

EXHIBIT A



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
90/012,332	05/30/2012	7844915	P4895USREX1/120730-003US	5963

108491 7590 12/19/2012
Goldberg, Lowenstein & Weatherwax LLP
1925 Century Park East
Suite 2120
Los Angeles, CA 90067

EXAMINER

YIGDALL, MICHAEL J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3992	

3992

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
12/19/2012	PAPER

12/19/2012

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

BRYAN CAVE LLP
1290 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NEW YORK, NY 10104

EX PARTE REEXAMINATION COMMUNICATION TRANSMITTAL FORM

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO. 90/012,332.

PATENT NO. 7,844,915.

ART UNIT 3992.

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified *ex parte* reexamination proceeding (37 CFR 1.550(f)).

Where this copy is supplied after the reply by requester, 37 CFR 1.535, or the time for filing a reply has passed, no submission on behalf of the *ex parte* reexamination requester will be acknowledged or considered (37 CFR 1.550(g)).

Office Action in Ex Parte Reexamination	Control No. 90/012,332	Patent Under Reexamination 7844915
	Examiner Michael J. Yigdall	Art Unit 3992

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

- a Responsive to the communication(s) filed on _____. b This action is made FINAL.
c A statement under 37 CFR 1.530 has not been received from the patent owner.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 2 month(s) from the mailing date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in termination of the proceeding and issuance of an *ex parte* reexamination certificate in accordance with this action. 37 CFR 1.550(d). **EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c).** If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 3. Interview Summary, PTO-474.
2. Information Disclosure Statement, PTO/SB/08. 4. _____.

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

- 1a. Claims 1-21 are subject to reexamination.
1b. Claims _____ are not subject to reexamination.
2. Claims _____ have been canceled in the present reexamination proceeding.
3. Claims _____ are patentable and/or confirmed.
4. Claims 1-21 are rejected.
5. Claims _____ are objected to.
6. The drawings, filed on _____ are acceptable.
7. The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ has been (7a) approved (7b) disapproved.
8. Acknowledgment is made of the priority claim under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some* c) None of the certified copies have
1 been received.
2 not been received.
3 been filed in Application No. _____.
4 been filed in reexamination Control No. _____.
5 been received by the International Bureau in PCT application No. _____.
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
9. Since the proceeding appears to be in condition for issuance of an *ex parte* reexamination certificate except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte* Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
10. Other: _____

cc: Requester (if third party requester)

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332
Art Unit: 3992

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

1. An order granting the request for *ex parte* reexamination of claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 7,844,915 (“the ‘915 patent”) was mailed on August 17, 2012.

No statement under 37 CFR § 1.530 was filed by the patent owner.

Prior Art Cited in the Request

2. The following patents and printed publications were cited in the order granting the request for *ex parte* reexamination:

U.S. Patent No. 7,724,242 to Hillis et al. (“Hillis”).

U.S. Pub. No. 2005/0057524 to Hill et al. (“Hill”).

Dean Harris Rubine, “The Automatic Recognition of Gestures,” CMU-CS-91-202, December 1991 (“Rubine”).

Japanese Pub. No. 2000-163031A to Nomura et al. (English translation) (“Nomura”).

International Pub. No. WO 03/081458 to Lira (“Lira”).

U.S. Patent No. 6,677,965 to Ullmann et al. (“Ullmann”).

U.S. Patent No. 6,757,673 to Makus et al. (“Makus”).

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The examiner notes that the references cited in the information disclosure statement filed by the patent owner on October 18, 2012 have been considered to the extent that the content and relevance of the information was explained. See MPEP § 2256.

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 3

Art Unit: 3992

Summary of Rejections

4. The following rejections of the claims are set forth below in this Office action:

Ground 1: Claims 1, 5-8, 12-15 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by Hillis.

Ground 2: Claims 2, 9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Hillis in view of Lira.

Ground 3: Claims 3, 4, 10, 11, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Hillis in view of Makus.

Ground 4: Claims 1, 5-8, 12-15 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Nomura in view of Rubine.

Ground 5: Claims 2, 9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Nomura in view of Rubine and further in view of Lira.

Ground 6: Claims 3, 4, 10, 11, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Nomura in view of Rubine and further in view of Makus.

