm Adam Lewis. I represent Novell in this matter.
To recur to your testimony in your declaration and in response to the questions from Mr. Reynolds today about the incorrect information in your -- in the submission to the Bankruptcy Court in response to the Motions to Convert, do you recall that testimony generally?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Now I think in your declaration you say that you learned it was incorrect upon returning from a vacation. Is that right?

A I believe my declaration -- yes.
Q Okay. And you're free to review your declaration any time you want. I don't want to make this hard for you. I'm not trying to trap you on anything that appears there so if you want to look at it, it's Paragraph 19. You say -A Yeah.

Q -- I noticed this error only after I returned from the vacation a day or so before the June 15, 2007 hearing. Do you recall that?

A Correct.
Q Did you disclose this error to anybody at SCO?
A I worked -- as I worked to recalculate the numbers, I presented those to bankruptcy counsel and was prepared to
correct those when on June 15 when $I$ was to come in to testify.

Q Okay. But you weren't called to correct those. Is that correct?

A I don't recall.
Q Well you weren't called to testify, right --
A Oh, correct.
Q -- on June 15?
A Correct.
Q So you didn't get to correct the record on June 15?
A Correct.
Q Did you make any other efforts to correct the record after June 15 until you signed this declaration?

A No.
Q And bankruptcy counsel, the Debtors' counsel, they were aware of these issues were they not once you advised them in advance of June $15 ?$

A Yes.
Q Okay. Now you've been doing a lot of financial analysis I take it in the last month or two. Is that right? A Just a bit.

Q Okay. And pretty intense work on that. Is that correct?

A Correct.
Q When was the last time the Debtor filed a monthly
operating report?
A It would have been in May and the first week, second week in -- some time in June.

Q Now going back to Exhibit 57 in the exhibit book this Steven Norris invoice that you just looked at, this is a \$100,000 invoice. Is that correct?

A Correct.
Q And did you personally authorize the payment of this invoice?

A I did.
Q Do you -- what do you do before you authorize the payment of an invoice personally?

A We had discussions as an executive team of the purpose and the nature of the invoice.

Q Okay. So someone told you what this was for?
A Correct.
Q What were you told what it was for?
A For the development of a marketing plan and a business plan for the BRICKMIA market.

Q Okay. And did you make any effort --
MR. SPECTOR: Could you keep your voice up. I don't know if Your Honor can hear it, but my ears it's tough.

THE COURT: Are we picking him up on the -- we're doing okay? But if you could speak maybe even a little more
into the microphone that would help us, please --
MR. NIELSEN: Okay.
MR. LEWIS: Your Honor, maybe if we bend the microphone a little bit more towards the witness.

THE COURT: Yes, you can certainly do that. Move it forward or bend it --

MR. NIELSEN: Or we can get rid of these books, right?

BY MR. LEWIS:
Q Did you make any effort to verify whether there was such an entity as Steven Norris Capital Partners?

A Yes.
Q Okay. What did you do to do that?
A We had already had some discussions with Mr. Norris before at Capital Partners.

Q Did you verify the existence of this entity in any way? Check with the secretary, state's records anywhere, something like that?

A No.
Q And this invoice is numbered 9887. Is that correct?
A Yes.
Q Now I'm going to ask you to look at what has been marked or identified by the Debtor as SCO's Exhibit 4. And I don't know if you have an exhibit book up there for that so I have an extra copy. May I, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Of course, certainly.
MR. LEWIS: And would the Court like a copy, because I don't know --

THE COURT: It might be faster. Thank you.
MR. LEWIS: Your Honor, the copy I have and I don't know if it's made it to the exhibit book we got yesterday and I'm not complaining about it, but what happened was they provided us with a signed copy --

THE COURT: Yesterday.
MR. LEWIS: -- of this -- these minutes yesterday and I believe they have the unsigned copies previously so that's the only change. And I just don't know if it's made it to the Court's exhibit book.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
BY MR. LEWIS:
Q Mr. Nielsen, do you recognize this document as the minutes of the June 22, 2009 board meeting of SCO?

A Yes.
Q And you were at that meeting were you not?
A I was.
Q Were you there for the entire meeting?
A Yes.
Q Now in this meeting there -- in these minutes there's a liquidity discussion. Do you see that?

A Yes.

Q And in that liquidity discussion and this is on June 22 which is about a month ago.

A Yes.
Q You state that the Debtors current (inaudible) is about $\$ 650,000$ a quarter. Is that correct?

A Correct.
Q All right. And you also state that the Debtor will be out of cash by the end of July 2009. Is that correct?

A I believe the statement says has enough cash to continue, not run out of cash.

Q Okay. What other -- is that referring only to unrestricted cash? What's your test of what having enough cash to continue operations is?

A Based upon our working capital needs and the projection for operating expenses.

Q And does that mean that you will not have cash for either of those purposes by the end of July of $2009 ?$

A No, I have enough cash. The company has enough cash through July of 2009.

Q Right. But after that you won't for those purposes?
A That does not state that here.
Q I understand that, but is that what it means when you talk about having enough cash for your operating -- your capital and operating purposes? What's the other side of that coin? What is it that you will not have as of August

1, 2009?
A I don't know.
Q Okay. What calculations were involved in your making this representation to the Board in terms of actual cash on hand at the end of July?

A Actual cash on hand at that time, the sales bookings and operating expenses.

Q As of August 1, how much cash would you have had on hand other than unrestricted, other than restricted funds?

A As of August 1 of 2009?
Q Yeah, based upon your predictions here.
A \$300,000 to \$400,000.
Q Now in your damage calculations for -- if the case is converted to a seven, do you recall that in your declaration, you say -- I'm talking specifically about Paragraph 36 of your declaration.

A Okay.
Q If the Debtor converts to a Chapter 7, you say if SCO goes out of business and is not able to fulfill its contractual obligations to licensees, there are likely to be millions of dollars of new claims that licensees could assert against the Debtors bankruptcy. Do you see that sentence?

A Yes.
Q Do you know what happens to these licenses if the case
goes into a Chapter 7?
A No.
Q So you -- do you have any reason to believe that the licenses won't be fulfilled in some way?

A Based upon CitiFinancial's claim of $\$ 3$ million, yes. So I suspect that other licensees will have similar claims. Q If their licenses are not fulfilled?

A Correct.
Q But you don't know that their licenses won't be fulfilled after a conversion to a Chapter 7, that they won't be sold to someone else by the Chapter 7 Trustee. That they won't make their elections under Section 365 under the Bankruptcy Code to retain their rights. You don't know any of that?

A Correct.
MR. LEWIS: Okay. I have no further questions,
Your Honor, thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Lewis. Mr.
Harrington?
BY MR. HARRINGTON:
Q Very briefly, Your Honor. For the record, William Harrington from the Office of the United States Trustee. Have you ever been a Chapter 7 Trustee?

A No.
Q Are you aware that Chapter 7 Trustees can operate a
business?
A Yes.
Q Did you take that into account when you did your declaration?

A Yes.
Q So when you say that the business terminates upon conversion is that correct?

A Correct.
Q It is correct or it's not correct?
A Well it's not correct, but that's my assessment.
Q That was your testimony? Correct?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And that testimony is incorrect?
A Okay.
Q Well you just testified that they could operate a business in Chapter 7. Is that correct?

A My testimony was that the business as we know it today would not be viable. Engineers believe there would be no support staff --

Q That's not what I asked you. I asked you whether or not you could operate in Chapter 7, a Chapter 7 Trustee and you said correct.

MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, I'm going to object about the scope of the question. If the counsel is asking him legally can he operate, that's one answer. You know,
practically speaking can it operate it's a different -- it can be asked -- asking and answering different questions.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Harrington, perhaps you could clarify your questions a little bit then that way. MR. HARRINGTON: Your Honor, it may be easier if I start again.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. HARRINGTON: Are you aware that a Chapter 7
Trustee can operate a business in Chapter 7 ?
MR. NIELSEN: Yes.
MR. HARRINGTON: In Paragraph 32 of your
affidavit, that assumed that there would not be a business operating in Chapter 7. Correct?

MR. NIELSEN: Correct.
MR. HARRINGTON: No further questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Harrington. Mr.
Spector?
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q This is July 27. Correct?
A Correct.
Q All right. You can do that without checking your calendar.

A Today I can.

Q Are we out of -- is SCO out of cash?
A No.
Q Do you expect SCO to run out of cash tomorrow?
A No.
Q Or by July 31?
A No.
Q How much cash does SCO have right now?
A $\$ 1.789$ million.
Q And of that you said about a million is restricted.
Is that right?
A Correct.
Q And of the restrictions, how much of that is set aside for the Novell judgment?

A Roughly six and a quarter.
Q And that leaves \$375,000 that you call restricted, right?

A Correct.
Q What is the nature of the restriction as to the other \$375, 000?

A It's to be used for litigation or approval by the legal team representing the company in its litigation. Q That's Boies Schiller?

A Correct.
Q Is there any Court Order restricting those funds?
A No.

Q This is just something that's -- this is a practical arrangement you have?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And has Boies Schiller indicated that it -- any intention with regard to that $\$ 375,000$ about future use of that or availability of those funds?

A My understanding it is available.
Q So it's restricted in a loose sense?
A Correct.
Q If the judgment against Novell is set aside tomorrow, what happens to the restriction on the $625 ?$

A It's released.
Q Okay. So in essence, that million dollars is either restricted because there's a Court Order -- there -- strike that, start over. You've done projections as to how much cash you expect the Debtor to have through the end of August?

A Yes.
Q Can you tell the Court what that number is?
A Between $\$ 1.2$ and $\$ 1.4$ million.
Q Now when you were at deposition last Thursday, I think it was Thursday the 22nd, how up to date was your information about revenue?

A It was a week to two weeks late.
Q Since that time, since you were deposed, have you
brought yourself up to date on the revenue side?
A Yes.
Q What changes have you noticed from what your assumptions were when you testified on Thursday to today?

A My assumptions on Thursday were conservative. I had a meeting. I was informed by the SCO Operating President that the sales pipeline for this week has increased from $\$ 100,000$ to \$400,000.

Q And have you factored that new information into your declaration?

A Yes.
Q Now in your deposition, you were asked are we going to run out of money? When are you going to run out of money? You say we have enough to go through July which is consistent with the minutes that were produced. Correct?

A Correct.
Q When you addressed the Board with regard to the cash position was that your understanding?

A Can you state that again, please?
Q Well let me strike. Let me start that over again. Are the minutes accurate in your mind?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Did you -- when you reported to the Board, did you tell the Board that you were absolutely going to run out of money by the end of July?

A No.
Q How would you have phrased those minutes if you were writing them with regard to that topic?

A If I understand the question, I would say the company has cash through July 31 and the operating concern is for some time thereafter.

Q And weren't you asked that on your deposition after this July 31 date that was raised? Do you recall? Do want to look at the --

A I don't recall.
Q Look at page -- I think I have it marked here, Page 238 of your deposition transcript which is Exhibit 12, I think. I don't think it's 11, I think it's 12. That threw me for a little bit. It is 11, I guess? Well you know it's pretty short.

THE COURT: It's is 12.
MR. SPECTOR: I could probably --
THE COURT: It's 12.
MR. SPECTOR: Huh?
THE COURT: It is 12.
MR. SPECTOR: It is 12, okay.
MR. NIELSEN: Okay. Which page?
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Page 238 when you were asked by Mr. Reynolds can you survive more than four days beyond July 31, what did you
answer?
A Yes -- well, sorry.
Q I'm not asking today how you answered but how did you answer even then? Well, do you want me to read it out loud? A Yeah, I'm just trying to find it, Arthur.

Q It's Line 21 on Page 238. You stated I provided you those financial forecasts so that shows survivability through the end of September. Is that right?

A That's correct.
Q Okay. Were you testifying accurately on that day on Thursday?

A With information $I$ had at hand, yes.
Q And is the information that you have at hand now better or worse than it was on that date?

A Better.
Q And was the financial projection now better or worse than it was on Thursday?

A Better.
Q Tell me about the change in accounts receivable. Has the accounts receivable changed since your -- has your knowledge of the accounts receivable, has that changed since Thursday when you testified at the deposition?

A Collection efforts have been better.
Q Okay. Let's go to Mr. Norris and ask you about Mr. Norris. There was an invoice that was addressed to Darl

McBride, $I$ think it's Exhibit 37 at the SCO Group, Incorporated. Is that right?

A Correct.
Q You saw that. They showed you that?
A Yes.
Q Was there ever a replacement invoice issued?
A I don't recall.
Q Do you know who paid that invoice?
A SCO Japan.
Q SCO Japan. Not SCO Germany and not SCO USA or either of the Debtors I should say.

A Correct.
Q Do you know what that invoice was for?
A Again it was for market development and a business
plan for the BRICKMIA emerging markets.
Q And did Steve Norris Capital Partners produce such a business plan and the documents that were called for?

A Yes.
Q Was there any budget in SCO Japan for a marketing study like this?

A Yes.
Q Was this budget pre-existing the services by Mr. Norris?

A Yes.
Q Or his company, Steve Norris Capital Partners?

A Yes.
Q So SCO Japan -- what was is -- what was the study about? What was the study supposed to be about?

A About the expendability and emergence of the Unix space software and open server within those emerging markets.

Q And when that bill was paid by SCO Japan, what happened to the paperwork? Explain the paperwork that followed SCO Japan's paying that bill.

A I don't quite understand the question.
Q Intercompany accounting comes into play, does it not? A Correct.

Q All right. So when SCO Japan pays an invoice of \$100,000, what happens in the SCO organization?

A For one it develops -- it may cross other business and divisions. We allocate it accordingly.

Q So this BRICKMIA marketing study, did it affect anybody other than SCO Japan?

A Well, yes.
Q So what you're saying is it was allocated among other companies?

A Correct.
Q So there are invoices and bills showing that other companies got charged with those expenses?

A Yes.

Q And were any of those expenses charged to SCO Group? A I don't know. I assume not. I don't do the manual general entry.

Q And as I say this -- you said this was in June '08. Correct?

A Correct.
Q And it was for a product that was delivered?
A Correct.
Q Do you know whether the company was -- the Japanese Company and the foreign affiliates were happy, pleased with the product that was delivered?

A I believe they were.
Q Now you testified on cross examination that SCO was not planning to do any further reductions in $R$ \& D or some other area Is that right?

A Yes, currently.
Q Is that entirely correct?
A No.
Q Are there any -- what plans, if any, are there for SCO to what I think I colloquial used to say skinny down further than what it's already skinnied [ph] down?

A Well we have the plan that if we have to skinny down further, we'll reduce our head count from 62 to 25 and go to a service and support model and launch with that the expected launch of our virtualization product.

Q And if that should happen -- let's strike that. Would that happen if Unxis closed the sale and bought the Unix business?

A Will that happen if --
Q I mean is that -- strike that. Is that necessary to do if Unxis closes the sale and pays $\$ 2.4$ million to SCO? A No.

Q So this is a contingency in case Unxis doesn't close?
A Correct.
Q And or anybody else doesn't close.
A Correct.
Q If you get to the -- so reduced in financial ability you have to make further reductions. Is that what this is about?

A Correct.
Q Okay. And so you go from 62 to 25 employees?
A Correct.
Q And on that model, what's the -- how's the revenue going to be produced?

A It will be through virtual licenses and support agreements that will extend out.

Q I mean the Unix business would still be operating.
A Correct. It's to move the Unix customers to a virtualization model which allows them to upgrade their hardware and continue to run their businesses on the Unix
platform.
Q And will the business be cash flow positive on such a model or cash flow negative?

A Cash flow positive.
Q So if you went to the skinny down mode after failure to liquidate the assets, the company should become profitable again, but in a much reduced scale?

A Correct.
Q Not again, I should say for the first time actually, right?

A Correct.
Q Did this -- did SCO formerly use the services of a financial consultant with investment banking experience?

A No. Oh, yes, Mezero [ph].
Q Okay. And when you tried to sell the company -- well did SCO try to sell its assets to a company called York Capital?

A Yes, we did.
Q And did SCO have any financial consulting or investment banking assistance in that process?

A Yes, Mezero Financial.
Q And how did SCO find that process finally to SCO?
A Draining.
Q Do you know how much money SCO spent in that process?
A Roughly \$690,000.

Q Do you calculate that -- is that experience within SCO's calculations when it determined whether to hire an investment banker to sell the property again? A Yes.

MR. LEWIS: Your Honor, I'm not sure where this comes in within the scope of either direct or cross. This seems to be way far afield. It seems to be more addressed to counsel's remarks about York and other people. I'm not sure that this is the right time for these questions and I ask this line of questioning be ended and the prior questions be stricken.

MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, Mr. Reynolds asked this witness about an investment banker expense of $\$ 100,000$. Who they asked. I think he said D. E. something, Davidson or something, whatever the name of the company was I don't remember. So this is responsive to cross examination.

MR. LEWIS: Your Honor, he asked him if they looked at the investment bankers and he said no. He didn't go into any detail about who they were and why -- the witness said they didn't have any money. That was the end of that.

MR. SPECTOR: He did go into detail.
MR. LEWIS: No, I don't think he did.
THE COURT: No, I don't think he did.
MR. SPECTOR: He named the company he talked to.

THE COURT: And it was D. E. Something.
MR. SPECTOR: Right, as I recall.
MR. LEWIS: That's not exactly going into detail

MR. NIELSEN: D. A
MR. SPECTOR: D. A
MR. LEWIS: We're not talking about the merits of various investment bankers. It was a question that had to do with the finances of the company. And if counsel wants to ask a question about how come you didn't hire any other investment bankers and the answer is going to be because we couldn't afford them, I have no problem with that. But that's not what's going on here.

THE COURT: Understood. I have to agree with Mr. Lewis here.

BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q How come you didn't hire other investment bankers?
A The company couldn't afford the cash flow.
Q Well why do you think you couldn't afford the cash flow?

A From our past experiences, as well as, inquiry of $D$.
A. Davidson and others.

Q Past experience with whom?
A Mezero Financial.

Q And tell us about your past experience with Mezero Financial. How much did it cost you?

A Roughly \$690,000.
MR. SPECTOR: That's all $I$ have on redirect.
THE COURT: All right, thank you, Mr. Spector. Anything further, Mr. Lewis?

## RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. LEWIS:
Q Your Honor, I won't prolong this very long. Mr. Nielsen, you testified that the $\$ 625,000$ that's currently being held in a trust account on account of the Novell judgment would be freed up if the Tenth Circuit reverses. Do you recall that testimony?

A Yes.
Q Do you know what the Tenth Circuit is going to say if it reverses, what the scope of the reversal will be, what it will say, and whether it will provide for further protections for Novell? Do you have any idea at all? A No.

MR. LEWIS: Okay, thank you.
MR. SPECTOR: I'm not rising to ask any
questions. I just want to say that $I$ believe in the thousands, 12,000 or more documents we produced while Mr . Nielsen was testifying, $I$ believe somebody came across a replacement invoice that went to Japan as opposed to the

Utah company. If we can find it before the trial is over, I may wish to call Mr. Nielsen to show him the invoice. So I'm rising only to say I'd like an opportunity to recall him if we can find that document.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, just on a general subject.

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Marriott.
MR. MARRIOTT: Mr. Spector has repeatedly given the impression that the Movants ask for documents and received --

THE COURT: I think you were doing okay, but thanks.

MR. MARRIOTT: The suggestion that I wasn't coming through. So Mr. Spector has repeatedly given the impression to this Court that the Movants asked for a collection of documents and that the Debtors have fully complied with the subpoenas and did so in a timely way. And I don't want to distract us with that now, we can take that up at a break when it's more convenient to Your Honor. But it's absolutely untrue and there are significant quantities, we believe of documents that we've asked for that haven't been produced. And in particular, we think documents from the files of the witnesses who are here to testify. But I say only that for now and we can come back to that at a time more convenient to the Court.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. SPECTOR: I don't believe that that's
correct, but in the 24 hours we had to produce it, there could have been errors is all I can say. It could have crept in. But we produced massive amounts. Ms. Cruz and three or four people spent overnight to get it to them. Physically couldn't get it to them by the deadline because it just couldn't be done. But on top of that, Your Honor, well now I forgot the main reason I rose. That's --

THE COURT: I think to comment upon Mr.
Marriott's --
MR. SPECTOR: Yeah, I know that was -- that was prefatory to what I really rose for. And as testament -and if comes to me, I'll come back and tell you again about it.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. SPECTOR: I don't know if you want to take a break at this time --

THE COURT: Well let me ask if there's any more questions for Mr. Nielsen --

MR. SPECTOR: Please don't tell me --
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: Oh, I thought we were done, sorry.
THE COURT: It's all right.
BY MR. REYNOLDS:

Q Mr. Nielsen, do you recall testifying in response to some of Mr. Spector's questions and you had come up recently with some new numbers about cash on hand?

A Yes.
Q Do you recall that? Have you produced any documents that reflect those numbers?

A No.
Q Have you had those numbers audited by any professionals in the accounting world?

A They're projections.
Q Okay. So have they been reviewed by anyone else beside yourself?

A No.
Q And you've acknowledged earlier that some of your previous financial projections and numbers have been wrong. Is that right?

A Correct.
Q And isn't it possible that these numbers that we haven't seen in writing could also be in error?

A Possibly.
Q And were you aware that at the time that Mr. Steven Norris received this payment as you said from SCO Japan in 2008, that he was also working with the Debtors in connection with their reorganization plan and their attempts to raise capital. You're aware of that, right?

A Yes.
MR. REYNOLDS: No further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: Does that prompt anything, Mr.

Spector?
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Yes. Mr. Nielsen, you're a very agreeable guy and you like to go along agreeable. That's an observation. Listen carefully to the question that was just asked that you just answered. You answered something about that you were aware that Mr. Norris was out there raising capital for the Debtor. Is that accurate?

A No.
Q Okay. But you said yes. Correct?
A Correct.
Q Okay. Was Mr. Norris putting together buyers for him to buy the company at some point in ' 08

A Not that I'm aware of.
Q What was Mr. Norris' relationship to the company, if any?

A He had one, the first Steve Norris deal that was done in January.

Q And what was that Steve Norris deal that was done in -- well was cooked in late '08-- strike that. In January of -- which year are we talking about?

A 2008 .
Q January 2008.
A It was January 2008.
Q So it was cooked in late 2007 and in 2008 it was a plan on with --

A On file.
Q -- Steve Norris Capital Partners as the sponsor.
Correct?
A Correct.
Q And he was buying the company in that role, wasn't he?
A Correct.
Q Buying the assets, I mean?
A Correct.
Q Okay. Was that his relationship with the company trying to find people to work with to buy the company with him?

A I believe his role was to buy the company.
Q Okay. All right. And he was never retained by the Debtor to be a consultant was he?

A Correct.
Q I mean other than --
A Other than --
Q -- the Japan marketing study.
A -- the marketing study.
Q Okay. But the Debtor, SCO Group and SCO Operations,
the never used them as a consultant or an investment banker or anything of that nature, did he?

A Correct.
MR. SPECTOR: Okay. That's all.
THE COURT: Anything further from the Movants?
MR. MARRIOTT: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. MARRIOTT: May I just --
THE COURT: Mr. Nielsen, you may step down, sir, thank you. Well I assume people would like to have some lunch.

MR. SPECTOR: Okay, yeah.
THE COURT: So -- and hopefully there's lunch at the offices because Wilmington doesn't serve lunch very quickly. Can we reconvene at 2:00? Would that do it for everyone?

MR. MARRIOTT: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Then we'll stand in recess until 2:00.

MR. SPECTOR: Can we lock --
THE COURT: Yes, this room will be locked and counsel should not discuss the testimony that we've heard here with those witnesses who have been sequestered.

MR. MARRIOTT: May I just inquire as to the order of the proceedings, Your Honor? It would be helpful if we
know who the next witness is.
THE COURT: Oh, sure.
MR. MARRIOTT: You're being asked --
THE COURT: The next witness, Mr. Spector?
MR. SPECTOR: It is our present intention to call
Mr. McBride after the lunch break.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. SPECTOR: For live testimony and not that much.

THE COURT: Yes. Oh, okay, yeah, that's right, very well.

MR. MARRIOTT: Thank you.
THE COURT: All right, counsel, thank you.
(Recess from 12:40 p.m. to 2:04 p.m.)
THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Please be
seated. I apologize that it's a little warm in here, but apparently it's a building problem and not just this courtroom. Anyone who would like to take off his jacket is welcome to do so. I would not be at all offended. So however you're comfortable.

MR. SPECTOR: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Good afternoon.
MR. SPECTOR: May we proceed?
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: SCO calls Darl McBride to the
stand.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. McBride, hello.
MR. MCBRIDE: How are you today?
THE COURT: If you'll just remain standing while you're sworn.

DARL CHARLES MCBRIDGE, DEBTORS' WITNESS, SWORN
THE COURT: Whenever you're ready.
MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, we discussed the last time we were here about the fact that this Court has urged certain witnesses testifying in the past at other hearings -

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: -- and we could spend some time going through Mr. McBride's pedigree again, but I would ask Your Honor, to allow for the use of that testimony in prior hearings rather than going through that again if there's no objection.

THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. MARRIOTT: As to the pedigree, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MARRIOTT: By that we mean background as to where he went to school --

THE COURT: Yes, education and so on.
MR. MARRIOTT: No objection.
THE COURT: All right, thank you.

MR. LEWIS: None here, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right, Mr. Lewis, thank you, sir.
MR. LEWIS: You also stated that we would get the testimony from the last June 15 hearing would be brought in to this record as well. I believe that was stated the last time.

MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, the June 15 testimony was on a specific narrow issue that this Court set up to determine whether it even consider the purchase and sale agreement.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. LEVIN: And it was not for the general purposes that I recall --

THE COURT: I don't recall that either.
MR. SPECTOR: I do recall that.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, at the end of the hearing you said we could take everything that was brought up at that hearing and use it here so we don't have to do it again. There was a lot of testimony regarding, you know, other deals and the like and so I could abbreviate the testimony today by saying you've testified about experiences and other deals and that's just Mr. McBride. I think Ms. Kaplan testified about it as well.

THE COURT: Do you have a preference?

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, we don't have objection to any incorporation of that testimony to this hearing.

MR. SPECTOR: Thank you.
THE COURT: Mr. Lewis is that acceptable?
MR. LEWIS: That's all right, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right, then we will do that.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Mr. McBride, describe the original business of SCO Unix and UnixWare [ph].

A The original business really had two parts to it; one was a source licensing business and the other one was a products business. So Unix is one of the major operating system platforms around the world, arguably the most powerful platform from a business standpoint. And large companies like IBM, Sun Micro Systems, a number of companies from Japan, Hewlett Packard, Dell, Oracle, and the like took down licensees to that Unix operating system --

Q Can you stop for a second? You're using lingo. Took down, what does that mean?

A They licensed our operating system through --
Q Okay.
A -- a contract. That basically is one part of the business which is here's this tree if you will that has this
rich intellectual property running through it and the companies become various branches off from that. So each large company goes off and does what they're going to do to tailor that Unix operating system to their particular clientele or customer base. That's the core foundation if you will of the company. The second part of the Unix business that SCO owns is one of these branches. So think of the branches being a product business. SCO actually owns two of those branches and one is called UnixWare and one is called Open Server. SCO's particular twist or customer base if you will that they focused on over the years is to supply highly reliable Unix operating systems on really cheap hardware like Intel chip sets. And so basically when you have large companies like McDonald's, seven of the top ten retailers in the U.S. are customers of ours, large customers that have many, many branches. In the case of McDonald's they have 14,000 branches where you will find our software. So it's the source licensing business and then it's the Unix products business.

Q Has SCO expanded its business beyond those two areas?
A Yes, it has.
Q In what way?
A Well in a couple of ways. First of all, we expanded into a realm around mobile software applications and powering that software with back end servers. So we've
always been a server company. And so rather than just creating client site applications that run on your cell phone or your iPhone or whatever, we've specialized in developing applications that are powered by a back end server. The second area that we've grown into is an area called Unix virtualization. And so those are the two primary growth areas we've had over the last several years. Q Explain what virtualization is about and what the need is and why you decided to go into it.

A Unix virtualization is basically taking our Unix operating system and the associated application that sits with that, that sits on top of the operating system and building a layer if you will that allows that package to sit on top of another operating system such as Windows or Linux or something of that nature.

Q What's the purpose of having that?
A The purpose is to allow our customers to be able to run their application for -- that they've been running many times, you know, a decade or two and to get another decade or two of use out of their application they've already developed. By putting this virtualization layer in there, it allows a customer to get updated and refreshed hardware by putting it on top of a different $O S$ that has more certifications than we may have.

Q What happens if they don't have virtualization? What
problems would a customer face if they don't have this virtual product?

A Well the challenge we face as a small company is staying ahead of the game. Every time a big hardware company like Sun or HP or IBM or somebody comes out with a whole new set of hardware devices, it's hard for us to keep up with all of the certifications. And so what would happen over time is it would be more and more difficult for a customer to take on the new hardware, to get faster hardware because their applications would not tend to work with it if it wasn't certified.

Q Let's go back to your mobility business, the cell phone and so forth.

A Yes.
Q When this case began, what was the state of that mobility business?

A We had been in development for I don't know, two or three years. And we were about ready to go to market with some early stage products that we had developed for a company up the road here in Allentown, Pennsylvania, the Day-Timer Company. And we were just on the verge of going to market with our first real mobility products at that stage of the game.

Q And what happened then after you filed Chapter 11?
A The Day-Timer Company came back and as a result of our
filing Chapter 11 said they didn't want to go forward with the partnership.

Q Did SCO give up on mobility at that point or did it go forward?

A We went forward. The whole idea of what we had created up to that point, there were a number of applications, but the core of it that was interesting was the idea of having your time management system that you may see on a desktop such as Outlook, packaged up and on your Smart Phone. And so what we did at that point is we went to another time management company. The two largest ones out there really are Day-Timer Company and the Franklin Cubby Company. So when Day-Timer Company backed out of the deal, we then went to Franklin Cubby and a few months after that we cut a deal with them. And we got the products developed. We introduced them into the marketplace here recently. And we're actually pretty excited about the uptick and the uptake we're getting from new customers that are buying those products.

Q Tell us about the products that you are selling through Franklin Cubby.

A We have a set of products that are in the marketplace and another set that are just about ready to be released. The first one is a product called FC Mobile Life. And that's where you have the ability to do your scheduling,
your task management, setting your goals, being able to have a micro blogger or micro communication system if you will phone to phone. And so that one was the first one we came out with. And that runs on Blackberry's. It runs on Windows Mobile Phones, things of that nature. The next step that we took was to take chunks of those -- that broad system and put them down into individual applications so we released for example an application on the Apple iPhone in I think it was February or so. And that is basically a task management system. So we took one of these modules out of the big system and put it down into one modular system, released it into the iPhone App Store. Since we have done that, we've had some really good uptake as I said earlier. Since we released these first couple products in the marketplace, SCO now has in just the last two quarters period of time, we have 10,000, over 10,000 new customers that have come in to the SCO fold so to speak during that period of time. And so we're excited about that. We have another -- the sake task management system that has been so popular on the iPhone. That one product alone has sold nearly 8,000 units, the number I have said earlier. And that's just on the iPhone. We released an announcement last week that we have now developed that same application called FC Tasks on Blackberry's. So Blackberry's are obviously very popular in businesses. And so I think we're going to
see a similar uptake on that platform.
Q Has Franklin Cubby marketed your applications?
A Yes, they have.
Q I'm going to show you what's been marked as Debtors' Exhibit 18. Can you identify this, please?

A Yes.
Q I've got copies for the -- do you need these or do you already have these?

MR. LEVIN: We would like a copy.
THE COURT: Mr. Spector, do I have a binder with your exhibits or --

MR. SPECTOR: Well they objected to all our exhibits so I didn't think --

THE COURT: Oh, that's right.
MR. SPECTOR: I wouldn't want to be presumptuous and let you look at them without --

THE COURT: Understood.
MR. SPECTOR: Okay. I mean, I could have, but I didn't.

THE COURT: That's fine. If I could just have a copy of --

MR. SPECTOR: Do you want a copy now?
THE COURT: Sure.
MR. SPECTOR: I can give you one.
THE COURT: Oh, no, that's -- this is fine, thank
you.
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q All right. Can you identify that exhibit, sir?
A Yes, I can.
Q What is that?
A This is the Franklin Cubby Company's Summer of 2009 Catalog that they sent out to hundreds of thousands of their customers.

Q Has that catalog generated a revenue for SCO?
A Yes, it has.
Q Do you have an idea today how much revenue has been generated from the products that are marketed in that catalog?

A Yeah, to date, I would say we generated in the order of tens of thousands of dollars.

Q Okay. And this is just beginning?
A Yes.
Q Was this product ever rated by some rating agencies how popular it is? Is there some kind of rating system for applications?

A Yes. If you go into the iPhone App Store, they have a ranking system in there. And since we've released the FC Tasks product, it has consistently been in the top 50 of productivity applications and more frequently in the top 20. And I think the highest point we reached was \#13.

Q You previously stated there was a press release issued last week?

A Yes.
Q I show you Defense, I mean, Debtors' Exhibit \#29.
A Yes.
Q Let me give that to you. Can you identify that exhibit, please?

A Yes, this is a press release that we issued last week regarding our mobile products business and the Franklin Cubby product line in specific.

MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, I offer Exhibits 18 and 29 as Exhibit 18 and 29.

MR. MARRIOTT: Can we get a copy of the second exhibit, please?

MR. SPECTOR: Of course.
MR. MARRIOTT: And for what purpose? The documents, Your Honor, I think --

MR. SPECTOR: I didn't give Your Honor one, did
I?
THE COURT: No.
MR. SPECTOR: Okay.
THE COURT: Thank you, thank you, Mr. Spector.
MR. SPECTOR: I don't know if the Court has ruled on that or not yet.

THE COURT: I was waiting to hear whether there
is an objection.
MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, we have no objection to the exhibits.

THE COURT: Okay. Then they are admitted.
MR. SPECTOR: Thank you, sir. I just would ask the Court to note on the front of Debtors' Exhibit 18, the application that's right on the front page of that is the Debtors' FC Mobile Life application.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q What were the state -- what was the state of development to these products when the cases were filed in September of 2007?

A They were early stage iteration, early iterations. It hadn't really gone to market yet.

Q During the pendency of these Chapter 11 cases you brought these to market?

A Correct.
Q And you're starting to derive revenue that was never there before?

A That's correct.
Q How did the Novell announcement on May 28, 2003 that it and not SCO on the Unix copyright effect SCO stock price? A It had a damaging effect.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor again --

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. MARRIOTT: -- as was the case with Mr.
Tibbitts, I think the witness is incompetent to speak with all respect to the witness is incompetent to speak to the effect of events on the stock price of the company.

THE COURT: In other words, the witness can factually say what the prices were on a certain date.

MR. MARRIOTT: As Mr. Tibbitts did --
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. MARRIOTT: -- without attributing the causal link that I believe the witness has begun to --

MR. SPECTOR: Okay. That's fair. I accept that as accurate.

THE COURT: Okay, fine, sustained.
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Before -- I will rephrase that. Before -- well was there an announcement from Novell relative to the ownership of the Unix copyrights?

A Yes.
Q When was that?
A That was on May 28.
Q What year?
A 2003 .
Q Do you know what the stock price was or the market capitalization was of SCO before that announcement?

A Yes, it was $\$ 9$ a share.
Q And do you know what the price was after the announcement?

A Yes, it was $\$ 5.85$ a share.
Q What's the stock price today?
A I checked --
Q Well as of the close of business Friday I should say.
A It was at 17 cents a share.
Q When we came to Court on June 15, 2009, before the Unxis sale was delivered to this Court, what was the sale -what was the price of the stock, a share of stock in SCO at that time?

A It was 12 cents a share.
Q So since June 15 the stock has gone up from 12 to 17 cents?

A Yes.
Q I'll do the calculation. I think that's a 42 percent increase or something thereabouts. Has anything material happened to SCO between June 15 and now other than the announcement of the Unxis sale?

A No.
Q What effect do you anticipate on SCO's stock price if the Tenth Circuit should reverse the decision by the District Court in the Utah case? MR. MARRIOTT: Objection, Your Honor. I think

Your Honor was ruled on this and there's no reason the ruling should be any different as to this testimony then to the prior two times in which Your Honor ruled.

THE COURT: I sustain the objection.
MR. SPECTOR: What effects on the business of SCO do you reasonably project should the Tenth Circuit grant the relief SCO has asked for?

MR. MCBRIDE: We think that will have very positive effects on the business.

MR. MARRIOTT: Objection. Your Honor, objection.
THE COURT: Mr. Marriott?
MR. MARRIOTT: I think it's speculative and lacks foundation. I don't think that the witness has personal knowledge of the effects of a future event on the current operation of the business.

MR. JACOBS: And I would only add that that future event itself has very -- has a lot of variables associated with it.

MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, we have confronted these kind of issues in deposition. And Mr. Tibbitts testified about what was going to happen. And I said well do you know there's going to be a reverse? No. Do you know that if the judgment is reversed the $\$ 625,000$ you can take out of escrow and use will actually help the company? Do you know you'll be using marketing? Do you know you --
well, the only thing $I$ can say in response is if you ask the witness if he's standing there on a street corner and he sees a car being driven without a driver or a heart attack victim is behind the wheel and another person crossing in front of it and you ask them do you foresee some problem in front of you, I think a normal witness could say yes, I think it's likely that there's going to be an accident in front of him. This is -- we're not asking for way out projections. This is the CEO of a company and you're -we're asking him on -- if you ask the CEO of a company if you spend this money on marketing and development, do you anticipate some benefit, yes, I do. Was that objectionable? I mean, this is the kind of stuff that's grist for the mill in every case. This witness is the CEO Of the company, a major event in the life of the company is days away and it has to project what it's going to do in the event if $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, or $Z$ occurs. I don't think it could be any more pertinent. I don't think it could be -- it is by no means speculative because you're -- the witness will testify why he has these opinions. Most of it is logic and probably could be argued to some extent. But $I$ can't imagine that this is in any way objectionable on the grounds or any other grounds.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, I would add to that based on counsel's own argument to additional objections which is its cumulative because if as counsel just said Mr.

Tibbitts addressed these issues and we need not hear it again from Mr. McBride, and if his counsel says it's argumentative, then we ought not be having argument through the mouth of this witness, Your Honor. That's in addition to the objections we've already stated.

MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, you've heard it from the perspective of one person. The best person to hear it from, it's not cumulative when it comes from the CEO who's in charge of the business affairs of the company. The issue, one of the major issues in this case is the ability to rehabilitate. You've heard Mr. McBride talk about the going forward business after the sale. That's part of the rehabilitation process. But also what happens if he has the $\$ 625,000$ ? How will that help the rehabilitation? What happens if he has the ability to sue parties for -- who on the SCO source program which we haven't gotten to yet? That's going to bring revenue in. I have to --

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, if I may, yet another objection. Counsel identified, I believe at Your Honor's direction if not and at a absolute minimum with Your Honor's approval, the subjects on which the Debtors' witnesses would testify at these proceedings. Mr. Tibbitts was expressly identified as a witness who would testify as to the impact of the litigations on the Debtors' prospect for rehabilitation. He was as a result at Your Honor's order
deposed on that subject. Your Honor made clear in the Court's order that we were allowed to have the opportunity to examine Mr. Tibbitts or he wasn't allowed to be called at his hearing.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. MARRIOTT: This witness, Your Honor, with all respect was not identified as a witness who would speak as to the supposed impacts of the litigation on the rehabilitation prospects of the company. And so what counsel has done is come in and now offered by way of an additional witness, cumulative testimony on the subject as to which the witness wasn't identified and as to which we did not have an opportunity at deposition to question the witness.

MR. SPECTOR: It's not true. Mr. McBride was always a witness for everything. That's why it's no declaration. He's the man on everything. And they had seven hours of -- a full day of deposition of this witness and they did cover these items. It is certainly no surprise that Mr. McBride's going to talk about rehabilitation on a Motion to Convert.

MR. MARRIOTT: It is a surprise, Judge. At least as it relates to the litigation. It's not a surprise as it relates to the mobility business and we're prepared to proceed on that basis.

MR. SPECTOR: We cannot be restricted by the --
THE COURT: All right I'm going to overruled the objection.

MR. SPECTOR: Thank you.
THE COURT: I'll take the witness' answer because
-- or answers because I think it is a particularly important subject.

BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Thank you, Your Honor. On the basis of SCO, what effect would it have if the Tenth Circuit were to reverse the decision of -- the summary judgment decision of the District Court?

A Well it would be very positive across a number of fronts. It would be positive as it relates to our employees dealing with customers, positive as it relates to my discussions with investors, positive from a market perception standpoint. The fact that we would have some vindication that we believe we deserve would have, we believe a very positive effect on the company.

Q How hard is it to employ qualified individuals in your current situation?

A It's very difficult.
Q If SCO received vindication by the Tenth Circuit, would it be better or harder to employ qualified individuals?

A It would be much easier.
Q Have customers been receptive of SCO's marketing since this company was subject to the summary judgment ruling in August of '07?

A It has been a difficult row to hoe.
Q How do you perceive the market reaction if SCO were to receive vindication from the Tenth Circuit?

MR. LEWIS: Your Honor, I have to object here. I'm going to be -- and Mr. McBride, too. And this in a serious of previous questions about how they would react if they reversed -- I mean, that's a thoroughly incomplete hypothetical. There are all kinds as Mr. Jacobs has said, all kinds of possible forums of reversal, instructions, remand, and so on and so forth. I think what we're hearing here and assuming is that it's a virtual outright victory on various grounds for the Debtor. But it's certainly an incomplete hypothetical and I don't think these are proper questions. And I think the witness should not be allowed to answer them or offer opinion testimony on them. We don't even have yet any kind of groundwork for his competency to offer an opinion on the effect of a legal decision which is probably in a pretty -- I mean, it's one thing to talk about gee, I've been selling these things for three years and this is our track record and I've been doing this marketing and that marketing study and so forth and that's one thing.

This is unprecedented. It's not like he -- the witness has prior experience and we certainly haven't heard of it. Prior experiences with this kind of situation and what the effect would be on marketing, you know. And so I think the testimony is incompetent and I think the questions are incomplete hypothetical's and I think the entire line should be shutdown and the prior answers should be stricken as a consequence. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Marriott?
MR. MARRIOTT: I'd simply join in that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I have to agree with them. I just think it's --

MR. SPECTOR: I can ask it better.
THE COURT: -- it's too far reaching and too
uncertain. And I will sustain the objection.
MR. SPECTOR: It was too wide a question, too. He's -- he was right about that because it didn't identify what part of it. I was supposing all of it, but -- and I wasn't clear about that. Let's take it piecemeal.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q If the judgment -- the money judgment suffered --
A Yes.
Q -- by SCO --

A Yes.
Q -- in favor of Novell were overturned --
A Yes.
Q -- would there be any immediate benefit to SCO?
A Yes.
Q What would that be?
A Immediately, we would have \$625,000 released from an escrow account that is -- has been reserved. And if that money part of the Tenth Circuit ruling was overturned, that would go out of escrow and back into our bank account. Q Would it do anything to your --

THE COURT: Mr. Lewis.
MR. LEWIS: I have the same objection, Your Honor. If -- even if the Court reverses the money judgment, it might say, but I want to keep this money in escrow, I want to keep this money on the side. If the debtor gets its wish and gets this Chapter Seven -- this case dismissed without providing for payment to us, the Circuit might decide they're going to look after us instead. Again, we're assuming hypothetical result that's not clear.

THE COURT: Here --
MR. LEWIS: We don't know what the Circuit's going to do.

MR. SPECTOR: That's cross-examination, Your
Honor. That --

THE COURT: Well, but here -- here's what's disturbing me a little bit. You know, with this line of questioning, it's -- it's as if you're getting the benefit of having established that you were going to win on the merits when we didn't -- when my ruling was that we weren't going to get into the merits of litigation.

MR. SPECTOR: Correct.
THE COURT: So here, it's as if you had established your point on the merits when you haven't even proven them --

MR. SPECTOR: Well --
THE COURT: -- those issues by -- based upon my ruling, I understand. I just think it's all so speculative.

MR. SPECTOR: Well, Your Honor --
THE COURT: Because, for example, the Judge -- it could be reversed, but an appeal could be taken, and then the $\$ 625,000$ might not be released immediately. And you know, there is just so many --

MR. SPECTOR: That is --
THE COURT: -- possibilities.
MR. SPECTOR: All of that is quite true. And in -- never is it the fact that a witness, when it testifies, has absolute certainty of the assumption that's going to be there. And all of that goes to the weight. And in -normally, a witness will testify, and then in cross-
examination they say, well, couldn't this happen? Yes. Couldn't that happen? What's -- what are the likely of that? And then, the Court weighs it.

But you know what, Your Honor, I think this is something Your Honor can understand. We aren't permitted to talk about the likelihood of any result because that goes to the merits.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. SPECTOR: And so I assume the same thing for them. They can't come in and cross-examine and say, isn't it the long shot that you win? So the point is assuming that -- am I wrong about that?

MR. LEWIS: You -- please finish.
MR. SPECTOR: Okay.
MR. LEWIS: They were having just a colloquy for a minute.

MR. SPECTOR: Okay. But the --
THE COURT: "So assuming," you started to say.
MR. SPECTOR: Assuming I can do it this way, Your Honor. I'll withdraw that question. I'll ask it a different way.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. LEWIS: Your Honor, if I may?
THE COURT: Mr. Lewis.
MR. LEWIS: It's not a question of the weight
given the -- all the possibilities. The problem is we don't know how to assess the possibilities. They're all just possibilities. I can see the debtor might win a home run. But on the other hand, we might win a home run. How can we possibly assess what that's going to mean when we have no idea of the contours? We're going to be here all day examining and cross-examining on all kinds of possible outcomes and permutations of what the Tenth Circuit might rule and what it might do in connection with such a ruling.

I think we can all say with some comfort that if the debtor gets some sort of victory of some kind in the Tenth Circuit, the debtor will be, in some way, better off. But the problem is trying to assess how much better off, and there, I think, we can't possibly make an assessment without spending the next three days with testimony. And even then, we still might not be able to make an assessment. I just think this whole line of questioning ought to be stricken and we ought to just move onto something else.

And -- well, I'll agree that the debtor might be better off if it wins something on appeal. And I think that's where it ought to end.

