MORRISON | FOERSTER

E R

425 MARKET STREET

SAN FRANCISCO

CALIFORNIA 94105-2482

TELEPHONE: 415.268.7000 FACSIMILE: 415.268.7522

WWW.MOFO.COM

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
NEW YORK, SAN FRANCISCO,
LOS ANGELES, PALO ALTO,
SAN DIEGO, WASHINGTON, D.C.
NORTHERN VIRGINIA, DENVER,
SACRAMENTO, WALNUT CREEK
TOKYO, LONDON, BEIJING,
SHANGHAI, HONG KONG,
SINGAPORE, BRUSSELS

July 31, 2009

Writer's Direct Contact 415.268.7232 ALewis@mofo.com

Honorable Kevin Gross United States Bankruptcy Court District of Delaware 824 North Market Street, 6th Floor Wilmington, DE 19801

Re: In re SCO Group, Inc. et al.
United States Bankruptcy Court
District of Delaware

Case No. 07-11337 (KG)

Dear Judge Gross:

We have received the Debtors' letter of July 31, 2009, offering to hold an auction of certain of Debtors' assets supervised by an examiner. No motion seeking anything like that relief is before the Court. Even if it were, Novell does not believe that this proposal satisfies Novell's concerns.

We understand Debtors' proposal to be that the contemplated auction would be limited to the assets Debtors proposed to sell in the Third Sale Motion. As the evidence and arguments presented in the various objections to the sale motion, in the motions to convert, and at the July 27 hearing on those motions indicate, the price of the particular assets being sold was not the only problem with the Third Sale Motion. Novell also expressed well-founded concerns over the nature of the assets sold and retained, the interrelationship between those assets and the Asset Purchase Agreement with Novell, and the business judgment of management and their fidelity to the interests of creditors. The Debtors' July 31 letter does not solve those problems. Instead, it tends to confirm Novell's position that the Debtors' management has employed myopic judgment, trying to hang on to its alleged claims and a portion of its Mobility business to the exclusion of all other concerns.

MORRISON FOERSTER

Honorable Kevin Gross July 31, 2009 Page Two

Novell therefore opposes the Debtors' revised proposal and asks the Court to rule on the motions that were submitted to the Court after the conclusion of evidence and arguments on July 27.

Sincerely,

Adam A. Lewis

cc: William K. Harrington, Esq. (via email)

Richard Levin, Esq. (via email)

Joseph J. McMahon, Jr. Esq. (via email)

James E. O'Neill, Esq. (via email)

Arthur J. Spector, Esq. (via email)

Ryan E. Tibbitts, Esq. (via email)