

1 2	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP MICHAEL A. JACOBS (Bar No. 111664) mjacobs@mofo.com			
3	MARC DAVID PETERS (Bar No. 211725) mdpeters@mofo.com DANIEL P. MUINO (Bar No. 209624) dmuino@mofo.com 755 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304-1018 Telephone: (650) 813-5600 / Facsimile: (650) 494-0792			
4				
5				
6	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP DAVID BOIES (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) dboies@bsfllp.com 333 Main Street, Armonk, NY 10504			
7				
8	Telephone: (914) 749-8200 / Facsimile: (914) 749-8300 STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (Bar No. 144177) sholtzman@bsfllp.com 1999 Harrison St., Suite 900, Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 874-1000 / Facsimile: (510) 874-1460			
9				
11	ORACLE CORPORATION DORIAN DALEY (Bar No. 129049) dorian.daley@oracle.com DEBORAH K. MILLER (Bar No. 95527) deborah.miller@oracle.com MATTHEW M. SARBORARIA (Bar No. 211600) matthew.sarboraria@oracle.com 500 Oracle Parkway, Redwood City, CA 94065			
12				
13				
14				
15	Telephone: (650) 506-5200 / Facsimile: (650) 506-7114			
16 17	Attorneys for Plaintiff ORACLE AMERICA, INC.			
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA		
20	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
21	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.	Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA		
22	Plaintiff,	OUTLINE OF ORACLE AMERICA, INC.'S RULE 50(A) MOTION AT		
23	v.	THE CLOSE OF ALL EVIDENCE IN PHASE TWO		
24	GOOGLE INC.	Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor		
25	Defendant.	Judge: Honorable William H. Alsup		
26				
27				
28				
	OUTLINE OF ORACLE AMERICA, INC.'S RULE 50(A) MOTION FOR PHASE TWO CASE NO. CV 10-03561 WHA pa-1528467			

1	I.	INTRODUCTION	
2	II.	STATEMENT OF FACTS	
3	III.	LEGAL STANDARD FOR JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW	
4	IV.	NO REASONABLE JURY COULD FIND THAT GOOGLE DID NOT INFRINGE THE ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE '104 PATENT	
5		A. Android resolve.c infringes claims 11, 39, 40, and 41 of the '104 patent	
6		1. Dalvik bytecode instructions contain symbolic references	
7		B. Android dexopt infringes claims 27 and 29 of the '104 patent	
8		1. Dalvik bytecode instructions contain symbolic references	
9		2. Dexopt resolves symbolic references "dynamically rather than statically"	
11		3. Under the correct claim construction, dexopt resolves symbolic references "dynamically rather than statically"	
12 13	V.	NO REASONABLE JURY COULD FIND THAT GOOGLE DID NOT INFRINGE THE ASSERTED CLAIMS OF THE '520 PATENT	
14		A. Android infringes claims 1 and 20 of the '520 patent	
15		1. Android dx tool simulates execution of static array initialization	
16	VI.	GOOGLE'S EQUITABLE DEFENSES FAIL	
17		A. Google Has Not Shown that Equitable Estoppel Bars Oracle's Patent Infringement Claims	
18 19		B. Google Has Not Shown that the Doctrine of Laches Applies to Oracle's Patent Infringement Claims	
20		C. Google Has Not Shown that Oracle or Sun Waived Its Right to Assert Patent Infringement Claims	
21 22		D. Google Has Not Shown that Oracle or Sun Gave It an Implied License to Use Oracle's Patents	
23	VII.	ALTERNATIVE GOOGLE DEFENSES THAT GOOGLE PLED BUT DID NOT PRESENT TO THE JURY FAIL	
2425		A. Google Has Not Shown Patent Misuse	
26		B. Google Has Not Shown Use By The United States	
27		C. Google Has Not Shown that Oracle or Sun Gave It an Express License to Use Oracle's Patents	
28			
	OUT INE OF OR	ACLE AMEDICA INC 'S PLUE 50(A) MOTION EOD PHASE TWO	

Case3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document1152 Filed05/15/12 Page3 of 3

1	D. Google Has Not SI Subject to the Doc	nown that Oracle's Patent Infringement Claims Are trine of Unclean Hands
2	VIII. CONCLUSION	
3	VIII. CONCESSION	
4		
5		
6	Dated: May 15, 2012	MICHAEL A. JACOBS MARC DAVID PETERS
7		DANIEL P. MUINO MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
8		
9		By: /s/ Michael A. Jacobs
10		Attorneys for Plaintiff ORACLE AMERICA, INC.
11		ORACLE AMERICA, INC.
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
¥	OUTLINE OF ORACLE AMERICA, INC.'S RULE 50(A) MOTION FOR PHASE TWO	

OUTLINE OF ORACLE AMERICA, INC.'S RULE 50(A) MOTION FOR PHASE TWO CASE NO. CV 10-03561 WHA pa-1528467