MR. SPECTOR: Judge, basically --
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: -- the effort here is to render our claims irrelevant. It -- it's part of our balance sheet on
our claims against these companies, IBM, Novell, and others. It's part -- it's the largest part of our balance sheet. It's the major asset of the case. By a couple of words here and there, they're basically saying, who knows? Let's throw it away. It's worthless. Well, just the argument is attacking the merits of our balance sheet.

The prognosis about reorganization, rehabilitation depends upon whether you have a viable balance sheet. Now, I'm being trying very carefully not to step over the line and talk about merits, but if they're saying because it's so speculative, we can't talk about it at all or the effects it would have, it renders the fact that we have these claims irrelevant. It's not subject to discussion.

I can try to work around this and get it in another way, but I can't allow it to go unsaid that what they're trying to do is just take the claims and make it go away and say, they're really not part of this case. They are a part of this case. They're the 800-pound gorilla of the case.

MR. LEWIS: Your Honor, we'll concede that if they win something on appeal, they might be better off. And I assume they'll concede that if we win something -- if we win something on appeal, they might be worse off. Beyond that, $I$ don't see how you can incorporate into the balance
sheet. I mean, do we have a balance sheet which -- I don't recall seeing any balance sheets which have any kind of perspective value for these claims. I recall hearing billions of dollars, if they win everything.

But we're not talking about the balance sheet right now. As a matter of fact, the debtor hasn't put our claims on the balance sheet. We have a three-and-a-half-million-dollar proof of claim, and the debtor just filed an amended Schedule F, and it doesn't even show up. It's a judgment.

So, you know, that's -- and that's at least a judgment that's been rendered. And for the moment, it's still a judgment. But the idea that we're somehow taking their balance sheet away from them, this whole case, Your Honor, this whole proceeding today -- both proceedings that are being heard today is based upon the debtor's contentions that the litigation is extremely valuable and this Court should essentially bend over backwards to keep that alive in the hands of the debtor's current management.

And we think it's not terribly valuable, and that's about as far as we can go on either side. I just don't see how the Court can value the litigation or consider very much what the witness is going to say about what he thinks the results are going to be and how it's going to affect their business, other than, yeah, if they get some
results, we'll be somewhat better off.
THE COURT: Well, I have enough trouble deciding my own cases, let alone somebody else's cases, and that's really the problem. I certainly am prepared to take notice of the fact that you have -- that the debtors have very serious litigation that's pending and that if they prevail on that litigation, it is going to help their rehabilitation. I don't have a problem with that.

But I do have a problem with the speculation about what it's going to do for employees and customers and that sort of thing. I think as a general proposition, fine -- it's fine, but as a specific proposition, it's problematic.

MR. NORMAND: Your Honor, Mr. Normand. I think --
THE COURT: Mr. Normand, you may.
MR. NORMAND: -- just a few points.
THE COURT: You may, please.
MR. NORMAND: The first is, I think we're all in agreement that, as you just said, the litigation is relevant. And I think we're all in agreement about obsessing litigation does involve some amount of speculation. That's the word that anyone will see it as. You're in a forward-looking position. That's what you're charged with. I don't think anyone disputes that. Forwardlooking falls along a spectrum. I mean, there's a spectrum of speculation.

What Mr. McBride needs to speak to is the next 35 days. And contrary to counsel's argument, there is not a world of possibilities that could follow from the Tenth Circuit argument. There's a limited universe of results that could follow, and Mr. Spector was going to speak to Mr. McBride about three or four principal possibilities in the next 35 days. It is not a year out, two years out. I don't think it's particularly wishy-washy.

So I think on that spectrum of speculation, if that's the word that people want to use, that Your Honor is forced to grapple with, this is on the far end, in the near end. And I think for that reason, we should hear the testimony.

MR. LEWIS: Your Honor, it's no less speculative for the next 35 days than it is for the next two or three months. And we don't know what's going to happen in the next 35 days. We don't know, as the Court heard this morning, whether the Circuit will rule it out, Judge, I mean, if they're not ready to rule yet. We don't know. And so we don't even know it's for the next 35 days.

But even if it is for the next 35 days, it's just as speculative today as it is tomorrow, as for the third day, as to the fourth day, to the fifth day, until such time as there is a result. And there isn't a result right now,
and I don't see how the fact that we're looking at a shorter horizon makes it any less speculative.

And I want to contrast this with, again, the example I used earlier. Yes, we're always talking about forward-looking stuff when you're talking about rehabilitation. But there, you're looking at past sales, marketing studies, you know, cost analysis, all kinds of stuff that people are used to dealing with. You know, it's a little like the difference between trying to value stock that's traded on a public market and trying to value -- I don't know if the Court remembers that once upon a time, you had to have a plant that made what was called heavy water -$\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$-- to make nuclear fissionable materials? We're not competent to value a heavy water plant in this setting. And I think we're -- it's really an analogous situation. And there's -- and I think as a consequence, we are just talking about speculation. If the debtor wants us to concede that if the debtor wins something -- and it will be an unspecified something because we don't know what the contours are.

I mean, the Court -- Ten Circuit might remand for trial on a very narrow issue with instructions that might make the outcome almost a certainty for one side or the other. And in the meantime, it might say, I want that \$650, 000 held onto in the meantime because this isn't going
to take very long. And it might not. How can we possibly fit this testimony in to that issue without going over every possibility, most of which we can't think about?

THE COURT: See, that's the problem I have, Mr. Normand, is technically, as almost the end of the questions, each question that Mr. Spector is asking should begin hypothetically "if." And we don't do hypotheticals with fact witnesses. We do hypotheticals with expert witnesses. And I don't think there's an expert witness who could possibly testify on the outcome of this -- of the pending litigation and appeal.

MR. NORMAND: Well, Your Honor, it may be forward-looking, but to Mr. Lewis' point that, you know, we have, typically, company employees who deal with, you know, financial practices of the company in the past and therefore they have a baseline level of personal knowledge from which they can testify, Mr. McBride certainly meets that standard. I mean, he's been doing nothing, to speak colloquially, for six years except grapple with people about what is happening in your litigation and how is that affecting your company.

If anyone on the planet can speak to those issues, he can. And if he can't speak to the issues, then the implication is Your Honor is not entitled to hear from any witness about prospects of this litigation. Not the merits, but the prospects. And I don't know how the
litigation can be entirely put to the side like that.
To the second point, to Mr. Lewis' offer that there be some sort of amorphous stipulation that upon a good result in litigation, something good would happen for the company, it sounds to me that that's of no value at all, that after a hearing where there had been such a stipulation, IBM and Novell would still be in the position of saying, we see no specificity and no testimony about what would actually happen, only an amorphous agreement that something good would happen. So that would be of no value to SCO.

I think if --
THE COURT: Well --
MR. NORMAND: -- anyone can testify these issues, Mr. McBride can. And I just don't see how we can't have no witness at all on this issue. I don't know how that helps Your Honor at all.

THE COURT: Well, I don't see how this witness can testify as to what will actually happen.

MR. NORMAND: No, but it would be his best estimate based on his six years of dealing with this at the company. It does go to the weight, Your Honor. You can take it or not. I think it's relevant. My point is, I don't know how else Your Honor would assess what could happen with the company. And I think that's part of what

Your Honor is being asked to do, to assess what would happen with the company. Mr. McBride's testimony is as good as any witness for that.

MR. LEWIS: Your Honor, Mr. McBride's testimony depends on two elements. One is whatever his experience is with customers. The other is possible outcomes of the litigation, without getting to the merits, just possible rulings. And he has no expertise in possible rulings, whatever expertise he may have in dealing with customers of the firm. And that's part of the problem.

And yes, $I$ suppose it's true that this means, as the Court has previously ruled in deciding it wasn't going to ask -- what they're basically asking you to do, Your Honor, is to let Mr. McBride estimate the value on the merits because you've decided not to. That's essentially what's going on. And I don't think he's a good substitute. And I don't mean that as a criticism of Mr. McBride. That's out of this case.

And the fact that it means that they can't present everything they would like to, because that's the argue -- that's the argument we're really doing. You can't present hearsay either. That's the rules.

THE COURT: Here is the practical problem. Mr. McBride can now testify as to all of the good things that will happen if there is victory on the appeal.

Subsequently, I'll have cross examination on what happens if they -- if you don't win on appeal, and it will counterbalance everything that we've heard for however long it takes. So it is valueless to my decision what will happen if wonderful things happen.

MR. SPECTOR: We understand the Court's ruling. We'll move on.

THE COURT: And that -- I'll sustain that -- the objection.

MR. SPECTOR: We'll move on.
THE COURT: And we'll strike --
MR. LEWIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And we'll strike the previous answers relating to the merits. Or I should say the -- the possible outcome.

MR. LEWIS: Thank you, Your Honor. Excuse me, one moment.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. SPECTOR: Thank you.
MR. LEWIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Yes.
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q When the case has commenced, do the debtors have some idea of an exit plan from Chapter 11?

A Yes.

Q Would you tell us what it was?
A When we commence the cases, the idea is that we would have an internal reorganization plan that we would present to the Court.

Q Did something happen that changed management's mind about that?

A Yes.
Q What was that?
A Within a few days after filing Chapter 11 in September of '07, I received a call from Charlie Hale from York Capital, who expressed a desire to come in and put an investment into the company, and that would serve as the basis of a reorganization plan.

Q What did you do after you received this call?
A Went back and met with Mr. Hale and his associates at York Capital.

Q Describe the efforts made by the debtors to conclude a deal with York Capital.

A For a period of about two months, I'd say going from October through the end of November, maybe early December, there were very intense efforts to try and get a deal done. In fact, on the Friday before Thanksgiving of that year, there was a tentative term sheet we had come to agreement on, and so we flew everybody into New York on both sides, went literally two and half days around the clock to try and
consummate a deal with a lot of professionals on both sides trying to drive that transaction to completion.

Q Who was with you in New York for those intense negotiations?

A We had -- on our side of the table, we had our CFO, Mr. Nielson. We had counsel. We had --

Q Who were the counsel, if you recall?
A On our side we had Mr. Caplan from your firm. We had Mr. Lampert from your firm. We also had some financial experts from Mesirow Financial that were engaged in the process.

Q How many?
A I don't remember exactly, but it was a number of them.
Q Was there someone else from our firm, as well, an associate?

A Yes.
Q Do you remember her name?
A Not right now. I remember her face, though.
Q And do you know how many people were there on the other side of the table?

A They had more than we had. Let's put it that way.
Q And this was in the offices of which law firm?
A Proskauer, as I recall.
Q And in what city?
A In Manhattan.

Q And you said already, it went two and a half days around the clock?

A Yes.
Q And when you say around the clock, are you just saying that or it really went around the clock.

A Well, it literally went around the clock. I know because I showed up in the middle of this. I think I landed around midnight at JFK, and by the time I got into the hotel, both sides were going strong. And literally, it was an all-nighter where nobody slept. I don't believe the second night was as intense, but it was still, it was basically that entire weekend.

Q Besides Mr. Hale, was there somebody else there for his firm?

A Yes, Mr. Charnin [ph], David Charnin, as I recall was his key business partner on that.

Q Ultimately, did SCO and York decide to proceed?
A No, we did not.
Q Why did SCO choose not to proceed with York Capital?
A Well, there are a number of reasons. The biggest problem that we had in the trying to get to an agreement with York was we had agreed on a term sheet, and in the process of trying to convert a term sheet into actual documents, the mapping wasn't taking place. We would talk to the York group. We would take a term, and then as we
tried to transfer and translate that term into written words on the fly, they continually were trying to adjust the terms to a different set of terms than what we'd already agreed to.

Q Did you come to some conclusion about the good faith of the people on the other side of the table during these negotiations?

A Yes.
Q And what was that?
A Well, we were concerned that if we couldn't get to an agreement -- if we couldn't even agree one week and then go the next week and transfer what we'd agreed to onto the paper, it was going to be very difficult to have a long-term relationship with these guys. And the nature of the deal was a long-term relationship across all of our major business categories.

Q By the end of the negotiations, did you trust them any longer?

A The trust had pretty much evaporated.
Q What did they try to do at the end when we got close to a deadline?

A Well, there were -- they tried to force us to take a lower deal than, again, what we had agreed to or what we wanted to do.

Q And do you remember in which areas those were?

A Yes. It had to do with payments that were going to go to them. It had to do with accounts receivables that we had not agreed to pay them. They wanted to take those. There were a number of categories there where, originally, the deal was so -- kind -- formed up as a sort of a $\$ 36$ million deal, and by the time -- I just remember thinking, by the time we got done with what they wanted to spend on paper, I was worried that we were going to have to write them out a check.

Q Even before you were contacted by York, did you have talks with anybody else?

A Yes.
Q Who is that?
A There were a couple in the fall timeframe.
Q And by the way, before we go any further down this line, if there's anybody that we're going to be talking about that has a contract with you -- hold a nondisclosure agreement or some confidentiality provision, please let me and the Court know because we have means to protect the confidentially in that event.

So before you give the name of whoever contacted you before York, is there a confidentiality concern as to that?

A Yes.
Q Phew, just caught it in time.

A Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, I'd like to invoke the procedure we discussed earlier today.

THE COURT: Does anyone wish to be heard on that issue? Yes.

MR. JACOBS: Your Honor, my suggestion is that Mr. McBride refer to Party A --

THE COURT: All right.
MR. JACOBS: -- and describe what happened, and then at some convenient moment, in a closed courtroom, he can -- we can go through a list of the confidential items that have to be put on the record.

THE COURT: I think that's a good idea.
MR. SPECTOR: That's a great -- I've never heard that one before.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: But that really is a very good idea because we're going to have to do it multiple times.

THE COURT: Exactly.
MR. SPECTOR: Somebody keep track of the letters.
THE COURT: We'll start with A
MR. SPECTOR: All right.
THE WITNESS: I guess I have to remember these too, then.

THE COURT: Mr. Marriott.

MR. MARRIOTT: The only point of clarification that I would seek is, I thought at the outset of the examination, counsel had indicated that he was not going to incorporate the long back and forth that is alleged to have occurred in finding other buyers in an effort to speed things up. And we had said, I thought, that that was fine by us. It sounds to me like we're, nevertheless, doing that. I'm just seeking some guidance as to whether or not -- and if it hasn't already been achieved by the prior agreement that we have as to what comes in from the past hearing.

MR. SPECTOR: Well, we have to make -- we have to win this case, Judge. There was a record. It wasn't the primary issue at that time. The issue was, did we just trump up this deal. We'll be speaking to that quite a bit more, also. I didn't mean to say that we use that as our record for this case. I just want to say that there are things that may have come in that we don't want to go back over.

THE COURT: All right. I'll allow you to proceed.

MR. SPECTOR: Thank you.
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q So, Party A, you had conversations with Party A
A Yes.

Q When did that commence?
A That commenced in early September of 2007.
Q That would have been before September 14th, when the case was filed?

A Correct.
Q And can you describe those talks briefly? We don't need a lot of detail on that.

A Yes. Briefly, it was an idea of selling off our UNIX business to Party A I don't know, these discussions were going on simultaneous to discussions about bankruptcy and, you know, we didn't come to an agreement with them.

Q Why did that deal fall through with Party A?
A Party A had difficulties with the UNIX ownership rights that were spelled out in Judge Kimball's ruling in that summer timeframe.

Q All right. Now, let's go after the York deal fell apart. How long after the York deal fell apart did you begin talks with others with regards to potential deal?

A And before I go to that, I had mentioned earlier there were two in the fall. Did you want me to hit -Q Oh, yes.

A -- the other one now?
Q Yes, that's right. You're right. Please go on.
A So Party B came on almost the same time as York. They were more of a short cycle, so Party B, we had discussions
with. Again, nondisclosure. They went through and did due diligence, another discussion about buying the UNIX business. So that was in -- beginning to end, probably went through the month of October of 2007.

Q After the York deal terminated, how long was it before you got contacted by another party?

A It was the same month.
Q And who was this other party?
A That was Stephen Norris.
Q So you spoke with Mr. Norris?
A Yes.
Q Describe the discussions that commenced in the initial weeks of your acquaintance with Mr. Norris.

A Mr. Norris was interested in the UNIX business. He believed that it was -- had a lot of value, that there are only a handful of platforms around the world that really do operate in system management, if you will, in the computer industry, and we were in the ownership position of one of them. And so he found that very intriguing, and Mr. Norris has, you know, he has a lot of contacts worldwide, and so we found it intriguing to have those discussions with him. Q After those initial conversations, were there any follow-up conversations?

A Yes, there were.
Q And how long did those last?

A Oh, a period of weeks.
Q As I understand it, Mr. Norrison [sic] was trying to put together a team to buy the company from you -- buy the UNIX business from you, I mean.

A Yes.
Q Did you ever come to some kind of an agreement as to terms with Mr. Norris or as, actually, Steve Norris Capital Partners?

A Yes. So what happened then is we talked to Mr.
Norris. He was the founder of the -- what has become the largest product equity firm in the world, the Carlyle Group. He represented to us that he was prepared to take our company into his hands and go out and form up some capital arrangements with some people around the world. He talked to various partners.

MR. SPECTOR: Well, my question, though, and I guess that's a nonresponsive. But $I$ guess that's mine. I'm going to ask that as nonresponsive.

THE COURT: Well, you don't have to strike that.
MR. SPECTOR: I don't have to. All right.
THE COURT: You can --
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q The question was, did you ever come to some agreement as to terms with Steve Norris Capital Partners.

A Yes, so we did come to terms with him.

Q And what were the terms of that original deal with Steve Norris Capital Partners? Generally speaking, because

A The -- generally speaking --
Q -- the actual document is on file.
A Sure.
Q Okay.
A Generally speaking, the terms were, we signed a Memorandum of Understanding. The Memorandum of Understanding called for a $\$ 5$ million capital infusion into the company and then a $\$ 95$ million line of credit that was going to be on the back end of that, depending on how much, if any, that line of credit was tapped into was going to have a dilutive effect on the shareholders of SCO, and obviously, a lot more punitive to the extent that you drew down more of the capital line.

Q Do you remember when a plan was filed with those terms, generally speaking?

A I believe it was February of '08.
Q Did there come a time that the deal with Mr. -- with Steve Norris Capital Partners also fell apart?

A Yes.
Q Was there something in the press about the time that that happened?

A Yes.

Q What was it in the press that was noteworthy in regards to these talks?

A There was a story that came out that said that SCO or a new company in this case, Steve Norris Capital Partners, whoever was buying the business from SCO was going to have to pay 95 percent of the monies they collected as a royalty back to Novell.

Q Did that have some effect on the desire of Stephen Norris Capital Partners to close this deal?

A Yes, it had a very chilling effect, and even though that statement was untrue, there was so much noise out there, and the people we were dealing with were across the ocean and they became very nervous about completing the transaction.

Q And they cancelled it?
A Yes.
Q Now, Judge Gross has heard some talk about 95/5 in the early stages of this case. Do you -- do you want to remind the Court what the real 95/5 deal is about?

A You're talking about the SNCP?
Q Yeah. No, no, no, I'm sorry.
A Oh, you're --
Q I -- I'm being vague.
A Okay.
Q The article you just related said --

A Oh, the other, the UNIX royalties, yes.
Q -- 95 percent of the revenues that SCO would collect would have to go to Novell --

A Sure.
Q -- leaving SCO --
A Yes.
Q -- with only five percent of gross revenues.
A Yes.
Q Which you said is untrue. What - there is a 95/5 split with Novell, though, isn't there?

A Yes, there is.
Q Okay. And we discussed this in Court on a previous occasion when Novell raised it, right?

A Yes. I believe in the fall of '07, if I'm not mistaken, Novell was concerned about a royalty stream that we, as part of our agreement with them in the 95 Asset Purchase Agreement, would pay to Novell, which was older versions of UNIX that we collected, mostly out of Japan. We would send 95 percent of that revenue to Novell. That was always part of the deal. Our deal was that we were going to own UNIX where we already had open server, and so it was just those older versions of UNIX that we're paying 95 percent.

So when this article came out, saying SCO has to pay 95 percent, they had mistakenly attached that to our
actual product business, and if that would have been a true statement, we wouldn't be in business today because we would have no revenue to run our company.

Q Now, deal talks apparently ebbed and flowed during the past two years; is that right?

A Yes.
Q Once the SNCP deal failed and the Novell case was set for trial, were deal talks active or were they in a lull? A They were definitely in a lull. Once that came down, there was a dampening effect.

Q So the -- this is a matter of record, but I believe the SCO -- the Novell trial, fixing damages on the constructive trust issue, came to -- started in the first part of May; is that right?

A That -- that's correct.
Q Of 2008?
A Yes.
Q So during the late spring and early summer, how would you characterize the pace of talks with potential suitors? A The pace of talks had dramatically slowed down. Q Now, you previously testified on one of our exclusivity extension motions about this particular phenomenon, did you not?

A Yes.
Q And eventually, you received a ruling from Judge

Kimball on the liquidation of the amount of the constructive trust claim of Novell; is that right?

A That's correct.
Q Now, was that in July of 2008?
A Yes, I believe it was July 16th, 2008.
Q Was that award $\$ 2.54$ million, plus prejudgment
interest?
A Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, I have a copy of the judgment or the findings, I suppose. Findings, I guess. And that's what happened in July. The judgment was months later.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: And the Court can take judicial notice of a District -- a U.S. District Court judgment, but I'm going to have a copy for you, so you can have it in case we discuss it later.

THE COURT: Okay.
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Now, this has been marked as Debtor's Exhibit Number
28. Would you identify this for the Court, please? And I'll hand them out.

THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Spector.
(Pause.)
Is there any objection? Well, I guess you really
haven't established it with the witness yet, right? I'm sorry.

BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Can you identify that, please?
A Yes, it's a Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order in Case Number 204CB139DAK in the Novell versus SCO. MR. SPECTOR: I offer Debtor's Exhibit 28. MR. LEWIS: No objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: No objection. It is so admitted, then.
(Debtor's Exhibit 28 received in evidence.)
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q After the -- Novell received that judgment, did talks begin again?

A Yes, they did.
Q Which company was the next one that you spoke with?
A The next one was -- well, let's see, it was York and
SNCP, DNE, or am I just going to unidentified ones?
Q Well, after July 2008, SNCP was off.
A Right.
Q York was off.
A Right.
Q Was there somebody else?
A Right. I understand. I'm just trying to figure out what lettering I'm up to now.

Q Oh, oh, I see.
THE COURT: C.
THE WITNESS: I'm confused.
MR. SPECTOR: Up to C, I believe.
THE WITNESS: I've never done this before. I just -- I'm -- am I counting all of the people that we've done, or are we just using --

MR. SPECTOR: No, no, just in order of your regular test --

THE WITNESS: -- code letters for people that aren't identified?

MR. SPECTOR: In order of your testimony.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
MR. SPECTOR: We had $A$, we had $B$, call this one C, then.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Let's call this one C, then.
Company --
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Tell us about $C$.
A Com --
Q Who was C?
A Yeah.
Q No, no, I didn't mean who is C. Tell us a little something about C without trying -- without --

A Okay.

Q Unless your description of $C$ will help identify it, which is going to be a little bit of a problem here.

A I think I can work around that.
Q Okay.
THE COURT: Okay.
BY MR. SPECTOR:
A Company C was a technology company based out of York.
It had some -- what shall we call this? I'm trying to figure out how to say this without --

Q Well, rather than describing it, it's a technology company in Europe.

A Okay.
Q That's good enough for these purposes.
A Okay. Let's just call it a technology company in Europe. The general idea with Company --

Q Well, I didn't ask you anything else. It's my turn. What was the general idea about the deal with this company? A The general idea with Company $C$ was that we would merge the SCO group with Company $C$, and then there would be some additional capital that would be invested into the merged company.

Q Right out of the chute, was there a lot of activity?
A Yeah, initially, there was a lot of action, a lot of -

Q What type of action?

A Well, there were a lot of discussions. There were meetings -- meeting with the principals of Company C, putting together business plans. One of the things -- one of the general ideas of Company $C$ and the merged company, SCO -- with SCO would be to go into the emerging markets around the world. There's a term called BRICMEA -- Brazil, Russia, India, China, Middle East, Africa, if I'm not mistaken.

So the emerging markets of the world, we believed, were a prime target for the SCO operating system, and that was where we spent a lot of energy and market development and marketing work to understand better that -that opportunity.

Q What happened to those talks?
A They eventually just fizzled out.
Q About the time that the talks with Company $C$ were fizzling out, did you reengage with Mr. Norris on some other venture?

A Yes, we did.
Q And what was the name of this other company he introduced you to as a purchaser of the UNIX business?

A This was with MerchantBridge.
Q Tell us what you know about MerchantBridge.
A MerchantBridge is a merchant bank that is based out of London. It has some very highly qualified financial people
that run it. The top guy of MerchantBridge is a guy named Basil al-Rahim, who worked with Mr. Norris in their Carlyle days. And in fact, I believe Basil ran Carlyle

International when Steve was at Carlyle.
Q What else do you know about MerchantBridge?
A Oh they had some other strong players there. We were especially intrigued by -- well, there were two other things I would say. One is some management talent they had there that really understood UNIX. One senior executive at MerchantBridge had worked at the Digital Equipment Corporation and really understood UNIX very well. And then the other person working with him, Rafe [ph], was also a very strong UNIX advocate. So we had Rafe and Eric that were big UNIX aficionados, if you will?

And then the other thing that was compelling about MerchantBridge is they had very strong ties into some big money players in the Middle East.

Q Did you make any trip to London to meet with these folks?

A Yes, I did.
Q And when was the first time you did that?
A My first trip to meet with them on this was, as I recall, in September of 2008.

Q Would you characterize this more as an introductory talk?

A Yes, that's exactly what it was. It was a first meeting.

Q How did they appear to you when you finished the introductions? I mean, let's -- we strike that. That's terrible. How receptive were the people at MerchantBridge after they had been introduced to you and UNIX and SCO? A The -- they seemed very receptive. They basically said, we'll get back with you. We heard shortly after that that they were very interested in the idea of buying the UNIX business from us, investing in it, and then moving it forward into these emerging markets.

Q So what did you do after that meeting to further those discussions?

A Next thing we did then, is we scheduled a two-day working session with the principals of MerchantBridge and the key management players that would be involved in it, and then, the key SCO management team players.

Q And when did you have this two-day working session?
A That was in the first part of November 2008.
Q At that time, in the first part of November 2008, how confident were you that you'd have a deal soon?

A Well, we didn't know that we were going to have a deal soon. We were trying to push for that because we knew we had a deadline coming up with this Court at the end of the year. So we were pushing for that, but it was still early
in the game.
Q Now, what, if anything, did the folks at MerchantBridge do to advance the discussions? Did they do any due diligence?

A Yes, they did. So what happened after the two-day meeting in November -- so it was a very -- again, a working session where we went through the technology. Our technologist was in the meeting, Mr. Andy Nagel. Our marketing people was there, Mr. Hunsaker. We had a very deep set of discussions.

A couple of weeks after that, they came back again and said, okay. It's not -- we passed another hurdle, which is we've gone through this deep working discussion, and they're very interested in pursuing it. And what they wanted to do next was to come to the U.S. and to do more due diligence to get a better, more full understanding before moving to actual papering of the deal.

Q Did they do that?
A Yes, they did.
Q And when was that?
A Well, it started in December, and what happened is the -- they started that deep due diligence just about ten days before Christmas. And they were getting into the depths of it, and we were pushing them very hard to try and get a deal signed by the 31st of December.

Q Did they have lawyers to help paper a deal?
A Not at that point.
Q And we have a deadline of December 31st before we lose exclusivity?

A Right.
Q And what were you trying to do with regard to that?
A Well, we were trying to get a -- some kind of a signed agreement in place.

Q And if there were no signed agreement with them or anybody else, what was the only alternative to -- for the debtor at that point?

A To go back to the original plan that we had when we came into the Court, which is to go to a company-internal reorganized plan, where we would dramatically reduce the size of the company into a plan that we could reorganize on our Own.

Q But what was your real goal? What did you really want to do, as we entered into the Christmas week? What was your real intent?

A What we really --
Q SCO really -- what did it really want to do at that point?

A Well, we really wanted to get a deal with them that would allow the UNIX business -- we don't want to send all these employees home. We don't want the customers to
suffer. We were trying to get a deal signed to be able to move forward.

Q Did there come a time in late December when it was put up or shut up time?

A Yes.
Q And did that time come and go?
A Yes, it did.
Q Okay. And then, we had to file a plan that did not include a deal with MerchantBridge; is that right?

A That - that's correct.
Q Okay. After that -- and that plan was filed in the early part of January 2009; is that right?

A Yes.
Q After that plan was filed, did you hear back from the MerchantBridge folks again?

A Yes, I did.
Q What was the import of the conversation at that point?
A Well, they had seen that we had filed papers saying we're going to go out and try and open this up to other people to come and look at it. And they came back and said, hey, we're -- just because we didn't get that signed didn't mean we're not interested. We want to dive back in, but we also don't -- we want to go down the path of a private sale like we've been talking about. And that was the nature of that discussion.

Q All right. Did you honor the request to reengage with MerchantBridge?

A Yes, we did.
Q About that same time, in late January or early February 2009, were any other inquiries made by other parties to discuss being a stalking horse or something of that nature?

A Yes.
Q And who were those people?
A From the period of --
Q Again, if you have to use letters --
A Yes.
Q Otherwise, if you don't have to use letters, if you don't have an NDA, if you don't have confidentiality, you can name names.

A So you mentioned 2000 -- January of 2009.
Q Yes.
A Did you want just that timeframe or did you want over the ensuing months?

Q Well, answer it the best you can. I was talking about when you filed the plan in January, 2009.

A Right.
Q But we can go back because I know there are other companies, as well.

A Okay. Well, I'll just do --

Q Let's talk about this.
A You want me to take the January 2009?
Q Yes.
A Okay. So in that timeframe, we had two entities emerge, one that we had talked to before and a new one. The

-     - 

Q Who were they?
A The company -- let's go Company D, based out of New York, software company had expressed a desire to, again, buy the UNIX business.

Q How far did those talks advance?
A They went into a level of NDAs, sharing information, due diligence.

Q Did it -- what came of those discussions?
A They received an offer from another technology company to buy them, and that basically precluded them from doing a deal with us.

Q And what about the other company that you engaged with after?

MR. SPECTOR: What's that?
THE COURT: Is that the phone?
MR. SPECTOR: Stop that stopwatch.
THE COURT: Let's try it again.
MR. SPECTOR: Okay.
THE COURT: I'm sorry.

BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Go ahead. You were answering.
A So the other company that came forward at that point
in time was -- I got to think back on the NDA status of this one before $I$ say it. To be safe, let's call it Company E, and then I'll disclose later who it is.

Q Okay. That's fair.
A So Company E stepped forward and expressed an interest in purchasing the UNIX business.

Q Could you describe for the Court the offer made for the purchase of the UNIX business by Company E?

A Yes. Company E was trying to buy the business for a lower amount than the discussions we had on the table with MerchantBridge. And they -- and in terms of doing that, they were basically saying, look, we don't need to pay prepetition creditors a dollar on dollar. That's crazy. I mean, most people coming out of bankruptcy are happy if they get ten or twenty cents on the dollar.

Our commitment all along was to take care of all constituents at 100 percent, including shareholders, including creditors, employees, customer -- we were trying to keep everybody intact. And their plan basically called for cramming down customers, and that was -- that didn't sit well with us.

Q It wouldn't be customers, it'd be vendors, wouldn't
they?
A Yes.
Q I mean, customers aren't creditors, are they?
A That -- that's right.
Q Okay.
A Yeah, I meant to say creditors. Right. Yeah.
Q Is there anybody who is a part of Company $E$ that you had met before in your discussions with other companies?

A Yes.
Q And who is that? As far as I know --
A Pers - -
Q -- is there any reason why you can't give the name of the person whom you dealt with for Company $E$ ?

A I don't know. That's the question. It's either Person A or its --

Q Do you see that person in the courtroom?
A Yes.
Q Would you point him out? It sounds like the criminal trial.

A It's right over my shoulder. Yeah.
Q I don't know who it is, so that -- what is he wearing.
A The guy smiling over there.
Q Raising a hand. All right. The gentleman without a jacket with a bow tie. Do you know that person's name?

A Yes.

Q As what is his name?
A That's Charlie Hale.
Q Oh, that's the one way to get where I was coming from.
A Okay.
Q And is he a principal of some other company that you did discussions with?

A Yes.
Q And which company was that?
A That was York Capital.
Q Okay. And we've already discussed those negotiations and how you were left -- the feelings you were left with at the end of those; is that right?

A Yes.
Q Okay. I take it no agreement was reached with Company E?

A Correct.
Q And whose request was that let go?
A It was -- it wasn't something that we accepted. It just didn't work.

Q Again, since January of '09 and through -- well, that was January or February period?

A Yes.
Q Was there somebody else you spoke with before that we haven't already talked about -- let me strike that. Were there any other companies with whom you spoke with reference
to the sale of the UNIX business or other financial arrangement that occurred before February 2009 that we haven't already addressed?

A Not that I can think of.
Q Okay. Then, let's move forward.
A Okay.
Q After you had spoken with Company E and reengaged with MerchantBridge, were there any other players out there?

A Yes.
Q All right. If you can give the names, give the names. If you can't, let's use the letters again.

A Okay. Let's, first of all, go to Company F. Company F was a group that wanted to come in and put investment into the company. Actually, it was in the form of a DIP loan to the tune of about between $\$ 5$ and $\$ 6$ million. The return for that immediately was going to be, they would take somewhere between 65 and 80 percent ownership in the company's shares for that $\$ 5$ to $\$ 6$ million DIP loan.

Q Was there a company out of California with whom you dealt?

A Yes.
Q We're talking about G now.
A Yes.
Q Right?
A Yeah, now we're at Company G.

Q Tell us about that.
A Company $G$ is a very large buyout company. They buy technology companies. And we had several discussions with them, and that did not materialize in an offer, but the discussion was that they would buy our UNIX business. Q Did you have talks with an existing shareholder with regards of financial deal about the same time you were dealing with Company $F$ and Company $G$ ?

A Yes.
Q And, of course, MerchantBridge, which --
A Yes.
Q -- you haven't gotten back to yet.
A Yes.
Q Is that name available or is it something we have to put an H--

A Let -- let's be safe, let's put an I.
Q $\quad H \quad-\quad$ I think we're up to $H$.
A We're up to H. Okay. Let's put an $H$ behind that one.
Q And --
A That was an existing shareholder who had ties into some other investors in the New York City area, and we had several discussions with them about a possible investment. The idea there was that they were going to put millions of dollars into the company and get equity warrants and possible upside on the litigation returns.

Q Now, at the time we were approaching the June 15th hearing --

A Yes.
Q The latter of May, first week of June period.
A Yes.
Q How many different deals were being discussed at the same time by management and counsel?

A Four.
Q It was the MerchantBridge deal; is that right?
A Yes.
Q And then there were $H, G$, and $F$ ?
A It actually would have been $H, G$, and one we haven't talked about.

Q All right. What's the one we haven't talked about?
A The one we haven't talked about was a deal with our -the chairman of our Board of Directors, Ralph Yarrow, who was going to agree to backstop to a certain level a line of credit that would allow us to move forward to complete a deal with one of these other three. And then, as a means of not causing harm to creditors in the event that it didn't come to fruition.

The other one there was MerchantBridge. So we have MerchantBridge, F, G, and Yarrow.

Q So let's get back to MerchantBridge. When we -- did we have a name for this kind of competition at that time
where we were working with all four deals?
A What -- we -- it was during the time of the Triple Crown Races, and so we referred it to as our horse race. Q Was MerchantBridge always in front?

A No.
Q We know it won the race, but was MerchantBridge always in front?

A No.
Q And there came a time that it pulled away from the pack. And when was that?

A Yes. They pulled away from the pack when they put down a \$250,000 deposit into escrow.

Q And then moved on from there? Did we get into negotiations and, I mean, papering the deal?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And as of June 15th -- strike that. As of June 5th, when we had a deadline to file a response to the motions to convert, did we have, at that time, any knowledge which of the four horses were going to win that race?

A No.
Q We didn't know that anybody was going to do a deal; is that right?

A Correct.
Q Okay. When did you finally ink a deal with MerchantBridge?

A About five minutes before the hearing on June 16th -June 15th.

Q Okay. And His Honor has heard plenty about that already. Now, let me -- I kept calling it MerchantBridge, so we didn't have a problem with nomenclature. And I want to go back and connect the dots on that, Mr. McBride.

When you first met MerchantBridge folks in London, were they the only people involved in this deal?

A No.
Q Who else was involved as getting -- as part of this deal from the purchasing side?

A Steve Norris.
Q In one of his companies?
A Yes.
Q And you didn't know which company it was at the beginning, did you?

A No.
Q Ultimately, did a company emerge of Steve Norris's?
A Yes.
Q And what was the name of that company?
A It was called Gulf Capital Partners.
Q Okay. Now, did the two of them, MerchantBridge and Gulf Capital Partners, jointly do this deal?

A They -- no, I wouldn't say it that way.
Q All right. How would you describe their relationship
on the deal that has ultimately come before this Court?
A Those two companies, MerchantBridge International and Gulf Capital Partners, formed a new entity called Unxis. That is the organization that we ended up doing the deal with.

Q As far as you know, they're joint ventures in that entity?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
MR. SPECTOR: May I have Exhibit 1, please? Now, I had with me on June 15th, brand new, not even dry ink version of the signed contract and probably have it in here. We had objections that what $I$ had sent in was not a signed version, so do you have a signed version here?

THE CLERK: No.
MR. SPECTOR: I have it in my briefcase, but I hate to put it up. I'd like to show it to you guys since it is the original. And a folder I had that day for the exhibits. And at some point during the day, I think counsel for the other side actually held this document.

MR. LEVIN: Your Honor. Your Honor, to be clear

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Levin.
MR. LEVIN: -- I don't recall that we ever objected to the document that was handed to us on June 15th.

The only objection we had was, wait a minute, you're giving us something with original signatures. Don't you want to retain this and give us a copy?

MR. SPECTOR: Right. And I'm doing that now.
THE COURT: That's a copy?
MR. SPECTOR: No, this is the original. So, I mean, the only reason I'm making this little drama here is that we -- the objection we received was that it wasn't the original. So I'm saying I've got it. I'd like not to put it into evidence. I'd like to put a copy into evidence.

THE COURT: That would be acceptable.
MR. SPECTOR: This is the entire document. If you want to hand it -- oh, and give it to them. We don't have any copies of this?

THE CLERK: Well, because it's been on file, its hundreds of pages.

THE COURT: Why don't you give it to the movants?
MR. SPECTOR: All right. We don't have an exhibit number on that one, either.

MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, I might note that some of the exhibits were filed under seal.

MR. SPECTOR: That's correct. Thank you for reminding me of that.

THE COURT: That's right.
MR. SPECTOR: Well, it is filed and it is very
bulky. And we don't have I don't know how many, 15 copies of everything else with us.

THE COURT: It is filed, and I don't think it's critical that it be marked for that reason.

MR. SPECTOR: Thank you.
MR. LEWIS: Your Honor, just for the record.
THE COURT: Mr. Lewis.
MR. LEWIS: This is not what was presented to us that day, as the Court may recall. We were presented with the transactional documents and a couple of the exhibits and were told everything else was coming, being copied at that very moment.

THE COURT: Right. There was a 500-page document or something like that that was coming.

MR. LEWIS: Something like that.
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: Does Your Honor want the one we brought to Court?

THE COURT: No, I do not need that one.
MR. SPECTOR: Well we'll just assume that that purchase of sale agreement is in evidence.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: We were going to call it Exhibit 1 -- the Debtor's Exhibit 1, but it's in evidence under whatever title it is. Fine.

BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q So your talks eventually were memorialized in that exhibit that just was admitted, correct?

A Yes, that's correct.
Q Would you describe the principal deal points, remembering the documents in evidence and people have looked it over. Just the principal deal points that you remember. A Yes, so the principal deal points were that we were going to get about $\$ 2.4$ million, $I$ believe, in cash for the UNIX business and there was going to be a line of credit that would relate to their potential judgment. However, we resolved the Novell judgment for another $\$ 2.85$ million, and we would divest of the UNIX products business to them and the SCO Mobile server business to them. We would retain all rights in what was going on in the litigation, and we would also retain the SCO Mobile product applications.

Q From the SCO point of view, what were the benefits of this deal?

A Well, it was a good deal. It is a good deal because it addressed the objective we had from the beginning of our entry into bankruptcy court, and that was to take care of all of the stakeholders that related to us, whether it was customers, shareholders, employees. Anybody who had a stake in SCO, we wanted to be able to take care of them. This deal does that.

Q Now, you talked about employees. How does this deal keep the employees intact?

A What we're able to do with this Unxis deal is to take the good, hardworking employee base that's been with the company for decades that started at AT\&T Bell Labs, some of which are here in the room today, and the worldwide sales force that hold this SCO ecosystem together and move that pretty much en masse to this new investor.

And so that moves over to them, and then they come in and, not only buy the business, but commit to take it forward and to develop the UNIX products and to continue to make good value for the customers.

Q So I take it from what you said, one of the goals of the SCO management was to make sure that the customers -the install base UNIX customers --

A Yes.
Q -- were taken care of by somebody committed to the product?

A That's correct.
Q And are you satisfied Unxis folks will do that?
A Yes.
Q Well, you've already said their -- I think you said -well, maybe if you did, I'll ask you again. Are they committed to take over the employment of the core cadre of engineers who have worked on this product for 20-some-odd
years?
A Yes, they are. That's a core part of the deal.
Q And is that evidence to you of anything -- about some commitment to the product?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
A Going back to the very first discussions with MerchantBridge, they have these two UNIX pros who were very excited about taking the UNIX line forward.

Q So that part of the deal covers your concern about employees and the UNIX install base?

A Yes. And the customers.
Q What about paying claims?
A Okay. So the other part, then, is unlike the -- I forget which company letter it was. I think it was F, where in the spring of '09, the proposal was to do a cram-down on the creditors. Well, a cram-down on the creditors is also going to, I believe, have a cram-down effect on shareholders, and the whole thing was just going to not meet our objective.

So in this case, what we have is a deal with Unxis that allows us to go in and take care of our prepetition creditors and do that at 100 percent level, rather than a 10 or 20 percent level.

Q Why hasn't the debtor assumed the 1995 Novell Asset

Purchase Agreement with Santa Cruz operations?
A There's no need to. The contract has been performed on each side, so it's not an executory contract.

MR. LEWIS: Objection Your Honor. Calls for an opinion. It's a legal opinion.

THE COURT: A legal opinion.
MR. SPECTOR: I asked why didn't the seller assume a contract. He says, because we don't need to. Why don't you need to? Because it's not executory, everything is done. I don't understand why this -- I mean, how do you get that testimony? You know, I mean, I asked if they want a factual record. Here's a factual record. The president -- the CEO of the company is saying, we chose not to assume it. We didn't have to choose not to assume it. We didn't have to make that choice. It's not an executory contract. BY MR. SPECTOR:

Q What is your understanding of an executory contract?
MR. LEWIS: Same objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I think I have to sustain the
objection.
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Are there any duties left on the Novell side to perform from this APA?

A No.
Q Okay.

MR. LEWIS: Your Honor, it's the same objection. It calls for legal conclusion, and the document speaks for itself. We can argue that. He can testify about, you know, what he has to do or doesn't have to -- what he thinks he has to do or doesn't have to do. But his testimony is not competent testimony on the question of what is an executory contract.

THE COURT: Understood.
MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, a company -- a businessman is testifying about a contract. We're asking him, is the contract completed, yes or no. Yes, it is. I mean, this --

THE COURT: All right. I will allow that question and answer. BY MR. SPECTOR:

Q Mr. McBride, is the contract completed?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Does SCO owe -- other than to remit five percent collection -- strike that -- 95 percent of the collections, are there any obligations that SCO has to Novell under that contract?

A No.
Q And does Novell owe any duty to SCO when you pay the 95 percent of the revenues?

A No.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, just for -- if I may, just for the record.

THE COURT: Mr. Marriott.
MR. MARRIOTT: I'd like to join and reiterate the objection that this is incompetent testimony that goes for a legal conclusion as to whether or not SCO has obligations, whether Novell has obligations, and whether the obligations have been satisfied. I want to make sure we've got a record that both has spoken.

THE COURT: I think we need a record that it -that -- to his knowledge.

MR. SPECTOR: All right.
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Do you know of anything that you have to get, that SCO has to get from Novell as a result of this APA?

A No.
Q All right. Is it -- what is SCO's position as to what you got -- well, strike that. Let me go back to something. With whom did SCO -- who did SCO purchase -- strike that. Who did Novell sell the assets to in the 1995 APA? Who is the other party?

A The Santa Cruz operation.
MR. SPECTOR: And I'm trying not to go into the merits of the case, Your Honor. That's why I'm trying to
think about the question in a very careful way. BY MR. SPECTOR:

Q Did whatever the Santa Cruz operations get go to somebody else?

A Yes.
Q To whom?
A Caldera International Corporation.
Q Did whatever -- and did Caldera get everything that
Santa Cruz operations had?
A To my knowledge, yes.
Q And did Caldera International transfer those same assets to another company?

A Not yet.
Q Those intellectual property assets?
A No.
Q All right. Did Caldera --
A Well, we renamed the company --
Q All right.
A -- but they didn't transfer them.
Q They renamed the company. I see.
A Yes.
Q And what is Caldera International now called?
A The SCO Group, Inc.
Q Okay. So the asset purchase agreement was never between Novell and SCO Group, Inc.; is that right?

A That's right.
Q All right. So it's not your contract to assume or reject with Novell?

A That's my understanding.
MR. JACOBS: Your Honor, this is --
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. JACOBS: -- this is really way beyond the scope now. And --

THE COURT: It certainly is.
MR. JACOBS: -- go -- there's a lot of litigation history that goes to whether this company, the SCO Group, is bound by the asset purchase agreement. We object.

THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. I think that is beyond this witness's ability to testify.

MR. SPECTOR: The --
THE COURT: Let me just point out, too, your two and a half hours has roughly been used up.

MR. SPECTOR: Not mine, by my watch.
THE COURT: You've been going for an hour --
MR. SPECTOR: 2:08.
THE COURT: -- an hour and a half, roughly.
MR. SPECTOR: Right. And I had about ten minutes.

THE COURT: And you had about an hour --
MR. SPECTOR: No, not -- I didn't Judge.

THE COURT: -- of use.
MR. MARRIOTT: Yes, Your Honor. That's
consistent with our time.
THE COURT: Yeah.
MR. SPECTOR: I didn't. I wish we had a running clock because I was careful.