5. The examiner notes that the rejections set forth below are based on the Hillis, Lira, Makus, Nomura and Rubine references. No rejections of the claims, as presently written, are made in this Office action based on the Hill and Ullmann references because the teachings of those references are essentially cumulative to the teachings cited in the rejections below. However, in order for claims to be found patentable and/or confirmed in this *ex parte* reexamination proceeding, the claims must be patentable over every prior art patent and printed publication cited in the order granting the request.

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 4

Art Unit: 3992

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Ground 1: Claims 1, 5-8, 12-15 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by Hillis.

Claim 1

A machine implemented method for scrolling on a touch-sensitive display of a device comprising:

Hillis teaches a machine-implemented method for panning (i.e., scrolling) on a touch-sensitive display of a device (see, e.g., column 1, lines 29-36 and column 3, lines 21-24).

receiving a user input, the user input is one or more input points applied to the touch-sensitive display that is integrated with the device;

Hillis teaches receiving user input comprising one or more contact points applied to the touch-sensitive display (see, e.g., column 6, lines 59-63, “Broadly, the steps 202, 204, 206 run continuously to process user contact with the display surface 124 as it occurs. Steps 202, 204, 206 therefore serve to analyze contact occurring when the user contacts the surface 124 at one or more contact regions utilizing one or more fingers, hands, arms, etc.”).

creating an event object in response to the user input;

Hillis teaches creating an event object in response to the user input (see, e.g., column 7, lines 15-20, “In

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 5

Art Unit: 3992

step 202, the table 122 detects and monitors the position, size, shape, and timing of the current contact region. Namely, the table 122 provides a machine readable output to the computer 126, which is representative of the position, size, shape, and timing of each contact region, or contains information from which this information can be calculated or derived.”).

determining whether the event object invokes a scroll or gesture operation by distinguishing between a single input point applied to the touch-sensitive display that is interpreted as the scroll operation and two or more input points applied to the touch-sensitive display that are interpreted as the gesture operation;

Hillis teaches determining whether the event object matches a pattern to invoke an action (see, e.g., column 7, lines 46-65, “In step 208, the computer 126 determines whether activity of the current contact matches a predetermined pattern, and therefore constitutes a ‘gesture.’ Step 208 repeats continually, utilizing some or all of the position, position history (movement), velocity, and force information from steps 202, 204, 206. ... if step 208 detects that the user has initiated a gesture (208c), the computer in step 214 utilizes the mapping 126c to identify the action 126b associated with the gesture that was identified in step 208.”). Hillis further teaches that the actions include a panning (i.e., scrolling) operation and other gesture operations such as zooming (see, e.g., column 8, lines 5-7, “As described in greater detail below, some examples of actions 126b include panning, zooming, rotating, and the like.”). Hillis describes a single contact point interpreted as the scrolling operation (see, e.g., FIG. 4B and column 8, lines 44-48) and two or more contact points interpreted as the zooming operation (see, e.g., FIG. 1B and column 3, lines 42-46).

issuing at least one scroll or gesture call based on invoking the scroll or gesture operation;

Hillis teaches issuing a call to perform the scrolling or other gesture operation (see, e.g., column 7, line 65 to column 8, line 3, “As mentioned above, the predefined actions include various machine implemented operations for updating the presentation of imagery by the display. In one embodiment, gestures are both identified (208) and associated (214) with display control commands via a single procedure,” and see, e.g., column 8, lines 4-8, “After step 214, the computer 126 initiates

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 6

Art Unit: 3992

responding to at least one scroll call, if issued, by scrolling a window having a view associated with the event object based on an amount of a scroll with the scroll stopped at a predetermined position in relation to the user input; and

performance of the identified action (step 216). As described in greater detail below, some examples of actions 126b include panning, zooming, rotating, and the like. Thus, step 216 starts the requested pan, zoom, rotate, or other operation.”).

responding to at least one gesture call, if issued, by scaling the view associated with the event object based on receiving the two or more input points in the form of the user input.

