```
MSWORD         23
VB             23
VPWIN          21
EXCEL          15
Unknown        15
NDWMAIN        10
WINCLS          9
PROGMAN         7
NOTEPAD         6
NWOS            6
WINMAIL         6
WINPROJ         6
```

Windows version 3.10
```
EXCEL          28
AMIPRO         20
NDWMAIN        19
VDRAW          15
WINFILE        15
MSWORD         14
WIN2WRS        11
PROGMAN        10
CCMAIL          8
CLOCK           8
WPWIN           7
WINHELP         6
```

646
From w-clairl Thu Nov 7 10:24:59 1991
To: bradsi
Subject: Re: other DOSes
Cc: davidcol lizsi martyta w-clairl w-pamed
Date: Thu Nov 7 10:14:13 1991

PR is going to have limited ability to help you if Microsoft is deliberately
and selectively keeping DRI from participating in the beta program. That is, if
you are making a special case of them that is not consistent with the
way that the beta program is being administered for the rest of the industry.

The other two facets of your mail, that you are not going to test against
DR DOS and the check for MS DOS and the message, are less of a PR problem.
It can be rationally explained that we only test against the standard,
MS DOS.

My advice is that you really think through what you are doing here wrt the
beta program. When this gets out, and it will get out, will really reflect
badly on Microsoft from the press perspective. I think you should also get
some legal advice on this issue.

Another thing to consider is that Microsoft recently sent out a letter
to OS/2 2.0 SDK purchasers and explained that we could not support them
because we have not been receiving sw components from IBM in a timely fashion.
For Microsoft to then turn around and do the same thing to another
operating system competitor looks really bad. (E.g. DRI could send out
a letter to the press and its customers saying that Microsoft is not providing
DRI with the beta of Windows 3.1 so we cannot support you, our customers. So,
Mr. Customer, your ability to run Microsoft Windows will be severely limited).

We look pretty two-faced, to put it bluntly.

This is the PR reality. Please keep us informed of what you decide to do.

Claire
>From bradsi Thu Nov 7 09:05:52 1991
To: davidcol
Cc: lizsi richt w-clairl
Subject: Re: other DOSes
Date: Thu, 07 Nov 91 08:58:05 PST

yes, we are aware and I have talked to our pr people about it. i am
still nervous and share your concern. it came up a few times at
comdex. we need to work out our position more carefully and
thoroughly, and make sure everyone who talks to users/press is
informed. richt, can you take that action item?

>From davidcol Thu Nov 7 08:31:24 1991
To: bradsi
Subject: other DOSes

EXH ___33___ DATE 2/13/02
WITNESS ___Silverberg___
MARY W. MILLER

Date: Thu Nov 7 08:30:57 1991

There is an obvious conflict quickly approaching us, and I get
the feeling we are not very prepared PR wise. The conflict
is Windows 3.1 and DR-DOS. Apparently DRI is quite aware of
our plans to not test with DR-DOS, our plan to not let them
enter the Win 3.1 beta program, and our plan to detect the
presence of MS-DOS and warn the user they are on an un-tested
OS if MS-DOS is not detected.

It's also clear that DRI plans to make a public stink about
this. They've contacted the FTC, and some Compuserve
flames like the one about DRI not getting let into the Win 3.1
beta program I think are being prompted by them. It's only
going to get worse.

Maybe this is already being handled and I'm out of the loop
(which is fine) but have we infored our PR people about this
and asked them to work on the appropriate public positioning
for us? We need to get the message out so the people in the
field know what to say.

Non- responsive material redacted

648
From w-pamed Thu Nov 7 10:35:29 1991
To: bradc bradsi
Subject: dr dos
Cc: lizsi w-carrin w-clairl w-pamed
Date: Thu Nov 7 10:14:05 1991

i spoke with eddy scannell ans asked about his bug report
he told me that those comments came from the corp.
user list that dri gave him
i asked him ....after i had helped him on
another issue .....could i have the list
he is faxing it to me
i also asked him
how can ms help these poor guys who are having trouble
geee do you think we could have a program that that says
if you ahve bpoblems with dr dos we will help you
here is the psss num
eddy thought that was a good idea and would help those users

we are being as aggressive as possible
also cory smith will write a leeter and maybe other
stuff too
i am trying to reach adrain also
see you at 2
the BULLDOGS

649
From debbier Thu Nov 7 10:38:18 1991
To: bradsi charlotg chrisbue mikede paulsho philipg
Cc: cherris debbier erinde sharonh wendye
Subject: Copernicus Task Force Meeeting
Date: Thu Nov 07 10:37:12 PDT 1991

TIMMCC	0	0	1	0	1
TOMRI	1	0	0	0	1
TONYW	0	0	1	0	1
TRANSFER	9	21	15	0	45
UB	3	1	0	0	4
V-DOUGK	0	4	5	0	9
VATSANP	1	3	0	0	4
VIDEO7	15	19	17	0	51
VLADS	3	6	3	0	12
WINBETA	1	0	1	0	2
WISH	0	0	0	4	4
Y-DANFR	0	1	0	0	1
ZHANW	0	0	1	0	1
Total	171	303	256	34	764

654
From w-pamed Thu Nov 7 11:12:28 1991
To: bradc bradsi
Subject: win 3.1 beta
Cc: lizsi w-pamed
Date: Thu Nov 7 10:33:51 1991

if you are NOT sending dri the win3.1 beta or not giving them access
that other developers have then we will have a HUGE HUGE major
pr problem on our hands
we cant go out and claim we are only trying to help customers
and then trash dri....even if we do it in a devious and smart way
and then have the press find out that ms has been cheating and lying
 say it isnt so ....we will have ZERO credabilty with the press

655
From johnri Thu Nov 7 11:13:11 1991
To: dwgroup
Subject: ATI 8514/ULTRA Upgrade
Date: Thu Nov 07 11:10:23 PDT 1991

ATI tech support has a ROM and software upgrade for the
8514/ULTRA card.

In my case, this was specifically for use with the NEC
MultiSync 4FG, but it might help other configurations. I don't
have the upgrade in hand yet so I can't report on the results.

I'm upgrading from ROM 1.15V1 and software version 2.1.

ATI tech support telephone: (416)756-0711, 9am-5:30pm EST

Lycka till!

656
From fernandd Thu Nov 7 11:17:04 1991
To: dosforum
Subject: docerr readme
Date: Thu Nov 7 11:16:23 1991

>From marionj Thu Nov 7 11:15:36 1991
To: tomle
Cc: fernandd
Subject: docerr readme
Date: Thu Nov 07 11:15:11 PDT 1991

ChrisH tells me that the ROMDOS readmes
go final today. Do we want to get that
devicehigh error in? Any others?

>>Marion

657
From sharonh Thu Nov 7 11:17:29 1991
To: braddir
Subject: Reporting Relationships for Reviews
Date: Thu, 07 Nov 91 11:16:58 PST

I will be bringing by this afternoon your department's list of reporting
relationships. I need your buyoff prior to shuttling it to HR.