THE COURT: Well, I've been keeping track, and I'm just saying, I'm not cutting you off, but --

MR. SPECTOR: I've only got maybe four or five more questions -- maybe six more questions.

THE COURT: All right. I'm not cutting you off. I'd like to get all of the evidence.

MR. SPECTOR: Are you -- wait a minute, are you counting the colloquy? I thought you said the colloquy wasn't going to count.

THE COURT: No. No, I'm not.
MR. SPECTOR: Well, I wish we could get a running
-- someone let me know.
THE COURT: But I don't have a stopwatch. Each time there's an objection, $I$ don't stop it, you know, the time.

BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q All right. Why are you not -- why is SCO not assigning any of the rights under the 1995 APA between SCO Group -- strike that -- between Santa Cruz operations and

Novell? Why is it not assigning any rights arising from that contract? Has it been asked to assign it?

A No.
Q If Judge Gross grants our motion, authorizes the debtor to close the sale of the Unxis, when do you expect the closing to occur?

A Middle of August.
Q In the 34 days between today and August 31, will SCO continue to lose money, according to the company's estimates?

A According to our estimates, yes.
Q Okay. Will it be a material amount?
A No.
Q What if, on August 31st, Unxis refuses to close? Will the losses incurred in the 34 days prejudice creditors?

A No, I don't believe it will.
Q Why not?
A We have multiple backstops in place that we believe will be there to preserve the creditors.

Q Tell us what those are.
A Well, the first one is what we mentioned earlier, that we do have a signed letter agreement with our chairman, Ralph Yarrow, for the benefit of creditors, to the extent that the deal doesn't come through. That's probably about the amount that we may lose.

To the extent that it's more than that, I was informed by my CFO this morning, earlier today, that we're actually running a couple of thousand to the positive better than we were. That's another couple of hundred thousand. We have a patent that is for sale. We filed that motion on Friday. The estimate is there is we could get 500,000 to a million. That one is a little bit speculative, so I put that out above or beyond the other two. The first two are pretty solid. We have a potential judgment. If we were to get the judgment reversed in the Circuit Court of Appeals and they agreed to release it, that would be -- I'd put it up there with the patent, maybe speculative, but a possibility.

And then, there's one more source of funds that we would have access to, which is if the -- the Unxis deal does not complete, we do have a $\$ 250,000$ deposit they've put down that would come our direction.

Q Is that 250 or 200?
A 250 .
Q You're talking about 250 for Unxis?
A Yes.
Q Oh, I was talking about Mr. Yarrow. What about Mr. Yarrow?

A So 200,000 from Mr. Yarrow.
Q Okay.

A We're -- we're to the good a couple of hundred thousand, but better than we thought we'd be at this point, according to the CFO and then the Unxis deposit.

Q Do you have an agreement with Boies Schiller with regard to their pre-petitioned fees of $\$ 515,000 ?$

A Yes.
Q What is that?
A The agreement there is that that pre-petition debt goes away, and in return for that, we gave them some more upside and a possible recovery in the litigation.

Q What company -- what subsidiary company for SCO handles the marketing in Russia?

A The marketing in Russia would be handled by our Germany operation.

Q What company handles the marketing for China?
A That would be our Germany operation.
Q German.
A Oh, I'm sorry. I -- our Vice President of International --

Q Well.
A -- is in Germany.
Q Yes, but is there a --
A But the marketing --
Q Is there a -- who runs Japan?
A Mr. Ossell runs Japan.

Q Okay. Does he --
A And he is also over China.
Q I see. So Japan runs the marketing in China?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Which of the foreign subsidiaries holds the most cash?

A The foreign subsidiaries that hold the most cash would be Japan.

Q And how much cash is in Japan -- the Japanese subsidiary's coffers or were there in May or June of $2008 ?$

A Oh, less than a million, more than 500,000.
Q And did any of the other foreign subsidiaries hold any money?

A Not other than just doing the trade-type tables.
Q From whose cash did the 100,000 -- well, let me strike that. Did one of the SCO subsidiaries pay Steve Norris Capital Partners any money for any kind of work?

A Yes.
Q Which company was that?
A That was the Japan operation.
Q Was there a marketing budget for this market study?
A Yes.
Q Did it preexist Mr. Norris's coming forward?
A Yes.
Q And did Steve Norris Capital Partners perform the
duties that were necessary for this marketing study?
A Yes, they did.
Q Did it deliver a product that satisfied the subsidiaries?

A Yes.
Q Is this the BRICMEA marketing study?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And do you have -- do you have any reservations whatsoever about the bona fides of Unxis or its principals in closing this deal promptly?

A No.
Q Is there anything that SCO has to do or the sellers has to do -- have to do, other than obtain an order from this Court --

A No.
Q -- to satisfy the closing terms?
A No.
Q And is there any reason you have -- I think I already asked you. And do you have any reservations doing business with Unxis?

A None, whatsoever.
Q Do you have any doubts about the ability and desire of Unxis to close this deal promptly?

A No.
MR. SPECTOR: All right. I have no further
questions.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Counsel.
MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, may I suggest a recess? Given the time, we've been going almost two hours.

THE COURT: Yes. I think that's -- my only
problem is I have a 4:30 conference call with another judge outside of the country that I'll have to take. Well, even so, why don't we take a ten-minute recess. And then, we'll come back, and then there'll be a brief interruption at that time. Thank you, counsel.

MR. MARRIOTT: Thank you, Judge Gross.
(Recess at 3:46 to 4:03 p.m.)
THE CLERK: Please rise.
THE COURT: Thank you everyone. Please be
seated. All right. Are you leading off Mr. Marriott?
MR. MARRIOTT: I am, Your Honor, with the Court's permission.

THE COURT: You may.
MR. MARRIOTT: Thank you, Judge.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q Good afternoon, Mr. McBride.
A Good morning, Mr. Marriott.
Q Fine. Thank you, sir. Just to expedite the
examination, $I$ have placed, in addition to the binders that are behind you, some documents from those binders, including from defendants. They're to your far right, Mr. McBride. It may be most convenient if you just grab those. Though they're in the binders, $I$ think it'll make it easier for you to follow along.

A Right here?
MR. MARRIOTT: May I approach, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yeah, you may, Mr. Marriott. Of course.

THE WITNESS: The other far right? Yeah, those are the ones I had.

MR. SPECTOR: Judge, just so it's clear, we're the debtors.

MR. MARRIOTT: What did I say?
MR. SPECTOR: Defendant.
MR. MARRIOTT: Well I apologize to the debtors.
BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q Mr. McBride, you understand that IBM and Novell and the United States Trustee have made an application to have this case converted to Chapter 7, right?

A Yes.
Q And SCO debtors have opposed that application, correct?

A Yes.

Q And SCO told the Court, did it not, that while it had lost money during the course of this bankruptcy proceeding there has been no substantial diminution of the estate, right? SCO said that?

A I believe there is -- it was in one of the findings. Q Let me refer you to MX 1, Mr. McBride, at Page 6. Do you have that, sir?

A Okay.
Q And I would point you in particular to the first full paragraph, the second sentence. SCO told the Court, did it not, that quote, "For starters, the true aggregate net operating loss over that time," referring to the time since petition, "was only $\$ 4.357$ million," right?

A I don't see that.
MR. MARRIOTT: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Did you say the -- oh, it's Page 5 and Page 6.

MR. MARRIOTT: Yeah, I'll make that -THE WITNESS: Okay.

BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q All right. Let me direct you to Page 5, Mr. McBride. Do you see that? See where it says, first full paragraph, second sentence, "For starters, the true aggregate net operating loss over that period," referring to the period of
the bankruptcy, "was only $\$ 4.375$ million." See that?
A Yes.
Q And that's a false statement, is it not, Mr. McBride?
A I don't know that to be false.
Q Well, let me ask you, if I may, Mr. McBride, to take a look at Defendant's Exhibit 21, which I believe --

MR. SPECTOR: That would be Debtor's Exhibit.
MR. MARRIOTT: Debtor's Exhibit 21. Thank you.
BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q See that, Mr. McBride?
MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, Debtor's Exhibit 21 is not in evidence. I was going to move the admission of documents later. Do you want us to do that now? Make it easier because right now I would have an objection as, you know, we haven't admitted this into evidence Judge.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, its cross-examination. It's debtor's exhibit. If counsel would like to move the admission of Debtor's Exhibit 21, we obviously have no objection.

MR. SPECTOR: Well, I was going to move -- Mr. Nielson's referred to a bunch of the spreadsheets. I was going to move them all in at once.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, I'd like to, if I may, stick with my -- on this cross-examination --

THE COURT: Exhibit 21.

MR. MARRIOTT: -- Exhibit 21. We can deal with
the others.
MR. SPECTOR: I won't interrupt. I'll leave it, then.

MR. MARRIOTT: Thank you.
BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q Okay. You see that, Mr. McBride?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And so isn't it a fact, sir, that the - SCO's statement to the Court in its brief that, for starters, the true aggregate in that operating loss over that period was only 4.357 million was a false statement? Take a look at the bottom right, if you would, Mr. McBride, at Defendant's -- Debtor's Exhibit 21. Net loss from operations, 5.339 million. You see that, sir?

A I see what you're talking about, but with sitting here on this short notice, $I$ have no way of being able to compare this number to the one that's in your other brief to know if those numbers match up.

Q But when you say, "your other brief," you mean debtor's other brief, right?

A The first document that you gave me.
Q And that's debtor's document, is it not, sir?
A I don't know.
Q Okay.

A I mean, that's what I'm saying is you're throwing a bunch of documents in front of me and asking me to interpret them. If you want me to settle down and kind of read through them before asking me if I'm --

Q Well, I want you to take whatever time --
A -- if we're lying about them. You know --
Q Sure.
A -- I would be glad to read through them. But I'm -- I can't sit here and respond yes or no to your questions without absorbing this just a little bit.

Q I want you to take whatever time you need to absorb them, Mr. McBride.

A Okay.
Q Both of these documents are documents of the debtor, Debtor's Exhibit 21 and Debtor's Brief.
(Witness examines documents.)
MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor.
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: I got a suggestion from Mr. --
THE COURT: O'Neal?
MR. SPECTOR: -- O'Neal, and I don't want to do
this in front of the witness, so I'd ask that we can approach the bench on it?

THE COURT: Sure. We'll -- keep us on the record, if you can.
(At sidebar on the record:)
MR. SPECTOR: (indiscernible) It's a waste of
our time. What $I$ would do is just let him kill time.
MR. MARRIOTT: Let me make a suggestion.
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. MARRIOTT: Why don't I take him through the four statements, asking (indiscernible).

THE COURT: All right. That --
MR. SPECTOR: I didn't want to waste their time going through -- he doesn't know. It doesn't really matter. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, counsel. That's helpful.
(End of discussion at sidebar.)
BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q At the suggestion of your counsel, take a look, if you would, in addition to the first full paragraph to which I pointed you, this is now in MX 1. Take a look at the second full sentence. You see where it says, "The primary component of the aggregate net operating loss consists of bankruptcy and related reorganization expenses of \$2.3 million." See that?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Take a look, if you would, in the same paragraph at the fourth sentence, starting with the parenthetical quote, "561,000 are true losses from business
operations," closed quote. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Take a look, if you would, same paragraph, next sentence, quote, "That figure over 19 months equates to an operating loss of $\$ 29,500$ a month." Do you see that? A Yes.

Q Okay. Mr. McBride, Mr. Nielson, CFO of your company, testified that those representations to the Court as to SCO losses were all false. Do you have any reason to disagree with Mr. Nielson's testimony?

A No.
Q And your counsel has represented to the Court in the sidebar that we just had that all of those figures were false. Do you have any reason to disagree with the representations of your counsel?

A Not if the CFO represented it that way.
Q But if just your counsel represented it, you -- do you have a problem?

A Let put both of them in that bucket. As long as they both said it, then I will agree with them.

MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, I rise only to say that the choice of words is incorrect. We're not saying they're false. We're saying they were incorrect. Those number were not correct when we put them down were that. I know it's quibbling over words, but "false" has a stronger
connotation. We owned up to that. We would have June 15th. MR. MARRIOTT: You can set those against the side, Mr. McBride. I won't quibble over the distinction, Your Honor. I see no distinction, but I will not quibble over it.

BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q Mr. McBride, SCO's mobility business is comprised of two parts, right, a mobility server business on one hand and a mobility applications on the other, right?

A Yes.
Q And Unxis here proposes to acquire the mobile server part of that business, and it proposes to acquire one of the applications, an application called HipCheck, right?

A HipCheck is one that's going to be tied into both organizations. They will own the intellectual property to it, but SCO Group, Inc., going forward, will have an unlimited license to use that and sell it.

Q Okay. And SCO proposes to retain the mobile applications of SCO's current mobile business, with the exception of HipCheck, right?

A No, we keep HipCheck, as well, as I just described it.
Q You keep a piece of HipCheck, right?
A It's like IBM has a license to AIX from us. Well, we have a license to HipCheck from them.

Q Hey, you described on examination a series of
communications that you had with a number of potential investors in debtor, correct?

A Yes.
Q And isn't it a fact, Mr. McBride, that you discussed with a number of those potential investors SCO's mobility business?

A Yes.
Q You had discussions with --
MR. MARRIOTT: And, Your Honor, I frankly don't know whether this is protected on an NDA or not, so I can't refer to these by $A, B, C$, and $D$ without -- and communicate with the witness because we haven't made that linkup.

THE COURT: Put the letters to the names.
MR. SPECTOR: Do we want to clear the courtroom?
MR. MARRIOTT: Let me just take a second and ask counsel if any of these present a problem.

MR. SPECTOR: Okay. As if I know. I don't know about any, as long as they're in the room.

MR. MARRIOTT: Well, it sounds like it doesn't present a problem.

MR. SPECTOR: Oh, no, no, no. I didn't say that. You asked me -- you got to be very careful here. I said, I don't know. That's what $I$ said. I don't know.

MR. MARRIOTT: Neither do I, Your Honor. The witness testified as to this as his deposition. I want to
be sensitive to not disclosing information.
MR. SPECTOR: None of these are A, B, through -or mentioned in the direct. Not one of those four, except one of those is mentioned in relation to something else, but not as an acquirer.

MR. MARRIOTT: Right.
BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q You had discussions with a number of entities about making investments in SCO, correct?

A Yes.
Q And in some of those discussions, you had conversations about SCO's mobility business, correct?

A Yes.
MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, may I approach to address this concern?

THE COURT: You may.
BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q I'm going to show you my outline, Mr. McBride.
Without waiving the privilege, I trust. Mr. McBride, isn't it a fact that you had conversations with this company that I'll refer to as K.P. about us potentially making an investment in SCO's business?

A Yes.
Q And you had discussions with this company, which I'll refer to as F.C., about intentionally --

MR. SPECTOR: All right. Your Honor, you know, we can say who the --

THE COURT: Why don't we call the numbers, then? Number 1 --

MR. SPECTOR: Initials, you know --
MR. MARRIOTT: Right.
THE COURT: -- number 2.
MR. SPECTOR: R-I-C-H-A-R-D.
BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q Did you have discussions with Company 1?
A Yes.
Q Did you have discussions with Company 2?
A Yes.
Q Did you have discussions with Company 3?
A Yes.
Q Did you have discussions with Company 4?
A Yes.
Q And none of those companies made an offer to acquire the mobility assets that you are proposing now to sell to Unxis, correct?

A That is correct.
Q Now, as part of the deal -- as part of the Unxis proposed deal, SCO was required to terminate some of its employees, correct?

A SCO was required to -- well, we're transferring
employees to a new organization. If that means terminating from SCO, yes.

Q Exactly. In a language of the PSA, it's contemplated that they be terminated and that they become employees of the new company, correct?

A Correct.
Q And what one of those individuals is a fellow by the name of Steve [sic] Cutler, right?

A I don't --
Q Shaun Cutler. I apologize.
A Shaun Cutler, yeah.
Q And Shaun Cutler is a one of the SCO individuals responsible for SCO's mobility business, correct?

A Yes.
Q He's a key player, is he not, with respect to that business?

A He -- he's a marketing manager for us, yes.
Q He's a key player with respect to that business, is he not?

A He -- he's a key marketing manager.
Q Okay. And under the proposed deal, he will no longer be employed by debtors. He will be employed by Unxis, correct?

A Yes.
Q Right. At what point, Mr. McBride, during the
discussions with the proposed buyers here, they were going to purchase the entirety of $\mathrm{SCO}^{\prime}$ s mobility business, right?

A Yes.
Q And they asked SCO questions about that mobility business, right?

A Yes.
Q And SCO provided the answers to them with respect to SCO's products, right?

A Yes.
Q And specifically, Mr. Cutler provided information with respect to SCO's mobility products, right?

A You'd have to ask Mr. Cutler. I don't know.
Q Well let me direct you to MX 50, if you would, Mr. McBride. It should be in the stack of documents in front of you. Do you see that, sir?

A Yes, I see it.
Q Okay. And this is an email from Jeff Hunsaker to Eric le Blan and Rafe [ph] at -- both of whom represent -- who were affiliated with Unxis, correct?

A That's right.
Q And they asked questions of Mr. Cutler on behalf of SCO about SCO's Mobility business, right?

A Yes.
Q And in response to those questions, SCO provided information, correct?

A Yes.
Q Take a look at Exhibit 50, Pages 1 through 2, would you please? At the bottom of the page you'll see a listing of certain SCO products. You see that, sir?

A Yes.
Q You see mobile -- FCmobilelife by FranklinCovey, Shout Postcards, Shout Marketing, Shout 119, Shout Back, Shout Coupon. You see all that?

A Yes.
Q Now, the majority of -- at the time of this report, the majority of users for FCmobilelife were trial account subscribers, right, Mr. McBride?

A I don't recall at that point in time what the breakdown was.

Q Well, take a look at the paragraph at the bottom of the first page, second sentence. The majority of the users were, at that time, trial account subscribers, correct, sir? A Yes.

Q Take a look, if you would, on the next page at Shout Postcard. See there, where it says that, as to this product, further development was on hold.

A Yes.
Q Take a look, if you would, at Shout Marketing, the next product on the list. As of this report, the total revenue to date for that product was $\$ 20,000$, right?

A That's right.
Q And now look, if you would, at Shout 119, Shout Back, and Shout Coupon. You see there where it says that those three products are -- were, as at the time of this report, awaiting a business driver to justify development. Do you see that, sir?

A Yes.
Q Now, Mr. McBride, SCO shares whatever revenues that it gets from these products with FranklinCovey, correct?

A That's not correct.
Q Take a look, if you would, sir, at the bottom part of that Page 2. You see where it says in bold text quote, "Please give a breakdown of the current position Me Inc. has with respect to partnerships or current deals. In particular, what is the current fee-sharing arrangement with FranklinCovey?" Do you see that, Mr. McBride?

A Yes, I do.
Q Now, look at the paragraph two down from that. If the product is sold by FranklinCovey, the revenue share is 60 percent SCO, 40 percent FranklinCovey --

A Right.
Q -- in year one. You see that?
A Yes.
Q So SCO shares its revenues with respect to those products with FranklinCovey, correct?

A Yes.
Q SCO has competitors, does it, not in the marketplace for its mobile products?

A Yes.
Q And it faces competitors in the area called technology collaborative, right? Take a look at the next page if you would, Mr. McBride, Page 3, second paragraph. SCO faces competition for its mobile products with respect to technology collaborative, technology calendaring and nontechnology, right?

A Right.
Q And SCO's main competitor with respect to collaborative competitors are solutions like Google's Calendar, right?

A Yes.
Q You can put that document aside if you'd like, Mr .
McBride. Who was involved on behalf of debtors in negotiating the terms of the PSA which is offered to this Court for approval? Who are the individuals?

A The primary one would have been Jeff Hunsaker.
Q Okay. And who besides Mr. Hunsaker negotiated this proposed -- this deal which is proposed for approval with Unxis and its principals?

A Well, let's see, as far as negotiating? I think Jeff would have been the key guy. He would have ran it by me, he
would have ran it by our counsel, Ryan Tibbitts, our CFO, Ken Nielson.

Q Was anyone else involved in dealing with Mr. Hunsaker and with the proposed buyers?

A Well, there were a lot of people involved.
Q Who were they, Mr. McBride?
A Andy Nagel was involved. He's the director of
engineering. Alan Raymond is our vice president of North America sales. Hans Bayer is vice president of international sales.

Q Tell me about Hans Bayer, Mr. McBride.
A There's probably others.
Q Is he a capable man?
A Yes.
Q Is he honest?
A I believe so.
Q Is he trustworthy?
A I hope so.
Q Does he understand $\mathrm{SCO}^{\prime}$ s business?
A I believe he understands it best when it -- has to do with the UNIX business.

Q Does he understand SCO's mobility business?
A I don't think he understands the mobile business that well.

Q Isn't it a fact, sir, that Mr. Bayer is the vice
president of worldwide sales with responsibility for overseeing, quote, "all aspects of direct and channel sales of the company's UNIX and mobile products"?

A He's vice president over international sales.
Q Mr. McBride, is it not a fact that Mr. Bayer has responsibility for overseeing all aspects of direct and channel sales of the company's UNIX and mobile products?

A That's not my understanding.
Q So it's your testimony that Mr. Bayer has no responsibility with respect to $\mathrm{SCO}^{\prime}$ s mobile products?

A I don't believe I said that.
Q I'm asking you that. Is it your testimony that Mr. Bayer has no responsibility with respect to SCO's mobile products?

A He has responsibility for the mobile products as it relates to his area of the world.

Q So he has responsibility for $\mathrm{SCO}^{\prime}$ s mobile products, on some level.

A For selling in the areas that he's in, but we don't really market the mobile products that much outside of the U.S. right now. So that's why --

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor.
THE WITNESS: -- he's fairly limited with respect to his understanding of the mobile products.

MR. MARRIOTT: Thank you, Mr. McBride.

Your Honor, $I^{\prime} d$ move to strike the latter part of the answer, but mostly, I want to be sensitive to the Court's time. It's almost 4:30.

THE COURT: Thank you, I appreciate that. And I also am sensitive to your, you know, questioning and -- but I've got to take the call.

MR. MARRIOTT: I will survive.
THE COURT: Will five minutes make sense or is this a good time to break for you?

MR. MARRIOTT: This is a fine time to break.
THE COURT: All right. Then, let's take -- I don't know how long it will last, maybe 15 minutes. And we'll take about a 15-minute recess. Thank you, everyone.

MR. SPECTOR: Thank you, Your Honor.
(Recess at 4:23 to 4:43 p.m.)
THE CLERK: Please rise.
THE COURT: Please be seated. All right. A successful call, thank you. I thank everyone.

And you may --
MR. MARRIOTT: May I inquire?
THE COURT: You may.
MR. MARRIOTT: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q Mr. McBride, after considering a purchase of the entire mobility business, Unxis discussed with SCO leaving
behind the mobility business, correct?
A That's correct.
Q And leaving behind -- leaving the mobile business behind will not be a problem for new SCO, right?

A Well, I can't speak for them, but I -- they weren't leaving the whole business behind. They were taking the mobile server. We are keeping the applications.

Q Where we talked about Mr. Bayer before the break.
A Yes.
Q And he's a vice president with SCO, right?
A Yes.
Q With responsibility --
MR. SPECTOR: Objection. I don't believe the record reflects that he's vice president of SCO, whatever SCO. Are you talking about SCO Group? Are you talking about SCO Operations? Are you talking about subsidiary? I think the question is emphasized.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, I asked Mr. McBride prior to the break whether Mr. Bayer was, and used the exact language if I may, "vice president of worldwide sales for SCO." And he said that that is, in fact, the case.

THE COURT: Yes.
THE WITNESS: No, I didn't say. I said that was not the case.

MR. MARRIOTT: May I approach the witness, Your

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. MARRIOTT: If I -- just because there's only one copy, if I can --

THE COURT: That's fine.
MR. MARRIOTT: -- approach the witness?
BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q I'm going to show you a printout from SCO's webpage. Can you see that, sir?

A I see it, but I don't know what timeframe that is.
Q Well, I represent to you that it was printed out in the last several -- in the last week.

A Okay. I don't know.
Q I'm sure we can get online and bring it up, if necessary.

A Okay.
Q You see where that says, the executive -- SCO executive profiles?

A Yes.
Q Just read to the Court what it says next to Mr. Bayer's name. Is it Bayer or Bayer?

A Bayer.
Q Read to the Court what it says to Mr. Bayer's name.
A "As Vice President of Worldwide Sales, Hans Bayer is responsible for overseeing all aspects of direct and channel
sales of the company's UNIX and mobile products."
Q That's fine. Thank you, sir. So leaving the mobile business behind, that will not be a problem for new SCO, right?

A You're talking about new SCO, the current debtor going forward?

Q Yes. No, I'm talking about the proposed acquirer here, Unxis.

A Well, it's imprecise to say it's being left behind. Half of it's going forward, half of it's staying with SCO Group, Inc.

Q Well, that's what Mr. Bayer told Mr. Norris, isn't it?
A Told him when?
Q Told him in connection with the negotiations of the deal that's proposed for approval by the Court.

A I don't know what. I wasn't privy to what he told Mr. Norris.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, may I approach?
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. MARRIOTT: Mr. McBride, why don't you grab the binder behind you. It's Exhibit MX 37. Here you go. Here is actually a copy of it.

Judge, may I just generally approach the on
each --
THE COURT: Yes, you may. Yes.

BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q All right. MX 37, Mr. McBride is an email from Hans Bayer to Eric le Blan and Steve Norris, right?

A Yes.
Q And you see in the first email on Page 1 where Mr. Bayer says to Mr. Norris and Mr. le Blan in the second paragraph, quote, "Leaving the mobile business behind will not be a problem at all for new SCO." See that sir?

A Yes.
Q And that's what Mr. Bayer told Mr. Norris and Mr. le Blan, right?

A Yes.
Q And SCO's management team was okay, were they not, Mr. McBride, with the buy --

MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, I rise to object the questions and the answers that read the exhibit because the exhibit is what we've objected to as hearsay. So by reading it out loud, of course, it comes into evidence. So I object to that line of questioning, and if we want to take on the objection of hearsay, we can do that now. This is something that they wanted to do at the beginning. You said you wanted to hear it in context.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, I'm happy to have the argument now. I hope it won't count against my time.

THE COURT: No.

MR. MARRIOTT: But what $I$ would say is that $I$ think it might be useful to the Court to understand in full the context of the document, what it says and what it doesn't say in ruling on the objections.

There are three documents in dispute. The objections that have been made are one, hearsay, and two, relevancies. And I would submit, Your Honor that at least with respect to relevance, Your Honor is going to need to appreciate in full what's in the document in order to make the ruling.

My suggestion would be that we proceed with my being allowed to question in full the witness about the exhibit. Your Honor can then, at an appropriate time with the witness off the stand, hear whatever argument counsel wants to make on this subject. If you find that the document is inadmissible, the testimony can be stricken. Otherwise, we're going to get, I'm afraid, bogged down in this without the Court having the benefit of the fullness of the document.

May we proceed in that way Judge?
THE COURT: I -- yes, I will accept your
suggestion.
MR. MARRIOTT: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q All right. SCO's management team, Mr. McBride, was
okay with the buyers leaving the FranklinCovey products behind, right? Just -- Mr. McBride, I'll point you to the document. If you can just try to answer the question without that document, it might make it a little faster. If I need you to refer to it, I'll point you to it. Let me repeat the question. SCO's management team was okay with the buyers leaving the FranklinCovey products behind, right? A Yes.

Q And Mr. Hunsaker communicated that on behalf of SCO to Mr. Norris and Mr. le Blan, right?

A I don't know.
Q Let me have you take a look, if you would, at Page 2 of MX 37. It's actually the third page in. This is a series of emails, and at the bottom of Page 2, there is an email from Mr. Hunsaker to Eric le Blan and Steve Norris, copying Hans Bayer. Tell the Court, if you would, who Jeff Hunsaker is, Mr. McBride?

A He's the president and COO of SCO.
Q You see where, in the first sentence of his note, he says, Eric and Steve, referring to Mr. le Blan and Mr. Norris, I just spoke with our attorneys in management team. You see that, sir?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Now, take a look, if you would, down at the paragraph that begins "lastly." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q See where it says, "Lastly, they," referring to our attorneys in management team, are, quote, "willing to carve off an amount for the FranklinCovey products (FCmobilelife and FC Tasks) for the purchase price. This would be 250K for these two products or 500K is SCO keeps Shout Postcard and HipCheck, as well." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And you agree with that?
A Well, it's not quite precise. There were more products that were left than that. But it's in the ballpark.

Q And you see where the next sentence it says, "Considering these products are not generating any revenue, they feel this is a fair compromise." See that?

A Yes.
Q And, in fact, that's an accurate statement, is it not?
A Yes. Well, it's not totally accurate because we are generating revenue from FC Tasks and from FCmobilelife, so it -- it's not totally correct.

Q We'll come back to that, but it is fair, is it not, that the products that SCO is retaining, right, the mobility products retained by SCO were, in the course of these negotiations, valued by debtors on the one hand, proposed buyer on the other hand, at \$500,000, right?

A Yes.
Q You can set that document aside, if you would, please. Now, SCO ultimately did retain certain mobility products, right? You testified as to that on direct examination? A Yes.

Q And the result was to decrease the purchase price, right?

A Yes.
Q And SCO's keeping of some of the mobility products reduced the purchase price again by \$500,000, right?

A Yes.
Q Now, the mobility business retained by SCO is part of its plan of proposed rehabilitation, right?

A Yes.
Q And the portion of the mobility business retained by SCO actually has no value for new SCO, right?

MR. SPECTOR: Well, who is new SCO? At least that's a term that isn't in evidence.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, the term "new SCO," as used by Mr. Bayer in the email that we just looked at. BY MR. MARRIOTT:

Q Do you have that in mind -- that context in mind? Exhibit 37, Mr. McBride.

A What page on 37 ?
Q First page. Mr. Bayer says -- first page, second full
paragraph of the email. Mr. Bayer says, "Leaving the 'mobile business' behind will not be a problem at all for new Sco."

A I see what it says. I just don't know on this case if Hans was talking to new SCO being the newly reorganized SCO or the new SCO entity called Unxis because new SCO going forward isn't called -- the UNIX business going forward is called Unxis. The debtor going forward is the SCO Group, Inc. So I don't know which organization he's referring to. That's not a term that we typically use.

Q Given to whom he's speaking, do you think it's fair to say that he's referring to Unxis, the proposed buyer who would -- under the transaction, is contemplating leaving behind portions of the mobility business?

A That's fair.
Q Okay. With that understanding in mind, Mr. McBride, isn't it a fact that the portion of the mobility business retained by SCO actually has no value for new SCO?

MR. SPECTOR: I object. If new SCO is Unxis, then this witness is not competent to testify what the value is to Unxis of this property. And this is all being derived from someone who is a German employee of a subsidiary in -on marketing of these products to somebody -- I -- Your Honor, this is why I was objecting in the first place. Your -- the question on the floor is whether he's agreeing that

Unxis has no use for mobility. I object.
MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, I'm entitled to ask the witness whether he agrees with the proposition or not. If he doesn't, he can simply say that. And I'm happy to -THE COURT: Yes, I don't think the question itself is objectionable. So I will overrule the objection. MR. MARRIOTT: Thank you, Your Honor. May I approach?

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. MARRIOTT: I won't ask that again during this trial

BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q Now, take a look, if you will, Mr. McBride, at MX 40. Take a look on the second page. This is an email from Hans Bayer to Eric le Blan and Stephen Norris dated June 5, 2009. Do you see that, sir?

A Yes.
Q That's just before the last hearing before the court.
MR. SPECTOR: Which exhibit number was that?
MR. MARRIOTT: 40.
MR. SPECTOR: 40. Okay.
MR. MARRIOTT: I want to make sure the Court has an opportunity to get there. Do you have this, Judge?

THE COURT: I have it, yes. I'm reading it now. BY MR. MARRIOTT:

Q All right. Take a look, if you would, Mr. McBride, on the second page of the document, the third paragraph down begins Point Five. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And my question to you, sir, was the portion of the mobility business retained by SCO actually has no value for new SCO, right?

A The question was what?
Q The portion of the mobility business retained by SCO actually has no value for new SCO.

A And you're saying that's --
Q I'm asking you if that's right.
A I -- well, I don't believe that's totally correct. Q All right. Well, that's what Mr. Bayer told Mr. Norris, isn't it? Take a look at -- for Point Five, where Mr. Bayer, vice president of SCO says, "This proposal to leave Mobile behind with old SCO reflects my explanation of Darl's personal situation. And honestly speaking, there could not be a better way of getting rid of something that actually has no value for new SCO." You see that?

A Yes.
Q And that's what Mr. Bayer said to Mr. Norris, the principal here of Unxis, right?

A Yes.
Q The concept, Mr. McBride, of Mobile is a - is a dream
of yours, is it not?
A I don't know that $I$ came up with that in a dream.
Q Well. Thank you for that moment of levity. It is -whether or not you came up with it in a dream, it is a weird dream of yours, is it not?

A It is a product that the -- the concept of Mobile is a product that $I$ was the principal driver of, and the Mobile product line includes the mobile server and the mobile applications.

Q Okay. And my question was, is it or isn't it a weird dream of yours?

A Like I said, it wasn't - didn't come to me in a dream, and if it did --

Q Well, that's what Mr. --
A -- it wasn't a weird one.
Q That's what Mr. Bayer told Mr. Norris, isn't it?
A Yes. And so the question is, do you want me to interpret what Hans Bayer thinks is in my head, or do you want me to tell you what is in my head.

Q I want to know what's in your head --
A I'm trying to understand what --
Q -- Mr. McBride.
A Yeah, so I wouldn't represent Mobile as a weird dream of mine.

Q That, however, is what Mr. Bayer said to Mr. Norris,
right? He said, "The whole concept of Mobile is another one of Darl's weird dreams where he thought he had found the next big thing, but reality shows we have spent millions of dollars into developing something which nobody needs and wants. We have no customers and no revenue stream for our mobile products. And again, he is chasing something which isn't for real." That's what he told Mr. Norris, right? A Yes.

Q Now, is it fair to say that the FranklinCovey products are your beloved toy, Mr. McBride?

A No, I wouldn't call them that.
Q Okay. That's what Mr. Breyer [sic] -- Mr. Bayer told Mr. Norris, isn't it?

A That's what it says somewhere in here, yeah.
Q Take a look at the first page, second to last paragraph from the bottom. "Darl is starting to realize now that he won't have any purpose in life any longer once the company was sold, and this seems to give him big headaches, as he'd have to find a new occupation where he most likely won't be in the center of gravity any longer and won't have his beloved 'toy,' FranklinCovey, any longer to play with." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And that's what Mr. Bayer told the proposed buyers here, correct?

A Yes.
Q Now, SCO kept a portion of its mobility business, you've testified, as part of its proposed transaction, right?

A Yes.
Q And you did that because you believed it could help you win big in the courtroom and you were reluctant to give up your dream of having your day in Court, right?

A That's not correct.
Q Okay. That's, however, what Mr. Bayer told Mr. Norris, right?

A That's what's in this email.
Q Okay. The only thing that is real for SCO, Mr. McBride, is its UNIX business, right?

A That's not right.
Q Again, that, however, is what Mr. Bayer told Mr. Norris, right?

A That's what it says here.
Q Take a look at Page 2. See the paragraph that says, "the only thing"? "The only thing about SCO which is real for the UNIX business with its customers and partners and the employees generating cash." I apologize, I read that badly. Let me try that again. "The only thing about SCO which is for real is the UNIX business with its customers and partners and the employees generating cash. Legal and

Mobile are just Darl's hopes and dreams to win the jackpot in the lottery drawing." See that?

A Yes.
Q There is, in fact, no money available, according to Mr. Bayer, for the new company, correct?

A When you say there's no money available --
Q Well, take a look at the top of Page 2 where Mr. Bayer says to Mr. Norris, quote, "Jeff," referring to Mr. Hunsaker, "thinks that only a direct conversation between either one of the two of you with Darl could resolve the standstill, as he tells me that Darl won't speak to him any longer, even if he mentions the deal to him. As Darl and the BOD," referring, I assume, to the Board of Directors, "and the BK," referring, I assume, to bankruptcy lawyers, "simply thinks there is no money available to fund the new company." See that?

A Yes.
Q And that's what Mr. Bayer told Mr. Norris, right?
A That's what's in here.
Q And you can set that exhibit aside, if you would, please. Now, the grand total of $\mathrm{SCO}^{\prime}$ s mobility business, Mr. McBride, as mobility revenue for fiscal year 2008 was \$82,000, right?

A I don't know offhand.
Q Well, I mean -- ask you to refer, if you would,
please, to MX 31? This is an email from Jeff Hunsaker, vice president of SCO, to Mr. Le Blan, one of the principals of the proposed buyer here. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Take a look at the second page in that document, if you would. It says, does it not, that the grand total of SCO's Mobility revenue for fiscal year 2008 was 82K, right? If you look down the table, in the far left column, it looks about five rows, you see where it says "Mobility"?

A Yes.
Q Now, look across that column in the grand total, see where it says $\$ 82,000$ ?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And in Q1, SCO's total revenue for its mobility business was \$427, right?

A I don't know offhand. Oh, on looking on here.
Q Take a look in Q1.
A Yes, on Q1, there.
Q You see that?
A That's right.
Q Now, SCO's Mobility business accounted, in fact, for only . 53 percent of SCO's revenue during fiscal year 2008, right?

A Yes.
Q And the mobility -- and that's for the entirety of the
mobility business, right? SCO is only retaining a piece of that, right?

A SCO is retaining the piece that drives 100 percent of these numbers.

Q So SCO is retaining . 53 percent of its revenue by retaining that portion of its mobility business, right? A Well, when the UNIX business goes, it'll be worth a lot more than .53 percent.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, I move to strike as non-responsive.

THE COURT: So stricken, yes.
MR. MARRIOTT: Thank you.
BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q Now, the UNIX portion of SCO's business, Mr. McBride, is the only portion of that business that has run at either at or near breakeven during the course of SCO's bankruptcy, right?

A I'd have to look at this. There is probably a point in time here where the mobile business was there on a quarterly basis.

Q Well, your deposition was taken in this case, wasn't it, sir?

A Yes.
Q And you testified under oath, right?
A Yes.

Q You've given a lot of depositions since your becoming CEO of this company, right?

A Yes.
Q Were you asked this question, Mr. McBride? Did you give this answer?

Question: "Would you agree that the UNIX portion of the business is the only part of the business that has run either at or near breakeven during the course of $\mathrm{SCO}^{\prime}$ s bankruptcy?"

Answer: "Yes, I would agree with that."
Did you give that testimony, Mr. McBride, in response to that question at your deposition?

A Yes, I did, and that was slightly different question than the one that was asked here.

Q Let's take a look, Mr. McBride, at another exhibit, MX
34. Do you recognize this document, Mr. McBride?

A Yes.
Q Okay. MerchantBridge and Gulf Capital Partners put together a presentation concerning the proposed acquisition here, right?

A Yes.
Q And that presentation is reflected in MX 34, correct?
A Yes.
Q And in that presentation they included certain business forecasts, right? Take a look at Page 8 if that
helps you.
A Yes. Uh-huh.
Q And in that presentation, they included certain business forecasts, right?

A (No audible response.)
Q Take a look at page 8, if that helps.
A Yes. Uh-huh.
Q And those forecasts included an income statement, right?

A Yes.
Q And that income statement showed revenue for the mobility business, right?

A Yes.
Q And it showed actual revenue for 2008 of 100K, right?
A Okay.
Q Take a look, if you would, again here at page 8, Mr. McBride [ph]. The presentation includes certain forecasts, does it not?

A What page?
Q Page 8 for UX-04901.
A Okay. I'm there.
Q Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Okay. The forecasted revenue for 2010 for the mobility business is $\$ 150,000$, right?

A (No audible response.)
Q If you look at the left column, right underneath those

A Okay.
Q -- dates, do --
A I see it.
Q -- you see where it says revenue?
A Yes. Yes, that's correct.
Q Okay. Take a look at the forecasted profit. If you go down that left column a little further --

A Right.
Q -- you'll see where it says mobility and then it says total cost of -- it says gross profit. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And then, under gross profit, there's a line that says mobility. Do you --

A Yes.
Q -- see that?
A Yes, I do.
Q So the forecasted profits, according to the proposed buyer here, or the mobility business, for 2010, are what, Mr. McBride?

A The mobility business for Unxis we're talking about now, right?

Q Yes, sir.

A The profits for the business that is going to Unxis is $\$ 1.3$ million loss.

Q And for 2011, what is it?
A $\$ 1$ million loss.
Q And for 2012, what is it?
A $\$ 1.2$ million loss.
Q Okay. We talked -- you can put that aside, if you like. We talked -- or you've talked, rather, in your direct examination, about certain payments, right?

A Yes.
Q And in the course of your getting to the point where you are with this proposed acquisition, you've had a number of conversations with potential buyers of SCO and investors of SCO, right?

A Yes.
Q And you had conversations with Mr. Norris? He discussed those options with you, right?

A Yes, I did.
Q And you had such conversations with a Mr. Robbins [ph], right?

A That's right.
Q And Mr. Robbins is a former partner of Mr. Norris's, correct?

A Loosely. I don't know what their -- the extent of their relationship. I know they had a business --

Q He's a --
A -- relationship.
Q Loosely speaking, he's a former partner, right?
A Yes.
Q And SCO --
A Yes.
Q Since SCO filed for bankruptcy, you, personally, made a payment of $\$ 150,000$-- withdrawn. You, personally, made a payment of $\$ 100,000$ to Mr. Norris, right?

A That's correct.
Q And you, personally, made a payment of approximately \$200,000 to Mr. Mark Robbins [ph], right?

A That's correct.
Q And SCO, a subsidiary of SCO, made a payment of $\$ 100,000$ to Mr. Norris, right?

A Correct.
Q For a total of about \$400,000, right?
A Yes.
Q Let me ask you, if I may, about -- a little bit about those payments.

A Sure.
Q The subsidiary of SCO made a payment of $\$ 100,000$, right?

A Yes.
Q And that payment was made in May or June of 2008,
right?
A Somewhere in there.
Q And SCO was -- taken the position in its papers before this Court that that payment was for certain consulting services related to BRICMEA, right?

A The merging market development program for the SCO Mobile Products, which BRICMEA was a key part of that, right.

Q Okay. And what exactly, Mr. McBride, did Mr. Norris do to earn his $\$ 100,000$ in consulting services related to emerging markets?

A I'd have to go talk to the people that were working with him on that. I wasn't directly involved in that.

Q But sitting here today, you -- you're unable to tell us precisely what it is Mr. Norris did, right?

A I know that there was a major business plan that was put together between SCO and Company -- I can't remember if it was D or E or F. If I saw the list, I could identify it. One of the companies that we were talking to in the summer of 2008, when we were working with Mr. Norris, there was this idea of having a big, emerging market operating system program going out in a market -- a program going into those emerging markets. The $\$ 100,000$ that went into that from our Japan operation was to help put that into real numbers so that we could go raise money against that.

Q That was part of a real plan to get yourself out of bankruptcy, right?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Now, Mr. McBride, Mr. Norris is not an expert in the markets in which SCO's products compete, is he?

A I wouldn't say that he's an expert in it.
Q In fact, so far as you know, Mr. McBride, Mr. Norris was unfamiliar with SCO prior to the time he was introduced to you by Mr. Robbins, right?

A I -- yeah, I don't know that he was well steeped in our technology.

Q He's not a software consultant, right?
A No.
Q He's not a hardware consultant, right?
A No. That's why he used other people to go do that study. It wasn't Steve that did the study, personally. MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, I'd move to strike as non-responsive.

THE COURT: Yes, I would have that stricken.
BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q Mr. McBride, you say that the payment -- SCO says that the payment made here was on behalf of a Japanese subsidiary, right, not on behalf of the Debtors?

A Yes.
Q Mr. Norris submitted an invoice --

A Yes.
Q -- to SCO, did he not?
A Yes, he did.
Q And the invoice was not submitted to SCO's Japanese subsidiary, was it?

A Initially, it wasn't, and I think they came back and said this is for a Japanese subsidiary, and then it was redone to go there.

Q So the -- initially, the invoice was submitted to SCO in Lindon, Utah, right?

A I don't know the details. I think that that was -that is correct. It was initially to SCO, and then eventually, it was redone and sent to the Japanese operation.

Q I'd ask you to take a look at NX-57. Do you see that,
sir? It's an invoice dated July 23, 2009.
A I'm still looking for 57.
Q Should be a tab on the right.
A Yes, I see that.
Q All right. The invoice was submitted to you, was it not?

A Yes, it was.
Q In Lindon, Utah, right?
A Yes.
Q And the payment was approved, if you turn to the next
page in 57, it was approved by the company CFO, Mr. Neilson [ph], right?

A Yes.
Q Mr. Neilson's not an officer of SCO's Japanese subsidiary, is he?

A I'm not sure who the officers are over there these days.

Q I'm sorry, sir? What was the answer?
A I'm not sure who is the officers. He might be.
Q BRICMEA, Mr. McBride, refers to what?
A BRICMEA?
Q Yes.
A Brazil, Russia, India, China, Middle East, Africa.
Q Okay. And the last I checked, Japan is not in the area covered by BRICMEA, right?

A In SCO's organization structure, the Japanese operations covers China. The Japanese company is, in fact, a parent to the China operation.

Q BRICMEA: Brazil, Russia, India --
A China.
Q -- Middle East, China, Africa. Not Japan, right? MR. SPECTOR: Objection, Your Honor. MR. MCBRIDE: Japan was over -THE COURT: Objection, Mr. McBride. MR. MCBRIDE: -- our China operation.

MR. MARRIOTT: I'll withdraw the question.
THE COURT: All right.
BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q Mr. McBride, it -- take a look, if you would, at -I'm going to withdraw that. Your Japanese operation sought reimbursement for those payments, did they not?

A Sought reimbursement, meaning what?
Q Well, take a look at page 3 in Exhibit 57. SCO Japan sent an invoice to SCO in Lindon, Utah, asking for payment of three separate amounts, approximately -- or totaling \$25,000 each, right?

A I'm not familiar with these documents.
Q Do you see the third page in, Mr. McBride? Do you see that it says Japan LTD at the top, for -- yeah, LTD at the top? Do you see that, sir?

A Yes.
Q Do you see where, in the description, it says SCO's emerging market growth plan, consulting services for August 8 portion to Steve Norris, right?