Hillis teaches responding to the call by panning (i.e., scrolling) the view associated with the event object based on the amount of scroll (see, e.g., column 8, lines 44-52, “Another example is where the computer 126 detects (FIG. 2, step 208) that the user has initiated a pan gesture by drawing a finger across the display surface at a particular velocity, and lifted his/her finger from the surface while still moving (FIG. 2, step 218b). With the optional inertia feature enabled, the computer 126 continues (FIG. 2, step 222) to pan the imagery in the initiated direction at the velocity implied by the gesture at the time the finger was lifted until a stopping or slowing naturally occurs (step 224).”). Hillis further teaches that the scrolling is stopped at a predetermined position based on the user input (see, e.g., column 8, line 63 to column 9, line 6).

Hillis teaches responding to the call by zooming (i.e., scaling) the view associated with the event object based on the two or more contact points (see, e.g., column 3, lines 42-49, “In the example of FIG. 1B, a user 16 has gestured by placing his fingertips on the display surface and moving them in an outwardly separating manner. As discussed in greater detail below, this particular gesture 17 is associated with a zoom-in command. When the computer 126 performs a zoom-in command, it directs the projector to provide 128 a closer, more detailed view of the displayed imagery.”).

Claim 8

A machine readable storage medium storing executable program instructions which when executed cause a data processing system to perform a method

Hillis teaches a machine-readable storage medium storing instructions for causing a data processing system to perform a method (see, e.g., column 1, lines 29-36 and column 3, lines 21-24, and see, e.g.,

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 7

Art Unit: 3992

comprising:

column 5, lines 48-59).

receiving a user input, the user input is one or more input points applied to a touch-sensitive display that is integrated with the data processing system;

Hillis teaches receiving user input comprising one or more contact points applied to a touch-sensitive display (see, e.g., column 6, lines 59-63, “Broadly, the steps 202, 204, 206 run continuously to process user contact with the display surface 124 as it occurs. Steps 202, 204, 206 therefore serve to analyze contact occurring when the user contacts the surface 124 at one or more contact regions utilizing one or more fingers, hands, arms, etc.”).

creating an event object in response to the user input;

Hillis teaches creating an event object in response to the user input (see, e.g., column 7, lines 15-20, “In step 202, the table 122 detects and monitors the position, size, shape, and timing of the current contact region. Namely, the table 122 provides a machine readable output to the computer 126, which is representative of the position, size, shape, and timing of each contact region, or contains information from which this information can be calculated or derived.”).

determining whether the event object invokes a scroll or gesture operation by distinguishing between a single input point applied to the touch-sensitive display that is interpreted as the scroll operation and two or more input points applied to the touch-sensitive display that are interpreted as the gesture operation;

Hillis teaches determining whether the event object matches a pattern to invoke an action (see, e.g., column 7, lines 46-65, “In step 208, the computer 126 determines whether activity of the current contact matches a predetermined pattern, and therefore constitutes a ‘gesture.’ Step 208 repeats continually, utilizing some or all of the position, position history (movement), velocity, and force information from steps 202, 204, 206. ... if step 208 detects that the user has initiated a gesture (208c), the computer in step 214 utilizes the mapping 126c to identify the action 126b associated with the gesture that was identified in step 208.”). Hillis further teaches that the actions include a panning (i.e., scrolling) operation and other gesture operations such as zooming (see, e.g., column 8, lines 5-7, “As described in greater detail below, some examples of actions 126b include panning, zooming, rotating, and the like.”). Hillis describes a single contact point interpreted as the scrolling operation (see, e.g., FIG. 4B and column 8, lines 44-

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 8

Art Unit: 3992

48) and two or more contact points interpreted as the zooming operation (see, e.g., FIG. 1B and column 3, lines 42-46).

issuing at least one scroll or gesture call based on invoking the scroll or gesture operation;

Hillis teaches issuing a call to perform the scrolling or other gesture operation (see, e.g., column 7, line 65 to column 8, line 3, "As mentioned above, the predefined actions include various machine implemented operations for updating the presentation of imagery by the display. In one embodiment, gestures are both identified (208) and associated (214) with display control commands via a single procedure," and see, e.g., column 8, lines 4-8, "After step 214, the computer 126 initiates performance of the identified action (step 216). As described in greater detail below, some examples of actions 126b include panning, zooming, rotating, and the like. Thus, step 216 starts the requested pan, zoom, rotate, or other operation.").

responding to at least one scroll call, if issued, by scrolling a window having a view associated with the event object; and

Hillis teaches responding to the call by panning (i.e., scrolling) the view associated with the event object (see, e.g., column 8, lines 44-52, "Another example is where the computer 126 detects (FIG. 2, step 208) that the user has initiated a pan gesture by drawing a finger across the display surface at a particular velocity, and lifted his/her finger from the surface while still moving (FIG. 2, step 218b). With the optional inertia feature enabled, the computer 126 continues (FIG. 2, step 222) to pan the imagery in the initiated direction at the velocity implied by the gesture at the time the finger was lifted until a stopping or slowing naturally occurs (step 224).").

responding to at least one gesture call, if issued, by scaling the view associated with the event object based on receiving the two or more input points in the form of the user input.

