

1 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP
ROBERT A. VAN NEST - #84065
2 rvannest@kvn.com
CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - #184325
3 canderson@kvn.com
DANIEL PURCELL - #191424
4 dpurcell@kvn.com
710 Sansome Street
5 San Francisco, CA 94111-1704
Telephone: (415) 391-5400
6 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

KING & SPALDING LLP
SCOTT T. WEINGAERTNER (*Pro Hac Vice*)
sweingaertner@kslaw.com
ROBERT F. PERRY
rperry@kslaw.com
BRUCE W. BABER (*Pro Hac Vice*)
bbaber@kslaw.com
1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036-4003
Telephone: (212) 556-2100
Facsimile: (212) 556-2222

7 KING & SPALDING LLP
DONALD F. ZIMMER, JR. - #112279
8 fzimmer@kslaw.com
CHERYL A. SABNIS - #224323
9 csabnis@kslaw.com
101 Second Street, Suite 2300
10 San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 318-1200
11 Facsimile: (415) 318-1300

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
IAN C. BALLON - #141819
ballon@gtlaw.com
HEATHER MEEKER - #172148
meekerh@gtlaw.com
1900 University Avenue, Fifth Floor
East Palo Alto, CA 94303
Telephone: (650) 328-8500
Facsimile: (650) 328-8508

12 Attorneys for Defendant
GOOGLE INC.

13
14
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
17 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
18

19 ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,
20 Plaintiff,
21 v.
22 GOOGLE INC.,
23 Defendant.
24

Case No. 3:10-cv-03561 WHA
**GOOGLE INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS
UNDER SEAL**
Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor
Judge: The Honorable William Alsup

1 Pursuant to the Northern District of California’s Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5,
2 Defendant Google Inc. (“Google”) hereby brings this Administrative Motion to Seal the
3 following material designated by Oracle America, Inc. (“Oracle”) as “Confidential” or “Highly
4 Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only” pursuant to the Order Approving Stipulated Protective
5 Order Subject to Stated Conditions entered in this case [Dkt. No. 68.]:

- 6 • The redacted sections of Google Inc.’s Motion to Strike Portions of Dr. James
7 Kearl’s Expert Report; and
- 8 • Exhibit A to the Declaration of Daniel Purcell in Support of Google Inc.’s Motion
9 to Strike Portions of Dr. James Kearl’s Expert Report.

9 Google takes no position as to whether disclosure of these materials would cause harm to
10 Oracle, and Google would not oppose an order requiring Oracle to make that information public.

11
12 Dated: April 2, 2012

KEKER & VAN NEST LLP

13 By: /s/ Robert A. Van Nest

14 ROBERT A. VAN NEST
15 Attorneys for Defendant
16 GOOGLE INC.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28