A Yes.
Q So the payment was made by SCO Japan, and SCO Japan sought reimbursement from SCO in Lindon, Utah, right?

MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, I guess I can say it. We have found we -- he testified to that, so I didn't -- we found the reissued invoice, and we will want to call Mr.

Neilson back to talk about that, and he can talk about the tax accounting on how those papers that Mr. McBride doesn't know anything about what they mean and how they are handled.

MR. MARRIOTT: We can cover --
MR. SPECTOR: I just --
MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, we can cover that elsewhere, but obviously, we object to the production of an exhibit that's weeks late, in the middle of an examination, in the middle of a trial. But we'll cover that when we get to the next --

MR. SPECTOR: Well, it --
THE COURT: Very well.
MR. SPECTOR: -- be rebuttal, Your Honor.
MR. MARRIOTT: Rebuttal with respect to a document that the Debtor failed to produce.

MR. SPECTOR: I believe we've produced it.
BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q Mr. McBride, independent of the money that was paid to Mr. Norris by way of SCO's subsidiary, you paid Mr. Norris $\$ 100,000$ yourself?

A Yes, that's right.
Q And you say that that money was for purposes of putting together -- his putting together a group of investors, possibly to acquire some assets of the Debtor, right?

A My payment to Mr. Norris was in response to a request from Mr. Robbins, who was supposed to pay Mr. Norris money, to give him a loan to pay Mr. Norris, and he said he would pay me back within a few weeks, and so I responded to that request for a loan.

Q So, Mr. McBride, the payments you made, was it compensation from you to Mr. Norris, as SCO says in its brief, or was it a loan to you -- from you to Mr. Robbins? Which was it: compensation or a loan?

A It was -- I paid a loan to Mr. Robbins. I knew that the money was going to Mr. Norris. In fact, it went straight from -- I wired it from my bank account to his. Q Wired your -- the payment directly from your bank account to Mr. Norris's?

A Yes.
Q And you don't have a written agreement with Mr. Robbins, with respect to that payment, do you?

A No, I don't.
Q You didn't keep any records with respect to that payment, right?

A Well, I have records. I have bank records that I made the payment.

Q Okay. You didn't produce any records to us in connection with this litigation of that payment, did you?

A I thought I did produce that. I thought I produced
it.
Q You produced your bank records? Is that --
A Yeah.
Q -- your testimony?
A I did. In fact, I took --
MR. MARRIOTT: I'd ask Counsel to please point that --

MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, can --
MR. MCBRIDE: I --
MR. SPECTOR: -- we let the witness finish his answer before Counsel asks his next question?

MR. MARRIOTT: It --
THE COURT: That's --
MR. MARRIOTT: -- wasn't a question, Your Honor, but I will endeavor to respect --

MR. MCBRIDE: I --
MR. MARRIOTT: -- the witnesses and let him finish --

MR. MCBRIDE: I produced that document. I put a note on there that said please redact this so that my bank account information isn't filed in a public setting. I don't know what happened to it after that.

MR. SPECTOR: I will represent that I remember seeing that email that directed our people -- I was in the middle of a deposition with Mr. Neilson, and I remember
seeing that email, and I directed our people to make sure that you redacted -- like he said, redacted the personal information on that exhibit. So it -- I recall all of that.

I can't tell you, standing here today, which one of the 12,000 documents it was, but we produced it.

THE COURT: I think we ought to allow Mr.
Marriott to proceed with his examination.
MR. SPECTOR: He asked me, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Oh, you did ask him?
MR. SPECTOR: I didn't --
THE COURT: I'm sorry.
MR. SPECTOR: -- volunteer this thing.
MR. MARRIOTT: I'm not sure that $I$ asked a question that contemplated interruption, but in any event, Your Honor, I'll be happy to --

MR. SPECTOR: You said we'll ask Counsel about that. That's what was said, if you'd check the record.

MR. MARRIOTT: May I proceed, Your Honor?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q Mr. McBride, is it your testimony that you made this payment from your own personal funds to Mr. Norris without any expectation of reimbursement by SCO?

A Yes.
Q Are you a wealthy man, Mr. McBride?

A Not as wealthy as you are.
Q I'd move to strike that.
A I'm sorry.
THE COURT: I think we better.
BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q You also made payments of approximately \$200,000 to Mr. Robbins, from your own personal funds, right?

A Yes.
Q And he's not a relative of yours, right?
A No.
Q Not a close friend?
A Used to be.
Q Not a friend presently, right?
A No.
Q He didn't pay you back, you say, right?
A Correct.
Q And you didn't take any action to get those moneys back, right?

A That's wrong.
Q What action did you take, Mr. McBride?
A I filed a lawsuit.
Q And what's the outcome of that lawsuit?
A I received a judgment against, actually, his wife.
His wife wrote out one of the checks, so --
Q In what amount, sir?

A $\$ 109,000$.
Q And what about the other $\$ 91,000$ ?
A We're still working on that.
Q Mr. McBride's filed -- Mr. Robbins has filed a lawsuit against you, hasn't he, sir?

A I've heard so.
Q Well, you've seen so, have you not?
A No, I haven't.
Q You understand, however, that he has filed a complaint against you for fraud and deceit, right?

A I don't know. I haven't seen. I've heard that he's filed something. I don't think that part of it was against me.

MR. SPECTOR: Objection, Your Honor. He has testified that -- I have seen it, and he misrepresents what it is that's against Mr. McBride.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor --
MR. SPECTOR: I know it says those words in it, but there's other Defendants.

MR. MARRIOTT: Well, I can only deal with the words one has. May I approach, Judge?

THE COURT: You may. You may.
MR. MARRIOTT: Would you like to join me?
MR. SPECTOR: Oh, I thought you were going right to the bench. Well, yeah, let's go.

MR. MARRIOTT: Mr. McBride, I show you what -- a pleading entitled Pelican Equity, LLC against Robert -- I'm sure I will slaughter the pronunciation, so I hope they'll forgive me -- Robert Brazelle [ph], Steven Norris, Talus Partners, Rhama [ph] --

A Rhama Schalker [ph].
Q -- thank you -- Darl McBride, Brian Kate [ph]. Do you see that?

A Yes, I do.
Q This is the lawsuit that - to which you testified having some understanding, right?

A Yes.
Q And this lawsuit asserts a number of causes of action against you, does it not?

A Again, I haven't read it, but my understanding is the causes of action was against the people that were tied to that organization, which I am not.

Q Take a look, if you would, at page 18, Mr. McBride. See where it says first claim for relief?

A Yes.
Q See where it says violation of the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act?

A Yes.
Q Do you see the end of that where it says against the individual Defendant? Do you see that?

A Yes, I do.
Q Do you see page 19 where it says second claim for relief, misappropriation of trade secrets against the individual Defendant? Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Page 23, unfair competition against all Defendants, do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Page 25, tortuous interference against all Defendants, do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Page 33, fraud and deceit against all Defendants, do you see that?

A Yes.
Q You paid Mr. Robbins --
MR. SPECTOR: Well, Your Honor --
MR. MARRIOTT: -- \$300,000.
MR. SPECTOR: -- I rise only to say I don't see the relevance of it. I move to strike it. But if it's going to come in, we're going to have to spend some time talking about it. Remember, this witness has never seen the document.

MR. MARRIOTT: He just saw the document, Your Honor. The question's simply asking what the --

MR. MCBRIDE: I didn't read it, though.

MR. MARRIOTT: -- document says. I'm happy to have the Court have a copy to do with what you want. It isn't - -

THE COURT: I'm not going to strike it, and perhaps it can be handled on cross-examination.

MR. MARRIOTT: All right. You -- thank you,
Judge.
BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q You said, Mr. McBride, that you loaned Mr. Robbins -withdrawn. You loaned Mr. Robbins \$300,000 because you say you wanted him to be a backstop, right?

A That was one of the reasons.
Q Okay. What were the other reasons?
A At the time, I viewed him as a friend, and he asked for a loan, told me that he'd pay it back in a matter of -in one case, a matter of weeks; other case, a matter of days.

Q When you loaned him the $\$ 300,000$ with the partial intent of it being there because you wanted him as a backstop, you meant by that that if the SNCP deal, the Steven Norris Capital Partners deal, that you were discussing with Steven Norris Capital Partners didn't go through, he would come forward and he would -- that he would put upwards of $\$ 5$ million into SCO, right?

A That's what he represented to us.

Q Okay. So it's your testimony, then, that you loaned $\$ 300,000$ to Mr . Robbins so he'd be available to be a backstop to contribute $\$ 5$ million potentially to SCO, right?

A That was potentially one of the outcomes.
Q Now, SCO asked the Court earlier in these bankruptcy proceedings to authorize a payment of $\$ 150,000$ to York Capital, right?

A Yes.
Q And SCO said that it felt that it was its moral obligation to see that York Capital was paid for its efforts in attempting to do a deal with SCO, right?

A Yes, that's right.
Q But prior to IBM and Novell [ph] bringing to the Court's attention the payments that we've just been discussing, those payments were not disclosed by Debtors to the Court, right?

A I don't believe they were.
Q Mr. McBride, under the PSA, purchase and sale agreement, that's proposed here, SCO is to hold a certain patent for a period of time and then upon the elapsing of that time, that patent goes to the proposed buyer, right? A Yes.

Q And that's the so-called java patent 6931544, right?
A That's correct.
Q That's valuable intellectual property, is it not, Mr.

McBride?
A I believe so.
Q And what's your best estimate of the value of that IP?
A Well, we're hoping to get somewhere in the mid-sixfigures, maybe up to a little over a million.

Q And that -- the purchase and sale agreement provides that if you don't get that money by a date certain, that the -- this valuable IP will automatically transfer to Unxis [ph] without further consideration, right?

A Yes, that's right.
Q Is it fair to say, Mr. McBride, that some of $\mathrm{SCO}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ employees are fed up with your attempt to protect the litigations about which you've testified?

A I can't speak for SCO employees.
Q Well, that's what Mr. Bayer told Mr. Norris, isn't it, Mr. McBride?

A Do you want to point me to something?
Q Do you know whether that's what Mr. Bayer told Mr. Norris?

A I -- I'm not sure what he told him.
Q Take a look at MX-39 [ph] if you would, please. MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, this is, again, a hearsay objection, as I've had all along, and I'm not saying it every time, because $I$ know the Court's going to let me ask it to strike all of this, if it turns out that I'm
right.
THE COURT: That's correct.
BY MR. MARRIOTT:
Q CMX-39, Mr. McBride, this is an email from the bottom of XM-39 from Mr. Bayer to Eric LeBlanc [ph], copying Steven Norris, Jim Kelly.

A Yes.
Q Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Take a look on the second page at what looks like the third full paragraph down there. See where it says, "I can only tell you that this motion has upset all employees I have spoken to today. They all want the MB/GCP deal to happen and are massively fed up with Darl's attempt to protect the litigation." Do you see that?

A You say that's the bottom of --
Q Yeah, sorry. It's the -- it's page 2--
A Yeah.
Q -- and it's the third -- the beginning of the first full, third paragraph.

A Oh, okay. I see it now.
Q You see that, right?
A Yes.
Q And in fact, some of $\mathrm{SCO}^{\prime}$ s -- some of the members of SCO's own management team believe that these litigations are
out of touch with reality, right, Mr. McBride?
A I can't speak for them.
Q Well, take a look at the first sentence, if you would, of the first full paragraph. Do you see where it says, "I still hope that Darl comes to grips with reality over the next few days, i.e. that he finally sees and admits the ancient battle over Linux is long over." Do you see that? A Yes.

Q You can put that aside, if you would please, Mr. McBride. Now you've received, have you not, sir, a competing bid here from an organization with which Mr. Charlie Hale [ph] is affiliated?

A Yes.
Q And you received that on July 23, right?
A Yes, that's right.
Q And the email indicated that an entity called LNS Acquisition was making an offer to compete with the Unxis offer, right?

A Yes.
Q And that email attached two documents: a Hale Global SCO SPA redline versus the Norris agreement --

A Yes.
Q -- and a Hale Global SCO SPA clean document, right?
A Yes.
Q That's not your first communication with Mr. Hale,
right?
A No, it's not.
Q You've testified earlier that you had extensive dealings with Mr. Hale, right?

A That's right.
Q He was affiliated with York Capital [ph], correct?
A Yes.
Q And you had discussions with him the days filing --
following the filing of the Chapter 11 Petition, right?
A Yes.
Q And you work as an investment group based in New York City, correct?

A Yes.
Q And Mr. Hale was head of the technology group at that time at York, right?

A That was my understanding.
Q And he spent, you said, I think, a lot of time -- I think your testimony was that you were working around the clock with him on that deal, right?

A Yes.
Q And he has devoted a lot of time and effort to understanding SCO's business, correct?

A Yes.
Q The LNS [ph] offer to acquire substantially -- is to acquire, substantially, all of $\mathrm{SCO}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ assets, right, Mr .

McBride?
A Yes.
Q And that would include its Unxis business and its mobility business, right?

A Yes.
Q And the amount of the proposed offer is $\$ 6$ million and some, right?

A Yes.
Q And that figure -- and Unxis offer, by contrast, is for $\$ 5.25$ million, right?

A Yes.
Q And that number, however, is -- that figure is misleading, though, is it not, the $\$ 5.25$ million?

A Misleading in what way?
Q Well, it's misleading as to what's, in fact, being paid for the assets being transferred, right?

A I'm not sure where you're going with that.
Q I'm asking you whether it's true or not. Is it true that the $\$ 5.25$ million price for the assets being transferred is a misleading number to affix to that transaction.

A Not that I'm aware of.
Q Let me direct your attention, Mr. McBride, to -- and I apologize, I only have one copy. I'll just -- if it's okay, I'll just bring it up here and show you. You see this as an
email, Mr. McBride, MX-29? The second -- it's a chain of emails. The second one there is an email from Mr. Spector to Leslie Bayles [ph]. Who's Leslie Bayles, Mr. McBride? A She's an attorney for Ryan Cave [ph].

Q And she copies on there Paul Aberon [ph] and Frank William Kaplan [ph]. Who are they, sir?

A They are attorneys for Berger Singerman.
Q And Mr. Spector says, in the first line, I'm stepping in for Paul. I believe in paragraph five or six, or maybe one of the boxes, "Frank pointed out that we said that the value of the consideration was (definitely 5.2 million), but that is misleading for the Novell JGT," which I assume means judgment, "is reversed. The true past consideration would be 2.4 million." Do you see that, sir?

A Yes.
Q Mr. McBride, have you read, in its entirety, the proposal that you've received now from L\&S [ph] as a competing bid?

A I've been briefed on it. I've read through it. I can't say that I've read every word.

MR. MARRIOTT: I have no further questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Lewis.
MR. LEWIS: Thank you, Your Honor. My co-counsel tells me I have two hours left.

THE COURT: All right.
XXX EXAMINATION
BY MR. LEWIS:
Q Mr. McBride, I'm going to kind of dart around here and there, so -- for the most part, except for a specific series of questions. Don't get confused by the fact that I'm not talking about the same thing $I$ was just talking about.

A Okay. Fair enough.
Q Okay. So let me start, first, with the press release. Do you recall the press release: the 10,000-unit press release?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Now, do you have any -- how long a period did it take for the Debtors, for Franklin Covey to sell -- or for there to be downloaded 10,000 units on the Apple iStore?

A The -- well, first of all, there weren't 10,000 units in the Apple iStore. There were 10,000 units that came from Apple iStore, Skils [ph] online store, and Blackberry's online store. So that's where the 10,000-- to be clear. Q All right. I appreciate the clarification. And -A Okay.

Q -- how long did that take?
A I don't know exactly when the downloads started, but substantially, it kicked in gear in the early part of this year.

Q The early part of this year?
A Yeah.
Q Do you have any idea of, for example, what the download history is for the top applications on the iStore for the iPhone?

A In any particular category, or just in --
Q Any category --
A -- general?
Q -- at all.
A I know that when we were at number 13 in the productivity category, we were a little over 100 downloads a day, so I don't know what number one in that category would've been. I don't know how high it would be.

Q So you don't really know whether 100 is close to the top --

A I know it's --
Q -- of the top 13.
A -- 13.
Q Right, but --
A I know it's 13.
Q -- you don't know whether if 100 units -- I mean, the --

A I don't know what the reach is from 100 to -- I don't know if 200's number one or $2,000^{\prime}$ s number one. I don't know.

Q You don't know where the mean is or the median -A No.

Q -- in this kind of deviation or any --
A They're kind of quiet, or they don't cover those stats very much.

Q So, do you have any sense of what the typical margin is for SCO on such a download?

A It depends on the product. You know, we get different price points on different products. The range is probably from a few bucks up to 20 -some-odd bucks.

Q Okay. What does SCO sell, either directly or from Franklin Covey on the iStore? What products?

A So far, we have one product in the iStore.
Q And what's the selling price of that product?
A Yeah, apple store, I should say. The selling price on that product is 5.99.

Q And what's the margin on that product?
A We -- let's see. Apple takes 30 percent, and then once that is gone, then we keep 60 percent of the remainder between us and Franklin Covey.

Q And how much do you -- and what does Franklin Covey get, and what do you get of that remaining 60 percent?

A I don't have the exact number in front of me, but it's 60 percent of 30 percent of 5.99 .

Q Okay. I'm lost. I'm sorry. Let's just start --
Apple gets --

A Apple gets the first 30 percent.
Q -- 30 percent, and of the remaining 60 percent, how much do you get?

A We get 60 percent.
Q You get 60 percent of the 60 percent.
A No, of the 70 percent.
Q Seventy percent; forgive me. So you get --
A It comes out to a couple bucks and some --
Q A couple bucks.
A -- change.
Q Okay.
A It's maybe 2.40, 2.50, somewhere in that range.
Q Okay.
A On that product. Now, we have other products. Like I say, there's other products where, you know, it -- the price is $\$ 20$ to $\$ 30$. I think we have one for $\$ 29$ that we don't share with Apple, and in that case, you know, we're just splitting it up. We're getting 60 percent of 29 bucks. Q Okay. And have you ever looked to see what sort of ratings these products get on the online stores from users? A Yes.

Q And what have you found?
A I've found that the -- when the task manager first came out, it was -- there was a lot of really good ratings:
five-star ratings. Then some people were using it for a while, and they -- the tasks were -- if you had too many tasks, the things went slower, so the ratings would go down. And then, when we'd release a new fix, then it'd come back and up the score up, so it's kind of moving target, depending on how they see the product at any point in time. Q Okay.

A It's generally ranged between two-and-a-half to four stars --

Q Out of five?
A -- depending on --
Q I'm sorry. Forgive me.
A Yes, out of five.
Q Thank you. I didn't mean to interrupt. I apologize. Now you've testified earlier about the York transaction. Do you recall that testimony?

A Yes.
Q And you were not very happy with the York people, as I recall from your testimony, is that right?

A Well, let's split that up. The people themselves -Charlie Hale is a delightful person. I enjoy talking to him, but in -- with respect to the deals and the deal-making that came through, every time we went down the path very far, it felt like he was reaching too deep into SCO's pockets for our comfort.

Q So, he was a hard negotiator?
A Hard to the point that we didn't feel like we were getting a good deal.

Q Okay.
A For SCO's shareholders.
Q Now, let me ask you a general question about your practices as the CEO of SCO.

A Yes.
Q And the question has to do with your general practice with respect to pleadings, these kind of things, that the Debtors file in this bankruptcy case.

A Yes.
Q And is it your general practice to read those and review them and approve them before they're filed?

A I tend to rely on counsel and my CFO to go through the detailed part of it and then brief me on it, so $I$ can't say that I've read every one that's been filed.

Q Okay. So even -- so you don't necessarily approve them before they're filed, notwithstanding that someone else does most of the preparation for them, is that fair?

A I may approve them, but I may not read all of them. I rely on professionals to give me advice.

Q Okay. I've got insufficient copies here. It's not -it's a rebuttal exhibit, and it is the Notice of Debtor's Motion for authority to pay an expense reimbursement to York

Capital Management. I think it's Docket 367. And --
THE COURT: I won't need it, and you may approach.

MR. LEWIS: I'm just going to read something from it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. LEWIS: And if I may approach the witness.
THE COURT: You certain may; yes, Mr. Lewis.
MR. LEWIS: Thank you.
BY MR. LEWIS:
Q Now, I would point, please, Mr. McBride, to the -one, two, three -- it looks like the fourth page. It begins with paragraph number 3.

A Number 3?
Q Yeah, paragraph number 3 starts with --
A Yeah.
Q -- starts with the word unfortunately.
A Yes, I have --
Q Do you see that?
A Yes, I have it.
Q Okay. And this reads: "Unfortunately, the negotiations between SCO and York did not reach a mutually satisfactory conclusion. The transaction failed, and the Court never entered an order approving the transaction or any of the documents contemplated the transaction."

Paragraph 4: "SCO believes that as a business and moral matter, SCO should make the $\$ 50,000$ payment to York under the terms sheet, which it did finalize, or the $\$ 150,000$ payment to York under the terms of the asset purchase agreement, which was virtually completed at the time that the SCO and York abandoned the transaction." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Now, this is a pleading filed on behalf of SCO. Do you recall reading and approving this pleading, this motion

A I don't remember --
Q -- to compensate York?
A I don't remember reading these -- this motion before it was filed.

Q Have you ever heard about this motion?
A I remember this as an issue, yes.
Q Okay. And you talked about -- and you approved the idea of --

A Yes, I did.
Q -- filing this motion?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Now, do you recall that, on June 15, you testified that the SCO board had approved the Unxis transaction?

A Yes.
Q Did I get that right? I'm --
A Unxis is, I think, the --
Q Unxis.
A -- way you say it.
Q I'm sorry.
A Yeah.
Q We've been debating amongst ourselves.
A Just don't call it --
Q Today's --
A -- Unxis.
Q Today's been the day we're -- you know, we're all --
A Yeah.
Q -- advised. You recall that testimony. You said that the board had approved that specific transaction. Do you recall that testimony?

A Yes, I do.
Q Okay. Now, I'd like to show you a document that's been previously used today. It's the board minutes of June 22. It may still be up there. Do you see those?

A Do you know the exhibit number?
Q It's not a -- oh, yes, it is actually. It's Exhibit 4.

A $\quad 4 ?$
MR. LEWIS: It's the Debtor's Exhibit 4. SCO \#4.

It looks like -- may I, Your Honor?
THE COURT: You may.
MR. LEWIS: Thank you. I'm going to hand the witness another copy of this exhibit, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.
BY MR. LEWIS:
Q Okay. I'm going to ask you, Mr. McBride, to look at paragraph number 3. It is on top, I think, of the second page. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And isn't that a ratification by the board of the purchase agreement on June $22 ?$

A Yes.
Q Okay. And I would like to ask you to look at what is SCO's Exhibit \#3.

MR. LEWIS: And Your Honor, these are the minutes of the June 11 --

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. LEWIS: -- 2009 board meeting.
THE COURT: June 11 or June 22?
MR. LEWIS: June 11.
THE COURT: June 11.
MR. LEWIS: Exhibit \#3 is --
THE COURT: I'm sorry.
MR. LEWIS: -- June 11.

THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. LEWIS: And I'll wait, Your Honor, until you have that handy.

THE COURT: Right here. Okay.
BY MR. LEWIS:
Q And if you look at page 2 of the minutes, Mr. McBride, and paragraph number 2.

MR. SPECTOR: With regard to this exhibit, Your Honor, there are names in here that correspond with some of the letters, so I would ask that if we're going to honor confidentiality, we do so verbally in Court and also the document be --

THE COURT: Is it possible to avoid, Mr. Lewis --
MR. LEWIS: I think it is, Your Honor.
THE COURT: -- any questions --
MR. LEWIS: And if I find that I'm not able to ask the question without disclosing names, I will stop, and we'll figure out a way to handle that.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. LEWIS: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Spector, for reminding me.

BY MR. LEWIS:
Q Part of paragraph 2 says, "The remaining members of the board directed management to continuing pursuing the deals described above."

A Yes.
Q And then it goes on to say with the exception of some -- one of the transactions, which the board doesn't really want to pursue anymore. Do you see that?

A Correct.
Q So there were no deals approved by the board as of June 11, which was four days before the June 15 hearing, right?

A They had given us authority to go after a deal that was going to represent the interests of the -- you know, all of the parties: the shareholders, the creditors, et cetera. Q But they hadn't approved the Unxis deal, had they? They didn't approve that until June 22.

A They ratified it. They gave us authority to go cut a deal with one of those remaining three. They took one off. They gave us the authority to go do a deal with one of those three. We did the deal with Unxis. Then we came back and had it ratified later.

Q And you had it ratified, because the specific agreement had not been yet approved, isn't that right?

A That's correct.
Q Okay. But that's not what you testified to on June 15. You testified this particular deal. I can read you your testimony, if you'd like.

A Well, I think I remember saying two things there. I
said that the deal was approved, and when they challenged -I believe it was Mr. Levin [ph] was challenging me, I believe I said in that hearing that day that the board had given us the latitude to cut a deal with one of the company's, and that's what we did. And so, in my mind, the board had approved the -- whatever deal we came up with. Q Yes, this is a question by Mr. Levin, I think. This is page 75 of this transcript, and it begins at line 15: "Q I didn't ask whether this agreement was within the latitude of what the board gave you; I asked whether the board approved this particular agreement.
"A Yes, they did."
MR. NORMAND: Your Honor, is that a question or the publication of a purported admission?

MR. LEWIS: Is that --
MR. NORMAND: There's no question --
BY MR. LEWIS:
Q Was that your testimony?
A My testimony is that the board had given us latitude to cut a deal, and based upon that latitude, I felt like that the deal that we had cut was approved by the board. Q That particular deal?

A The deal with Unxis was approved by the board, because we had the latitude to cut the deal.

Q But you nevertheless had it ratified after the fact?

A We went back and ratified it, based on what the written agreement was, but the deal itself, I believed, was approved by the board.

Q When you say the deal, you mean the basic terms, or do you mean all the particulars, all the terms? The document? A We had represented to the board, on that call, the material terms in all of the deals we were discussing. Q And that's what you believe they approved on whatever occasion that was --

A They had given us authority to cut a deal in one of those three areas, based on what representations we made to them.

MR. LEWIS: May I approach?
THE COURT: You may, certainly, Mr. Lewis.
MR. LEWIS: I'm going to show the witness
MX-54 --
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. LEWIS: -- which is the asset purchase agreement between Novell and Santa Cruz operation.

MR. MCBRIDE: Okay.
BY MR. LEWIS:
Q And I'm not -- I'm going to direct your attention to something very specific in a moment, but in general, what -do you recognize --

A Yes, I do.

Q -- this document?
A Um-hum.
Q And this is the asset purchase agreement --
A Yes.
Q -- between Novell and Santa Cruz.
A The infamous one.
Q Yes. That's it. That -- I think we'll all confirm that one, Mr. McBride. We won't cross-examine you on that issue. Now I'd like to call your attention to Section 4.16, which appears on page 24.

A Okay.
Q That section's entitled SVRX Licenses.
A Yes.
Q And this section obligates the counterparty to this agreement to collect certain license revenue, as you understand it, from SVRX licenses and remit them and has some other state obligations, then, is that right?

MR. NORMAND: Your Honor, I just want to object on the grounds that opposing counsel objected earlier when Mr. McBride was speaking to his understanding of the terms and nature of certain agreements, so I think there's a way for him to ask questions around this, but to the extent he's asking what obligations or action imposed, I don't think that's an appropriate way of asking it.

MR. LEWIS: That's a fair objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. LEWIS: I'll rephrase the question.
THE COURT: All right, Mr. Lewis.
BY MR. LEWIS:
Q Mr. McBride, you've read through this agreement probably any number of times, is that right?

A Yes, I have.
Q In fact, you could probably write it by memory.
A It comes with Tylenol attached.
Q Is it your understanding that under Section 4.16, the counterparty to this agreement is required to collect certain license revenues and provide certain audit reports? Is that your understanding of the way this section works?

MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, I rise for the same reason. I was trying to ask him the same questions, and the objection was sustained when I asked him about what obligations were owned from SCO or from the other party to Novell and what obligations were owing for Novell to the other party. The objection was sustained when I asked it. Why is it not sustained when he asks?

THE COURT: Well, you know, I don't recall the specific ruling of this specific question, frankly, but I think that it's -- his -- Mr. Lewis is asking for his understanding.

MR. LEWIS: Yes, that's --

MR. SPECTOR: All right.
MR. LEWIS: -- the question. I don't think we've had that one before.

THE COURT: I can't say for sure, but I -- if I got it wrong before, $I^{\prime} l l$ get it right this time. BY MR. LEWIS:

Q Is it your understanding that the counterparty to this agreement would have certain obligations to fulfill to Novell under Section 4.16 with respect to the collection and remission of revenue -- license revenues?

A I don't view it that it's our obligation. I do -- we have an opportunity to collect five percent of those royalties by collecting them, but if we don't want to collect them, then it's Novell's business to go out and take 100 percent, so I don't view it as an obligation.

Q All right. And looking at this document, in Section 4.16, you also -- is it also your understanding, upon reading it, that Novell can object to the assignment to any of the SVRX licenses? Your understanding: I'm not asking for a legal opinion.

A I believe, to get the full understanding of 4.16, you really have to read amendment 2, because it's modified, and again, there are modifications in amendment 2 that relate to this section.

Q Okay. And how about the section -- how about 4.16
with respect to the reassignment of licenses? You see -- do you believe -- is it your understanding that there was some obligation on the part of the counterparty to reassign licenses?

MR. SPECTOR: A continuing objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Understood.

MR. LEWIS: I'm sorry. I missed the answer, or was it just an objection?

MR. SPECTOR: He didn't -- I objected, and it's a continuing objection. The Court's not ruled on it yet.

MR. LEWIS: Okay.
MR. SPECTOR: Mr. McBride, that means you can answer the question, at this point, sir.

MR. MCBRIDE: Okay. Again, 4.16, to get to the true understanding of it, really has got to be overlaid with amendment 2.

BY MR. LEWIS:
Q Okay. Now have you had any discussions with the buyer, Unxis, about the disposition of Section 4.16 of the asset purchase agreement, what's going to happen to it? A No.

Q Have you had any discussions with the buyer, Unxis, about what's going to happen -- whether the buyer -- whether Novell can object to the assignment of the SVRX licenses? A No.

Q Okay. Do you have any understanding as to whether anybody has had such discussions with the buyer?

A I think some people have talked to them about it, but I'm not sure what the nature of the discussions were. Q Now you testified, I think, earlier today, that the reason the -- in your opinion, the reason that the Steven Norris deal fell apart was this inaccurate report in the newspapers about having to collect -- having to pay over 95 percent of the royalties?

A That was a key contributor, no doubt.
Q Okay. And when did that newspaper report come out, do you recall?

A Some time in the spring of ' 08.
Q Or was it -- do you recall there was a hearing on this sale, this proposed transaction with Mr. Norris on the plan and the disclosure statement?

A Yeah, I don't remember the details of the times.
Q You don't recall whether the report came out before or after that hearing?

A I don't remember precisely.
Q Okay.
A I just remember it was in the same general timeframe. MR. LEWIS: Okay. Your Honor, I want to read to the Court from the transcript of the hearing on April 2 of 2008. This was the hearing that was set on the SNCP [ph]
transaction. And this is counsel for the Debtor on page 8 and at line 19:
"The reason we did not get the definitive documents in, in time, is not because we hadn't prepared them. It was the deal was changing while we were drafting them. Both sides, the buyer and the seller, came to an agreement informally while we were drafting the documents we had, that they'd rather restructure the deal in a totally different way."

There's no mention here, Your Honor, of -MR. NORMAND: Your Honor, is this a question -MR. LEWIS: Yes. MR. NORMAND: -- or a break in the examination? MR. LEWIS: Yes, Your Honor.

BY MR. LEWIS:
Q Were you present when -- were you present at this hearing?

A I think I've been to all the hearings.
Q And did you hear your counsel say that?
A If I was here, I heard him say it.
Q And did you believe it was correct when you heard him say it?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
A Would you like an explanation of that, or should we
just leave it at that?
Q I think Mr. Spector can --
A Save it for cross?
Q Sure.
A Okay.
Q You've got this down, huh?
A I'm trying to.
MR. LEWIS: All right. Your Honor, I have no
other questions at the moment. Thank you very much. Thank you --

THE COURT: Thank you --
MR. LEWIS: -- Mr. McBride.
MR. MCBRIDE: Yes. Thank you --
THE COURT: -- Mr. Lewis.
MR. MCBRIDE: -- Mr. Lewis.
THE COURT: Mr. Harrington [ph]? I think Mr.
McMann [ph] owes you something. I'm not sure what it is.
MR. HARRINGTON: I'll be very brief, Your
Honor --
THE COURT: Yes, sir.
MR. HARRINGTON: -- although I think I have, you know, two hours and 25 minutes left on my time.

THE COURT: Well, actually, the -- I only gave your office 30 minutes, $I$ think, or something like that, but that's okay. You take whatever time you need.

MR. HARRINGTON: Well, Jim said I would have plenty of time.

THE COURT: Okay.
XXX EXAMINATION
BY MR. HARRINGTON:
Q Did you direct your counsel to give notice of this sale to -- and I'll refer to the entities as numbers one through four that were referred to on cross, and other than the Norris and Merchant Bridge [ph] entities, the entities referred to from $A$ through $G$ ?

MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, what this witness told his counsel is privileged, but I can tell you that we did make efforts to ensure that all prior entities that we knew of were served. Some of them -- I think one of them we couldn't find any longer. They may not have existed. It might've been a -- but we did take efforts to include within our -- I think we did a supplemental notice. We did a supplemental notice of service, certificate of service, with the additional parties after the original sale order went out. I don't know if counsel knew that, but --

MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, was that certificate of service filed with the Court?

MR. SPECTOR: I'm sure it was.
THE COURT: Mr. Spector?
MR. SPECTOR: I -- well, I -- I'm -- the records,
but I believe it was. We'd have to check the docket, but we made -- I remember in the production, if there's emails about -- there's lots of emails going back and forth. I remember asking: what's the address of these guys? What's the address of those guys? And we made a concerted effort to get an additional matrix, additional service list for those parties, because it did come up at the June 15 hearing.

BY MR. HARRINGTON:
Q Did you make any other efforts to contact any of those prior buyers prior to signing up this -- the agreement with the Norris Group?

A I'd have to go back and look at that list again, but yeah, we went out and tried to contact as many people as we could that would -- may have been interested in the business.

Q And how did you go about doing that? Did you call them directly?

A I think the telephone was -- would've been the main way of trying to contact them.

Q And did you do that directly?
A It would've been myself or maybe Hunsaker.
Q Which entities did you call? And again, using the, I guess, codes that you had before?

A Well, I've got to see the names again so I can
resurrect them. I mean, let me just think. So we did have follow-on discussions with A.

Q And this is after?
A (No audible response.)
Q At what point in time did you have the follow-on discussions?

A It was some time within the last couple of months.
Q Okay.
A B, we did talk to B, but they had already moved on, so that wasn't an option. C was the one that got sold off, so they weren't an option, so we didn't re-contact them. A lot of the other ones were already -- they were new contacts coming into the pipeline at that point in time.

Q And did you --
A There was a large technology company that we -- wasn't even on this list, that didn't ever result in a material discussion, but there was one large technology company that we must have talked to a half-dozen times, asking if they would be interested, because we thought it was a logical choice, but they -- we contacted them again; they rejected it.

Q Earlier, you testified that one of the sole reasons -or two of the sole reasons were for the -- that you preferred the Norris deal or it had benefits for employees and customers, is that true?

A It -- not just those. It had employees, it had customers, it had creditors, and it had shareholders. Those are the big four we were -- I was trying to protect.

Q And those were the -- originally, though, you said the primary beneficiaries were the employees and the customers, correct?

A I -- if I said that, I was trying to say it in the context of $I^{\prime}$ ve always viewed the Norris deal as taking care of all four of those.

Q And when you say creditors, you're not including Novell and IBM.

A No, that's not true. Well, I don't view IBM as a creditor. I view Novell as one of them, but we may have differences on that. I mean, the point is, whether they're a creditor or not, I mean, they obviously have standing or they wouldn't be in this courtroom. My view on that was that we liked the Norris deal because it took care of everybody in the SCO universe that needed to be taken care of. It -- in the case of Novell, again, I don't understand all the technicalities, whether -- what kind of creditor they are, but obviously they have some interest in this because of the judgment that we're trying to get reversed right now. But the Norris deal allowed for paying them and getting them taken care of. The Norris deal allowed for paying off pre-Petition creditors in full, not in -- what

Charlie was trying to do is in very small part. It took care of employees and --

Q And when you --
A -- the customers.
Q And when you say what Charlie was trying to do, are you referring to his original deal?

A I was speaking of, in this case, the latter deal that came.

Q But you said you hadn't reviewed that entire APA in the latter?

A Well, I didn't say $I$ hadn't reviewed it; I said I hadn't read every word of it. I was talking -- in the Charlie deal, there's Charlie 1, Charlie 2, and Charlie 3. Okay. Charlie 2 and Charlie 3, both of those were pretty, I should say, negative with respect to creditors. Again, it was this idea that -- I've never heard of creditors coming out of bankruptcy getting paid in full. That's ridiculous. We don't have to pay them off. We'll get some percent on the dollar, pay them, and we'll move on. And our approach from day one was always to pay off the pre-Petition creditors at 100 percent, take care of the Novell judgment to the extent that it didn't get reversed, and at the same time, try and get a deal on the table where the SCO employees and customers would have a future home, and preserve our litigation claims, which is what a lot of the
shareholders care about.
MR. HARRINGTON: Your Honor, I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Harrington. Mr.
Spector?
XXX EXAMINATION
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q How does the article, that talked about the 95 percent of the SCO revenue going to Novell, impact on the comments made in Court that you heard Mr. Lewis talk about?

A Well, Mr. Lewis' comment, as I recall, I'll try and paraphrase it, said the April 2 hearing we represented that the Norris SNCP deal was being restructured. And that's a true statement. The -- again, when we started out with SNCP, it wasn't a signed transaction. It was a memorandum of understanding, which is a long ways away from a signed deal. So we had a memorandum of understanding, which was a generalized, let's go try and do this. And as we got into this discussion with the group, a very credible group out of the Middle East, they wanted to take a different approach towards the transaction, and that was causing us to restructure the plan relative to what the original MOU called for.

Q So when we got out of Court after we thought we were trying to restructure the deal, what happened?

A Well, then in the middle of the restructuring and trying to get it like they wanted it to be is when this article came out, and they were totally freaked out by it. Q Tell us how amendment -- well, were there any amendments to the Novell APA with the Santa Cruz operation? A Yes.

Q Tell us how amendment \#2 affects Section 4.16.
A The --
MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, I just object, subject to what the prior conversation about this being limited to his understanding.

THE COURT: Correct.
MR. SPECTOR: Which is all I ever wanted the
first time.
THE COURT: The witness's understanding.
MR. MARRIOTT: And to the document itself. I don't think that's been offered into evidence.

MR. SPECTOR: We don't need the document in evidence, Your Honor. The question with Mr. Lewis went into what's his understanding of 4.16 and he gave an answer, and he said subject --

THE COURT: When --
MR. MARRIOTT: I withdraw the objection, Your Honor. It's in evidence.

THE COURT: Yes, it is. Yes. Thank you.

MR. SPECTOR: Well, whether it is or it isn't, Your Honor chooses whether to read it or not as well. BY MR. SPECTOR:

Q But the question $I$ have on the floor was how does amendment \#2 impact your answers to Section 4.16, in your understanding?

A Well, in my understanding, there were clarifications in amendment 2 around what the parties were supposed to do in certain circumstances. And again, when you read 4.16 and you read amendment 2, one of the take-aways that you get from that is that this is not an obligation of SCO. This is a thing that if SCO wants to get 5 percent, then just keep collecting. And to the extent that you don't want the 5 percent and you don't go collect, then Novell has the rights to go directly to those customers. We're out of the picture. So from that standpoint, $I$ just don't view that we have an obligation with respect to SVRX collection.

Q Mr. Lewis, I believe, or my -- I'm sorry. Somebody cross-examined you and asked you to look at Exhibit \#29, and I have it over here. That was an email from me to Ms. Bayles, do you recall that?

A Yes.
Q Okay. I'm just going to ask you --
THE COURT: Which exhibit number is that, Mr.
Spector?

MR. SPECTOR: I thought it was 29. Am I wrong about that? Oh, yes. Here it is. Second one down, Exhibit \#29. It's a chain of emails.

BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Just read, for the Court, the time on that, the date and time of that email.

A The date of that email to Leslie Bayles was Monday, June 22 at military time 1951.

Q 7:51 p.m.?
A Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, the record will show that the actual motion wasn't filed until 11:34 p.m. That email is part of the negotiations of what it, this document, ought to say.

MR. LEWIS: Your Honor, is that testimony by Counsel or what?

MR. SPECTOR: I would ask the Court to draw the inference.

MR. LEWIS: Is it argument, Your Honor?
MR. SPECTOR: I'll strike.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. SPECTOR: All right.
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Shawn Cutler [ph].
A Yes.

Q You testified that he was involved in the mobility business for SCO. He still --

A Yes.
Q -- is today.
A Yes, that's right.
Q If he goes over to Unxis --
A Yes.
Q -- with the server --
A Yes.
Q -- who takes over the jobs he was doing outside of doing the server? Let me strike that. What is his role at -- with regard to mobility at SCO today?

A He is basically over the marketing programs.
Q Over the marketing programs of what?
A Of all of our mobile business.
Q Both server and application.
A Correct.
Q Okay. Is there some plan for the reorganized SCO to replace Mr. Cutler when he goes to work for Unxis?

A Not initially. The initial plan is to run this thing at a level where we can generate profits. As we get more deals done and that business grows, then yes, eventually we will bring someone into that role.

Q Now, when you testified on direct, you acknowledge that at the beginning of the case, when this Chapter 11 was
filed, mobility was a startup. It really was -- hadn't marketed at all, right?

A Right.
Q That was in September of ' 07.
A Right.
Q And in '08, you had some revenues, apparently?
A Yes.
Q In '09, do you have more revenues?
MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, I don't want to -- if
I may object, Counsel is leading his own witness.
MR. SPECTOR: I am.
MR. MARRIOTT: We've had it happen, and I've
tried to say nothing so far, but I think I'd object to the
leading. If we could just go back to the regular --
MR. SPECTOR: Yeah.
MR. MARRIOTT: -- course --
THE COURT: All right.
MR. SPECTOR: I am. And I was doing that for the
interest of time.
THE COURT: Sure.
MR. SPECTOR: I didn't think it was
controversial, and --
THE COURT: Understood.
MR. SPECTOR: -- I'll adapt to that.
BY MR. SPECTOR:

Q The -- what revenue -- is the revenue in 2009 greater or less than it was in 2008?

A I expect it'll be greater.
Q Okay. What's the name of the person in charge of the subsidiary in Japan?

A Makoto Aso [ph].
Q Do you know if he had any review over the -- if you know, and if you don't, don't get it from somebody else. Do you know if he had any review over the marketing -- merging markets proposal?

A I'm not sure what extent he was involved in that. He was over his -- it was his region impacted by it, and he had money and funds for it. I'm not sure what extent he was involved in the actual study, overseeing of it.

Q We talked about the patent.
A Yes.
Q The motion for the sale of the patent has been filed, and do you expect that java patent will be sold before the deadline and it has to be turned over to Unxis?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And the company -- did you previously sell a patent in this case?

A Yes, we did.
Q Okay. And are you dealing with the same people?
A Yes.

Q Do you have any -- well, strike that. And if the patent doesn't sell by that deadline -- what is it, the end of the year?

A Yes.
Q What's the view of SCO whether there's any value in that patent?

A Well, if we aren't able to sell it and get a
reasonable value out of it, then we won't believe that there is a lot of value there.

MR. SPECTOR: Okay. One other thing I want to point out, Your Honor, and this is not through testimony, if you look at the motion for sale, it doesn't say 5.4 million, it says up to 5.4 million, and I wanted to connect those dots.

BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Let's talk about Hans Bayer.
A Okay.
MR. SPECTOR: And this part, Your Honor, also
goes to the issue of the hearsay objections.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. SPECTOR: It all came in already, but I'd
like to go back.
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Is Mr. Bayer an officer or director of SCO Operations or SCO Group, Incorporated?

A No.
Q Okay. How do you explain the website screen-print that they showed you?

A I don't know. I don't know when that was put on there. It's not -- I don't know.

Q Is it --
A I can't do that.
Q -- accurate?
A Well --
Q Well, what -- well, strike that. What's the name of the German subsidiary?

A It's -- probably has a GMBH in it somewhere.
Q At the end, but what does it say in the beginning? A SCO.

Q Um-hum. Is he an officer or director of the SCO GMBH?
A I would expect that he is.
Q Okay. Well, what -- all right. It -- do you know his title, his actual title?

A I don't. I don't.
Q What is his area that he's in charge of?
A He's in charge of -- my understanding is he's in charge of international sales.

Q And he's in charge of international sales from which entity?

A Well, he operates out of the German entity.

Q And does he have any authority to speak for SCO Group or SCO International on matters such as sales of assets of the company?

A No.
Q Does he have any authority to speak about sale of mobility assets?

A No.
Q What is his major background?
A $\quad \mathrm{He}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ a sales person.
Q And his -- so his business is to sell to the public or to a segment of the public?

A Yes.
Q Does he do any strategic work with financers or merger partners? Is that his area of expertise?

A No.
Q Is he authorized by SCO to make statements about legal positions or financial positions?

A No.
MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, I've got case law to support this, but the general point $I$ want to make is an officer of the subsidiary company has no authority just because of that office to speak on behalf of the parent company. Moreover, the -- to be an admission -- this is -only comes in as admission, because everything, as Your Honor well knows, is all hearsay, and there's double-hearsay
in a lot of that. And the only way it can come in is that it's not hearsay at all, because it's an admission. And that's obviously what I'm going to hear. But an admission to come and -- an admission of a party, it has to be someone authorized to make that statement. And the authority is delimited by case law to people who are authorized to speak on that topic for the company. So a salesman, I'm not saying that Mr. Bayer was just a salesman, but if a salesman says something that is very detrimental to his employer on an area that's not in his area of expertise or authority, that is not an admission of the parent, of the corporation that he works for. And we'll -- if any of this is controversial, I do have case law to support. So it's my opinion, Your Honor -- or not opinion: my opinion doesn't count.