Hillis teaches responding to the call by zooming (i.e., scaling) the view associated with the event object based on the two or more contact points (see, e.g., column 3, lines 42-49, "In the example of FIG. 1B, a user 16 has gestured by placing his fingertips on the display surface and moving them in an outwardly separating manner. As discussed in greater detail below, this particular gesture 17 is associated with a zoom-in command. When the computer 126 performs a zoom-in command, it

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 9

Art Unit: 3992

directs the projector to provide 128 a closer, more detailed view of the displayed imagery.”).

Claim 15

An apparatus, comprising:

Hillis teaches an apparatus (see, e.g., column 1, lines 29-36 and column 3, lines 21-24, and see, e.g., column 5, lines 17-21).

means for receiving [*under § 112, ¶ 6, the “multi-touch driver” described at column 12, lines 30-32*], through a hardware device, a user input on a touch-sensitive display of the apparatus, the user input is one or more input points applied to the touch-sensitive display that is integrated with the apparatus;

Hillis teaches equivalent software (see, e.g., column 5, lines 52-55) for receiving user input comprising one or more contact points applied to a touch-sensitive display (see, e.g., column 6, lines 59-63, “Broadly, the steps 202, 204, 206 run continuously to process user contact with the display surface 124 as it occurs. Steps 202, 204, 206 therefore serve to analyze contact occurring when the user contacts the surface 124 at one or more contact regions utilizing one or more fingers, hands, arms, etc.”).

means for creating [*under § 112, ¶ 6, the “multi-touch driver” described at column 12, lines 30-32*] an event object in response to the user input;

Hillis teaches equivalent software (see, e.g., column 5, lines 52-55) for creating an event object in response to the user input (see, e.g., column 7, lines 15-20, “In step 202, the table 122 detects and monitors the position, size, shape, and timing of the current contact region. Namely, the table 122 provides a machine readable output to the computer 126, which is representative of the position, size, shape, and timing of each contact region, or contains information from which this information can be calculated or derived.”).

means for determining [*under § 112, ¶ 6, the “window server” described at column 12, lines 32-34*] whether the event object invokes a scroll or gesture operation by distinguishing between a single input point applied to the touch-sensitive display that is interpreted as the scroll operation and two or more input points applied to the touch-sensitive display that are interpreted as the gesture operation;

Hillis teaches equivalent software (see, e.g., column 5, lines 52-55) for determining whether the event object matches a pattern to invoke an action (see, e.g., column 7, lines 46-65, “In step 208, the computer 126 determines whether activity of the current contact matches a predetermined pattern, and therefore constitutes a ‘gesture.’ Step 208 repeats continually, utilizing some or all of the position, position history (movement), velocity, and force information from steps 202, 204, 206. ... if step 208 detects that the user has initiated a gesture (208c),

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 10

Art Unit: 3992

the computer in step 214 utilizes the mapping 126c to identify the action 126b associated with the gesture that was identified in step 208.”). Hillis further teaches that the actions include a panning (i.e., scrolling) operation and other gesture operations such as zooming (see, e.g., column 8, lines 5-7, “As described in greater detail below, some examples of actions 126b include panning, zooming, rotating, and the like.”). Hillis describes a single contact point interpreted as the scrolling operation (see, e.g., FIG. 4B and column 8, lines 44-48) and two or more contact points interpreted as the zooming operation (see, e.g., FIG. 1B and column 3, lines 42-46).

means for issuing *[under § 112, ¶ 6, the “user interface software” described at column 12, lines 34-37]* at least one scroll or gesture call based on invoking the scroll or gesture operation;

