

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
MICHAEL A. JACOBS (Bar No. 111664)
mjacobs@mofo.com
MARC DAVID PETERS (Bar No. 211725)
mdpeters@mofo.com
DANIEL P. MUINO (Bar No. 209624)
dmuino@mofo.com
755 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304-1018
Telephone: (650) 813-5600 / Facsimile: (650) 494-0792

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
DAVID BOIES (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
dboies@bsflp.com
333 Main Street, Armonk, NY 10504
Telephone: (914) 749-8200 / Facsimile: (914) 749-8300
STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (Bar No. 144177)
sholtzman@bsflp.com
1999 Harrison St., Suite 900, Oakland, CA 94612
Telephone: (510) 874-1000 / Facsimile: (510) 874-1460
ALANNA RUTHERFORD
575 Lexington Avenue, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10022
Telephone: (212) 446-2300 / Facsimile: (212) 446-2350 (fax)

ORACLE CORPORATION
DORIAN DALEY (Bar No. 129049)
dorian.daley@oracle.com
DEBORAH K. MILLER (Bar No. 95527)
deborah.miller@oracle.com
MATTHEW M. SARBORARIA (Bar No. 211600)
matthew.sarboraria@oracle.com
500 Oracle Parkway, Redwood City, CA 94065
Telephone: (650) 506-5200 / Facsimile: (650) 506-7114

Attorneys for Plaintiff
ORACLE AMERICA, INC.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE, INC.

Defendant.

Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA

**ORACLE AMERICA, INC.'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF ORACLE'S
MOTION TO EXCLUDE PORTIONS OF
THE RULE 706 EXPERT REPORT OF
DR. JAMES KEARL**

Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor
Judge: Honorable William H. Alsup

1 Plaintiff Oracle America, Inc. (“Oracle”) hereby moves to file the following portions of its
2 Motion to Exclude Portions of the Rule 706 Expert Report of Dr. James Kearl under seal, for the
3 reasons stated in the accompanying Declaration of Deborah K. Miller:

- 4 • The following portions of Exhibit E to the Declaration of Meredith Dearborn In Support
5 Of Oracle’s Motion To Exclude Portions of the Rule 706 Expert Report Of Dr. James
6 Kearl (“Dearborn Decl.”):
 - 7 ○ Kearl Report ¶¶ 21, 29, 30, parts of 46, parts of 50, parts of 55, parts of 58, 62,
8 63, parts of 64, parts of 65, parts of 66, the list in 68, parts of 69, parts of 70,
9 parts of 71, parts of 73, 75, parts of 76, parts of 77, parts of 78, parts of 81, and
10 the entirety of Section J (paragraphs 87–96 and footnotes 45–51, with the
11 exception of certain sentences relating exclusively to Android revenues), 107,
12 parts of 109, parts of 111, parts of 114, parts of 125, parts of 127, 129, 130, 132,
13 133, parts of 134, parts of 135, parts of 136, parts of 137, parts of 138, parts of
14 141, parts of 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, parts of 149, 150, parts of 153, 155,
15 159; and parts of 167;
 - 16 ○ Kearl Report footnotes 31, 42, 44, parts of footnote 63, parts of footnote 91;and
17 parts of 155;
 - 18 ○ Kearl Report Appendix D ¶¶ parts of 184 (1), 184(2), parts of 184(4), 184(5),
19 184(6), 184(7), parts of 185(1), 185(3), parts of 186, parts of 191, and parts of
20 192;
 - 21 ○ Kearl Report Tables 1, 2, 5, 6, 7 and 10
- The following portions of Exhibit F to the Dearborn Decl.:
 - Kearl Dep. 31:2–34:3; 34:22–35:4; 43:1–25; 47:10–25; 49:2–52:8; 52:15; 53:3–
7; 53:13–54:21; 54:21–54:25; 55:2–10; 55:14–56:7; 57:15–23; 60:6–10, 17–21;
61:21–22; 66:4–13; 67:4–7; 177:11–20; 127:5–25; 129:4–14; 130:9–131:1;
131:6–133:13; 134:8–139:12; 140:22–141:8; 143:7–11; 144:24–145:7; 146:2–
10; 180:20–181:8; 182:4–188:6; 189:9–23; 189:23–191:22; 218:2–25; 220:20–
221:8; and 222:24–223:10.

22 As described in the Declaration of Deborah K. Miller, the selected materials contain sensitive,
23 non-public information that could cause competitive harm to Oracle if made public.

24 Additionally, the Order Approving Stipulated Protective Order Subject to Stated Conditions
25 entered in this case (Dkt. No. 68) dictates that when material has been designated as Confidential or
26 Highly Confidential – Attorney’s Eyes Only, a party may not file it in the public record, but must seek
27 to file it under seal pursuant to Local Rule 79-5. (December 17, 2010 Stipulated Protective Order
28

1 (Docket No. 66) § 14.4.) Accordingly, Oracle seeks to file under seal those portions of the motion and
2 declarations in support thereof referencing documents that Google has designated Confidential or
3 Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only:

- 4 • The redacted portions of Oracle’s Motion to Exclude Portions of the Rule 706 Expert
5 Report of Dr. James Kearl;
- 6 • Exhibits B, C, and D to the Dearborn Decl.;
- 7 • The balance of Exhibit E to the Dearborn Decl., other than those portions specifically
8 alluded to above and in the accompanying Declaration of Deborah K. Miller; and
- 9 • The balance of Exhibit F to the Dearborn Decl., other than those portions specifically
10 alluded to above and in the accompanying Declaration of Deborah K. Miller.

11 Oracle states no position as to whether disclosure of materials marked by Google as
12 Confidential or Highly Confidential – Attorneys’ Eyes Only material would cause harm to Google.

13 Dated: April 2, 2012

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

14 By: /Steven C. Holtzman
15 Steven C. Holtzman

16 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
ORACLE AMERICA, INC.