THE COURT: Your argument.
MR. SPECTOR: It's my view, Your Honor, that the testimony that we've heard at length about Mr. Bayer said this to so-and-so and somebody told him about something, all of that is hearsay, and all of that is not -- cannot be considered an adoptive admission or any other form of admission by SCO Group or SCO, Incorporated, and I ask that the entire line of testimony be stricken. And of course, if we had a jury, this would be a problem, having heard all this, but I trust Your Honor knows how to do this.

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Marriott --
MR. MARRIOTT: Well, I gather --
THE COURT: -- any response?
MR. MARRIOTT: -- we're done with the
examination.
THE COURT: Oh, I don't -MR. SPECTOR: That's the -THE COURT: Are you done -MR. SPECTOR: No, I'm not done with the redirect. I'm just -- I'm assuming that was voir dire on that. I'm going to let them take a chance -- take their chance on that. I was just being -- do you want to --

MR. MARRIOTT: Are you finished inquiring him on that?

MR. SPECTOR: I'm finished inquiring on the voir dire on the objection for the hearsay grounds.

MR. MARRIOTT: It's not clear to me whether you were passing the witness --

MR. SPECTOR: No, I'm not passing the witness. I was stepping aside --

THE COURT: I think --
MR. SPECTOR: -- because --
THE COURT: I think --
MR. MARRIOTT: I didn't understand Your Honor to be inviting --

THE COURT: Mr. Spector is --
MR. MARRIOTT: -- voir dire.
THE COURT: -- renewing his --
MR. SPECTOR: My objection.
THE COURT: -- objection.
MR. SPECTOR: And request to strike that line of testimony, and I just figured I'd step aside and let you respond.

MR. MARRIOTT: Would you like to do it now,
Judge?
THE COURT: Why don't we do it now? One other thing, the gentleman sitting back -- do you see that white button back there? If you will push that, I'm hoping the air-conditioning will come on.

MR. MARRIOTT: May I approach, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. MARRIOTT: I -- with Mr. Jacob's [ph]
permission, I hand Your Honor his Blackberry, which calls up SCO's webpage --

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. MARRIOTT: -- and I invite the Court to review what it says about Mr. Bayer's current title and responsibility, which is exactly what the witness agreed the website printout that he was shown said.

MR. SPECTOR: We're not contesting -- we're not
saying Mr. Marriott misread that or misrepresented what it said. I'd just --

MR. MARRIOTT: The witness -- the witness --
MR. SPECTOR: -- ask the witness --
MR. MARRIOTT: I'm sorry. Go ahead.
MR. SPECTOR: I'd just ask the witness whether he agreed with what it says on the website.

MR. MARRIOTT: The witness said he didn't know -THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. What's --

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, the witness said -suggested that perhaps the page was out of date, and I think we can see, and Your Honor can see back in chambers, that that is currently what the website says. Your Honor, those documents, the three documents, which are in dispute, are plainly, unquestionably relevant. The only serious -- the only objection even attempted here as to those documents with any seriousness is hearsay, and it is, at the end of the day, Your Honor, not a serious objection. And it isn't a serious objection. It isn't a correct objection for at least the following reasons.

First of all, Your Honor, the documents aren't hearsay if the statements about which the witness was questions were admissions. The statements were plainly admissions. The statements were made on behalf of a vice-president of SCO, a person who holds himself out as a vice-president of SCO, a
person who, by the very text of the documents, was acting on behalf of SCO in negotiating with the buyers in this proposed transaction. You didn't hear any evidence from Mr. McBride, and I'm happy to inquire if necessary, as to the punishment of Mr. Bayer for communicating and dealing with the proposed buyers in this way. He hasn't been disciplined. There's no evidence that he's been disciplined, Your Honor. The evidence is those -- as those documents made claim, that he was carrying out his duties, that he was acting on behalf of the company in helping to bring about that proposed transaction. Moreover, one of the documents, Your Honor, is not from Mr. Bayer, it's from Mr. Hunsaker, who is another vice-president of the company, who plainly is affiliated with the SCO Group, the Debtor in this action.

THE COURT: Well, for -- let me ask this
question. For example --
MR. SPECTOR: Sure.
THE COURT: -- in one of the emails, Mr. Bayer says that all of the employees think such and such and so on and so on. The employees. Now, that's clearly hearsay. How could he even speak for the employees -- what the employees think? That's one example. I was troubled by these emails, because $I$ don't know -- I just don't know their context. I don't know really their evidentiary value,
frankly, because this was one man's view.
MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, this man was --
THE COURT: And he's a -- and he is a vicepresident of their European operations, not an employee in the United States.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, he holds himself out, and the website holds him out, as a representative of the company, without distinction to the German subsidiary or SCO. He represents himself to the world, we would submit, as being an employee and a representative of SCO. And in any event, he was representing -- he was acting as an agent of the company in connection with the proposed transaction, which is at issue. And in acting as an agent, Your Honor, of the company, in that regard, it makes no difference whether he is technically an employee of the subsidiary or he is technically an employee of the SCO Group.

With respect to -- at an absolute minimum, Your Honor, he -- there was apparent authority on his part to be having the conversations he was having with the buyer about the state of the negotiations, about the value that was being placed on the products being sold and the products not being sold. Plainly, Your Honor, to the extent he talks about what other witnesses thought, there may be different weight which Your Honor would wish to accord to those statements, and that is obviously Your Honor's discretion, as the finder
of fact in this particular proceeding. But we would submit, Judge, there's no question they were admissions, because he was acting on behalf of the company as its agent, and in the course of his duties, made those representations. And Mr. Hunsaker, again, in any case, was plainly a representative of SCO.

Furthermore, Your Honor, at -- one of the issues in this case is the good faith, or lack of good faith, of the Debtors. And we would submit, Your Honor, that those documents plainly go to that question; and therefore, if they aren't in, Your Honor, for purposes of truth, and we believe they should be, therein we would submit, Your Honor, as to state of mind. Furthermore, there is an exception, as Your Honor well knows, to the hearsay rule, that concerns -that is effectively a catchall that says where there are sufficient indicia of reliability, even if the statement is hearsay, even if the statement is hearsay, even if it's not an admission, even if it isn't otherwise permissible in evidence, where there's a sufficient indicia of the reliability of the statements made, whether they were in fact made, that the evidence comes in. And there can't be any question here that Mr. Bayer didn't make those statements. There's no suggestion by Counsel for the Debtors that he didn't actually make the statements. He did, Your Honor. He is under the control here of the

Debtors. He is an employee of Debtor. Whichever entity they want to say he's with, he is in their control. They could've, without trouble, had Mr. Bayer come and explain those emails in Court, and they made the election not to do that, Judge, and they could've done that, and they didn't do that. And there's no reason whatever to think that these documents are irrelevant or unreliable, except that they don't like what the documents have to say. And it would be for Your Honor, based upon what the documents actually say and the context in which you can put them, as you read them in their full, to decide what weight they have. But we would submit, Your Honor, for those reasons, the documents are, and ought to be, admissible into evidence.

Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: Just two more questions I can ask him to finish that record, and he -- that Counsel's raised. BY MR. SPECTOR:

Q First of all, I'm going to show you Exhibit 37 as an example. What's -- what does it say after Mr. Bayer's name on that email?

A This is the one of June 9. It's the one we're talking about. It says Hans Bayer, Vice-president, EMEA Q What is EMEA?

A It stands for Europe, Middle East, and Africa

Q Okay. I'm going to show you the very last entry on section -- on Exhibit \#37.

MR. SPECTOR: What is that? Was 39 also -- or
used? Was 39 another one of those that was --
MR. MARRIOTT: Yes.
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Okay. Let me show you 39. \#39, at the very end of this, you'll see another entry for Hans Bayer, again vicepresident EMEA Turn the page over and see what Mr. Bayer is affiliated with, calls himself affiliated with. What does it say?

A So the address that he puts is the SCO Group, GMBH, and then he goes on to give his address, and the website is Www. SCO.DE, which is our German website.

Q So he signs his name -- so he signs his affiliation as EMEA and the SCO Group, GMBH.

A Correct.
Q Not the SCO Group, Incorporated or the SCO Group Operations --

A Correct.
Q -- I mean the SCO Operations. So now, they asked, was he reprimanded. Was Mr. Bayer reprimanded?

A Yes.
Q Who reprimanded him?
A I did.

Q How severely?
A You'll have to ask Cohns [ph].
Q Okay. Did Mr. Hunsaker partake in that?
A No.
Q Okay. That was you then?
A Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: Okay. Your Honor, both of those issues that Counsel raised, you know, holding himself out as -- he held himself as what he was: EMEA GMBH, both of which are designations for a foreign entity and not the Debtors, and he was reprimanded for stepping out of line for this. So I think we've made the record we need to make to exclude these exhibits and the line of questioning that followed. MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, I --

THE COURT: You rest on the argument.
MR. MARRIOTT: -- stand by what I've said.
THE COURT: All right. Well, I am going to sustain the objection and strike that testimony. I think that, to the extent these documents were offered for the truth -- for their truth or as an admission, they simply don't meet the tests. And I also think that they're highly prejudicial, and they're -- the prejudice far outweighs their relevance. MR. SPECTOR: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. SPECTOR:

Q Those exhibits are out, there's a generalized discussion about Mr. Bayer generally.

A Yes.
Q Did Mr. Bayer ever express different views about his support for the mobility platform?

A Yes, he did.
Q And how was he -- do we have -- well, did he do that in emails that we have as exhibits?

A Yes.
Q Those are some of the exhibits we brought?
A Yeah. Numerous, yes.
Q What about the lawsuits? Did he express opinions different than what you heard today about the lawsuits?

A They're 180 degrees different.
Q What about your management of the company? Has he expressed different views about the management of the company?

A Yes, he's been very supportive.
Q SCO -- how is SCO Group's liquidity? Does it have a lot of funds?

A No.
Q And it hasn't for some -- quite some time, correct?
A Correct.
Q Is there a competition for funds between the mobility strike that. I'm leading. How is it that the mobility
side of the SCO tree and the UNIX [ph] side of the SCO tree get funded?

A Well, we determine how much we're going to allocate for certain technology and developments, and then we spread them accordingly.

Q Okay. And is Mr. Bayer affiliated with one part of the company more so than another?

A Yes, absolutely.
Q Which one is that?
A UNIX.
Q And you said before that mobility really isn't sold overseas, those products?

A No, we tried to early on, then we just decided we had to settle down and hunker down and make it be successful in the U.S., and then we would go overseas later.

Q Okay. So is it fair to say he's an advocate for further funding for UNIX over other operations in the SCO business?

A Yes, that would be fair.
Q Did you hear the testimony from Counsel, I guess, the statement before that Mr. Bayer says that everybody's in favor of the -- now this may have been stricken and I'm sure, but everybody in the company is in favor of the going forward with the Unxis deal?

A Yes.

Q I think it was called the MBGCB deal.
A Yes. Uh-huh.
Q Is it -- do you share that viewpoint?
A Yes, absolutely.
Q Well, do you understand that the import of these -Counsel's question is that there was complaints that you were standing in the way of that deal?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And they were irritated by your standing in the way of this great deal with Unxis?

A Yes, they were.
Q We have to turn, then, to Mr. Norris and Mr. Robbins.
A Okay.
Q How did you know Mr. Robbins?
A I originally met him a number of years ago, eight or ten years ago. I was coaching youth football. I was little league coach, and his son was on the team. His son and my son became friends --

Q So your --
A -- and so --
Q -- relationship originally was a family relationship?
A It started off as a family relationship, and then over time, our kids ended up being good friends, and then over, I don't know, a couple years, a year-and-a-half or two, he started to want to get more friendly with his -- he and his
wife and me and my wife.
Q All right. Did the -- who introduced you to Mr. Norris?

A Mr. Robbins.
Q And at that time, did you have some understanding about their relationship?

A I knew they'd been in some previous dealings, but I didn't know exactly what it was.

Q And once you got introduced to Mr. Norris, did Mr. Robbins have anything to do with the SNCP [ph] deal?

A Not materially. He was involved a little bit early on, but it really started to morph pretty quickly away from him and to Steve.

Q What was this testimony about his verbally agreeing to, I think you used the term, backstop $\$ 5$ million DIP loan? Tell us about that.

A Well, Mr. Robbins held himself out as somebody who was a very wealthy individual, had showed me one time a balance sheet, a personal balance sheet that he was worth nearly $\$ 200$ million, and he didn't know what to do with all his money. And so he, you know, was -- basically, at the point in time we had this bad ruling out of the Utah Court. We were friends at that point in time. We kind of tracked it for a while. We went through the York deal. And there was a point in time there where he said, well, hey, let me see
if I can come and help you, because I have -- you know, I have a lot of resources. That was the way he represented it. At the end of the day, that representation was, sort of, like the Bernie Madoff, Jr. representation that it turns out he ended up being fraudulent in a lot of his business dealings. He was running a Quamsi scheme. And he ultimately took a fraud judgment. Then when he wouldn't report to court, they issued an arrest warrant for him, and he's been on the run ever since January of ' 09 . And so -Q Did -- well, let me stop that. I mean, they're -A I'm sorry.

Q -- going to say this is a run-on narrative, and I wouldn't blame them.

A Okay. Well, you asked what I thought about him, so I was trying to give --

Q Well --
A -- a picture.
Q -- actually, I -- I'm not sure that was my question, but you said earlier that you had a judgment against him, and you're working on getting more?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Are you in -- how -- what, if anything, are you doing to try to collect that judgment?

A We're working through the judgment process as far as trying to attach his various properties.

Q Do you have any relationship with Mr. Robbins whatsoever, other than as an adversary?

A No.
Q Okay. Did you ever have any business relationship, formal or otherwise, with Mr. Robbins?

A No.
Q You loaned him money.
A Yes, I did.
Q Why did you do that?
A Well, again, at the period of time we're talking about, he came in and represented that, you know, he had this massive empire he was sitting on; he had a lot of money. And he introduced me to Steve Norris. Steve came in and started working on our deal. And then shortly after the deal is when Mark Robbins hit me up and said hey, I need a quick spot loan for a week or two for 100,000, which you know, was a big gulp for me and Mrs. McBride, but we did it on the representation that it was going to be -- get paid back soon. And that --

Q This was a short-term loan?
A Short term, yeah.
Q Just to tide him over for a short period of time?
A Yeah, the -- it was just a cash-flow issue, as he described it. And so the point was we're moving, barreling down the road with Mr. Norris. It all seemed good. And his
representation to me was, yeah, he owed this money. He owed money to Norris, but he just wanted me to give him a loan for a short period of time.

Q Well, how did this come about that this money was going to Mr. Norris instead of Mr. Robbins, who was -needed the cash?

A Well, Mr. Robbins got his by other means, you know, soon after that. He got another 200,000 and that --

Q All right. Let's start with the first one.
A Okay. The -- well, the first one was he had represented to Mr. Norris that he was going to pay him money. And at the end of the day, this is the guy who represented to everybody around him how rich he was and how wealthy he was, but he was using a credit card to fly around on a corporate jet and act like he was a big shot, when the reality was he didn't have any money.

Q All right. So why is it -- how did it come about that you loaned him $\$ 100,000$ by paying it to Mr. Norris? What was the res gestae of that deal?

A Well, so he came to me and said, look, I've represented to Mr. Norris that I'm going to pay -- I was going to pay him for these fees, because he was -- he had a deal with him not just on our deal but other deals he was doing with him where he had -- obligated to pay --

Q This was where Robbins had deals with Norris and

Robbins --
A Yes.
Q -- owed money to Norris?
A Yes.
Q Okay.
A And so he had obligations to pay Norris, and he asked me if I would, again, just loan him the money for the period of a week or two, because he'd get it right back to me. So I viewed it as a pretty innocuous thing at the time.

Q If you realized how big an issue that came to be in this case, would you have done that?

A Not in a million years. It's not -- before we get to this case, the McBride family home case has been a disaster since we went through this, and I have to tell you, this whole thing of him representing that he had all this money, it was a short-term loan, he was going to pay me out, not just on the 100- but on the full 300-, has been a major problem at home and at work.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, could I just inquire where we are on time since $I$ know we have additional witnesses?

THE COURT: I think we've all gone so far over time, at this point, but --

MR. SPECTOR: I -- my other witnesses are not nearly as lengthy as these. I have Mr. Hunsaker's
declaration.
THE COURT: Right.
MR. SPECTOR: And it is fairly limited in scope.
This is not limited, and we have Mr. Norris to testify, and his is very limited in scope. So we have to have Norris. He has to leave. Even if we have to go out of order, we have to use Mr. Norris, but --

THE COURT: Understood.
MR. SPECTOR: -- I'm almost done with my redirect.

THE COURT: All right. Because I understand why the Robbins/Norris issue came up. I -- well, I don't -I'll be anxious to hear why it's so important --

MR. SPECTOR: Well --
THE COURT: -- to the --
MR. SPECTOR: -- you know, I heard in their papers why, but I -- if we're going to -- some Courts like to have a little colloquy, why are we talking about this at this point in the case. I won't, because we don't have time, but --

THE COURT: Right.
MR. SPECTOR: -- we'll get to that.
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q This was all taking place in the context of a deal with which company? Let me strike that. This was in, when,

May? When was this loan made? That's a better question.
A The span of the 300,000 spread from February to about May.

Q Well, there's only 100,000 that ever went to Mr. Norris, right?

A Yes.
Q Okay. That meaning the 100,000 --
A Yes.
Q -- you loaned to Mr. Robbins by giving it to Mr. Norris?

A Yes, that's right.
Q Okay. And when did this take place?
A That was in -- Robbins hit me up in February of -that would've been '08, and I think the actual wire went to him two weeks later. That was probably in the first or second week of March.

Q And that was about the time, was it, that the SNCP deal was crumbling?

A Well, it was transitioning from the MOU to the way the new buyers wanted to do this, so that we were in the transition mode then.

Q And did you ever have any notion of asking SCO Group or SCO Operations, or anybody at SCO, to reimburse you for the $\$ 100,000$ you loaned to Mr . Robbins to give to Mr . Norris?

A No, I asked for advice as to whether it was okay, but I never asked for any reimbursement from anybody at SCO.

Q You had no intention of ever asking for reimbursement from the company?

A No.
Q Now, if Mr. Norris got this money and it's not tied to SCO in any way, in other words, were you paying Mr. Norris for anything that he was doing for SCO in this \$100,000 transaction?

A No.
Q As opposed to SNCP getting \$100,000 for a marketing study from the Japanese entity, that -- you weren't paying him for something?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Let's turn to the -- one more thing about this. Why -- what did the article say that was of concern to Mr. Norris and his investors in this SNCP deal?

A Yeah, the big headline came out that said that 95 percent of the UNIX royalties had to go to Novell as the result of a recent Court ruling, which is --

Q And that --
A -- patently false.
Q Has that issue been resolved --
A Yes.
Q -- to a large extent? By what?

A Well, first of all, it was false to begin with, but the bigger thing that happened is in the July 16, 2008 ruling from Judge Kimball, he affirmed our ownership rights of UNIX, confirmed the extent that there were any royalty issues ever tied from SCO back to Novell for UNIX where -that those had expired in 2002, and that we -- basically, it was clear saving for the UNIX business with respect to any royalties. Nothing would be owed to Novell.

Q Do you have deals with Mr. Norris, besides through his Unxis company and you being the CEO of SCO?

A No, I don't.
Q Does Talus Company [ph], do you have any interest in that?

A No, I don't.
MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, I believe you've received a copy of the complaint. I would -- if you haven't, I'll give you my copy of the complaint. I would ask, Your Honor, if it has any impact at all on Your Honor, to read the entirety and see what it is Mr. Robbins -- or it's not Mr. Robbins who's suing him. It's this company that -- oh, I forget the name of it. Pelican something. THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SPECTOR: You'll see exactly what they say in the factual allegations, say that -- and Mr. McBride doesn't know this, but you'll see what it is they say Mr. McBride's
role was, and you'll -- notwithstanding anybody can put in a complaint against all Plaintiffs -- I mean against all Defendants, but you should see, if it's going to have any weight at all, exactly what it is they said Mr. McBride's role was at -- in that was. So if you don't have it, I will see that you get it.

THE COURT: I don't believe I did receive it. MR. SPECTOR: All right. I have it here before the --

THE COURT: I think there's only one copy. MR. MARRIOTT: I can give you a copy, Your Honor. MR. SPECTOR: I would like it if you could.

Sure.
THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Marriott. BY MR. SPECTOR:

Q Are there any other deals with Mr. Norris and Mr. Robbins that you should tell the Court about that -- secret or otherwise?

A No.
Q Okay. Do you have any deal to go and work for the acquiring company, Unxis?

A No.
Q Any discussions about you going to work with Unxis?
A No.
Q Do you have any intentions to go to work with Unxis?

A No, I - my desire is to get the SCO Group turned around and work here another ten years.

Q Any kickbacks to you from anybody for -- from Unxis, from SCO, for anybody involved in these transactions? A No. In fact, that's the irony of the whole deal is that $I^{\prime} m$ the one that was paying the money out, and I'm the one who's under attack for that.

MR. SPECTOR: That's all I have, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Recross? Any follow-up cross?

MR. MARRIOTT: I'd ask to have one minute, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sure.
MR. MARRIOTT: I have no further questions.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lewis?
MR. LEWIS: No, Your Honor, thank you.
THE COURT: All right. I think, then, Mr. -- may Mr. McBride --

MR. SPECTOR: Yes, he may --
THE COURT: -- be excused?
MR. SPECTOR: -- step down.
THE COURT: You may step down. Thank you, Mr.
McBride.
MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, we have Mr. Hunsaker.
We have Mr. -- what's his name -- Norris.

THE COURT: Does anyone wish to have a break? MR. SPECTOR: I would.

THE COURT: All right. There is a soda machine
downstairs. I don't know if anyone mentioned this to you. Feel free to bring them in, bring in a soft drink or something like that. What do you want? Ten minutes?

MR. SPECTOR: Sure.
THE COURT: Ten minutes. Thank you.
(Recess at 6:44 p.m.)
AFTER RECESS
(Call to Order of the Court at 6:57 p.m.)
THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated.
Mr. O'Neal [ph]?
MR. O'NEILL: Yes, Your Honor. Good evening. I'd just like to respond to a question Mr. Harrington [ph] raised before, and I did have an opportunity to speak with him --

THE COURT: On the service --
MR. $0^{\prime} N E I L L: ~--~ r e g a r d i n g ~ t h e ~ s e r v i c e . ~$
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. O'NEILL: And I wanted to confirm for the Court that we did, in fact, serve an interested-parties list regarding the sale, and that certificate of service is at Docket No. 821. And because of confidentiality, it doesn't list the identity of the parties. The certificate of
service just indicates that 20 interested parties were served. And I indicated to Mr. Harrington that, subject to appropriate confidentiality, if he needed that information, we could certainly share it with him. But I just wanted to address that point so that Your Honor knew.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. O'Neal.
MR. O'NEILL: Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. SPECTOR: The Debtors call Steven Norris to the stand.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Norris?
MR. SPECTOR: And I don't believe she -- Ms.
Bayles [ph], due to her appearance, but Ms. Bayles is here to --

MS. BAYLES: Good evening, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Good evening, Your Honor.
MS. BAYLES: Leslie Bayles, on behalf of Unxis, Inc.

THE COURT: All right.
MS. BAYLES: And Mr. Spector's going to let me sit on this side of the --

THE COURT: Absolutely. You certainly may. Mr. Norris, if you'll just remain standing while you're sworn.

THE CLERK: State your full name and spell your last name, for the record.

MR. NORRIS: Steven L. Norris, N-o-r-r-i-s.
STEVEN NORRIS SWORN
THE COURT: You may proceed.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Good evening, Mr. Norris.
A Good evening.
Q I'm going to quickly go through your pedigree, but you don't have to go quickly when I -- just because I do. Where were you educated, sir?

A I went to three different universities. I went to the University of Alabama, where I got a bachelor of science. I also got a JD degree there. I got an LLM from New York University. And I was a fellow at Yale Law School.

Q What were the years of these degrees?
A I was afraid you'd ask that. I graduated from college in 1972, from law school in 1975, from NYU in 1976, and I was in -- at Yale in 1977.

Q Tell us about your employment after you concluded your studies.

A I worked for about three years for a law firm in Washington, D.C. called Cohen and Uritz [ph].

Q And then what?
A And then, I left the -- I left that firm and went to work for the Marriott Corporation, where I worked from
approximately late 1980/early 1981 until 1987.
Q And what was your job description there?
A When I went to Marriott to work for Mr. Marriott, I was a director of taxes. When I left, I was vice-president for tax, and I worked on a variety of transactions and everything.

Q What did you do after that?
A I started a private-equity firm in Washington, D.C.
Q What was the name of that firm?
A The name of that's called The Carlisle Group.
Q Tell the Court something about The Carlisle Group.
A Well, The Carlisle Group grew out of an idea that David Rubenstein and myself had to establish outside of New York City a Blackstone, if you will, type private-equity firm. I think it's now the -- one of the -- it's the firstor second-largest firm in the world today, in terms of private equity, depending on how you measure.

Q And there's -- did there come a time that you left the
-- Blackstone Group -- strike that, The Carlisle Group?
A The day I left, I was a senior advisor through 1996.
Q And what did you do after 1996?
A I set up another firm that was called Apiant Group [ph], which I ran for approximately three years.

Q What did that firm do?
A Essentially the same sorts of thing, slightly
different kind of transaction. We focused a bit more on transactions in foreign markets, but it was a private-equity firm. We also did some real estate.

Q And when did you leave that firm?
A I actually sold that firm in the late 1990s and formed another partnership in Washington with a gentleman who was in the real-estate business, called Washington Investment Partners.

Q All right. And how long were you with that firm?
A I was there until -- effectively until 2005, although I still have an interest in some residual interests in some of the -- some of their investments.

Q What have you done since then?
A In the last couple of years, I primarily acted as an independent consultant in connection with private-equity or real-estate transactions with a focus on working with a number of groups, primarily from the Middle East and their U.S. and European investment strategy and things related thereto.

Q Tell the Court something about your investment experience, business investment experience.

A Well, I think I've seen, over the last 20-some years, investments of virtually every kind, from real-estate investments to private equity to buy-outs. I've had the great honor to work on the first recapitalization, Your

Honor, of Citibank. I worked on a number of major investments in public companies: Disney being one, Four Seasons being another, a variety of buy-outs in virtually every industry, and as I say, a number of different kinds of real-estate investments.

Q Did you ever do any public service?
A Yes, I was appointed by the -- I was asked to -- by the president of the United States to serve on the federal retirement board, and I was confirmed by the U.S. Senate to that position.

Q That's the thrift-savings board where Your Honor -where His Honor has probably a 403-B, like I do?

A I certainly hope so. It's a good plan. We've spent a lot of time to implement it.

Q Who or what is Unxis?
A Unxis is really a company that was formed for the purpose of making an acquisition of the UNIX business from -

- in the SCO Group.

Q And who are the constituent members?
A Well, there's two shareholders at the present point in time. There's a company called Gulf Capital Partners -- of which I'm the chairman, which is a recently formed, privateinvestment company, capitalized primarily with partners from the Middle East -- and a company called Merchant Bridge -which is a London-based merchant bank, which is run by a
former head of Carlisle International.
Q We're going to take them one at a time. How well capitalized is Gulf Capital Partners?

A Today, we are in formative stages, but we have a committed capital from our investment -- from our investors in the range of $\$ 10$ million.

Q Okay. Do you know -- if you know, how well capitalized is Merchant Bridge?

A To be frank, sir, I don't know the precise capitalization, but it's a classic English-style merchant bank. And I'm informed that they have substantial drawing lines and access to the capital, not only of their firm but some of their investors on a co-investment basis.

Q Tell us what you know about Merchant Bridge as an entity.

A Well, Merchant Bridge is a well-regarded entity with a
-- they've had a focus primarily on investments in the Middle East, and recently have been in the Vanguard on investments in Iraq. They're working on, for example, a major, new concrete facility in the western part of Iraq, so they have quite a bit of experience in the Middle East. Q How long have they been in business?

A I think Merchant Bridge was formed in about 1998.
Q And do you know the principles of Merchant Bridge?
A I know the -- two principles quite well.

Q Who are they?
A Well, I mentioned earlier Basal al Raheem [ph], who was, for a time, the co-head of Carlisle International. And the other principle partner there -- and I use partner in the generic sense -- is a gentleman whose name is Eric Leblanc [ph].

Q And did you have any prior dealings with Mr. Leblanc?
A No, I actually met Eric for the first time when I was introducing a potential investment in SCO, in approximately August of last year.

Q Do you know who at Merchant Bridge carries the technical knowledge about businesses like SCO?

A Well, that would be Eric Leblanc.
Q Well, is there anybody else there that interfaced with technical people on the SCO side?

A Yes. They have a very accomplished young analyst.
His name is Raif Alotta [ph]. I think he's in his mid-20s, and he already has, I'm told, more than two patents.

Q Well, what, if any, relationship do you have with the Merchant Bridge -- with Merchant Bridge or its principles? A Well, I've only had a social prelateship over the last decade or so with Basal al Raheem, and Eric is totally a professional-partnership arrangement that we've put in place for purposes of effectuating this transaction.

Q When did Merchant Bridge and Gulf Capital Partners
become interested in SCO?
A Well, Gulf Capital Partners, as I mentioned, was more recently formed, but the initial meetings with Merchant Bridge took place in August and then in the fall of last year, 2008.

Q How did it come to the attention of Merchant Bridge?
A I brought it to Basal's attention and told him that I thought it was something that he ought to take a hard look at.

Q And after the -- there - I take it there was an introductory meeting, at some point?

A Yes, that was in August where one of the representatives from the company's overseas operation and myself met with Mr. Leblanc for about a two-hour lunch meeting.

Q How did these talks go?
A Well, they went extremely well.
Q What happened after those introductory talks went well?

A Well, we had a series of follow-on meetings. Darl McBride and myself and Jeff Hunsaker and Hans Bayer and then Andy Nagel [ph], we had a series of meetings throughout the fall, beginning in October, where there was a set of representatives, and then later on in November, where there was a broader set of representatives. And I think it was in
the November meetings where they actually introduced the engineering team, led by Mr. Nagel.

Q How intense were the discussions between the techies?
A I will say that they speak a totally different language, and there was quite intense discussions between Eric, Raif [ph], the two Merchant Bridge representatives, and Andy, and even -- and Jeff Hunsaker. And I must say, for most of that, I was probably concentrating somewhere else.

Q What transpired after these November discussions had concluded?

A Well, we began to work seriously with the company on an effort to put together some kind of an investment. At the time, we were thinking in terms of a -- forming a company, as we ultimately did, that would make an investment in the business, the UNIX business. But it was very, very complicated, because we wanted to be sure that we had no continuing involvement whatsoever in the litigation and the controversy that's really germane to this whole proceedings. Q Well, why are you -- why were your group -- why was your group interested in acquiring the UNIX business? What's your goal?

A Well, the goal is pretty simple. There's three operating systems, to be frank, and about -- the market, depending on how you define it, Your Honor, is somewhere in
the neighborhood of $\$ 8$ to $\$ 10$ billion a year. Probably about 40 percent of that is Microsoft. There is a big piece of the market that, for a variety of reasons, would prefer not to deal with Microsoft. There are two other operating systems, the both of which we think are fine and terrific systems. One is Linux, supported by IBM. We think that's a very fine system. It has a lot of applications to a lot of people, as witnessed by the rather considerable sales and revenues. If you just look at Red Hat's revenues last year, its consulting alone $\$ 750$ million in the last quarter. But we also think that there's an opportunity for the UNIX system, particularly in the emerging markets. It's a secure system, you know. In the run-on since 9/11, people are increasingly concerned about cyber security. I mean, Your Honor's probably seen the stories about, probably, the Koreans but maybe the Chinese hacking into the Defense Department. People are much more, I think, thinking in terms of certain advantages to proprietary systems. And so we saw a unique opportunity to acquire an operating system that has -- is well known for its reliability and take a particular interest into the emerging markets.

Q Now you touched upon a concern about separating the UNIX business from the litigation.

A Yes, sir.
Q I take it, then, Unxis and its constituents have no
interest in pursuing litigation that SCO is now pursuing. A That's correct.

Q And I take it you -- did you -- did Merchant Bridge and Gulf Capital Partners do any due diligence with regard to that litigation before it decided to purchase this company's UNIX business?

A Yes, we did. We had a decent feel for most of the technology issues, but the -- some of the copyright, the IP issues, were very complex. The -- frankly, the opinions that are out there could be read both ways. You could make a plausible argument that IBM should win and a plausible argument that SCO should win or Novell should win. And yet, we felt that if you could separate that out, you had a very viable business, so we've spent an enormous amount of time and, I must say, a fair amount of money on IP lawyers to sort of give us the view as to how that could be done. Q Did there come a time that the talks in late 2008 came to an end, or at least a hiatus?

A Yeah, it came to a hiatus principally because, in my view, we were running up against roadblocks in exactly how we would define the assets to be acquired. It was very important to us that what we were acquiring were free and clear, and that a very complicated thing to do. Q At some point, did SCO decide to go a different way? A They did. We were actually quite disappointed, but
they did.
Q What -- and when was that, if you recall?
A That was some time around the early part of this year, 2009. We were actually hoping that we could reach an agreement toward the end of last year, in December, but that -- we weren't able to do it.

Q Well, when SCO went that other way, did you take any action?

A Well, we didn't go away. We continued to try to have an active and constructive dialogue. We continued to feel that we could find a way through the morass of the conflicting points of view dealing with the IP, and so we kept at it.

Q But I take it, since you're here supporting the sale, you continued negotiations some time after the plan was filed in January of '09.

A We did. We've continued negotiations right up until a few minutes before His Honor had the last hearing.

Q What's the structure of the deal that was discussed from January of 2009, or thereabouts, through now?

A To be frank, sir, the basic structure really didn't change much. We sort of -- as I said, we were very clear that we didn't want to have anything to do with litigation, the merits of that notwithstanding on either side of it. We simply wanted to acquire the rights to the operating
platform, to the operating system. So that basic structure really continued throughout the negotiations. I personally didn't take -- was not involved in the detailed negotiations, but in terms of the -- that basic strategy really didn't change much.

Q Now you said you did the due diligence on the litigation with Novell in reference to checking on what intellectual property Unxis could get from SCO.

A Right.
Q Can you tell us whether Unxis is satisfied that it's getting everything it needs to run its business?

A Well, we started from the premise that Judge Kimball, in his ruling, I believe it was last summer, had said that SCO had all of the necessary rights and assets, if you will, to conduct the business that it had therefore been conducting. We also took some comfort, or I believe the lawyers did, out of the fact that this business had been sold to a -- from Santa Clara corporation to -Q Santa Cruz.

A -- Santa Cruz to Caldera some years earlier without any objection, so we felt comfortable that we could, indeed, acquire what we needed for, what I call, the short term. Longer term, these systems will merge and migrate, and it becomes less of an issue.

A So we felt comfortable that we could indeed acquire
what we needed for what I call the short term. Longer term, these systems will merge and migrate and it becomes less of an issue.

Q Now, to run the business, I assume you're going to have to have a lot of the contracts that SCO currently is party to; is that right?

A That's correct.
Q Have you or your representatives directed the debtor to assume and assign hundreds, if maybe more, contracts?

A There's been long and painful, I think, discussions over all that. My -- I'm informed by my lawyers and counsel, and by my business partners, that the contract that we've entered into conveys to us everything that's necessary.

Q How much is Gulf Capital Partners invested so far in this deal?

A You mean in the deal that's currently being discussed? Q Yes.

A Well, the investment's a bit tricky We're responsible for our share of the expenses, which are probably, to date, are in the range of a half a million dollars of accrued costs for legal counsel, IP analysis, and so on. And then, of course, we have at risk a deposit of $\$ 250,000$.

Q And getting into the counsel business did you have any difficulty finding counsel to help you negotiate with our
team?
A I did. The first group of lawyers that I wanted to retain -- not that we didn't retain excellent counsel, we did. There was actually two firms that I have historical relationships with that have conflict of interest with one or the other of the parties to certain of the litigation. Q And what effect did that have on the pace of the negotiations with SCO?

A Well, it slowed it down. We spent probably three weeks or four weeks trying to get counsel that was not conflicted. We were fortunate in finding counsel that I had a historical relationship with, but it was a tedious -- it was a task, to be frank, Your Honor.

Q How committed are you personally in seeing that this deal gets concluded?

A Well, I can tell you that I spent nine hours in the waiting room today, and I'm sure Your Honor is equally tired of today's proceedings.

We're very committed. I personally have had a lot at stake in this. There is, as you know, sir, a lot of controversy around the various aspects of the litigation that gave rise to these proceedings, and people have strong feelings on either side of it. And so, by venturing forth into the SCO morass, I found myself the victim of not daily anymore but virtually weekly bloggers with the various
conspiracy and other theories that are amusing but not something that you would go into lightly. But still, I believe that this is something that's worth doing. Q So you've suffered the barbs of the bloggers. You suffered nine hours in a Delaware waiting room. You've paid hundreds of -- or obligated yourself for hundreds of thousands of dollars. And I take it your answer is that you're very committed to this deal.

A I am committed. I must say, too, that on the conspiracy theories, it's most interesting to me that somehow we're in cahoots with Bill Gates. Why in the world he would need us is not so clear to me, Your Honor, but it's something that we read about.

Q How soon can Unix close this deal if Judge Gross were to sign an order today authorizing the Debtors to close it? A We're committed to move as rapidly as possible. There's some time periods provided for in the agreement.

To be quite honest, this has been a complicated situation and none of us knew what Your Honor might rule. And, therefore, we've had to wait and see, and there have been objections voiced by various of those. And so we've been in a position of not knowing exactly what we would be faced with.

But suffice to say that we're committed to moving as rapidly as possible. It's not a lot of money. And we feel
that this can be done certainly within the time frame set out in the Purchase and Sale Agreement.

Q Does Unix have funds to close this deal as quickly as possible as you've indicated?

A Well, Unix itself at the current time has access to capital through Gulf Cap and through Merchant Bridge. We also have had -- just this week, we now have received a binding commitment, subject to the judge's order, for about a million dollars. We've gone out to interested investors because we think it will make a better company.

Q Well, let me just -- now that you've opened that door, I take it you're not at liberty to discuss who these individuals are?

A I'd be more than happy to, in some kind of a protected way, discuss who potential investors that we're talking to would be, but I wouldn't be prepared, unless compelled, to put on the public record simply because it ends up on someone's blog.

Q Now, there's two parts to this. Correct me if I'm wrong. There's the take-out portion, that is at the closing with SCO, with a 2.15 either letter of credit or cash that would go to SCO --

A That's correct.
Q -- and a 2.85 million dollar letter of credit against which Novell judgment would be covered.

A That's correct.
Q Come hell or high water, no investors besides Merchant Bridge and Gulf Capital Partners, is this deal going to close for those funds?

A We have the resources to not only the combination of those two but to put in the initial working capital that we would require for probably operating the company somewhere in the range of a year.

Q And speaking of working capital, is that the major focus of your fundraising at this point?

A Yes, it is. I mean, our plan, Your Honor, is to try to raise about $\$ 10$ million initially, approximately 6 million of which would be used for working capital. And the bulk of that would be used for marketing and for engineering.

MR. SPECTOR: I'll pass the witness at this time, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
CROSS EXAMINATION
BY MR. REYNOLDS:
Q Good evening, Mr. Norris.
A Good evening.
Q You mentioned that you recently received a binding commitment for $\$ 1$ million. Is that from an investor has signed a Subscription Agreement, for example?

A It's actually from two investors.
Q Two investors totaling 1 million.
A One million, yes.
Q I see.
And have they signed documentation with Unix?
A They have.
Q They have.
And how long ago was that?
A I think that probably took place on Friday of last week.

Q Other than those two documents, which we haven't seen, that total a million dollars, are there any other binding commitments from any other sources that you have at this time?

A No.
Q Under the Purchase and Sale Agreement, I believe even as amended, Unix is required to provide a $\$ 2.15$ million letter of credit within five business days of the approval of the sale order by this court or they could do so at closing.

Do you understand that?
A I understand that, yes.
Q And that could be -- if the Judge were to approve the sale order today, that could be five business days from today.

You understand that?
A I understand that.
Q What is the capitalization of Unix?
A Today?
Q Today.
A Probably about \$10,000.
MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor -- I'm sorry; that the question assumed -- the one before. The question before assumed a fact not in evidence, which is untrue, about it being five days from today is what he asked. I believe the contract says five days from a final order. Final order --

THE COURT: In other words, after the 10-day appeal period.

MR. REYNOLDS: That's -- so five business days from the entry of the order, which may not be five days. Like it would not be five from today. It would be some period longer, but not too far off, if the Court would enter the order shortly.

BY MR. REYNOLDS:
Q Did you understand that?
A I do.
Q And you said the capitalization of Unix is approximately \$10,000?

A That's correct.
Q And you understand that Merchant Bridge and Gulf

Capital Partners are not signatories to the Purchase and Sale Agreement.

A I understand that.
Q Do you have any documents in writing that obligate Merchant Bridge or Gulf Capital Partners to fund this transaction in any way?

A I don't -- I don't believe so.
Q Do you understand that there are certain contracts that are to be assumed under the Purchase and Sale Agreement by Unix?

A I do.
Q And have you personally familiarized yourself with the contents of those contracts?

A No.
Q Isn't it true, Mr. Norris, that Unix itself, which you said was created only recently for this transaction, obviously has no business track record of any kind?

A That's true. It's a new company.
Q And have you taken any steps at this point, Mr. Norris, to obtain office space in connection with this transaction?

A To obtain office space?
Q Office space, yes.
A No.
Q Have you taken any other steps in terms of preparing
for the future with this transaction?
A We've created an outstanding senior management team. We spend an enormous amount of time on strategic planning, on assessing markets, and on conversations with very well capitalized investors who we believe will make outstanding shareholders. We haven't spent too much time on renting office space yet.

Q There's a gentleman named Mr. Jim Kelly (ph), correct?
A That's correct.
Q And is he someone whom you've already offered a position to?

A He is.
Q Do you have an agreement with him?
A I think he and Mr. Labla (ph) have been having discussions about the finalization of such an agreement. Q Other than Mr. Kelly, whom we just mentioned, have you taken steps to retain anyone else?

A Yes, we actually have also recruited a gentleman. His name is Kevin Cheatam (ph), who would be chief financial officer. He was associated with me and Mr. Kelly in another portfolio company that we successfully built with George Sorells (ph) some years ago. He would be CFO. And Jim Kelly has also recruited -- had substantive conversations with a gentleman who would be the general counsel.

We've had ongoing and fairly detailed conversations,

Eric has, with Mr. Hunsaker, who would come over with Mr. Bayer, who would come over with the engineering team that would come over. So we spent quite a bit of time discussing how we would see the operating management being formed. And also, we've spent some time thinking about, and actually had conversations with one of the leading cyber security experts of the United States about joining our board.

Q Have you yourself, Mr. Norris, ever run a software company?

A No, no. I'm not an operating officer, sir.
Q Now, do you recall that you gave deposition testimony
in this matter in Los Angeles on July 17th?
A I recall that.
Q And you understand you were under oath during that examination?

A I understand, sure.
Q And if you recall, at that time, you mentioned that -- and there was a presentation that discussed this, that you were seeking minimum investments in investors of $\$ 2.5$ million in connection with this joint venture.

A You're referring to a presentation we put together for prospective investors, I believe.

Q Okay. But that is what the presentation asked for.
A I think that's probably what it did ask for.

Q But you've now arranged for investments of about half a million dollars each; is that right?

A Well, typically speaking, in private equity context, you have an offer, and you always have the discretion to take investments of a smaller or larger amount if you choose to, and that's simply what we've done.

Q Is the answer to my question yes?
A Could you repeat your question?
Q That although you had asked and you had planned for investments of a minimum of 2.5 million from investors in the presentation we discussed in the deposition, that you have, in fact, since then accepted investments of approximately half a million dollars.

Is that right?
A Two investments at a half a million dollars.
Q But is that correct?
A That's correct.
THE COURT: May I excuse you just for a moment, Mr. Reynolds?

MR. REYNOLDS: Yes?
THE COURT: If you could speak a little more into the microphone.

MR. REYNOLDS: Oh, I'm sorry, Your Honor. Yes, of course.

THE COURT: Good.

MR. REYNOLDS: Sorry about that.
BY MR. REYNOLDS:
Q Mr. Norris, you understand there's a \$250,000 deposit that's been put in escrow, pursuant to the Purchase and Sale Agreement, correct?

A I do.
Q Isn't it true that you're not sure where that money came from?

A No, that's not true.
Q Did you recall testifying in your deposition, when I asked you whether you knew where the actual \$250,000 that was deposited in escrow in connection with the transaction came from, and you responded, "Probably the internal sources of Merchant Bridge." And I said, but do you actually know where it came from and you said "No"?

A Well, the internal sources of Merchant Bridge I stand by it. Where internally they arranged for it, I don't know.

Q Have you yourself contributed any money toward that? A No.

Q I'd like to move on to a different topic if I may, Mr. Norris, and that is Mr. Robbins was mentioned earlier today in your -- well, maybe before you were --

A No, I haven't been here. I've been outside.
Q -- before you came in.
Do you know who Mark Robbins is?

A I do.
Q Okay. Who is he?
A Mark Robbins is a Utah-based -- a Utah-based business entrepreneur.

Q Isn't it true that he was, at least at one point, your business partner?

A No.
Q Were you involved in an organization called Peninsula, in which --

A Yes.
Q -- he was also involved?
A He was, but it wasn't his individually. He had an entity that was owned -- interest in that company.

Q Isn't it true that Mr. Robbins introduced you to Darl McBride?

A That's true.
Q And isn't it true that you never heard of SCO prior to the November or December 2007 when Mr. Robbins introduced you to Mr. McBride?

A I think that's true.
Q Now, did there come a time when Mr. Robbins was working with you in connection with a possible transaction involving the assets that are now the subject of the Purchase and Sale Agreement?

A An entity that he formed.

Q Okay. And did this end up appearing as a memorandum of understanding in approximately February of $2008 ?$

A Yes, it did. There was a memorandum of understanding between an entity formed by Mark Robbins and myself.

Q And at the time of the Memorandum of Understanding, is
it true that you considered yourself a kind of sponsoring investor who was helping SCO Debtors to raise capital?

A The time frame again?
Q Around the time of the Memorandum of Understanding, which is February 2008.

A Yeah. I was asked by Mr. McBride, with the encouragement of Mr. Robbins, to act as a kind of sponsoring investor in a plan that they planned to and then did file. Q And isn't it true that after that point, you received a payment of $\$ 100,000$ from Mr . McBride?

A I think that that's -- actually, received the money through Mark Robbins, but I knew it came from Mr. McBride. Q Did you have an agreement with Mr. Robbins that related to that money?

A No.
Q Did you have an agreement with Mr. McBride in connection with that money?

A No.
Q And do you recall testifying on July 17th in response to the following question.
"Is it fair to say that you were paid this \$100,000, not pursuant to any written agreement?" That's on page 63.

And you answered, "That's correct. There is no written agreement. I have no agreement with Darl or didn't sign an agreement with Mark. It was simply on account of the fact that $I$ had been working on this reorganization, and I was not prepared to continue to do it without, you know, some kind of expense covered or compensation. So I looked at it as basically a, you know, a fee, part of a fee to continue to try to help a company put together their plan of reorganization."

Do you recall testifying to that effect?
A I think that's a fair characterization.
Q And do you recall also testifying in response to the question, "Why did you make no effort to have this payment documented in the form of an agreement as you've done in the past and other situations?"

And your answer was, "By that time, I was already embroiled in a situation, and I basically said, 'Look, if you guys want me to continue to work on this, you're going to have to provide some cover for this,' and it was in that context. There was nothing really to agree to. I just simply said, 'Look, I need to get some compensation for all this time and effort or I'm not going to continue to go forward with it.' So it really wasn't any more complicated
than that."
Now, do you recall testifying to that effect?
A Yes, I do. I stand by that.
Q So isn't it true that the $\$ 100,000$ you got from Mr. McBride was in connection with your work for the SCO getters in terms of their reorganization plan and helping them to raise capital?

A I don't think there's any controversy over that, not in my mind.

Q Right.
Another question. If I could point your attention, Mr. Norris, to Exhibit 57 of the Movants. It's in that binder.

MR. REYNOLDS: May I approach, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes, you sure may.
BY MR. REYNOLDS:
Q So looking at Exhibit 57 of the Movants' exhibits, do you see the first page there?

A I do.
Q Is it -- that invoice came from you?
A I believe it was sent on my behalf.
Q And is it not direct to Darl McBride of the SCO Group at its Lindon, Utah address?

A Yeah, I think that's where we were told to send this invoice, but this had nothing to do with the payment that
came from Mr. McBride, as I'm sure you'll point out.
MR. REYNOLDS: Your Honor, if we can take a short break? Is that possible?

THE COURT: How much time do you need?
MR. REYNOLDS: One minute, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Oh, certainly. I'll remain here, then, if you want to step out of the room for a moment or whatever.
(Recess from 7:36 p.m. to 7:37 p.m.)
MR. REYNOLDS: Thank you, Your Honor. We have no further questions.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. REYNOLDS: Thank you, Mr. Norris.
THE WITNESS: By the way, Your Honor, could I note that the date on this is incorrect.

THE COURT: The date on -- what's that?
THE WITNESS: On this invoice. It's a typo, I
suppose, but it says July 23, 2009.
MR. REYNOLDS: That is, Your Honor, how the document was provided to us. I'm not, obviously, aware of the source of it. Sometimes those kind of things when they're printed off the system have the date when they were printed out, and that may well explain that.

THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. REYNOLDS: We got this document from

Mr. Norris' counsel, who represented that she obtained it from SCO, after asking SCO for documents relating to these payments. We, as far as I know, have no record of receiving this document. We're the ones that follow it from SCO itself. So there's no Bates number on it, and that's why. THE COURT: I see. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Reynolds.

Any redirect?
MR. SPECTOR: Is there anybody else on your team?
THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Lewis. I
apologize.
MR. LEWIS: No, Your Honor. I have no questions. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Harrington?
MR. HARRINGTON: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I apologize.
(Debtor's Exhibit D-34 marked for identification.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q I show you what's been marked as Exhibit D-34. Can you identify that document, sir?

A Yes. This is actually a copy of the document that was pointed out before but has I think probably the correct date in '08.

Q Take a look at the addressee. Is the addressee the
same?
A No, it's not the same.
Q And do you know who paid the invoice?
A So far as I know, it was paid from one of the foreign subsidiaries.

Q Do you remember my asking you some questions at your deposition? Pardon me. Would you just read into the record who the addressee is on there?

A SCO Japan Limited, a subsidiary of the SCO Group.
Q Thank you.
MR. SPECTOR: I'm going to offer Exhibit D-34
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. REYNOLDS: No. This is the first time I'm really focusing on this document, Your Honor. I note that it has the same invoice number as the one that's been marked as Movants Exhibit 57, and I'm not sure of the origin of the document. Other than what I'm aware of now -- I'll have questions about it, but we have no objection right now.

THE COURT: No objection. It's admitted.
(Debtor's Exhibit D-34 received in evidence.)
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Mr. Norris, isn't it a fact that after you issued the prior invoice that had the wrong date and the Utah address, that you were asked to issue a new invoice to the Japanese entity?

MR. REYNOLDS: Objection to leading, Your Honor.
MR. SPECTOR: Yes, it's cross examination -- oh,
it's not.
THE COURT: No, no. I'm sorry.
MR. SPECTOR: No, that's right. Never mind.
Sorry about that. I was going to cross examine --
THE COURT: It's quite all right. It's late and it's been a long day for you.

MR. SPECTOR: I'm sorry. I withdraw that question.

BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Are you aware of the origin of this document, sir?
A I believe it was the document that was sent by my office in connection with the consulting fee that was paid for the emerging market analysis.

Q Thank you. Was there such an analysis done?
A Yes. It actually formed the basis of a lot of our thinking about this whole transaction. We looked at China. We looked at the Middle East. We looked at Russia. We looked at the fact that they have pending, enormous expenditures for infrastructure and so on and secure systems, and we felt that this would be something that would be of interest in these kinds of markets.

Q Do you remember my asking you at the deposition just a few questions at the very end?

At the very end of the deposition, $I$ don't know, it was seven hours, that I say, "I'll be short but brief"?

A I recall you saying that judgment, too.
Q And was I a man of my word on that occasion if by no other occasion?

A You certainly were.
Q Okay. Do you remember what it is I asked you at that point?

A You should probably refresh my memory.
Q Did I ask you --
A I remember short but brief.
Q Okay. Did I ask you whether you received any money whatsoever from the Debtors, SCO Operations or SCO Group?

A So far as I know, absolutely not.
Q Did you sign any consulting agreements with SCO Group or SCO Operations?

A No.
Q And, in fact, you were there to buy the company with others; is that right?

A That's correct.
MR. SPECTOR: That's all I have, Your Honor.
MR. REYNOLDS: Very briefly, Your Honor and Mr.
Norris.
THE COURT: Of course.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. REYNOLDS:
Q Isn't it true, Mr. Norris, that you made no mention of SCO Japan in your deposition on July 17 th? Just yes or no? A Isn't it true -- could you repeat that?

Q Yes. Isn't it true that you made no mention of SCO Japan in your deposition on July 17th?

A To be frank, I don't know how to answer that yes or no. I made no mention of Japan.

Q Very well. And, in fact, isn't it your testimony that you told me in deposition that it was SCO Germany with which you were operating in connection with this consulting arrangement; isn't that right?

A I did.
Q Have you ever met an individual by the name of Makato Aso (ph)?

A Never.
Q Do you understand him to be an employee of SCO Japan?
A I have no idea who he is?
Q Have you ever been to SCO's Japan office?
A Never.
MR. REYNOLDS: No further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right.

FURTHER REDIRECT
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q To what do you attribute the discrepancy?

A Because the head of the international operations was Mr. Bayer, and Mr. Bayer was in Germany. And I'd had quite a bit of dealings with Mr. Bayer. He had attended most of the strategy meetings that we had. And I simply recalled it as having been an arrangement entered into with one of his groups, and I thought Germany.

Q Would that be the same individual that other people have called Bayer, Hans Bayer?

A That's correct.
THE COURT: Bayer?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q And do you know what areas of the globe your study covered?

A Yeah, we looked at the -- we did not look -- it was initially to talk about the BRIC areas. We didn't really look at all of Brazil. We looked at China. We looked at Russia, primarily because there had been a very recent and lucrative contract with a big Russian bank, and we spent quite a bit of time in the Middle East, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Bahrain and Dubai.

Q So I guess since Russia is mostly in Asia, you did very little work in Europe.

A We did very little work in Europe.
Q So Russian, Middle East, which is some parts of it
perhaps in Asia, but nothing in Europe.
A No, we --
Q And China you said as well.
A And China.
Q Right, okay.
MR. SPECTOR: I have nothing further.
THE COURT: All right.
Nothing further, Mr. Reynolds?
MR. REYNOLDS: No. Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Norris. You may step down, sir, and thank you for your testimony.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Judge.
MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, we have one more witness, and I'll be short and brief, since I put it in my declaration.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. SPECTOR: Thank you, Mr. Norris.
We'll ask for Mr. Hunsaker.
Do you want to just plow ahead with him and be done?

THE COURT: I'm ready.
Mr. Marriott, are you ready, sir?
MR. MARRIOTT: I'm ready, Your Honor, if you are.
THE COURT: I am.
I know it's a long day, but it's difficult to get
everyone here together, you know, with schedules being what they are, particularly this time of year. So I think it's best that we try to complete it.

MR. SPECTOR: I couldn't agree with Your Honor more.

THE COURT: Mr. Harrington, you would be in the office reviewing some new case anyway.

MR. SPECTOR: The Debtors call Jeff Hunsaker.
THE COURT: Mr. Hunsaker, if you'll remain standing, while we have you sworn, sir.

JEFF HUNSAKER, DEBTORS' WITNESS, SWORN
THE COURT: Thank you.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SPECTOR:
Q Good evening, Mr. Hunsaker.
A Good evening.
Q I'll be reciting a little mantra here, and if you don't understand, just say so.

Do you adopt your declaration?
A Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: I turn the witness to cross.
THE COURT: Yes. Thank you.
MR. MARRIOTT: Good evening, Mr. Hunsaker.
THE WITNESS: Good evening.
MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, $I$ have no questions of
this witness; only objections. And I can make my objections, Your Honor, without the witness. So, as Your Honor wishes, I have no questions.

THE COURT: Even if I overrule the objections, you won't have?

MR. MARRIOTT: I will not, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay.
Mr. Lewis, any questioning from you?
MR. LEWIS: I have none, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right.
Mr. Harrington?
MR. HARRINGTON: None, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Then, Mr. Hunsaker, after waiting out there all day --

THE WITNESS: I feel like leftover meatloaf.
THE COURT: I hear you. I'm sorry.
MR. SPECTOR: That's a long time to wait for zero questions.

THE COURT: Yes, don't be sorry, Mr. Hunsaker. Thank you. You can now sit in one of the soft chairs.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, let me just simply lay out the objections --

THE COURT: Sure.
MR. MARRIOTT: -- and from our perspective, Your Honor can rule on them now or you can rule on them as you
consider this in further detail.
THE COURT: Sure.
MR. MARRIOTT: But we would submit that the Hunsaker declaration and, therefore, the adopted testimony, is irrelevant. The gist of the testimony, Your Honor, is that the conversion of this case from Chapter 11 to Chapter 7 would have a disruptive effect on SCO's customers. The testimony is, Your Honor, in all significant parts. And for that purpose, I refer Your Honor to paragraphs 8, 9, 10, 11, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 25, 26, 27 and 28, based, Your Honor, on speculation.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. MARRIOTT: It is based on hearsay, what some customers supposedly told Mr. Hunsaker and what, therefore, informs his views. It is not based on personal knowledge, and we think that is plain from the face of the document, Your Honor.

It also in at least one spot, paragraph 10, is based on what we would submit is improper lay opinion testimony. It is more far afield, Your Honor, the testimony that Your Honor has already ruled out of bounds, and we would ask you to, therefore, disallow it.

Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, if I may, I'm being
whispered to.
THE COURT: Yes, sir?
MR. MARRIOTT: The relevance to conversion, Your Honor, is that the effect on customers is not a relevant consideration for the Court in making that decision.

Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Spector?
MR. SPECTOR: Anything else?
This is a primarily a hearsay objection, Your Honor, and I'm not surprised it came. And this is one of the few things that got prepared on.

We have case law, surprisingly, on this very point. The issue is can a -- Mr. Hunsaker is far more than a salesman. Let's put it in the context of that.

Can a salesman talk about what he knows on his job when a salesman job is to interact with his customers to find out what they want and go back, anticipate their needs, fill their needs, and so forth.

The whole job -- well, a lot of people's jobs depend on communications. And, of course, anytime you talk about communications, oh, my goodness, it's got to be hearsay. Two circuits have said otherwise, and I'll cite for the Court the cases.

Central Illinois Light Company v. Consolidation Coal Company, 349 F.3d, 488 at 492 and 493, Seventh Circuit
2003.

Let me tell you about that one before $I$ cite the other one.

The court held that a salesman testimony
regarding his customers' unspoken business consideration was not hearsay. Specifically, the court stated, "It is the business of a salesman to know what alternatives his customer's exploring. That is something within a salesman personal knowledge rather than being hearsay." That's also at 492 and 493, as I said.

Cited with approval by that court was a case of Kansas City Power Light Company -- funny, they're both light companies -- v. Ford Motor Credit Company, 995 F.2d, 1422 and 1432, Eighth Circuit 1993 decision.

In that case, the court was faced with the consideration of whether to let certain testimony in from the company's CEO and CFO regarding how they believed the governmental agency was likely to react to a decision not to redeem certain stock. And this was subject to a hearsay objection.

The court affirmed the trial court's admission of that, stating that based on this witness' years of experience dealing with that governmental agency, the witness had personal knowledge as to the agency's likely reaction to any action taken by Power Company.

So I cite those two cases, and I have one more, at least one more.

I cite for Your Honor a case from the -- I believe this is the Third Circuit Court of Appeals. I believe that's around here someplace.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: Wilhelm v. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. That's cited at 118 F. Appeals 611. This is not a published decision in Westlaw -- it's in Westlaw I guess, but not Federal Reporter. And it's decided December 21, 2004.

They said there that the acting commissioner had sufficient personal knowledge to testify about a employee's capability to hold a press secretary position proposed for reinstatement. And the case goes on to talk about personal knowledge of one person about what the other person does in conformance with their job.

And one other case is a district court case from the district of Delaware. It's the case of Tracinda Corporation v. Daimler Chrysler AG, very famous case. And that was decided March 30, 2005, and cited at 362 F.Supp. 487.

I cannot quote to you the relevant provision of that because it's quite long, and I didn't get to finish it. But it said statements made by the CEO of the German
manufacturer that were printed in a newspaper article were not hearsay. And I'll have to get to the rationale, and I'll leave that for Your Honor -- and so forth.

Let me get the -- I had a little blurb on it, and I probably misplaced it.

But those are the cases $I$ cite for the support of the idea that someone like Mr. Hunsaker, who you will see in the early stages of the declaration lays out in detail the basis of his knowledge and how it's important to his job as the COO and his other positions, more than COO, but his position mainly as sales and distribution chief, to know what the company sells to the customers, what they use them for, what their needs will be in the future so they can go back. And he testifies about he has decide what distribution -- what new upgrades to ask for from the engineers and the like.

All of that gives him the expertise, personal expertise, to testify on the topics he's raised.

Regarding the relevance, Your Honor, I've argued in the response, and I'm not going to re-argue, the case is there, that this court should take into consideration the public interest, and the public has a say in this. And several of the customers that you see in the declaration are of public interest, some of them as you -- well, I'm not going to say it out loud.

That could be very relevant to this court's decision as to what to do on the conversion motion. And the response covers that. There are some cases on that there. And so, I urge the Court to overrule the objection.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, just briefly, and then with Your Honor's permission, Mr. Levin would like to address one quick point.

The objections were not limited to hearsay and relevance, Your Honor --

THE COURT: Understood.
MR. MARRIOTT: -- I say on that and nothing further. And the situation here is much more far afield than the situation in the cases to which Mr. Spector referred. I think, Your Honor, the Court's prior ruling on related issues effectively compels the relief we're requested.

Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
MR. SPECTOR: I don't know -- if I missed an objection, I'll address it if $I$ can be reminded if there was another one besides hearsay relevance.

THE COURT: Well, there was --
MR. SPECTOR: Competence?
THE COURT: -- not based on personal knowledge, therefore --

MR. SPECTOR: Oh, I think that's the same thing, I think covered that.

THE COURT: Speculation.
MR. SPECTOR: The witness has a basis to testify on what he's testified. Some of that is factual. This is what this customer uses for. This is an experience I went through when McDonald's wanted to change platforms. This is not speculation. A lot of this factual, historical factual information.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, I didn't identify and we don't object to those paragraphs that relate solely to the witness' background and description of his job.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. MARRIOTT: It's the conclusions that are then sought to be drawn from that experience, which conclusions are based upon hearsay, speculation and improper opinion that we otherwise object to.

I will yield to Mr. Levin.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
Mr. Levin?
MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, thank you for allowing a second lawyer to argue on this.

On the relevance point, Your Honor, our position is that if the effect on customers is relevant to a conversion motion, then this court would be ruling that the
creditors, and perhaps even the shareholders of this estate, if there is enough value for them, must, despite the failure of this debtor, comply with the requirements of Chapter 11, and despite meeting the grounds for conversion or dismissal under 1112, the creditors must continue to fund the customers so that they will not be interrupted.

Now, at some point, the money runs out. And at that point, the customers will be out of luck. It doesn't seem to be the law that the creditors are required to stand by to protect the customers until the money runs out when a conversion motion is brought, when there's still a little bit of money left for the creditors. That's why I think this testimony is irrelevant to the conversion motion, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Levin.
MR. SPECTOR: All I'll say about that is it goes to the weight. I believe the Court is not only authorized to, but I think is, in circumstances like this, required to. And I'll remind the Court that this case law that says on the motion for conversion, one of the unusual circumstances is whether the company is invested with a public interest, whether there be effects other than to the internal affairs of the company, which is why this is entirely relevant to this proceeding.

I have the cases here, Your Honor, and what I
would suggest because of the lateness of the hour -- and of course I've given it to you and you can pull it up yourself. But I could give it you if you want to read it now.

I would suggest, since this is a bench trial, and I believe you've already read all of these declarations anyway, that you reserve decision on that until you've read the case law and researched that support that I've offered.

You've got the predicate there in your hands in the form of the declaration, and we've offered you some law to help you guide it. And you can rule it out after you've done that or you can rule it in after you've done that.

THE COURT: Well, I think I --
Mr. Levin, I was about to say I think I can reserve decision here because cross-examination is not going to be dependent upon what my ruling will be.

Mr. Levin, yes?
MR. LEVIN: I understand, Your Honor.
The only final point $I$ would make in reply to what Mr. Spector said is that if this business is invested with the public interest, and if the public deems that it is important that it continue, the Fifth Amendment provides for just compensation for that, not that the creditors' coffers just have to be drained because this business is invested with the public interest.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. SPECTOR: Is Your Honor then going to adopt my suggestion?

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: Thank you.
The next thing $I$ want to bring in is the deposition transcript of Rene Beltran. Mr. Beltran was deposed in Los Angeles, I believe, on Wednesday, whatever that was, the 14th, I guess it was -- 15th, Wednesday the 15th. He is a resident of California, well outside the jurisdiction of a 100-mile deposed.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: And his deposition was taken by IBM on behalf of all of the Movants. I'll offer that.

What exhibit is that? Exhibit 27, Your Honor.
(Debtor's Exhibit 27 marked for identification.)
It was also listed on their exhibit list as well, which is -- you know what? Everything's in evidence. What am I doing?

MR. MARRIOTT: No, Your Honor, it's not evidence. That was one of the exhibits that was omitted when I moved the admission of the exhibits. And we removed that exhibit from our exhibit list. The witness was not called live as counsel originally said that the witness would be called live. The deposition was taken for purposes of being able to cross-examine the witness.

So we didn't move for the admission of that exhibit, and, indeed, we object to the admission of that exhibit because what counsel -- what happened here, Your Honor, is that the document was not, by the Court's deadline, identified as a potential exhibit, despite the fact that the deposition had been taken. And counsel never pointed out the specific provisions that they sought to introduce to give us an opportunity to make line-by-line objections where appropriate to that testimony.

This witness' testimony, Your Honor, is, we think, subject to the same objections that I laid out with respect to Mr. Hunsaker, and I won't undertake to do that now, except he's a customer vendor. And, frankly, I think it's probably fair to say his testimony is a little less objectionable than is Mr. Hunsaker's, but it is, we think, in substance, subject to the same objections. And because the deposition testimony was not designated line-by-line because it was untimely submitted, we didn't go through and lay out line by line objections for Your Honor because, as I say, it was submitted past the deadline.

So we would object to that, to the introduction of that testimony.

MR. LEWIS: Your Honor --
THE COURT: Mr. Lewis?
MR. LEWIS: -- I join the objection. In
particular, Mr. Beltran was originally listed as a witness in the witness list that we were given in exchange. And I was actually the person who was going to be responsible for cross examining him, the lead person on this side of the podium. Then the last witness list that we got omitted him, and didn't say, bump, we're going to try this deposition; just omitted him. It omitted several people.

Now, all I can say is, at that point, I stopped preparing for Mr. Beltran. And as counsel has indicated, we've done nothing towards commenting on his transcript, or marking up his transcript, or objecting to anything. I just think that under these circumstances -- and although counsel acknowledges that Mr. Beltran's testimony may be one relevant in one sense in that it's a personal experience as an end user; on the other hand, he's one end user amongst however many there might be.

It seems kind of asking a lot for the Court to draw an inference from the very particular to the general based upon that testimony. It seems that testimony is more for effect than it is for substance.

MR. SPECTOR: If there's anything about this case that is similar to the sport of fencing, this would be the one.

Here it is they're objecting to the deposition they took, but -- and there is a little dance going on, and
we're both doing it. We're both guilty of it, Your Honor. Let me give you the full context so you can appreciate it. And if you rule it out, you know, it's not going to be the end of the world; I've got to tell you that. But you ought to know the whole context.

First of all, Mr. Marriott's presentation correct, is actually correct. Mr. Lewis' I believe is incorrect, with all due respect. We didn't remove Mr. Beltran from the list of witnesses until we filed the list of witnesses, which was, I believe -- all the days run together. Whenever our deadlines -- sometime fairly recently. We always had him listed. And then after the --

Here's what happened. They served him with a subpoena to attend his deposition, and I have his subpoena here. It's attached with the production. I want Your Honor to see the production.

Mr. Beltran's deposition explains who he is and what he's about, what his company is. I think it's a twoor three-man operation in the Los Angeles area He had -- it was way, way overbroad, which is something he raised, and it was well understood. Counsel, Mr. Marriott and I were working on trying to narrow it down.

But we looked into filing a motion for a protective order. We didn't have standing to do that. So we asked Mr. Beltran if he didn't want to do it, go get a
lawyer. He says, I can't afford lawyer. I'm not hiring a lawyer to be a third-party witness. So he showed up without any documents to speak of. And they went ahead -- in fact, it wasn't until the end of the deposition that they said, oh, by the way, we're giving the documents to bring, and the colloquy really takes place at the very end of the deposition.

And so they took a whole deposition without dealing with this. And then counsel who took it was asked -- I don't know anything about what we're asking for, but we'll object to it if you don't deliver it. Mr. Beltran then says, well, I don't want to get in trouble. I'm not coming over to De La Rue if I'm going to get in trouble over this. And so we said, okay, forget it, we won't call you, because they said they were going to object to him; forget it.

Then we see Beltran's on their -- the deposition transcripts, on their list of exhibits. Okay? We said, oh, my goodness, we ought to object. And Your Honor on that call said, no, he's outside the 100-mile limit; he can bring it in.

So then we amended ours and said, okay, we'll add him, too, the Beltran deposition. And then today, they withdrew -- in light of my "objection," they withdrew the -- so there's been a real minuet here, Your Honor.

I will, again, offer the transcript bare raw and the subpoena, and Your Honor can do justice. If you rule it out, we'll live with it. If you think otherwise, we encourage you to read it. There are some things in there he says, which is why they wanted to list it, that really doesn't go our way, but we're offering it anyway.

THE COURT: Mr. Lewis?
MR. LEWIS: Your Honor, on July 23rd at 9:29 in the morning, Mr. Marriott got an e-mail with a copy to me, and a copy to the happiest man on the face of the earth, to Mr. McMahon (ph) which says our witnesses will be Darl McBride, Jeff Hunsaker, Ken Nielsen, Ryan Tibbitts, Frank Caplan, Steven Norris.

We do not plan to call Rene Beltran. That's what it says. And based upon that, I stopped preparing for Mr. Beltran. I stopped reading his deposition. I just stopped. And I think that under these circumstances, it is not appropriate to try to admit Mr. Beltran's deposition. He should have been here live to either cross-examine, or his deposition should have been teed up early enough for us to deal with it if it was going to be offered on the grounds that he was unavailable. You may recall, we had that discussion about Mr. Norris and availability during our conference call.

So I would suggest, Your Honor, especially given
the marginal value of this anyhow, that the Court rule this deposition out.

MR. SPECTOR: I want to apologize to Mr. Lewis, and I'm feeling all tired, and it's late. I misunderstood what he had said before. I thought he was saying he was prepared to take the witness at deposition on the 15th.

THE COURT: Oh, no.
MR. SPECTOR: And that's what $I$ was responding to.

THE COURT: He was going to cross examine here.
MR. SPECTOR: I had no idea that he was -- I didn't understand that in his comments, so I apologize for misunderstanding that.

But if the witness -- Mr. Lewis and Novell were invited to the deposition. They could have come and taken it. It was called by IBM, not by us. He doesn't get to cross examine the transcript anyway. So I don't think that changes anything.

MR. LEWIS: Your Honor, I get to comment on a transcript in advance of its being submitted. That's the way it normally works. People submit their objections to whatever testimony there's going to be. I have not had a chance to do that.

MR. MARRIOTT: And if it's done right, Judge, we get to go line by line, word for word, and decide whether we
have objections.
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. MARRIOTT: And we didn't have that
opportunity here, Judge.
THE COURT: Because, in other words, they would be designating which portions of the deposition?

MR. LEWIS: Exactly.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. LEWIS: And, yes, we're all under a lot of pressure here, but there still is a fundamental sense of fairness that if we just literally don't have a chance to prepare, when the circumstances could have provided otherwise, we should not have to face that.

THE COURT: Well, from what I'm hearing, frankly, is probably much to do about nothing. So I am going to, just to sort of end these proceedings, I'm going to rule out the deposition at this point.

MR. SPECTOR: Understood.
MR. LEWIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: Now, we do have other exhibits. I want to go through my list to make sure that they were admitted.

THE COURT: Yes, please.
MR. SPECTOR: And if you'll give me a minute just
to do that. I've got to find them.
(Pause)
MR. MARRIOTT: You're a patient man, Judge.
THE COURT: Oh, I don't know. Just on the
outside.
MR. MARRIOTT: It's 8:15.
MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, very few.
THE COURT: I didn't think there were very many.
MR. SPECTOR: There's a bunch, but we don't really need to put them in.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. SPECTOR: We had a bunch of e-mails from Hans Bayer showing his support going our way, so that was covered.

Exhibit Number 30 is a demonstrative exhibit of claims reduced since September 14, 2007. It was part of Mr. Tibbitts' declaration. It was attached I believe.

THE COURT: Oh, it was attached to it? Okay.
MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, my only problem here is the list of Debtors' exhibits which I have only goes to 26.

MR. SPECTOR: Yes. I sent e-mails -- I did like you guys did. I added by e-mail.

Let me ask you -- we can make this a little
faster.

If by his declaration coming in, the exhibits are in with it, and we don't have to separately go through these.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, I understand that that's the way it works.

MR. SPECTOR: All right.
MR. MARRIOTT: If they think it's in, then better or worse, when you cross that bridge.

THE COURT: But I don't have that exhibit anywhere.

MR. SPECTOR: It should be attached to Mr. Tibbitts' -- it's not?

THE COURT: To Mr. Tibbitts' -- oh, wait a minute. I'm missing some pages to that.

MR. SPECTOR: And see, I didn't know that that was an automatic pass-through.

THE COURT: I may need to get another copy of that from you.

MR. SPECTOR: May I approach?
THE COURT: You may. Here it is. Okay.
Thank you, Mr. Spector. Thank you, sir.
MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, maybe I can speed this up. With at least with respect to that exhibit, IBM has no objection to it being -- so long as it's understood that that exhibit -- I think it's plain on its face -- makes no
mention of and does not include any reference to any IBM claims related to its counterclaims. Subject to that understanding, we have no objection to that.

MR. SPECTOR: I believe it says this is the list of -- strike that. That's a list of
reduced -- demonstrative claims reduced. IBM's wasn't reduced.

THE COURT: Exactly.
MR. SPECTOR: The other one is 16.
Was that part of his declaration?
Well, you know, we don't need that. Never mind 16. We won't waste the time on that.

We ask the Court to take judicial notice of the briefs in the SCO case in the United States Court of Appeals with the Tenth Circuit.

MR. MARRIOTT: No objection.
MR. SPECTOR: And --
MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, it goes to the merits, so with the understanding Your Honor is not coming to the merits and not looking at those for purposes of making any determinations to the merits since they became arguments of either side.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. MARRIOTT: They're in the public record and Your Honor is going to look them up, but I don't that
they're properly introduced into evidence.
MR. SPECTOR: Right. I asked judicial --
THE COURT: I know that they are, and I don't know that --

MR. MARRIOTT: If the suggestion is you can take judicial notice of their existence, as to that we have no objection. As to the content and accepting the arguments, it's to that we object, and I think Your Honor's already ruled on that.

MR. SPECTOR: I'm not asking Your Honor to waive them and value one argument against another.

THE COURT: Okay. They're not being admitted for the merits of the arguments but rather just for the fact that they are on file and when they're on file, I assume.

MR. MARRIOTT: I gather.
(Debtor's Exhibit 27 received in evidence.)
MR. SPECTOR: I believe we've got all we need in there, Your Honor. And if you want to take a break, we can; otherwise, I'll go right to the closing argument.

MR. MARRIOTT: I have only one brief observation. I have no intent, Your Honor, to re-argue the Court's rulings with respect to the three disputed exhibits, except to ask that the Court consider reconsidering that in view of the testimony that we heard from Mr. Norris about his dealings with Mr. Bayer. And on that I say nothing further,
except, Your Honor, I think it bears on that question.
THE COURT: Mr. Spector?
MR. SPECTOR: I'm sorry?
THE COURT: I've been asked to reconsider my evidentiary ruling on those e-mails from Mr. Bayer.

MR. SPECTOR: Well, I'd ask you not to, Judge. We would have other exhibits that will be coming in and further redirect, then.

THE COURT: Yes. I think that I'm going to have to stand by that ruling.

Yes, Mr. Levin?
MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, before Mr. Spector launches into final argument, I might ask if he rests.

THE COURT: That's a fair question, and I should have asked it myself. Do you rest?

MR. SPECTOR: I'd love to.
THE COURT: All right.
And do the Movants rest?
MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, I would just ask the Court's indulgence to permit a brief statement from Mr. Christopher Donoho of the firm of Lovells, who -- I made a representation in my opening statement, and I don't want to let it be hanging. So I would ask the Court to permit a brief statement on that subject before we rest.

THE COURT: And Mr. Donoho represents LNS; is
that correct?
Mr. Donoho, please? I'll hear from you.
MR. DONOHO: Good evening, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Good evening.
MR. DONOHO: Christopher Donoho of Lovells, LLP, on behalf of LNS acquisition, LLC. Nice to see you again.

THE COURT: Good to see you again.
MR. DONOHO: It's been an interesting day today.
THE COURT: And you've been very patient.
MR. DONOHO: Thank you, and you have as well.
I did want to point out that -- you know, it was discussed today that my client has made a proposal. It's been received by the Debtors. I think it's been viewed other constituents in this case as well. We stand by that proposal. We'd like to investigate that opportunity further.

We would obviously be very interested in any kind of further discussions with the debtor. We'd obviously be interested in any kind of competitive bidding process that may be set up. We think that our bid is an attractive one. I don't think we would have gone through the trouble of putting the bid together if we didn't think that it provided a better form of recovery for the creditors than what's currently on the table. And so, we'd like that opportunity if the opportunity arises.

Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right, Mr. Donoho. Thank you. MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, the Movants rest.

THE COURT: Thank you.
Mr. Levin?
MR. LEVIN: I was going to say that from here, Your Honor, only because I was on my way to the water, if I may access it.

THE COURT: Do the parties want a short break to -- you want a five-minute break just to kind of gather your thoughts and have a minute? Okay.

MR. LEVIN: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Now, let me ask, about how long are you looking for closing arguments here?

MR. LEVIN: Hours.
THE COURT: That's what $I$ was afraid of, Mr. Levin.

MR. LEVIN: We will attempt to be very reasonable, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Spector?
MR. SPECTOR: When I prepared this, I allotted about 15 minutes to mine, and I don't think I'll go longer than that. On the other hand, there probably will be issues that are -- we get the last say, I believe. Well, you know, we're the movant on one and we're the respondent on the
other.
THE COURT: That's right.
MR. SPECTOR: Go figure it out.
You know, Your Honor, when we're done with the closing arguments, there's another issue. And that is, Your Honor was contemplating whether you were going to make a ruling first on the sale, whether we even have to go in to argue the merits of the conversion motion.

While we're taking this break, could we ask Your Honor to ponder that and give us some advice so we can talk about that?

THE COURT: Well, I'm pondering it, and I really think that I'm going to reserve decision on both motions at this point; not for long, but at least to give myself an opportunity to sort of review a lot of evidence that I've heard today and to consider the arguments.

MR. SPECTOR: Okay. So we'll take the break now?
THE COURT: Yes. Thank you.
(Recess from 8:20 p.m. to 8:28 p.m.)
THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated.
All right. Mr. Levin?
MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, given the, as Mr. Spector noted, two motions that are pending, how would you like to order this?

THE COURT: Well, I think, first of all, why
don't I hear from the Movants first on the motion to convert? And then I'll hear Mr. Spector's reply, and then Mr. Spector -- or response, and your then reply, if you'd like on.

MR. LEVIN: Your Honor --
THE COURT: Did you have a suggestion?
MR. LEVIN: You just gave me an idea on what you said.

THE COURT: Yes?
MR. LEVIN: We'll go first on the conversion motion.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. LEVIN: He can respond to that and give his views on the sale motion.

THE COURT: Correct.
MR. LEVIN: We'll then be able to reply to the conversion as well as respond to the sale motion.

THE COURT: Perfect.
MR. LEVIN: And then he can perhaps have the final word, but just on the sale motion, not on the conversion motion.

THE COURT: Exactly. Makes all the sense.
No? You don't like that idea?
MR. SPECTOR: I wrote a closing based on both together because, as we noted on June 15th, one of the
unusual circumstances, to fight it, to defeat the conversion motion, was the fact that there's a proposal to pay the creditors in full.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. SPECTOR: So they kind of go together. I can't separate them out.

THE COURT: Mr. Harrington?
MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, there's one closing, we'll take the prepared remarks maybe 10 or 15 minutes, but it's one closing.

MR. HARRINGTON: Your Honor, isn't that exactly what was just offered to him?

THE COURT: To some extent, yes. If you go second, then you'll be able to do that.

MR. SPECTOR: Yes, but to bifurcate -- I can't bifurcate.

MR. HARRINGTON: The offer was that the Movants would go first on conversion, that you would do both together.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. SPECTOR: Oh.
MR. HARRINGTON: And the Movants would respond.
THE COURT: That's right.
MR. DOSHI: Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes?

MR. DOSHI: Amish Doshi on the phone.
THE COURT: Mr. Doshi, yes, sir?
MR. DOSHI: I'd like Your Honor to note at some point, I would like to say a couple of words with respect to only the sale motion as it relates to the assumption and assignment of the Oracle agreement. So in the going back and forth, Your Honor, I would like to know at what point can I get my couple of words in.

THE COURT: I would say perhaps we should hear from you now so that Mr . Spector can respond to your concerns.

MR. O'NEILL: Your Honor, if I may.
THE COURT: Oh, yes? Yes?
MR. O'NEILL: Mr. Doshi I believe is representing Oracle, and they have proposed some language, which would potentially resolve or, really, rather put off for another day their cure objection. We only recently provided them with copies of the agreements, which are the subject of the Asset Purchase Agreement, and they provided language, which basically would be incorporated into an order if the Court approved the sale, which would indicate that their contracts would only be assumed upon the parties reaching agreement and entering into some kind of stipulation or agreed upon language; or if that was not possible, that we would have to come back to Your Honor for a hearing on that particular
cure objection. And that's acceptable to us.
And so, I just wanted to make that statement to counsel for Oracle because it might abbreviate some of the proceedings for him, and it also might alleviate their concern. But they propose language, and we would be acceptable -- we would agree to accept their proposed language as an interim resolution of their cure objection.

THE COURT: Mr. Doshi, I wouldn't blame you if you said, now he tells me.

MR. DOSHI: No, Your Honor. I appreciate that. And with that confirmation, $I$ just want to clarify one thing, that our objection is not just with respect to cure, it's also with respect to the actual assumption and assignment. But the language -- if the language that we proposed is going to be -- in the event Your Honor is going to approve the sale, if the language that we propose is going to be included in its entirety, then our objection is resolved, and basically everything is reserved for another day with respect to all the parties. And to the extent that we can't resolve the issues, everybody has a right to come back to court, and then Your Honor can deal with Oracle and the Debtors at a later stage.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. O'NEILL: Yes, Your Honor. That is the understanding. I can confirm.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much,
Mr. O'Neill.
Thank you, Mr. Doshi.
MR. DOSHI: And may I be excused, Your Honor?
THE COURT: You may be excused, sir.
MR. DOSHI: Thank you.
THE COURT: Good evening to you.
MR. DOSHI: Thank you. Thank you for allowing me to appear telephonically and thank you for the patience as well.

THE COURT: All right, sir. Good evening.
MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, I wonder if Mr.
Petrofsky is still on the line.
THE COURT: Mr. Petrofsky?
MR. PETROFSKY: Yes. This is Mr. Petrofsky.
Hello?
THE COURT: Yes?
MR. LEVIN: Whether he wishes to be heard.
THE COURT: Oh, oh.
MR. LEVIN: I didn't mean to exclude him from this process.

THE COURT: Did you wish to be heard or were you on just for the sake of --

MR. PETROFSKY: Oh. No, I'm sorry. I'm just listening. Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right.
Mr. Levin, are you making the closing?
MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, I am opening the closing.
THE COURT: Opening the closing, yes.
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. LEVIN
MR. LEVIN: I'll be focusing only on the
conversion motion, Your Honor, although they do interrelate --

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. LEVIN: -- and I'll try to keep this limited to the conversion motion.

Your Honor, our grounds for conversion were for cause, which is broad in general, and then for a specific cause in addition. And that is 1112(b)(4)(a), continuing loss and -- continuing loss to or diminution of the estate --

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. LEVIN: -- in absence of a reasonable
likelihood of rehabilitation. Let me take those separately.
The debtor in its opposition to the conversion motion agreed that there are continuing losses.

Mr. Nielsen got on the stand, the CFO, and said, well, yeah, there are continuing losses, but they're much larger than we put in our opposition papers. They're really big. And, in fact, in the now I would guess 20 or 21 months
that we've been here -- I'm sorry. The record only cuts off a few months ago, so for 19 months, $\$ 5.3$ million of cash lost to the creditors and shareholders. Mr. Nielsen's testimony is that that cash burn rate is continuing at the rate of at least $\$ 240,000$ per month and maybe 280,000, including the organization expenses.

There is -- he testified that there is somewhere between five and $\$ 800,000$ in cash remaining. That's two to three months, and it will be gone at that rate.

Now, sure, if the sale closes -- it's not going to close tomorrow, certainly. But we are going to suffer maybe as much as a third of that cash gone before a sale closes.

Now, you'd think that the Debtors would do something about that loss. What might they do? I'm reluctant to refer to the Hunsaker deposition, Your Honor, because it hasn't been admitted. But if it were admitted, I would look at it and say, my goodness, I read the problems that McDonald's would have migrating to any other system.

It seems to me that this debtor has enormous pricing power over its customers if McDonald's is any indication. And yet, they've sat here for 21 months and not done anything to solve the loss problem with customers who are inextricably tied to this debtor, and they're using that as an excuse, as a reason not to convert but to continue to
lose money to support those customers, when, in fact, the pricing power should be just in the opposite direction. We don't think that's wise business management and is part of the continuing loss and perhaps the gross mismanagement aspect of the motion to convert.

If the sale is approved, Your Honor, and we'll talk about that later, we had testimony about the stand-alone ability of the mobility products, the mobility applications, which have revenues in the tens of thousands of dollars at this point. And I think the record will reflect in Mr. McBride's deposition, which is in evidence, that they would need about 10 to 12 employees to run that business.

With revenues of less than \$100,000 per year and 10 to 12 employees, they're going to need perhaps a lot more than Novell's constructive trust money to last very long. They're going to need an outside investor. They're going to need fresh cash. There's no evidence of that in this case. This case suggests continuing loss, even if the sale is approved because the mobility business cannot be a money maker, at least in the near term, according to Mr. McBride's testimony.

Turning to the absence of a reasonable likelihood of rehabilitation, Your Honor. If the sale is approved, the prospects for rehabilitating the mobility application's
portion of the business are as I just described. If the sale is not approved, then we've got a business that is losing \$250,000 a month approximately. Mr. Nielsen testified we have a plan to skinny it down so that it wouldn't lose month.

What have we been waiting for while 5.3 million, plus a few months additional 250 a month, probably close to 6 million at this point, cash has gone out the door? What are we waiting for?

Is that a rehabilitation? Is there a plan that can be done around that? Mr. Nielsen's testimony suggests it would not be cash flow negative anymore, but is that a rehabilitation? Can a plan be had around that? There's nothing in the record that suggests that, Your Honor.

The debtor has continued to press that if there is a reversal at the Tenth Circuit, in whole or in part -- and there are three separate claims that they described at the Tenth Circuit -- that it will somehow help the business. They've made that claim at several points during this case. They're set out in my conversion motion. I will not repeat them here, that things would turn around. But I can note one thing.

The Debtors claim that the Novell claim early in the case was in excess of 30 million perhaps $\$ 40$ million. In fact, when the district court ruled, the Novell claim was
determined to be $\$ 3.5$ million, approximately 10 percent of what the Debtors feared. If that's not a victory, Your Honor, I'm not sure what I would characterize it as. And yet, is there any evidence in the record that the customers came flocking back, that investors arrived, that their losses turned around? None. I'm not speculating about the future, what may happen with the Tenth Circuit. I'm only talking about the past and the ruling in the Novell case.

Your Honor, this case, as has been noted, is about the obsessive pursuit of litigation and perhaps the obsessive pursuit of the mobility applications, although that's a little less clear. But we have here a Chapter 11 debtor who is supposed to be a fiduciary for the estate and for the creditors. And what do we have?

McBride's testimony in his deposition that he will not consider selling the litigation as part of any sale, but worse we have incorrect financial statements to this court, which were not corrected until called to their attention. We have incorrect testimony not only on the board meeting, which the record will show was incorrect on the June 15th testimony, but also the reasons for the termination of the sale negotiations both with York and with SNCP, the first iteration of that.

Mr. McBride testified very clearly at the June 15 hearing that both of those deals fell apart because of the
uncertainty related to the litigation. We heard a very different story today. I don't know which one to believe, but a fiduciary shouldn't be telling this court different stories.

We have a CEO of a business that has 62 employees, and he's not sure who his officers are or what officer positions they hold. We have questionable payments. There was debate about them. They attempted to justify them. But at the most -- at the least -- excuse me, Your Honor -- they were questionable.

We have a mischaracterization of board meetings. We have a public reporting company that has a false website identifying its officers, according to Mr. McBride's testimony. And we have Mr. McBride's testimony that they reached -- I'm not sure it was Mr. McBride, but we have other testimony that they reached an agreement to revise the fee agreement with Boies, Schiller \& Flexner in this case without this court's approval. And that is subject to this court's approval under Section 327.

Your Honor, this does not amount to a Chapter 11 debtor that should remain in possession. These things all speak of breach of fiduciary duty, failure to follow the orders of this court, failure to comply with Chapter 11 procedures. We can debate whether that's grossly mismanagement. There is no excuse for the failure to file
an operating report for any period after March 2009. All of these are grounds for conversion of the case.

Unusual circumstances. How much must the estate continue to pay to support McDonald's? McDonald's ought to be able to support itself, Your Honor, and its other customers.

Your Honor, as I mentioned in my opening, I think the Court might appropriately consider, if the Court determines to, to go the auction route on the sale, which we'll discuss later, appointing a trustee under 1104(a)(3), which an alternative to granting a conversion motion, or let me say it's an alternative remedy upon granting a conversion motion. And I'll address that more in connection with the sale, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Levin. Thank you, sir.
Mr. Lewis

## CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. LEWIS

MR. LEWIS: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't have much to add there, except I'd like to focus on the issue of rehabilitation.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. LEWIS: The Court knows the standard is not just whether you can confirm some kind of plan, whether you can confirm a rehabilitating plan that puts an operating company, the debtor, back out on the street. And Mr. Levin
has noted some of the concerns there, but on top of that, Your Honor, we don't even have the debtor saying that's what it wants to do. We have the debtor saying, if the sale's approved, we want to have the case dismissed. We're not telling you under what terms and conditions. We're not telling you what kind of protections they're going to be. And some of this gets to the motion to sell and the supposed protections for creditors, so I don't want to get into that too much now. I just ask the Court to remember this point when we get there.

But, Your Honor, there is no rehabilitation being discussed in this proceeding. It's in their papers. It was in counsel's comments on the 15th of June that they intend to ask for dismissal. This is a total abuse of Chapter 11, and I think it's time to have a neutral Chapter 11 trustee, Chapter 7 trustee, there to judge what should happen next in this case, what operation should continue, what operation shouldn't continue, what should be done with the litigation, whether it should be prosecuted vigorously, whether it should be settled in light of whatever happens in the Tenth Circuit when the time comes.

I doubt seriously that given their devotion to that litigation, the Debtors' management is going to scatter to the four winds and desert a Chapter 11 trustee or a Chapter 7 trustee. They're all shareholders. If they win
big, they make money anyhow. It's just not going to happen. But that trustee will be taking -- while getting advice from these people, he will be making his own judgments and decisions as a new face on the block, as an experienced bankruptcy person, perhaps as an experienced business person.

Congress saw fit to provide for conversions to Chapter 7, and to provide for Chapter 7, and to provide for Chapter 7 trustees. And the notion that Chapter 7 trustees can't be trusted to do their job just flies in the face of Congress' judgment on that issue, which none of us is really in a position to override.

So those are my comments, Your Honor. I'm going to save most of what I have for discussions about the sale motion because it really has to do, in many ways, with what's left over if the sale is approved. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Lewis.
Mr. Harrington?
CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. HARRINGTON
MR. HARRINGTON: For the record, Your Honor, William Harrington from the Office of the United States Trustee.

Your Honor, I think this case is interesting because it's a case where the $800-$ pound gorillas didn't come
in on the first day of the case and demand conversion. We've waited two years now, Your Honor, and I think kind of what I'll call the 800 -pound gorillas in this case have finally come to the court and said, after $\$ 5$ million has been taken away from our recovery, please do something about it.

So this is not the case where you have the overbearing creditors coming in on the second day of the case, demanding action of the court before anyone has a chance to look at the case, before the Debtors have a chance to rehabilitate and catch their breath; two years, Your Honor.

Your Honor, I'm not going to go over continuing loss. I think that was well covered. And I think it is essentially admitted in the Debtors' response. On rehabilitation, I think that also was well covered, Your Honor.

I do want to stress the plan aspect of it. As I said in my opening, the Debtors aren't talking about a plan here; the Debtors are talking about some kind of structured dismissal. I don't know what that means. That's not part of the bankruptcy code, Your Honor. It's not a plan. It's not a Chapter 7 distribution.

I am concerned, Your Honor, when we get to that point where I think we've demonstrated cause, what Your

Honor then has to do is look at to whether or not it's in the best interest of the creditors, unusual circumstances based on the best interest of the creditors.

The creditors are here telling you they want conversion. They want an independent fiduciary. So I think you have to take that into account, Your Honor, when you make your decision. And I think it's important that you think about that they waited two years. They're given the debtor their a chance, and the debtor hasn't produced. And so I think at this stage, Your Honor, you do have to take into consideration what the creditors are asking you to do, and these are the 800-pound gorillas in the case, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Talk to me for one minute, if you will, Mr. Harrington, based on your experience about an operating Chapter 7.

MR. HARRINGTON: Well, I think there are a number of options here, Your Honor, and I think Mr. Levin mentioned it. I mean, a Chapter 7 trustee can proceed under 721. You can rule that he can operate the Debtors through a sale, and that's happened in this district before. I know George Miller has operated companies before --

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. HARRINGTON: -- under 721. But I think there are other alternatives.

If you decide that the cause exists for conversion, you can, under certain circumstances, appoint a Chapter 11 trustee if you think that's appropriate in carrying out a sale process or the appointment of an examiner, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Harrington.
All right.
Mr. Spector --
MR. SPECTOR: Yes, Your Honor?
THE COURT: -- it's your turn. Thank you very much. It's been a long day and a long couple of weeks for you, I know.

CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. SPECTOR
MR. SPECTOR: Yes, sir.
Before I dive into my closing, I'd like to address some of the things that I've heard from counsel, and put that aside, and then get into the guts of mine.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. SPECTOR: Mr. Levin said SCO had pricing ability. There's no evidence of that. As Your Honor probably knows from testimony in this case or just living with this case, that's not how this business works. There are contracts. He's suggesting that they can go out and tell Microsoft tomorrow we're raising the prices. Licenses have been bought. It's not like there are new customers
walking in the door and they're going to raise the price. There's no evidence that they have pricing ability.

The debtor -- the reorganized SCO -- I don't want to say the reorganized SCO because it would be the former debtor SCO -- will have new money to go on in the rehabilitation phase post bankruptcy. If the Unix sale closes, they'll have a million dollars left over after paying the claims. That's new capitalization.

Why no skinny-down plan until now? Because you've heard the efforts to try to sell the company is a going concern. Who wants the Unix business if there are no engineers there or R\&D or support and service? That's why there's not a skinny down plan. The major ease that this company's been looking to is to try to sell the Unix business. And by the way, it's also not true that Mr. McBride testified that all they wanted to do was keep the litigation. They did have some of the A,B,C,D,E,F,G, which we never linked up the names, by the way.

THE COURT: Understood.
MR. SPECTOR: Some of those people were offering deals that involved taking stakes in the claims, and you heard Mr. McBride testify about that. So everything was on the table. In fact, even the York deal involved that.

All the website said was
SCO. It didn't say SCO Group, SCO operations. the website
says what it says and all at least the subsidiary that Mr. Buyer was affiliated was also called SCO Group, SCO Group GBH which is Germany. It's a marketing website. Why bother about niceties about, you know, one or the other. And I won't go into the ruling again, but that deals with that.

By the way, the record should show and of course the record speaks louder than lawyers, that the April and May MRO's were filed. It's not just this March. Maybe counsel misspoke when he said March and he meant to say May.

The BSF deal is contingent and it's not a done deal. It's an officer basically by Boies Schiller Flexner. It hasn't been executed. There's no signed agreements or anything like that. It's just a statement saying if we get past this and we go forward, they will do -- they'll restructure going forward their contingency fee in return for giving up their pre-petition claim and some other consideration which --

MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, I apologize for interrupting Mr. Spector, but I believe he's going far outside the evidence record that we have so far.

THE COURT: On the Boies Schiller issue?
MR. LEVINE: Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: I think he said it was contingent.
I think the testimony from Mr. Tibbitts in his declaration talked about that.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. SPECTOR: 1104(a)(3), we said in our response that that -- there's four options on the table. It's not as hard and fast as some commentators say since Bap CPA [ph] came to the floor. This Court still has options and that's one of them. An examiner is another one. And frankly, we'll get to those $I$ suppose at another stage.

The U.S. Trustee and Mr. Lewis talk about what's the details of this dismissal, structured dismissal actually. I didn't say anything about that. There is no motion before the Court yet we have talked about it. It's not unfair for them to raise it. But there's also no discussion of any structure. It wasn't the plan to be any structure. Once the sale closes, this is me talking, there's no evidence, this is legal argument. Once the sale closes and the money is in the hands of SCO and in the bank account of SCO, the idea would just simply be I suppose and we haven't gone through this, haven't talked to the client about it, we just file a Motion to Dismiss. We no longer have the Unix business. We no longer are -- have the problem of, you know, the problem of the appeal will have probably gotten to the end of the appeal by then. And there probably is no reason to stay in bankruptcy, the purposes of the bankruptcy have been accomplished. If there's some structure that is requested by U.S. Trustee, we'll discuss
the structure.
The bulk of the creditors are not here. I know Mr. Harrington is just coming in at the last minute and it's amazing that he had to do all that today, but the bulk of the creditors are not here. Novell is a creditor because it has a judgment. IBM has a claim they say that you've heard testimony and it's been valued by their own people at zero. They don't have -- all it is is an attorney's fees claim is all it is.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, I would object to that characterization of the value of the claim by their own people. That's absolutely untrue and it indicates there's no evidence in the record, but have their own people, whatever that means value the plan.

THE COURT: Yes, I --
MR. SPECTOR: I thought there was evidence about an expert report saying there were no damages except for the attorney's fees.

MR. MARRIOTT: And expert report in which Mr. Tibbitts said he didn't really have a great recollection about what it said. That's not the same thing as testimony as to what it says and I'm happy to make a proffer as to what it says if and when the Court wishes to hear that.

MR. SPECTOR: Well that's why I was -- I thought there was something in the record about it. I'm trying not
to go out of the record.
THE COURT: I don't think there was anything. I know there's nothing in the record on that issue.

MR. SPECTOR: I'd like to now get to the Sale Motion.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SPECTOR: It's the assumption and assignment part of it. I just want to put that away. Mr. Norris testified and others have testified, Mr. Hunsaker has pointed out, and the Purchase Sale Agreement itself has provisions saying who's going from SCO to Unxis once it takes over the Unix business? Basically, the engineering cadre, the important people, the techies that run this company. The management but for some of the management but for Mr. McBride and I think Mr. Nielsen was on the list, Mr. Hunsaker was. These people know how to run a business. The testimony -- I think the Court can make an easy inference that there's adequate assurance of future performances contracts that are being assumed and assigned from that.

In addition, you heard the testimony about the capitalization of the new company going forward, the deep pockets that back it or the commitment of the people behind it to make it operate, the vision they have and what they want to do with this company. I think all of that goes to evidence to our assumption and assignment. You've heard
very little testimony about the objection. I guess he hasn't argued it yet. so I'll save that for that.

Addressing the Conversion Motions, the Debtors submit that the Movants have failed to carry their burden of proof to establish cause under Section 1112(b). Now I'm going to make a legal argument and I'll make it fairly briefly. There is no Third Circuit case that holds what the standard of proof on a Conversion Motion is. I will confess that there are cases, lower court all around the country that just say as a matter of knee jerk reaction, well it was by a preponderance of the evidence. I'm going to pause at the argument that that's wrong. I'm going to pause at the argument that it should be clear and convincing evidence and this Third Circuit is not -- as far as I can tell, there's no case that says otherwise. Here's why. We've already heard argument that the Statute $1112(b)$ has a lot of options.

One of the options is I believe Mr. Harrington said and the Court followed is to appoint a Chapter 11 Trustee. So let's look at what the Third Circuit says about the evidence necessary to appoint a Chapter 11 Trustee. Well I cite the Court to Marvel Entertainment Group and Sharon Steel Corporation. I'm not going to waste your time at the cites, they're well known cases. And both of those cases hold that in the Third Circuit and by the way others
as well, the standard of proof to get the appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee is by clear and convincing evidence. Now to use a criminal law analogy, I would say a Chapter 11 Trustee, especially the way this now appears as a result of Bap CPA is a lesser included in a Motion to Convert. That is a less drastic remedy than the Conversion Motion. And that's really the way it's been put up to Your Honor anyway.

Well, if the Third Circuit is on record as saying to appoint a Chapter 11 Trustee you need clear and convincing evidence, what do you need for conversion, proof beyond a reasonable doubt $I$ surmise not. But certainly, it doesn't make sense that the more drastic remedy requires a lower standard. So I know it's novel because I don't know anybody else who's made the argument and the Third Circuit permits the argument. I would submit that the standard of proof is by clear and convincing evidence on this motion.

On the topic of cause under Section 1112(b)(4), this Court's decision in 15374 Memorial Corporation and I won't cite it, although there are numerous cases. It was up and down and subpoenaed, the original one, listed factors for why the Movants there had not established gross mismanagement. That's one of the things they're talking about here. And I think Mr. Levin made a couple of points about, you know, why they didn't do this and why they did do
that but, you know, their tunnel vision on the claims and so forth. Well that case was reversed on a different issue, the finding on good faith or bad faith. The fact is that the Court laid out in that case, Stan, in its instructive and I'll just go through five them that exist both in that case and guiding the Court to deny the Motion there and why they -- and how they existed there as well.

The Debtors here successfully asserted the automatic stay to avoid the Suisse [ph] Arbitration in Switzerland. It's one of the things the Court found important in the factual context of that case. SCO's management facilitated the dismissal of the Debtors from the IPO Class Action litigation in New York of $\$ 59$ million. That's something else the Court found important in a different context in a Motion to Convert in the Memorial case.

The management used the Freedom from Dismemberment to reduce the claims against the estate by 91 percent and to develop and market cutting edge new software obligations in mobility and virtualization. The parts of the business that were either nascent or non-existent with the cases commenced. That's an important fact to show what management has done.

Management negotiated the sale of the claim by (inaudible) for about a third of what was originally
asserted. And as I mentioned before have contingently, conditionally eliminated a claim for in excess of half a million dollars by Boies, Schiller, Flexner.

Management took steps to preserve cash and to locate hidden assets and one of those a patent was sold earlier in the case and they've now uncovered another patent, the Java patent particular sale and can get somewhere between a million and a half a million dollars.

All of those things I didn't just make up. Those were -- this Court found in another Motion to Convert case for relevant considerations. There were a couple other minor ones and I'm going to say why it's so minor. One of them was the Court said fixing the bar date. You can see why I didn't go there.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. SPECTOR: Okay. Based on the evidence establishing these factors, the Court should find that the Movants have failed to carry the burden for cause under 1112(b)(4)(b) for mismanagement.

And by the way, let's talk about the tunnel vision of the claim. They won't let us and Your Honor won't let us tell you why management spent so much of their effort and time on those claims because to do so you'd have to evaluate how strong the claims are, how -- because if it's important that they're having tunnel vision on these claims,
then it really ought to be, you know, why are they so concentrated on these claims? I'm barred from arguing it. But I think, Your Honor, cannot fault management for concentrating on what they think is their largest asset by far in selling off the Unix business which has been, you know, dying slowing in their hands and so forth.

I'm not going to make much of an argument about the other grounds, the continuing losses. There was an error. Had I realized when I was drafting that response to June 5 that the losses were as we now know they were, I probably wouldn't have gone there. I would have concentrated my power somewhere else. So I'm not going to make an argument. Sure there's been continuing losses and we're not surprised. We didn't expect to spend that much money in this case. We had the devastation of the York deal failure and other things but, you know, 20 months in Chapter 11 is going to cost money. And of course all of the business reasons that existed before they came into this case didn't get better because of that except for mobility and that didn't have a material effect through this time period.

So let's talk about unusual circumstances because well let's stop for a second and say sure, there were continuing losses, but I'm not saying sure as to a lack of reasonable possibilities for rehabilitation. I don't know
where Mr. Harrington gets it and maybe he -- maybe there's case law to support it, I don't know. I never looked at it this way. I never heard that it was necessary for the Debtor to say oh, by the way, rehabilitation shall come from our plan of reorganization and then we will come out rehabilitated. I didn't know that you couldn't rehabilitate in the course of the Chapter 11 and say you know what, we don't need to plan anymore. We took care of all of our business, we just want it dismissed.

And if I'm wrong, then Your Honor, will tell me I'm wrong. But $I$ think we can still show that there's a reasonable likelihood of rehabilitation despite a plan. And if a plan were necessary, I suppose we could dig down and do one as well. But we didn't plan to do that, but if we had to, we really could do a plan, mobility going forward and the litigation going forward. By then, everybody would have been paid. It would be Novell would either have not had a claim any longer or the LC would have been cashed and they'd have been paid. And the only one left would have been Red Hat, IBM, and we'll just go out and litigate it in the real world. So I suppose we could put together a plan that said we'll pay all our creditors in full. Oh, by the way, we did that and we'll go out and litigate with remainders. I mean, sure, we can do that if it's really necessary.

So you heard testimony about the growth of the
mobility business. You heard testimony from Mr. Nielsen that if we sold the business, the Unix business and the mobility server and kept the mobility applications and got rid of -- well $I$ won't say get rid of, that's a terrible way to say it, skinny down because we don't need those engineers any longer to go off with Unxis, you turn cash flow positive in the short-term. And of course with the million dollars that's funded, it's reasonable to believe that the long-term (inaudible). There's no real evidence to the contrary anyway. So we maintain that the Movants will not have satisfied the burden of clear and convincing -- by clear and convincing evidence that 1124(b)(4)(a) has done that because of the rehabilitation problem. We don't have to talk about J any longer.

## And so it now brings me to the unusual

 circumstances. If the Court were to find cause in either $A$ or $B$, we should talk about the unusual circumstances in this case. And by the way, this case is chock full of unusual circumstances. Usually, you're lucky to find one, but I'm going to go through a list. Rarely, if ever does a Court find at a context like this, a Conversion Motion, the Debtor coming into Court saying oh, by the way, we have a means within days to pay all of our creditors in full. Sale, whatever, reinvestment, just the fact that the Debtor has a credible means to pay the creditors. The Debtor has acredible means to pay the creditors in full days from now usually is all it takes. There's case law.

It's in my response, Your Honor, to find any cases, two cases. It's rare because -- you won't find a lot of cases because it's a rare circumstance that you really have that. In these cases, we actually have that. You've heard testimony about the steadfastness of Mr. Norris and his partners on this deal. You know their deep pockets. You know that there's been a lot of thinking on this. They're ready to close. There's no contingencies on SCO, but for getting Your Honor's signature on a piece of paper. There's nowhere due diligence that has to be done. And I guess I will cite the two cases in my response, the Newtown Development case and the Orbit Petroleum case both in my response.

Well a second unusual circumstance, while the Court wouldn't hear about the merits of the substantial claims, the fact that they exist and are large and important are certainly relevant, extremely relevant in this case and I'll cite a case to that effect. In re original IFPC Shareholders, Inc. It's in my response. In this case, these claims alone present unusual -- these claims against the big entities that we have alone present an unusual circumstance forgetting about that we have the money to pay people in full in a few days, a matter of days $I$ should say.

But this fact is especially an unusual circumstance when just deposed with how soon these claims may be at least partially vindicated. We should know and there's a reasonable basis to believe that we'll know within 34 days just how meritorious these claims are or at least more light on that will be shed.

In the -- with the timing, I talked before about discretion and timing. With the unusual circumstance of the size and importance of these claims, with the -- just the position of the timing when we're going to get clarity on those things, that alone is an unusual -- those two together are unusual circumstances. And what do we have to do for those 34 days of look and see? In the meantime, if the Court approved a sale, one would concede it will close.

But the other one is if we took even until August 31, we have testimony from Mr. Nielsen that we have the cash to last that long. Notwithstanding testimony from the lawyers to the contrary, we have the cash. We have over \$1.8 million I think he said now. We could lose perhaps \$200,000, \$100,000 to \$200,000 I think his declaration said. And we have another unusual circumstance, the insurance policy of Mr. Yarrow who's put up $\$ 200,000$. Just in case it does lose $\$ 200,000$ in that 34 day span, he's going to put in money to save the creditors so they don't lose anymore. They don't lose any of that. It's a reimbursement to the
estate for that loss. Where do you find a case like that? Just with that, forgetting all the other things I talked about, where do you find a case with that? These -- this case is loaded with serious unusual circumstances.

Once the Unxis deal closes, of course I said we'll move to dismiss the case and any pay you creditors in full and the claims -- well I don't have to go through that again, we've already covered that. But if the case is converted now, now before Unxis can buy the company. Before we can get $\$ 2.4$ million from Unxis, nobody wins. If the Unxis deal closes, everybody wins. All fees and expenses of the Chapter 11 case will be paid on exit. All the allowed claims will be paid or taken care of. The employee's jobs will be saved. They'll be moving on with the same job with a new employer. Important customer interest will be preserved, not the least of which is the government. The foreign non-debtor subsidiaries in their re-sell would have been saved. The stockholders investments which basically will rely a little bit on mobility, but a lot of the claims, they'll still exist. Every constituency in the case prevails, wins if we do this.

On the other hands, if the case is converted now, nobody wins. The sale disappears. There's a \$715,000 benefit that goes away, \$515, 000 that Boies Schiller Flexner is offering to wipe out and the $\$ 200,000$ that Mr. Yarrow was
going to put up. That's never going to come about. The mobility business would be gone. The most likely scenario is that the businesses will shutdown making a going concern impossible. Now, Your Honor asked a very good question. I always think it's a fair question about an operating 7 or as the Trustee said what about an 11 Trustee? Well I can't speak about the 11 Trustee, Your Honor's question though raised some hackles.

You'll read in Mr. Hunsaker's declaration about what's like to happen. People don't know, especially European's and customers out there, they get very nervous when a company they do business with files Chapter 11. Ask them what they feel if they're thinking about a Chapter 7, okay? Oh, what's an operating 7? These engineers have to think about their families. Will they stick around and run this company? Will management run the company? The same will go on about management. Will Mr. Hunsaker stick around and do it? Will any of these other people do that with a trustee in charge in the 7 mode for crying out loud? I think that's a big risk.

And what happens to what -- the product he wants to sell the Chapter 7 Trustee, he or she wants to sell in that scenario? Mr. Hunsaker testified and I believe it's common knowledge. I don't know that it needs much evidence that when this company ceases to operate in the normal
course of business like it's been, you can kiss it goodbye in terms of recovery. We've got a recovery that's twice what is out there in terms of debt. And you can bet that's not going to happen in 7 mode or probably even an 11 Trustee mode.

Finally, the fact that the Debtors' core Unix business is infused with a strong public interest is itself independently an unusual circumstance of cases that -- of the case law cited on that is Gateway Access Solutions also in my response. The Court stated "we were continued operation of the Debtor who provided an exclusive essential service would foster the public interest." And it says "the Court should not convert the case to one under 7". That ascribed generally SCO.

The Unix operating system has to move on. If SCO could have won this litigation sooner, it could have stayed with SCO and it could have continued. Unix could have stayed with SCO. It's exhausted its ability to keep it operating. But in the public interest, its management is looking to put it in the hands of somebody to keep it operating because of the enormous install base that will find it very difficult to migrate away or to make any changes. That's not mismanagement, Your Honor, that's management with a capital M. And when it's become pretty likely that they can't go on any further, they had to make a
deal. And this is the deal they did. And they're happy with the deal. They trust the people who are going to be taking over. They're going to be good stewards of the Unix platform. They have great ideas going forward. They can make it run.

And by the way, one other little fact in evidence. On June 15 when we came here facing only a Conversion Motion, the evidence was the stock price of SCO was 12 cents. On Friday, still facing the Conversion Motions, but knowing that there's a sale to Unxis which has been out there for awhile, the stock price was 17 cents. Who really votes on this? The marketplace has voted on this sale and it's voting thumbs up for this sale.

We can talk about the LNS deal. I don't know if you want to do it now or in response, but $I$ would defer to Mr. Caplan who was the deal guy who's here, who's looked at the -- I can tell you, I have not looked at the LNS deal. I can tell you before we do that why we have not -- we're not interested in the LNS deal. Generically, he can parse the deal and can tell you the -- because it's there if we talk about it. He can parse it and tell you why it's not acceptable.

But number one, you've heard testimony about principals of this company and the principal of the York deal and one of the lettered entities that $I$ won't name, the
experience that this company has had with them, the distrust there is. There is also distrust of other natures that there's nothing in evidence, but their distrust is deep. We don't think that they're good custodians of the Unix platform. We think there are ties with IBM. One thing I will point out, they did -- they made it look like they were putting this deal on the Unxis PSA platform, but they deleted one of the reps. One of the reps that they deleted was that there's tie in with IBM, no relationship with IBM. That's not in its right and we think that's telling. And we think that there's a willful chief component.

And for a moment, I'd like to defer to Mr. Caplan if he can tell the Court the details of the deal and why it's unacceptable. If it's no, I have some notes on that and I could almost like read I guess.

MR. MARRIOTT: Your Honor, if I may.
THE COURT: Yeah.
MR. MARRIOTT: Mr. Caplan was on the witness list to be a witness. It sounds like we're now opening --

THE COURT: I -- well Mr. Spector, you've now gone on for roughly 40 minutes. It's late. I think your time is up.

MR. SPECTOR: It is up. There are deal terms about the LNS deal that are very, very poor and then much worse financially than the Unxis sale.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, for these reasons, I'll ask Your Honor to approve the sale and deny the Motion to Convert.

MR. SPECTOR: Thank you, Mr. Spector.
THE COURT: All right. Mr. Levin?
MR. LEVIN: Turning to the Sale Motion, Your Honor, let me pick up where I left off this morning how many hours ago? I said we had a few problems with the Sale Motion. One was the poison pill.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. LEVIN: The other was the solar eclipse. Another was the lack of a record. Well I can say that the Debtor has made at least somewhat of a record and one of our problems has been solved in part. Of course it would have been a lot easier had that record been made when the motion was filed so we didn't have to go through all of this exercise and we could have focused on the remaining open issues. Let me address the remaining open issues.

To approve a sale, this Court has recognized that the Debtor must meet four, must show four things. First, a sound business purposes. Second, a fair price. Third, notice. We're not disputing that, although Novell might. And fourth, good faith. Let's take those in order.

Sound business purpose. Mr. Spector argues that
the sale will provide the ability to pay all claims in full. He doesn't say what happens to shareholders. If all claims are paid in full, there might be something leftover for shareholders. But -- and IBM as we have known it, Your Honor is a shareholder in this case in addition to its other positions in this case. What I'd like to go over is a statement of the ability to pay all claims in full. and Your Honor, if I may approach, I'd like to hand a demonstrative exhibit up to the Court which I admit has to be amended a little bit because of the testimony today. This was prepared in advance so if I may, Your Honor, I'll hand that up and I'll hand counsel a copy.

THE COURT: Yes, thank you.
MR. LEVINE: I need to retain one for myself.
THE COURT: Good idea. That's a good idea, thank you.

MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, this was an effort to go through the sources and uses of funds in a proposed Unxis sale.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. LEVINE: The sources of funds we started out with projected July 31 cash balance of $\$ 479,000$. Now Mr. Nielsen testified that that might go up by about \$325,000 maybe $\$ 500,000$ because of good sales, $\$ 325,000$ because of the -- some of that is reserved for Boies Schiller. I don't
know why that wouldn't still be reserved, but let's give them the benefit of the doubt that that goes up by $\$ 500,000$ and we'll call that $\$ 979,000$. I'm not going to release the Novell cash of $\$ 685,000$ that is restricted cash. They claimed that there was projected receivables. Your Honor, this was on Exhibit MX53, these numbers are derived from that exhibit. There were receivables, net of allowance of $\$ 1,323,000$. We don't know if they're all collectible even after net of allowances there's always some uncertainty about the collectability of receivables, especially in this economy. But I put in the full amount shown on there and \$2.4 million cash from the Novell, I'm sorry, the Unxis transaction.

Then I went and looked at their schedules that they filed just a few weeks ago listing the unsecured claims, some of which are disputed. Some of the large ones are disputed. But in any event, those claims needs to be reserved for. I did not include in this exhibit the Novell claims on the theory that if the Debtor is right that the letter of credit Sun and the reserved cash pays the Novell claim in full if the judgment is affirmed, it's a wash, so I did not include that. And, in fact, this $\$ 3.5$ million in priority and general unsecured claims does not include the Novell claims.

Importantly, Your Honor, it also does not include
an IBM claim. And we don't know how much that claim may ever be allowed for, but we know that in any fair Chapter 11 or Chapter 7 process, that claim would be reserved for until it was determined. And we could not simply say well we can disregard the IBM claim, there's enough to pay everybody else. We would be entitled to the same pro-rata distribution as everybody else. So that doesn't include that.

The executory contract cure amounts are $\$ 134,000$. That was the schedule that they filed a week or ten days ago. And then I looked at the pre-petition liabilities which are again on MX53, accounts payable. I ought to point out, Your Honor, that exhibit -- you'll see the fourth line, royalties payable assumed PSA Schedule 2.4

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. LEVINE: Section 2.4 of the PSA says no liabilities are assumed except for those set forth on 2.4. And therefore, that's why from MX53 where there was a number for royalties payable, I've zeroed it out. But all the other payables on MX53 are listed here, accounts payable, accrued payroll and benefit, accrued liabilities, long-term liabilities, cash burn rate one month $\$ 240,000$. That's an approximation. And we come up with a total of pre-petition liabilities, total post petition liabilities and I'm going to give them the benefit of the doubt that we reduce claims by $\$ 500,000$ for the Boies Schiller claim and that brings
that down to we'll call it $\$ 5$ million round numbers. And even increasing the 4.2 at the top by the $\$ 500,000, ~ I ' m$ sorry, by the -- yeah, $\$ 500,000$ there, that's 4.7 . We're still \$300,000 underwater.

So if anything, despite the Debtors' repeated assertions that this sale pays all claims in full, the record at least the explained record does not reflect that.

THE COURT: And your numbers as I understand them don't include professional fees and expenses.

MR. LEVINE: Yes, thank you for pointing that out. It does not include accrued unpaid professional fees and expenses and given what's gone on in the last month, I imagine they are not insignificant.

THE COURT: Correct.
MR. LEVINE: Plus whatever holdback there was Your Honor and we did not do a calculation on that holdback. So sound business purpose, because we can pay all claims in full, the record does not support that.

Second, the purpose of the sale. Let me turn to Exhibit MX34 which one of the witnesses was questioned about which was the marketing materials that Gulf Capital Partners and Merchant Brit [ph] had put together to find investors. And I quote from UX04898, that's the page number, the date stamp of that exhibit MX34 "returns of over 80 percent in three years are achieved due to the minimal purchase price
of the assets agreed with the management of SCO which needs funds to finance their longstanding litigation." That does not ring of a sound business purpose for this sale. We already discussed the sale protecting employees and customers. A laudatory purpose, Your Honor, but a sale that is improper in other respects should not be approved simply because it protects employees and customers. That is not by itself a sound business purpose, that is collateral.

And the exclusion of the mobility. Mobility has less than \$100,000 in revenues. There is the evidence, Your Honor, Mr. McBride admitted that the purchase price was reduced $\$ 500,000$ for the exclusion of mobility and hip check [ph]. \$500,000 that might have made up that gap if my figures were right or might not have if IBM's claim is substantial or Boies Schiller's restricted cash is not available or whatever else. \$500,000 for a business that essentially has no revenue and only expenses. That does not sound like a sound business purpose.

Let me turn to fair price. One of the things that we raised in our opposition that is not addressed by the amendment that was filed at 11:30 last night is the price reduction depending upon the outcome of the Novell litigation. The Debtor in its response to our opposition or its reply said well, if the -- if Novell does not prevail,
then shareholders are not affected by the reduced price because we need less to pay the creditors.

First of all, there's been no talk of distribution shareholders here today. All I've heard is we'll have $\$ 1,000,000$ to try to fund this mobility business. But even if that were -- even if there were something for shareholders, Your Honor, the idea that a buyer -- let me say it differently. The idea that assets become worth less if unsecured pre-petition claims are less is contrary to everything we know in bankruptcy law. If Unxis is willing to pay $\$ 5.4$ million for the assets because the assets are worth that, then it shouldn't matter whether the Novell judgment is overturned on the monetary. In fact, we'll be out there cheering for it so there will be more distribution to creditors. Nothing personal, Mr. Lewis.

So that is not an element of a fair price in this deal. The Java patent we've already discussed. There's no reason that that should be -- go over to the buyer if it's not sold within a certain time.

Fair price, Mr. Nielsen is qualified to do valuation and he has done valuation of other companies in the past. No valuation now to make any showing that this is a fair price. We simply don't know. And yet he's qualified to do it without spending on an investment banker. And I already noted on the fair price issue the reduction in price
of $\$ 500,000$ for the loss of assets for the non-sale of assets that have essentially no value. That's not part of a fair price.

The poison -- excuse me, good faith, Your Honor. I'm skipping notice and going to good faith. Your Honor, one of -- we had a few items on good faith, but there's still -- I think the record is still uncertain on the payments. I think the questionable payments, but the record is not uncertain on the poison pill. There was an amendment filed as I said at 11:30 last night. And, Your Honor, on Page 5 of that amendment begins not a provision that simply strikes 12(b)(4), 12(a)(4) which was the provision that we said we objected to that upon a conversion or the appointment of a trustee, the rights, the SCO retained rights transferred to the purchaser. Instead, we need a page and half to make that amendment.

And let me boil down what it says. Your Honor will have a chance to review it when you review the record in this case. What it says is if the right to transfer to -- I'm sorry, if those events happen, the rights won't transfer to the purchaser, at least not right away. It says they will transfer to the purchaser, however, if the trustee ever attempts to sell them. And they will transfer to the purchaser on October 1, 2009, two and a half months from now even if the trustee doesn't attempt to sell them. I believe
that's what it says. I'm reading a page and a half on not much notice, Your Honor. We would be -- and there's another provision that says if they do transfer to the purchaser, the purchaser is not to pursue them. Well that's terrific. We're very pleased with that as you can imagine, Your Honor.

But to the extent this is any attempt to
influence this Court's decision on conversion by saying valuable rights would be lost if the case were converted, it is still a poison pill. We don't believe those rights are valuable, but the Debtor has stated its whole case on that argument and for it to argue now that it should not matter to the estate is contrary to everything it said at this point.

Finally, Your Honor, the last point has to do with the private sale.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. LEVINE: Private sale as you know, Your Honor does occur in bankruptcy cases, but is very unusual. Mr. Spector attempted to point to GM and Chrysler, but in fact, even in those cases, the Court provided for an auction in case there was an over bidder. Of course there wasn't and the sales went to the stalking horse bidder in both of those cases. Here we have another bidder who has made known his willingness to participate in an auction based on a starting bid of the Unxis proposal. I think we can infer from that,

Your Honor, that the bidder would not be bidding less than the Unxis proposal. There wouldn't be much point. So we have a live competition and there is no reason in this case to approve this now as a private sale.

Now if this Court is going to order an auction, how should it be done? As we said earlier, we believe an appropriate way to do that would be the appointment of a trustee under 1104(a)(3). Unless Your Honor feels -- would like to hear closing argument to the burden of proof issue which I was -- well let's just say I was surprised by it, I'll leave that one aside and go straight to the appointment of a trustee.

Why is a trustee appropriate in this case? We do not take the position that a shutdown of the business pending an auction makes sense. And we, IBM have never argued that if the case is converted to Chapter 7 there should be an operating trustee in Chapter 7. We think the Bankruptcy Code is structured in a way that makes it easier to operate in Chapter 11 and not in Chapter 7. If there is a conversion because this company is losing money and has no reasonable prospect of rehabilitation, then it should be converted to Chapter 7 and money saved, stop the bleeding.

If there is going to be an auction, we believe it's important to keep the business operating for that and we would not seek immediate conversion but would seek

Chapter 11 trustee instead. Why? The Debtor has made very clear on the witness stand today in Mr. McBride's testimony, that they do not trust Mr. Hale. That's despite the fact that they were here on multiple occasions seeking to pay York Capital \$150, 000 fee. I don't know when relations turned sour, Your Honor, but I tend to doubt when Mr. McBride said they turned sour the minute the negotiations stopped back in November of '07. It -- the two things do not seem consistent. But now they say they cannot trust Mr. Hale. Well if they cannot trust Mr. Hale, that's exactly why we need an independent fiduciary to conduct the auction. We don't want one of the bidders at the sale to be told your bid is not welcome here. That is not how to have a free and fair auction.

We believe a sale process can be conducted quickly. Mr. Hale is familiar with this business. He's already proposed an Asset Purchase Agreement. We believe it will take some negotiation, but we think it could be concluded within about 30 days give or take without looking for an extended auction. It may be that once the buyers who were identified on that secret certificate of service know that there's really an auction, they might come back to the table. When they're told there's a private sale, they probably don't have much incentive to come back. We don't know.

So, Your Honor, we believe the sale should not be approved for all of the reasons. There's no sound business purpose. The price as set is not fair. We questioned the good faith, especially of the poison pill provision. And if there is a sale approved, that should be changed. Certainly, the fair price issue could be addressed by an auction and that is where we stand, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Levine. Mr. Lewis?

MR. LEWIS: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm always a man of my word and again, I won't be very long on this. Your Honor, one way to look at the sale price here is to look at the sale process throughout this case. And while the Debtor wants you to believe that it was diligently pursuing potential sales, you'll see in Mr. McBride's deposition that basically the deal has been the deal whatever, sell the Unix business, buy it for $\$ 10$ million and keep going on and on and on.

What we saw was they had a complete deal with York that collapsed and not because we didn't know the outcome of the litigation at that time. We saw another half deal with SNCP in April that was based upon a memorandum of understanding. Talk about rushing into Court to try to save the day to keep the Chapter 11 case going. We saw once again last January another plan and disclosure statement of
sale that weren't a plan and disclosure statement of sale and what purpose were they? They wanted to try to keep exclusivity over it. And now we see this one.

I want to read to the Court from one of the exhibits. This is Exhibit 3, SCO's Exhibit 3. It's the minutes of the June 11, 2009 Board meeting. The status of financing efforts as the section titles, the first section. And on the first page it says Mr. Yarrow asked Mr. McBride to update the Board on the status of the company's financing efforts since -- and the June 15 bankruptcy hearing. Mr. McBride explained that in preparation for the June 15, 2009 bankruptcy hearing, the company is trying to secure financing. He indicated that the company needs to have a valid finance plan in order to avoid having the bankruptcy agree to convert the bankruptcy case to Chapter 7 and liquidate the company.

This sale was put together not because it was necessarily the best sale which might have included a sale of the litigation which though Mr. McBride he may have heard such offers but we clearly know the Debtor never pursued any such offers. It was put together to meet the Conversion Motion. And that to me, Your Honor, given the history of this case is indicative that the Debtors' purposes here have always been served by just trying to keep the ball in the air, hoping to get the litigation to a point where they
might be able to capitalize on it. It was not for any other purpose here. And I think that also goes to the question of whether the Debtors have been guilty of gross mismanagement. Gross mismanagement doesn't mean dishonesty or fraud, it just means gross mismanagement and you have to take that in view of the fiduciary duties of management in a case like this.

Now let's talk about the sale a little bit on other terms. One of the objections that we filed, Your Honor was an objection to the assumption and assignment of all of those pages, the 400 and 500 pages of SVRX contracts. And our view was as we explained that those were an integral part of the whole asset sale that was the Santa Cruz Novell deal which includes 4.16 which prohibits assignment without the consent of Novell which requires the buyer and the Debtor in this case SCO to reassign the licenses if so directed. And it also requires the Debtor to collect and remit revenues for whoever the counter party is now the Debtor.

We haven't heard anything about how the problem is going to be dealt with here because the Debtor has to assume the APA in order to assume an assigned -- the Debtor has to assume and assign the APA in order to assume and assign all of these SVRX contracts. That's the way the agreements work. You can't separate them out. And the
closest I think we've heard of anything to that was Mr. McBride's opinion that that was no longer executory. Well, Your Honor, if you look at Schedule $G$ in this case, guess what appears on Schedule G? The Asset Purchase Agreement on the schedule of executory contracts since the beginning of the case, never been amended. The Debtor simply cannot complete this deal the way it's currently structured. The Debtor cannot assume and assign the SVRX licenses without assuming and assigning the Asset Purchase Agreement. It's made no effort to do that and there would be some serious objections if it did, but that's not in front of the Court today because that's not the deal.

So there's a second reason why the Court, I think needs to -- would have disapprove this sale today even if the Court were otherwise convinced that the sale were somehow an appropriate transaction unless we're going to hear that somebody's going to waive all those SVRX contracts and maybe that's what we'll hear, but that's what would be needed. The Debtor would have to -- and the buyer would have to decide those were not being assumed in the summary because they can't do that without the APA, as simple as that. And in light of the XI case, I don't think there's any doubt that the APA is so integrated with those SVRX contracts that you can't assume and assign the one without assuming and assigning all those others or vice versa

The final issue is the payment issue, Your Honor, that I want to address. And of course, Mr. Levin has nicely addressed the question of whether we're really talking about payment in full even before administrative expenses. But let's think about this a little bit further. The way the AP -- the way that the Purchase and Sale Agreement is now structured, yes, there is a letter of credit and yes, it lasts for awhile, but it still has conditions under which it disappears. And after even when that happens, and if -- and not withstanding that, the litigation between Novell and SCO is continuing because, you know, Your Honor, it took how many months for the District Court to schedule a new trial after we got stay relief in January of 2008? Five months, four months?

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. LEWIS: It took a long time.
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. LEWIS: And then to get to trial. It was six months before we had a ruling. We don't know how long depending on the contours of -- if the Tenth Circuit reverses it in any way, we don't know how long that's going to take. And at some point, when that letter of credit disappears as it will if things go on, we will be unprotected as well. Now the Debtor would like you to think that because we then won't have them allowed the claim, we
don't count. The same thing is there -- the Debtors are claiming with respect to IBM. That isn't the way bankruptcy works. We didn't ask these -- the Debtor to file bankruptcy, but the ground rules change when you do. And what we're hearing and what we've been hearing today is the Debtor wants the advantages of bankruptcy without the burdens. And that's an abuse of the bankruptcy system. The Debtor claims today, oh well, we're, you know, we've never said we're going to dismiss. It's not like the Debtors have -- counsel for the Debtor on June 15 said that. Said we want with the Court's permission, we'll be asking for dismissal if the sale closes.

And in the reply to the objections to the Motion to Sell at Page 2, the Debtor says pursuant -- and this is the first full paragraph on Page 2, pursuant to the motion, the Debtors' request the Court to approve a transaction that will enable payment in full of allowed claims including the establishment of an effective surety for the disputed claim of Novell, also not true. Subject to the Court's approval and following the closing of the sale, the Debtors plan to seek the dismissal of the Chapter 11 cases and preserve post bankruptcy business assets and so on and so forth.

That's the Debtors' plan. Now true, no motion has been filed, but I don't see how the Court can consider the sale without considering what the unofficial, the plan
with a small P, I guess and not a Chapter 11 plan is here and how that's going to work and what the Debtor really plans to do and how it's going to protect all creditors within the meaning of the Bankruptcy Code Section 101. Not all creditors within the meaning of the Debtors' view that the only real creditors are the creditors whose claims they admit they owe. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Lewis. Mr. Harrington?

MR. HARRINGTON: Your Honor, very briefly because I think a lot of ground has already been covered. I did want to just on that last point, Mr. Spector keeps saying pay the creditors in full, pay the creditors in full. And I think he thinks if he says it enough, Your Honor's going to have it ingrained in your head that all the creditors are being paid in full. But he never tells you when and how the creditors are going to be paid. And so he says we're going to be all paid before we get out of bankruptcy so we're just going to dismiss. There's no way to pay pre-petition creditors in bankruptcy without a plan or without a Chapter 7, you know, trustee filing their trustee's distribution or trustee's final report. So you can't just pay all of your creditors just because you have some money. That's not possible, Your Honor. So I did want to raise that issue.

Your Honor, I know you don't want to address the
clear and convincing evidence standard. I don't think there's any case law in the country on it with respect to the 1112 issue. To pull that in from the 1104, I don't think is appropriate. Also there's an argument that post Bap CPA is not clear and convincing in the 1104 context as well. So I don't think I need to address that any further.

There was a lot of commentary on how the Debtors' management distrusts Hale and I think Mr. Levin handled that very well. One other thing I would want to get to was there was a sort of -- it was implied that the problem for going forward with the customers again if that -- if they were the successful purchaser here. Again, it's protection of the customers as opposed to the protection of the creditors and the equity security holders. And those -- that's what Your Honor has to be looking at here, the creditors and the equity security holders in their best interest, not the best interest of the customers.

And finally, Your Honor, there's been a lot of talk about how if this case there was an appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee or a Chapter 7 Trustee that all the engineers would go running to the four corners of the earth. This case has been going on since 2003. If these people haven't left by now, they're not leaving, Your Honor. So that's all I want to say, thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Harrington.

MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, I'm not going to make a long -- I'm just going to deal with the points that were raised.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. SPECTOR: This demonstrative exhibit, a variety of points $I$ want to raise. Number one, in the evidence, we have that the unsecured claims after scrubbing $\$ 1,074,000$ not $\$ 3,531,000$. When does anybody pay attention in terms of what's really owed by looking at the schedules? If that were -- I mean, this is not -- the fact the schedules exist and they say things has a very, very minimal value. The -- for example, if this had come up in examination, we could have showed you that a lot of those were ongoing taxes that were paid in the ordinary course or wages. Those numbers and priority things, well -- they sort of -- these numbers aren't accurate and they're not in evidence.

The $\$ 3,531,000$ on the Schedule $F$, the number you've seen in the evidence is $\$ 1,074,000$. You take away the two -- this is not to be trusted. This demonstrative exhibit comes as not the 11th hour, it's the 12th and half hour. We distrust the information on that. We do have a similar demonstrative exhibit with -- that was -- we didn't introduce but we have -- and it was old and it has been updated and so forth. The testimony was there's enough to
pay over $\$ 1,074,000$ in pre's and I think Mr. Nielsen's declaration shows how much there are post, notwithstanding pulling things off of documents. The evidence supports the fact that everybody will be paid in full with the exception of course that those people that we don't know if we owed them anything, we've objected to IBM's claim, objected to Red Hat's claim talking about a plan.

There's nothing wrong with a plan that says -nothing wrong -- if I had done this with a plan, there's nothing wrong with a plan that would have said here's our plan we forwarded something months ago. We're going to find a buyer and we're going to sell it. We're going to get the money. We're going to pay the undisputed allowed claims at that time. We're going to waive discharge as to the disputed claims. And we're going to litigate those in the Courts in which they're pending. That's not an uncommon plan.

So if the U.S. Trustee says they'd rather see that kind of plan, we could file that kind of plan. I'm not running away from my comments of dismissal. I never said I was running away from it. I was simply saying if they're complaining that it's not a structured dismissal or haven't given details of dismissal, that's true. It's because we haven't brought it on. Nobody's given the idea that they wanted that. And if they do, we can discuss the structure.

And if they insist it has to be in the plan, I suppose we can do a plan. That's the only context I was talking about there.

> I'm going to skip to the Novell argument about the APA There is no evidence that the APA has to follow the SVRX licenses. There's -- all we have is Mr. Lewis in his written document saying yes they do and sitting up here saying it two more times, yes, they do, yes, they do. When we try to get witness testimony to get to the nub of that, what are your responsibilities? No, he can't testify to that. Well I don't know. I mean, he's the President. He's the CEO of the company. He wants to testify to say this APA is irrelevant. And oh, no, he's drawing a conclusion, we can't do that. All right, but what do we have on the other side? All we have is commentary from the lectern from Mr. Lewis. What evidence do they have that we have to do that? We have the buyer saying it, Mr. Norris. I think we've got all we need. We have the seller saying it. We don't need to assume the APA

Now why does it say -- I said you can't always trust schedules, yes, at the beginning of the case the schedules were put together and they throw these documents, you put down Schedule G. I had forgotten all about that it was there. And I assure you had we recognized, we done our homework properly and checked to see the Schedule G still
said it was an executory contract, we would have long ago changed that.

But the evidence is -- the only evidence is and it's pretty skimpy because we couldn't go in as much as we wanted was that the APA does not provide that the SVRX licenses do not have to come through the APA And even if they did, and I'll make this argument, they are exclusive licenses and there are two cases I'll cite to the Court on exclusive licenses.

We all know about the Catapult Decision and the Third Circuit adoption of a hypothetical test. I'm not going to go into that, the Court knows it very well. And that's what really what Novell's resting on. We have a very skimpy record on that. I mean we couldn't -- this is a very complex document they have. We have a very skimpy record on that. However, Judge Kimball stated in July 2008 that SCO owns the business it runs. SCO has all it needs to run. That's all the buyer is buying, what it needs to run.

There is another fact in evidence and that is Santa Cruz Operations, the party that dealt with Novell under the APA sold all its rights under the APA, the Caldera [ph]. There was never consent. There was never an objection. There was never a hint of that. That was all done. And that --

MR. LEWIS: Your Honor, there's no evidence to
that effect in this record.
MR. SPECTOR: And there's no evidence to the contrary. That's what I'm saying. The record is entirely skimpy. The -- there is nothing here that allows us to make any conclusions that there is something improper about the SVRX licenses which the Debtor owns going on.

And I believe there was testimony from Mr. Norris that the licenses were conveyed from a prior company that did the due diligence and was satisfied by that fact. And if they were satisfied by that fact, it means that there must have been something about it. And I submit it would probably be that consent was either given or waived because it wasn't needed. And if you examine the briefs in the Tenth Circuit case, you'll get a better idea of the -- an understanding what that's all about. And that's really the only place they consent to.

As I said, Judge Kimball has ruled that SCO owns the UnixWare and Open Server which has imbedded with it the SVRX. And I know I'm getting into the merits and I'm not very converse with the idea of the case. We have people here for that. But he also said we have a license if necessary to run the business with the SVRX license. So and its exclusive because what good is it selling it for millions of dollars to this company and then say oh, and Novell can sell it to everybody else at the same time. It
kind of puts the whole idea of the sale to Novell.
The cases that cite to the Court are Golden Books Family Entertainment, Incorporated, 269 Bankruptcy, Recorder 300, District of Delaware case in which the Court said the opposite as if it's not an exclusive license you need to get it. The converse of course is if it's an exclusive license that you don't. And the other one is a Southern District of Indiana case, District Court, Trachoff v. Digital Media that says copyright, exclusive copyright licenses are not -- you don't need the consent of the license or it is really transferrable which is what we say we've got here anyway. And precious little record on this, Your Honor, but they're objecting and we've got evidence to say the buyer and seller are confident they can do this and they don't have anything but a brief and a couple of arguments from a lectern.

By the way, they keep saying that, you know, there was no effort to sell anything to Unix. The evidence in the record is that there was a merger, through emergent talks. There were investments. There were warrants. The York deal included investments in the claims side of the case. There were various iterations. It wasn't only selling.

There was some argument by, I think it was Mr. Levin because I didn't hear anything about a disbursement to shareholders or the shareholders I mean. Where do you get
the idea when you come out of 11 , out of the sale proceeds you turn around and say oh, now we make dividend to the shareholders. We're not making dividends to the shareholders, I'm sure. They're going to use that money to keep the business operating. The shareholders will get their benefit from the success of the business. That's usually the way it's done. So I don't understand what that point was trying to make.

The interesting thing -- well let me talk about York's \$150,000. Yeah, and you can look at that. But you have to read further in the motion. The purpose wasn't to pat York on the back. The reason and the motion says the reason why we did that is we were early in the acquisitions marketing game. We didn't want to have a reputation of someone welshing on due diligence expenses. And it says so in the motion. And if we wanted to have other people come kick the tires and try to, you know, spend some time and money on this, we didn't want to be seen as walking away from those obligations. So we wanted the world to know we will honor them. And of course the Court didn't hear that and we -- that's an independent vehicle. I think don't think we have any proof. But that's the purpose of that. Notice to the world. We're not running away from it.

THE COURT: Well when $I$ heard that reference to that motion, I was thinking to myself, my God, I hope I
didn't grant that motion.
MR. SPECTOR: No, Your Honor.
(Laughter)
MR. SPECTOR: No, no, no, we didn't -- they -actually they did our work for us. They objected. We said okay, we'll defer that to another time but the word was effected because we did have other people kick the tires and spend money.

Well let's talk about the plausibility of the solar eclipse. That's another one I would love to have Mr. Caplan assess. My knowledge of those APA amendments are shallow. But I'll tell you what $I$ can tell you about the poison pill.

THE COURT: And let's make this your last point, all right, because it's 10:00.

MR. SPECTOR: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. SPECTOR: I don't want to --
THE COURT: This will be your last point. This will be your last point so decide what your last points are.

MR. SPECTOR: The poison pill does not tie the hands of the Court. It is not a poison pill by any means. If the Court does not approve the sale, nothing happens with regard to -- none of the rights in that agreement ever arise. If the Court approves the sale and authorizes the

Debtor to close, it makes no sense. I mean, we couldn't get it through the deal people. It makes no sense to worry about your converting the case. Why would you approve the sale at the close and then appoint a trustee of what's remaining? Why would you be converting the case at that point?

I saw it as a polar situation. The Court's going to approve the sale and deny the conversion or nothing bad is going to happen. If -- and it was a point that the other side wanted to have because they felt comfort in it. They didn't want -- they wanted to have the Unix business without the claims. You heard Mr. Norris talk about that. They didn't want these claims to fall into the hands of whoever the trustee sells it to. They didn't want to be messing with them. They trusted in the hands of SCO's management to continue. They weren't going to be doing crazy things on that. But if the Court were to grant the motions for a sale, none of the rest of things are likely to happen and we would be moving to dismiss the case.

And the other thing is the only time the October 1 date comes up is if the Court were to grant one of the three things, conversion, appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee, or an examiner with unusual powers, extraordinary powers. And as I said, that's not going to happen. If the Court were to do that, you're going to approve the sale.

These rights -- and it looks bad, but it really isn't. And the purpose of that wasn't to bind this Court's hands in any way. It was to -- and you'll see there's other things talking about future events after the case is done and we're out of bankruptcy if something should happen and SCO should potentially lose the rights to the claims and other things happen, that's really what that's all designed to do.

I would be interested in the Court's following up with Mr. Harrington's discussion about an 11 Trustee if we can work out or an auction process. I don't discount that. The PSA does, in fact, talk about if the Court were inclined to grant an auction that they want to be considered in the PSA itself as a stalking horse is even break up fees and so forth. So it's not off the table on our end.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Spector.
MR. LEWIS: Your Honor, might I just have
literally 10 seconds?
THE COURT: Sure.
MR. LEWIS: And the 10 seconds are on the question of what evidence there is on the APA, there is the APA It is in evidence, the document itself speaks for itself. And the contract rules of interpretation in the first instance they're always you look to the four corners of the document. It says what it says. It just like Exide [ph]. Anybody else who testified to that about what it
means or doesn't mean wasn't around when it was negotiated. And there is the second piece of evidence which is Schedule G which like so many other things we now heard was an oversight. Everything something inconvenient comes up, it's an oversight. But it was there and it's been there all along. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. SPECTOR: Are these -- are all of the exhibits, the amendments and everything to the APA here or is it just the first original APA because if we're going to have just exhibits, I mean, we don't have the full thing --

MR. LEWIS: I believe it's just the APA
MR. SPECTOR: Then, Your Honor, we would ask to supplement the record with the rest of the exhibits because that's not -- an amendment to that APA changes the APA

MR. MARRIOTT: I thought we were done, Your Honor. The evidence is closed. What's in is in. And I was standing to stretch.

MR. SPECTOR: You heard testimony about that the amendment, Your Honor, and if the amendment is not in then the exhibit is not complete and the amendment affects the language. In that case, you don't have --

THE COURT: Any problem with submitting --
MR. JACOBS: We have no problem, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right.

MR. JACOBS: It's the part to the APA, the amendment, it's Amendment 1 and Amendment 2 should be in there. You'll look at them yourself. You'll see they have no impact on our objection to the transaction here.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Jacobs. All right, you may submit the amendments.

MR. SPECTOR: We'll do that. We don't have them with us today.

THE COURT: Understood.
MR. SPECTOR: I've got them. I really have.
THE COURT: I'm reserving decision. I will act on this as promptly as I possibly can. I think -- I know that there is a statutory time limit here and --

MR. LEVIN: Which has long since run, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And yes.
MR. LEVIN: And we will be patient for Your Honor's decision.

THE COURT: But certainly within a week. That I can tell you for certain.

MR. SPECTOR: Your Honor, if you wish to call us about any ideas that come to you with regard to the U.S. Trustee's suggestion, I welcome -- you can reach me this week any time you wish. And if you want to have a conference call to discuss the parameters, we're willing to discuss that at any time as well.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Spector. All right, counsel, thank you for a long but entertaining presentation. (Laughter)

MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, thank you.
THE COURT: Good evening to you all and a safe trip home.

MR. LEWIS: And to your staff, Your Honor, we appreciate your staff sticking around so long.

MR. LEVIN: Yes.
MR. LEWIS: And the marshals.
UNKNOWN SPEAKER: You're allowed to buy him a drink if you would.

THE COURT: I know.
UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Appreciate it, Your Honor.
THE COURT: A safe trip home everyone.
ALL: Thank you, Your Honor.
(Whereupon, at 10:05 p.m., the hearing was adjourned.)
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| 124:10 149:9 151:23 196:20 200:8 200:13 | award(1) 174:6 | basal(2) 341:2 341:22 |  |
| 200:14 204:2 245:13 245:14 273:12 348:4 | aware(22) 12:2 57:25 68:10 85:19 86:4 | basal's(1) 342:7 | been(162) $11 \cdot 1 \quad 13: 8 \quad 22 \cdot 9 \quad 30: 20 \quad 31 \cdot 13$ |
| 449:8 449:24 456:19assumed(11) $9: 12$ | 87:19 88:7 88:9 95:1 95:4 95:7 97:16 | base(6) 129:5 129:10 198:4 198:15 199:11 | 34:21 34:24 36:2 43:1 45:8 51:3 51:25 |
|  | $\begin{array}{lllll}103: 25 & 105: 8 & 121: 21 & 121: 25 & 122: 10 \\ \text { 272:22 } & 364: 20 & 366: 17 & 367: 12\end{array}$ |  | 55:20 55:20 55:24 60:15 65:23 66:5 73:16 80:3 81:23 82:7 86:7 86:9 87:17 90:20 |
|  |  | based(42) 48:1 48:2 48:23 48:24 52:16 | 97:19 98:2 99:22 103:23 110:23 119:22 |
| 354:9 355:9 401:22 420:19 438:13 438:16 | away(23) 12:17 26:12 82:19 141:15 151:5 | 52:20 52:25 54:4 77:23 83:2 83:17 83:20 | 120:4 121:11 121:15 124:23 130:1 130:18 |
| 449:20 | 151:18 152:14 192:9 192:11 208:9 300:16 | 101:14 102:11 103:5 141:24 148:12 152:1 |  |
| assumed_the (1) 354:8 | 323:12 346:9 413:5 420:8 430:24 432:22 | 157:21 177:7 178:24 185:8 271:11 286:2 |  |
| assuming(7) 145:15 147:20 149:11 149:19 | 442:21 454:19 455:20 455:21 460:18 | 287:1 287711 317:9 374:10 $374: 13$ 374:15 | 157:6 166:9 167:3 $173: 1174: 20180: 6$ |
| 311:10 449:9 449:25 | 460:23 | 374:19 376:22 379:24 380:16 385:19 | 183:24 191:12 195:15 198:4 200:2 202:9 |
|  | awful(1) 44:10 | 388:15 399:24 414:3 414:15 424:16 443:2 | \|204:17 204:19 205:7 206:2 211:5 213:3 |
| assuming,"(1) 149:18 | awhile(2) 433:11 450:8 | 446:22 | 227:20 227:25 235:6 267:14 273:19 275 |
| assumption(9) 28:15 28:15 83:20 148:23 | a _mr (1) $375: 13$ |  | 279:17 282:8 282:12 282:19 285:20 |
| 401:5 402:13 420:7 420:25 448:10 b | bachelor(1) 336:12 | baseless(1) | 293:18 295:16 296:15 296:19 296:22 |
|  | back(74) 11:17 12:18 33:4 33:5 34:3 | baseline(1) 156:16 | 301:17 306:17 314:6 314:7 320:18 321:22 |
| assumptions(3) 83:3 108:4 108:5 | 57:12 61:4 62:17 73:8 74:12 77:20 80:2 | basic(4) 287:4 346:21 347:1 347:4 | 323:7 324:9 327:13 327:17 329:14 330:23 |
| assurance(2) 9:18 420:18 | 80:13 98:4 119:24 120:14 129:25 130:4 | basically(24) 30:21 128:24 129:13 130:10 | 340:18 340:22 347:15 347:17 348:10 |
| assure(1) 456:24 | 131:12 131:25 147:10 160:15 166:4 166:11 | 133:9 150:22 151:4 158:13 162:12 180:7 | 350:18 350:21 350:22 356:14 359:4 359:23 |
| asylum(1) 59:10 | 170:12 171:7 180:8 181:11 182:12 183:14 | 185:16 186:15 186:22 304:13 323:21 331: | 359:23 362:6 365:20 366:15 367:8 369:19 |
| att (2) 19:25 198:5 | 183:20 183:22 184:23 186:4 190:12 191:2 | 362:9 362:19 401:20 402:18 417:11 | 370:5 370:18 384:6 387:25 388:19 388:20 |
| attach(1) 324:25 | 193:6 199:7 202:19 211:10 225:7 226:2 | 420:12 430:18 446:16 | 395:4 396:8 396:9 396:13 396:13 405:1 |
| attached(10) 72:1 72:17 72:21 172:25 | 237:21 255:6 258:1 259:4 262:15 262:18 |  | 405:17 407:6 408:9 413:5 415:11 415:25 |
| 270:20 289:9 386:15 391:17 391:18 392:12 | 266:15 278:4 285:17 287:1 296:3 296:13 | basil(2) 179:2 179:3 | 416:14 417:12 418:24 419:7 422:7 425:5 |
|  | 305:14 307:22 312:12 312:13 313:12 | basis(16) 56:2 56:7 63:11 63:13 69:2 | 425:13 426:17 426:18 426:19 426:19 428:9 |
| attack(2) 141:3 333:7 | 325:19 327:8 331:5 375:17 378:14 401:6 | 73:13 86:21 143:25 144:9 160:13 247:20 | 430:18 432:1 433:11 435:15 435:16 |
| attacking(1) 151:6 | 401:25 402:21 408:5 410:25 420:22 445:83 | 340:13 367:17 378:9 380:4 429:4 | 435:16 441:3 446:16 447:24 448:3 449:6 |
| attempt(6) 28:15 268:12 269:14 397:18 <br> 442:25 443:6 | 445:22 445:24 460:12 |  | 451:5 451:24 452:11 453:18 453:22 454:24 |
|  |  | bates(2) 3:21 365:5 battle(2) 16:1 270:7 | 458:11 464:5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { attempted(3) 313:16 409:8 443:19 } \\ & \text { attempting(1) 267:11 } \end{aligned}$ | background(3) 126:21 309:8 380:12 | bayer(60) 228:9 228:11 228:25 229:5 |  |
|  | backstop(5) 191:17 266:11 266:20 267:3 | 229:9 229:13 231:8 231:19 232:21 232:21 |  |
| attempts(2) 121:24 442:23 attend(2) 63:20 386:14 | 323:15 | 232:22 232:24 233:12 $234: 3$ 234:6 234:10 |  |
|  |  | 236:16 238:20 238:25 239:1 240:15 241:1 |  |
| attended(1) 370:3 | backstops(1) 206:18 | 241:16 241:22 242:16 242:18 242:25 |  |
| attention(12) 9:20 22:6 92:22 267:14 | backwards(1) 152:18 | 243:12 243:24 244:10 244:16 245:5 245:7 |  |
|  | bad(6) 64:16 77:18 323:22 423:3 462:8 | $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}245: 18 & 268: 15 & 268: 18 & 269: 5 & 307: 16 & 307: 2 \\ 310 & 310: 18 & 314: 5 & 314 \cdot 12 & 314: 19 & 316: 22\end{array}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 408:19 } 454: 8 \\ & \text { attest(1) } 27: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 463:1 |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{llllll}317: 3 & 317: 23 & 318: 8 & 318: 9 & 318: 22 & 320: 2 \\ 320: 4 & 321: 6 & 321: 21 & 342: 21 & 370: 8 & 370: 8\end{array}$ |  |
| attorney(1) 273:4 bad | badly(1) 244:23 bahrain(1) $370: 21$ | 370:10 391:13 395:5 |  |
| attorney's(2) 419:8 419:18 | balance(16) 18:16 82:2 90:2 150:25 151:2 |  |  |
| attorneys(6) 8:7 51:9 62:19 236:21 237:3 273:7 | 151:6 151:9 151:25 152:1 152:2 152:5 | bayer's(4) 232:21 232:23 312:22 317:20 |  |
|  | 152:7 152:14 323:18 323:19 436:22 | bayles(11) 2:11 273:3 273:3 302:21 303:7 $335 \cdot 13$ 335:13 $335 \cdot 15335: 17 \quad 335: 17$ |  |
| attractive(1) 396:20 <br> attribute(1) 369:25 | ball(2) 9:22 447:24 | 335:13 |  |

## SCO GROUP, INC.7.27.09.DOC

| Word Page:Line | Word Page:Line | Word Page:Line | Word Page:Line |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 89:23 90:13 90:23 94:19 96:20 98:11 | 216:23 263:25 382:4 |  | 294:18 368:2 368:11 371:14 394:20 |
| 99:15 119:1 137:20 138:16 138:16 138:25 |  | bleeding(1) 444:22 | 395:20 395:24 459:15 |
| 139:9 160:22 164:10 164:15 164:21 164:2 | bend(3) 99:3 99:6 152:18 | block(1) 412:4 |  |
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| 189:2 193:1 194:1 216:4 231:8 240:18 | 206:23 235:18 430:24 437:2 438:20 438:2 | bloggers(2) 349:25 350:4 | briefed(1) 273:19 |
| 240:18 253:3 260:11 279:14 279:19 281:1 | 460:6 | blowing(1) 70:16 | briefer(1) 10:18 |
| 285:7 290:3 290:5 292:18 296:24 306:18 |  | blurb(1) 378:4 | briefly(12) 18:23 34:21 62:4 64:6 67:21 |
| 321:11 321:21 327:12 332:8 334:16 345:5 | benefits(4) 86:23 86:25 197:17 297:24 | board(34) 23:16 26:17 31:8 100:17 102:4 | 103:21 167:6 167:8 368:22 379:5 421:7 |
| 346:18 354:8 354:8 359:22 359:24 365:23 | berger(3) 1:22 3:20 273:7 | 108:17 108:23 108:24 191:16 245:13 | 452:10 |
| 376:2 389:5 395:12 395:24 405:12 413:9 | bernie(1) 324:4 | 281:24 282:15 282:19 283:11 283:19 | briefs(2) 393:14 458:13 |
| 413:10 414:21 415:15 418:11 424:1 425:1 | beside(1) 121:12 | 284:24 285:3 285:6 286:3 286:6 286:10 | bring(11) 32:16 142:17 232:14 272:25 |
| 429:7 430:9 430:9 433:18 450:4 450:19 | besides(6) 84:22 162:13 227:21 331:9 | 286:11 286:19 286:21 286:23 287:3 287:6 | 304:23 314:11 334:5 334:5 383:5 387:5 |
| 452:18 | 352:2 379:21 | 339:9 339:11 357:8 408:20 409:11 447:6 447:9 | 387:20 |
| beforehand(1) 19:5 | best(19) 6:16 20:6 25:10 33:21 35:10 | bod,"(1) 245:13 | ngs(2) 427:15 438 |
| before (1) 414:22 | 38:2 75:25 142:7 157:20 184:20 228:20 | bogged(1) 235:17 | brit(1) 439:22 |
| began(3) 16:2 131:15 343:12 | 268:3 372:3 414:2 414:3 447:18 453:16 | boies(13) 1:30 6:10 106:22 107:4 208:4 | broad(4) 18:11 50:13 133:6 404:13 |
| begin(4) 156:6 167:18 175:14 331:1 | 453:16 | 409:17 417:11 417:21 424:3 430:24 436:2 | broader(1) 342:25 |
| beginning(14) 24:9 24:23 27:2 135:16 | bet(1) 432:3 | 438:25 440:16 | brought(12) 72:25 74:17 90:1 108:1 127:4 |
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| 308:13 342:23 449:5 456:21 | 33:21 42:16 52:18 87:11 110:14 110:15 | boil(1) 442:17 | 381:11 455:24 |
|  | 110:16 110:18 110:23 144:24 146:14 | bold(1) 226:12 |  |
| begins(6) 81:15 236:25 241:3 280:12 | 150:12 150:13 150:20 151:22 153:1 178:1 | bona(1) 210:9 | bryan(1) 2:10 |
| 286:8 442:11 | 181:16 207:3 208:2 241:19 262:4 329:1 | book(5) 88:4 98:4 99:24 100:6 100:13 | bsf(1) 417:10 |
|  | 351:10 392:7 396:23 425:19 458:14 | booked(1) 85:15 | bucket(1) 218:19 |
| begrudging(1) 75:2 |  | bookings(1) 102:6 | bucks(5) 276:10 276:10 277:9 277:10 |
| begun(1) 138:11 | between(27) 11:14 71:6 83:3 95:7 107:20 | books(2) 99:7 459:2 | 277:19 |
| behalf(21) 5:4 6:5 28:8 47:24 67:6 67:7 | 139:19 155:9 189:15 189:17 203:25 205:2 | boring(1) 51:22 |  |
| 224:21 227:17 236:9 254:22 254:23 281:9 | 205:25 206:8 245:9 253:17 276:20 278:8 | both(32) 4:16 13:24 51:16 152:15 160:24 | budget(3) 111:19 111:22 209:21 |
| 309:22 313:24 314:2 314:10 316:3 335:17 | 280:22 287:19 288:5 320:24 343:3 343:5 | 161:1 162:9 202:10 216:14 218:19 218:20 | building(3) 2:38 125:17 130:13 |
| 363:21 383:13 396:6 | 361:4 405:8 424:8 450:10 | 219:14 224:18 293:5 299:14 304:16 319:7 | built(2) 15:23 356:21 |
|  |  | 319:9 344:5 345:10 376:12 386:1 386:1 | bulk(3) 352:14 419:2 419:4 |
| behind(18) 66:14 141:4 190:18 212:2 | beyond(8) 67:10 109:25 129:20 151:24 | 398:13 399:24 400:18 408:22 408:25 | bulky(1) 196:1 |
|  | 204:7 204:14 207:8 422:12 | 421:24 423:5 428:14 443:22 | bump(1) 385:6 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 233:21 234:7 236:2 } \\ \text { 241:17 } & \text { 230:22 }\end{array}$ |  |  | bunch(4) 214:21 216:2 391:9 391:12 |
| 241:17 420:22 | be if (1) $353: 23$ | bother(2) 23:7 417:3 | burden(6) 14:11 14:14 421:4 424:18 |
| being(54) 7:13 17:9 35:9 35:11 44:10 | be in (1) 402:15 | bottom(9) 93:3 215:13 225:3 225:15 | $427: 11 \quad 444: 9$ |
| 49:17 58:4 72:3 118:11 125:3 129:8 133:1 | bible(1) 66:15 | 226:11 236:14 243:16 269:4 269:16 |  |
| 141:3 151:9 152:16 158:1 171:23 184:6 | bid(8) 23:3 23:5 270:11 273:18 396:20 |  |  |
|  | 396:22 443:25 445:13 | bought(2) 114:2 415:25 |  |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}239: 21 & 266: 19 & 272: 15 & 272: 16 & 272: 19 \\ 300: 13 & 301: 10 & 311: 12 & 315: 10 & 315: 20\end{array}$ |  | boulevard(2) 1:25 3:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { burn(/) } 26: 19 \\ & 405: 4 \\ & 438: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| $300: 13$ $301: 10$ $311: 12$ $315: 10$ <br> 315 $315: 20$   <br> $315: 21$ $32: 23$ $32: 5$  <br> $331: 10$    | bidder(6) 22:24 23:15 443:21 443:22 | bound(1) 204:12 |  |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}315: 21 & 315: 21 & 322: 23 & 324: 5 & 331: 10 \\ 3399: 2 \\ 339: 3 & 348: 17 & 354: 10 & 357: 4 & 372: 1 \\ 374: 25\end{array}$ | 443:23 444:1 |  |  |
| 339:3 348:17 354:10 357:4 372:1 374:25 |  | bounds(1) 374:21 |  |
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| 144:19 162:10 170:19 172:14 173:11 174: | bill(3) 112:7 112:9 350:11 | breaching(1) 75:6 |  |
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| 286:2 286:3 287:8 290:21 291:2 293:21 | billion(1) 344:1 | 398:17 463:13 |  |
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| 355:7 356:5 357:23 363:21 367:13 377:4 | bind(1) 463:2 | breakeven(2) 247:16 248:8 |  |
| 377:5 381:17 382:5 383:7 386:7 391:17 | binder(5) 76:16 76:18 134:10 233:21 | breath(1) 413:11 |  |
| 393:4 394:17 397:24 401:14 409:2 417:19 | binders(3) 212:1 212:2 212:5 | breyer(1) 243:12 |  |
| 421:18 427:8 429:4 431:23 442:25 443:9 | binding(3) 351:8 352:23 353:12 | brian(1) 264:7 |  |
| 444:6 444:23 445:15 445:17 446:1 446:14 | biscayne(1) 3:22 | bric(1) 370:16 |  |
| 458:7 464:12 | bit(24) 6:16 29:22 97:21 99:4 105:4 <br> 109:14 148:2 166:15 177:2 207:7 216:10 | brickmia(5) 95:11 95:16 98:19 111:15 112.17 |  |
| believed(5) 168:15 178:10 244:6 287:2 | 252:19 323:11 338:1 340:21 348:19 357:3 |  |  |
| 376:17 |  |  |  |
|  | 450:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bricmea(8) } \begin{array}{lllll} \text { briciser } & 210: 6 & 253: 5 & 253: 7 \\ \text { 256:10 } & 256: 11 & 256: 15 & 256: 19 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| believes(2) 53:8 281:1 | bk,"(1) 245:14 |  |  |
| believe _all (1) 386:10 | blackberry(2) 29:17 312:18 | bricmia(1) 95:13 |  |
| bell(1) 198:5 | blackberry's(3) 133:4 133:24 133:24 | bridge(22) 295:9 339:24 340:8 340:14 |  |
| beloved(2) 243:10 243:21 | blackberry's(1) 274:18 | 340:16 340:23 340:24 341:11 341:20 |  |
| beltran(8) 15:14 17:12 383:6 383:6 387:11 | blackstone(2) 337:14 337:19 | 341:20 341:25 342:4 342:6 343:6 345:3 |  |
| 387:23 388:14 467:15 | blame(2) 324:13 402:8 | $\begin{array}{lllllllll}351: 6 & 352: 3 & 354: 25 & 355: 5 & 359: 14 & 359: 16 \\ 392: 8 & & & \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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| $\begin{array}{llll} \text { careful(3) 203:1 } & 205: 6 & 220: 22 \\ \text { carefully(3) } 56: 4 & 122: 9 & 151: 9 \\ \text { carlisle(6) } 337: 10 & 337: 11 & 337: 12 & 337: 19 \\ 340: 1 & 341: 3 \end{array}$ | category(5) 61:11 275:6 275:7 275:11 | 160:9 212:21 271:9 304:25 411:16 411:24c | 4circumstances_ and (1) 385:12 |
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|  |  |  | 432:9 |
|  | 404:13 404:14 | chapter 11(8) 374:6 381:3 408:12 409:20 |  |
|  | 424:18 427:16 | 409:23 411:14 411:15 415:3 | 21 |
|  |  |  | (1) 339:1 |
|  | c | chapter 7(9) $374: 7$ 411:25 412:8 412 | ncial's(1) |
|  | c | 412:9 412:9 413:23 414:16 414:19 | 61:24 190:21 27 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | cave(2) 2:10 273 |  | claim(34) 11:11 16 |
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| 12:12 12:24 13:8 13:25 15:10 15:17 16:7 17:13 17:21 19:2 19:12 20:6 22:10 22:20 | cell(2) 130:2 131 | charge(7) 142:9 306:4 308:20 308:21 | 407:19 407:23 407:23 407:25 417:16 41 |
|  | center(1) 243:20 | 308:22 308:23 431:19 | 419:8 419:11 423:24 424:2 424:21 426:18 |
| 23:10 23:11 23:23 23:25 24:10 24:22 |  |  | 437:21 438:1 438:1 438:3 438:5 438:25 |
| 24:23 25:2 25:9 25:22 26:4 26:5 26:21 27:1 27:2 27:11 32:17 33:19 33:22 35:16 | cents(8) 19:16 65:11 139:8 139:13 13 | charged(6) 50:15 50:25 53:21 112:24 | 440:15 450:25 451:18 455:6 |
|  | 186:18 433:9 433:11 |  |  |
|  |  |  | claimed(1) 437:5 |
| 58:13 59:10 64:3 70:11 71:12 86:12 91:4 102:13 102:25 114:8 129:16 131:15 138:2 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c e o } ( 1 4 )} 3: 11 \quad 21: 23141: 9141: 10$ | ch | claiming(1) 451:2 |
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| 464:13 464:17 464:20 464:24 465:14 |  | ibm's(11) 7:10 18:23 21:9 33:10 49:24 | cluding(9) 16:6 27:13 55:1 186 |
| 465:20 466:4 466:7 466:14 466:16 | 45:14 70:18 181:9 224:17 227:20 227:21 228:3 236:9 236:15 236:17 245:9 246:1 | 52:10 64:9 71:7 393:6 440:15 455:6 | 186:21 212:2 298:10 405:6 451:17 |
| honor's(13) 10:4 42:10 43:8 52:24 142:19 | 343:7 371:18 372:8 372:9 372:11 372:23 | idea(47) 22:18 22:19 22:20 24:19 25:16 |  |
|  |  | 26:10 33:1 34:1 43:13 43:13 76:23 118:18 | \&incompetent(4) 138:3 138:4 146:5 202:6 |
| 142:20 142:25 379:6 394:8 428:11 431:7 | 374:4 375:13 388:12 405:16 420:9 420:16 | 132:5 132:8 135:11 150:6 152:13 159:24 | incomplete(3) 145:11 145:17 146:6 |
| 452:14 465:17 | 431:17 431:23 | $\begin{array}{llllll}160: 2 & 165: 13 & 165: 17 & 167: 8 & 177: 15 & 177: 17 \\ 177: 18 & 180: 9 & 190: 23 & 253: 21 & 275: 3 & 281: 19\end{array}$ | inconvenient(1) 464:4 <br> incorporate(2) 151:25 166:4 |
| honorable(1) 1:17 | hunsaker's(1) 431:9 | 299:16 337:12 369:18 378:7 389:11 399:7 incorporated(8) 4:16 4:16 111:2 307:25 |  |
| honor's(2) 315:25 344:15 | hunsaker's(1) 327:25 | 399:23 418:17 436:15 436:15 441:7 441:8 | 310:22 318:18 401:20 459:3 |
| honor_ (3) $379: 9$ 384:23 399:5 honor_and (1) $378: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hurdle(1) 181:12 } \\ & \text { hypothetical(4) 145:12 145:17 147:20 } \\ & \text { 457:11 } \end{aligned}$ | 4 |  |
| (1) $354: 7$ |  |  |  |
| honor_they (1) 409:10 |  |  | 104:13 218:22 218:23 364:15 386:8 408:17 |
| hope(6) 228:18 234:24 264:3 270:5 339:13h 460:25 | hypothetical's(1) 146:6 <br> hypothetically(1) 156:7 <br> hypotheticals(2) 156:7 156:8 |  | 408:19 408:20 increase(1) 139:18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { hopefully(1) 124:13 } \\ & \text { hopes(1) 245:1 } \\ & \text { hoping(7) 7:17 43:16 83:16 268:4 312:13 } \\ & \text { 346:4 447:25 } \end{aligned}$ | i'd(23) 37:7 47:19 67:7 68:17 68:21 68:21 | identify(11) 36:24 134:5 135:3 136:6 <br> 146:18 174:21 175:4 177:1 253:18 365:21 | increased(1) 108:7 |
|  | 83:1 88:22 91:12 92:22 119:3 351:14 <br> 359:20 370:2 395:6 395:16 401:3 410:19 |  | increasing(1) 439:2 |
|  |  | 380:10 | increasingly(1) $344: 1$ |
|  | 359:20 370:2 395:6 395:16 401:3 410:19 <br> 415:15 420:4 434:12 436:6 436:8 | identifying(1) 409:13 | red(1) 206:15 |
|  |  | (1) 334.25 | deed(4) 18:20 347:21 347:25 384:2 |
| hordes(1) 8:6horizon(1) 155:2 | i'll(45) 4:21 6:14 6:18 19:19 36:24 46:16 | ifpc(1) 428:20 | independent(8) 17:12 23:18 53:12 258:181 |
|  | 56:21 64:20 70:3 75:24 91:13 120:14 139:17 144:5 352:16 364:6 368:2 371:14 | iii(1) 3:3 | 338:15 414:5 445:11 460:21 |
| horizon(1) 155:2 <br> horse(4) 184:6 192:3 443:22 463:13 |  | illinois(1) 375:24 |  |
| horse(4) 184:6 192:3 443:22 463:13 <br> horses(1) 192:19 | $\begin{array}{llllll}139: 17 & 144: 5 & 352: 16 & 364: 6 & 368: 2 & 371: 14\end{array}$ i | imagine(3) 141:21 439:13 443: | independently(1) 432:8 |
| hotel(1) 162:9hour(8) 34:7 34:7 72:4 204:19 204:21 | 381:19 383:13 394:19 396:2 397:22 399:2 | imbedded(1) 458:18 | index(1) 467:1 |
|  | 404:6 404:10 410:13 413:3 421:2 421:6 | immediate(2) 147:4 444:25 | india(4) 95:14 178:7 256:13 256:19 |
| 204:24 454:21 454:22 | $\begin{array}{llll} 423: 5 & 428: 20 & 435: 2 & 436: 11 \\ 457: 7 & 457: 12 & 444: 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { immediately(5) } 9: 16 \quad 11: 5 \quad 147: 7 \quad 148: 17 \\ & \text { 189:16 } \end{aligned}$ | indiana(1) 459:8 <br> indicate(1) 401:21 |
| hours(16) 6:12 30:19 41:5 72:7 74:21 120:3 143:18 204:17 211:5 273:25 294:22 349:16 350:5 368:2 397:15 435:9 | 45.7 457.8 461.12 |      <br> imminent(1) $12: 7$    <br> impact(10) $53: 23$ $57: 15$ $67: 22$ $86: 10$ <br> im $87: 11$    <br> 142:23 $300: 9$ $302: 5$ $331: 18$ $465: 4$ | indicated(10) 17:16 67:11 73:11 107:4 <br> 166:3 270:16 335:2 351:4 385:9 447:13 |
|  |  |  |  |
| hour _and (1) 382:1 house(1) 42:1 housekeeping(1) 41:23 |  |  | dication(1) 405:22 |
|  |  | impacts(1) 143:8 | indicative(1) 447:23 <br> indicia(2) 316:16 316:19 |
|  |  | impede(1) 50:1 <br> impending(1) 58:6 | indiscernible(3) 13:1 217:2 217:7 |
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| 99:24 100:3 100:6 100:12 102:2 102:25 |  | lectern(2) 456:15 459:15 | 399:16 399:19 403:12 403:18 403:20 404:2 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}103: 9 & 103: 13 & 104: 17 & 104: 25 & 109: 14 & 111: 8\end{array}$ | largely(2) 22:3 31:19 | led(2) 15:7 343:2 | 404:3 404:5 404:6 404:10 404:18 414:18 |
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| $\begin{array}{lllllll}150: 2 & 152: 11 & 154: 17 & 154: 18 & 154: 20 & 154: 2\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll} \\ 30: 12 & 30: 14 & 33: 6 & 33: 8 & 43: 1 & 49: 7 \\ 50: 12\end{array}$ | 294:22 336:24 336:24 337:4 337:18 337:2 | levin's(2) 34:3 51:23 |
| 155:7 155:8 155:11 155:19 156:13 156:14 | 52:12 63:17 69:10 72:12 74:18 74:19 | 381:12 412:16 416:7 426:19 435:8 453:23 | levine(10) 417:18 417:22 436:14 436:17 |
|  | 97:20 97:25 107:21 126:8 127:4 127:5 |  | 436:21 438:15 439:10 439:15 443:17 446:8 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}167: 9 & 167: 11 & 168: 20 & 178: 23 & 179: 5 & 180: 22 \\ 184: 23 & 187: 10 & 187: 14 & 187: 21 & 187: 24 & 192\end{array}$ | 130:7 133:15 133:22 136:2 136:8 168:25 | leftover(2) 373:15 436:3 |  |
| $\begin{array}{llllllll}184: 23 & 187: 10 & 187: 14 & 187: 21 & 187: 24 & 192: \\ 192 \cdot 21 & 193 \cdot 15 & 194: 6 & 196 \cdot 1 & 200 \cdot 11 & 201 \cdot 3\end{array}$ | 230:12 232:12 232:12 240:18 243:15 | legacy(1) 15:22 | levity(1) 242:3 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}192: 21 & 193: 15 & 194: 6 & 196: 1 & 200: 11 & 201: 3 \\ \text { 202:15 } & 205: 18 & 205: 20 & 214: 4 & 214: 15 & 215: 1\end{array}$ | 256:14 297:7 318:1 335:25 338:14 338:22 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { legal(14) } & 9: 13 & 16: 3 & 106: 21 & 145: 21\end{array} 200: 5$ | lewis(147) 2:45 5:5 5:6 24:3 24:4 24:8 |
| 202:15 205:18 205:20 214 214:4 $214: 15 \quad 215: 1$ | 341:10 341:21 342:4 344:9 344:10 346:5 | 200:6 201:2 202:7 244:25 290:20 309:16 | 27:25 95:24 95:25 96:2 96:3 99:3 99:9 |
| 215:24 216:6 217:10 218:24 220:10 | 346:18 347:13 353:9 385:5 397:24 406:163 | 348:22 418:15 421:6 | 100:2 100:5 100:10 100:15 103:16 103:18 |
|  | 419:3 429:17 439:12 440:22 442:10 443:14 | 4 | 116:5 116:17 116:23 117:4 117:8 117:16 |
| 224:12 230:5 230:12 232:10 232:13 233:1 | 446:25 452:12 461:14 461:19 461:20 | legally(1) 104:25 | 118:6 118:8 118:20 127:1 127:2 127:3 |
|  | 461:20 | legitimate(3) 8:1 | 128:5 128:6 145:8 147:12 147:13 147:22 |
| 246:16 251:24 $251: 25 \quad 253: 16 \quad 254: 717254: 1$ | lastly(1) 19:13 | length(2) 68:8 310:18 | 149:13 149:15 149:23 149:24 149:25 |
|  | lasts(1) 450:8 | lengthy(1) 327:25 | 151:21 154:15 158:4 159:12 159:16 159:20 |
| 268:18 268:24 274:23 275:10 275:12 | $\begin{array}{lllllllll} \\ \text { late(15) } & 30.12 & 77.24 & 107.24 & 122.24 & 123.4\end{array}$ | lengthy(1) 327:25 | 175:8 196:6 196:7 196:8 196:15 200:4 |
| 275:13 275:14 275:16 275:20 275:21 | $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}173.18 & 183.3 & 184 \cdot 4 & 258.8 & 337 \cdot 1 & 338.5\end{array}$ | leslie(5) 2:11 273:3 273:3 303:7 335:17 | 200:18 201:1 $273: 23 \quad 273: 24 \quad 274: 3 \quad 280: 4$ |
| 275:23 275:24 275:25 276:1 276:8 277:16 | 173:18 183:3 184:4 258:8 337:1 338: 345:17 367:7 389:4 434:21 | less(19) 10:19 25:7 72:6 80:9 154:15 | 280:7 280:8 280:9 280:10 282:25 283:3 |
| 277:18 282:12 282:21 285:10 289:21 | 345:17 367:7 389:4 434:21 | 155:2 209:11 306:2 347:24 348:2 384:14 | 283:6 283:16 283:19 283:21 283:23 $283: 25$ |
| 294:22 295:20 306:7 306:8 306:9 308:4 | lateness(1) 382:1 | 406:14 408:12 422:6 440:11 441:2 441:8 | 284:2 284:5 284:13 284:14 284:16 284:20 |
|  | later(14) 30:3 71:16 93:16 174:12 174:17 |  | 284:22 286:15 286:17 287:13 287:14 |
| 314:25 319:8 322:14 322:24 323:8 323:20 | $186: 6$ $214: 13$ $285: 18$ $321: 15$ $329: 15$ $342 \cdot 2$ |  | 287:15 287:18 287:21 288:25 289:2 289:3 |
|  | 186:6 $402: 22$ 406:7 $410: 10$ | $\operatorname{let}(75)$ 4:21 34:3 35:20 42:15 45:15 53:15 | 289:4 289:23 289:25 290:2 290:6 291:7 |
| 328:16 331:25 334:4 340:7 340:7 340:9 | 402.22406 .7410 .10 | 56:1 57:12 62:17 64:20 71:17 108:20 | 291:11 291:17 292:23 293:12 293:14 |
| 340:14 340:24 340:25 341:11 344:13 |  | 108:20 120:19 134:16 136:6 153:3 158:14 | 293:15 294:8 294:12 294:14 294:15 300:10 |
| 349:20 359:14 359:17 359:25 362:7 362:9 | latitude(5) 286.4 286.10 | 164:18 188:17 188:24 190:16 193:4 202:1 | 301:19 302:18 303:15 303:19 333:15 |
| 365:3 366:3 366:4 368:1 368:14 369:7 | latitude(5) 286:4 286:10 | 204:16 205:18 209:15 213:6 213:22 214 | $3333: 16365: 10 \quad 365: 12$ 373:8 $373: 9$ 384:23 |
| 370:13 371:25 372:1 376:7 378:11 383:17 | 286:24 | 217:3 217:4 218:19 220:15 224:13 236:5 | 384:24 384:25 388:7 388:8 389:14 389:19 |
| 386:3 386:5 387:10 391:4 392:15 393:11 |  | 236:12 244:23 252:19 260:10 260:17 | 390:7 390:9 390:19 410:16 410:17 410:18 |
| 394:3 394:4 396:11 397:24 398:4 401:7 | latter(5) 17:13 191:4 230:1 299:7 299:10 | 268:24 272:23 274:9 279:6 297:1 $304: 11$ | $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll} & 410: 22 & 412: 18 & 418: 8 & 441: 15 & 446: 10\end{array}$ |
| 409:2 413:21 414:21 415:12 417:4 418:21 | laudatory(1) 440:5 | 311:11 312:7 314:16 318:7 323:25 324:10 | 450:16 $450: 18$ 452:8 $456: 6 \quad 456: 16$ 457:25 |
| 419:2 420:3 420:16 422:14 422:14 422:25 | lauderdale(1) 1:27 | 328:25 335:20 351:11 373:21 376:2 376: | 463:16 463:19 464:12 466:7 466:10 467:23 |
| 423:1 425:1 425:6 425:10 425:16 425:25 | laughter(4) 21:16 46:17 461:3 466:3 | 378:4 386:2 391:24 395:23 397:13 404: |  |
| 426:2 426:6 426:7 428:8 428:9 429:3 | launch(2) 113:24 113:25 | 410:11 424:21 424:22 435:8 435:19 439:19 |  |
| 429:4 431:10 431:24 433:14 437:1 437:8 | launches(1) 395:13 | 440:20 441:7 442:17 460:9 | lewis'(3) 156:13 157:2 300:11 |
| 438:1 438:2 441:10 441:23 443:17 445:5 | laurie(2) 2:18 5:12 |  | liabilities(9) 11:19 80:17 88:20 438:10 |
| 445:21 445:25 446:20 447:20 450:11 | law(23) $13: 9$ 16:8 $30: 2 \quad 161: 22 \quad 175: 5$ |  | 438:16 438:20 438:21 438:23 438:23 |
| 450:19 450:21 451:8 452:21 452:25 455:5 | 309:19 310:6 310:13 336:14 336:17 336:2 | let's(21) 10:13 26:21 37:14 55:18 82:18 | 438.16438 .20438 .21438 .23438 .23 |
| 456:11 457:10 458:19 | 375:12 381:9 381:19 382:7 382:9 422:3 | 88:10 110:24 114:1 131:12 375:14 421: |  |
|  | 426:2 428:2 432:9 441:10 453:2 467:10 | $\begin{array}{cccccc} 424: 20 & 425: 22 & 425: 23 & 435: 24 & 437: 1 & 44 \\ 448: 8 & 450: 5 & 461: 9 & 461: 14 & & \end{array}$ | liberty(1) 351:12 |
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| 466:13 | 264:13 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { lethal(1) 18:18 } \\ \text { letter(13) 16:19 21:14 26:12 } & \text { 51:23 } & \text { 51:23 }\end{array}$ | 459:10 |
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| knowledge(22) 48:2 48:24 51:4 52:20 | 87:1 380:22 387:1 387:1 387:2 |  |  |
| 52:25 86:18 110:21 140:14 156:16 192:18 |  | lettered(1) 433:25 | licenses(22) 88:5 102:25 103:4 103:7 |
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|  | 348:11 349:2 417:7 429:18 | letters(7) 165:20 176:10 184:11 184:13 | 291:4 $291: 24$ 415:24 $4488: 16$ 449:8 $456: 6$ |
| 461:11 | lay(5) 53:5 53:12 373:21 374:19 384:19 | 189:11 220:13 284:10 | 457:6 457:8 457:9 458:6 458:8 459 |
| known(4) 344:20 421:24 436:4 443:23 | layer(2) 130:13 130:21 | let's(30) 146:20 151:4 161:21 167:16 |  |
| knows(13) 9:5 12:5 13:7 48:16 52:23 | $\mathbf{l a y s}(2)$ 48:19 378:8 | 175:17 176:16 177:14 180:4 185:1 185:8 | lie(1) $18: 16$ |
| 151:4 309:25 310:25 316:14 375:15 410:2 | lead(2) 32:12 385:4 | 185:23 186:5 189:5 189:11 189:12 190:16 |  |
| 415:21 457:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { leading(6) 211:16 305:10 305:14 320:25 } \\ & 357: 6 \text { 367:1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} 190: 16 & 190: 18 & 191: 24 & 227: 24 & 230: 11 \\ 248: 15 & 263: 25 & 276: 18 & 276: 25 & 278: 20 \end{array}$ | life(8) $11: 1 \quad 12: 3 \quad 17: 7 \quad 17: 9 \quad 132: 24 \quad 137: 8$ 141:15 243:17 |
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| lampert(1) 161:9 |  |  |  |
| landed(1) 162:7 |  |  |  |
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