Hillis teaches equivalent software (see, e.g., column 5, lines 52-55) for issuing a call to perform the scrolling or other gesture operation (see, e.g., column 7, line 65 to column 8, line 3, “As mentioned above, the predefined actions include various machine implemented operations for updating the presentation of imagery by the display. In one embodiment, gestures are both identified (208) and associated (214) with display control commands via a single procedure,” and see, e.g., column 8, lines 4-8, “After step 214, the computer 126 initiates performance of the identified action (step 216). As described in greater detail below, some examples of actions 126b include panning, zooming, rotating, and the like. Thus, step 216 starts the requested pan, zoom, rotate, or other operation.”).

means for responding *[under § 112, ¶ 6, the “window or view” described at column 12, lines 44-46]* to at least one scroll call, if issued, by scrolling a window having a view associated with the event object; and

Hillis teaches equivalent software (see, e.g., column 5, lines 52-55) for responding to the call by panning (i.e., scrolling) the view associated with the event object (see, e.g., column 8, lines 44-52, “Another example is where the computer 126 detects (FIG. 2, step 208) that the user has initiated a pan gesture by drawing a finger across the display surface at a particular velocity, and lifted his/her finger from the surface while still moving (FIG. 2, step 218b). With the optional inertia feature enabled, the computer 126 continues (FIG. 2, step 222) to pan the imagery

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 11

Art Unit: 3992

means for responding [*under § 112, ¶ 6, the “window or view” described at column 12, lines 44-46*] to at least one gesture call, if issued, by scaling the view associated with the event object based on receiving the two or more input points in the form of the user input.

Claim 5

The method as in claim 1, wherein determining whether the event object invokes a scroll or gesture operation is based on receiving a drag user input for a certain time period.

Claim 12

The medium as in claim 8, wherein determining whether the event object invokes a scroll or gesture operation is based on receiving a drag user input for a certain time period.

Claim 19

The apparatus as in claim 15, wherein determining whether the event object invokes a scroll or gesture operation is based on receiving a drag user input for a certain time period.

in the initiated direction at the velocity implied by the gesture at the time the finger was lifted until a stopping or slowing naturally occurs (step 224.”).

Hillis teaches equivalent software (see, e.g., column 5, lines 52-55) for responding to the call by zooming (i.e., scaling) the view associated with the event object based on the two or more contact points (see, e.g., column 3, lines 42-49, “In the example of FIG. 1B, a user 16 has gestured by placing his fingertips on the display surface and moving them in an outwardly separating manner. As discussed in greater detail below, this particular gesture 17 is associated with a zoom-in command. When the computer 126 performs a zoom-in command, it directs the projector to provide 128 a closer, more detailed view of the displayed imagery.”).

Claims 5, 12 and 19

Hillis further teaches that determining whether the event object matches a pattern to invoke a scrolling or other gesture operation is based on receiving a drag input for a period of time (see, e.g., column 7, lines 15-25, “In step 202, the table 122 detects and monitors the position, size, shape, and timing of the current contact region. Namely, the table 122 provides a machine readable output to the computer 126, which is representative of the position, size, shape, and timing of each contact region, or contains information from which this information can be calculated or derived. The timing output may be satisfied, for example, by the table 122 providing its output in real time. Also in step 202, the computer 126 stores a position history for each contact region. The position history provides a record of how each contact region moves or and/or changes shape over time,” and see, e.g., column 7, lines 46-55, “In step 208, the computer 126 determines whether activity of the current contact matches a predetermined pattern, and therefore constitutes a ‘gesture.’ Step 208 repeats continually, utilizing some or all of the position, position history (movement), velocity, and

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 12

Art Unit: 3992

force information from steps 202, 204, 206. More particularly, in step 208 the computer 126 compares the history of contact position, size, movement, velocity, and/or force to the dictionary 126a of predetermined gestures to determine if the user has performed any of these gestures.”).

Claim 6

The method as in claim 1, further comprising:

responding to at least one gesture call, if issued, by rotating a view associated with the event object based on receiving a plurality of input points in the form of the user input.

Claim 13

The medium as in claim 8, further comprising:

responding to at least one gesture call, if issued, by rotating a view associated with the event object based on receiving a plurality of input points in the form of the user input.

Claim 20

The apparatus as in claim 15, further comprising:

means for responding [*under § 112, ¶ 6, the “window or view” described at column 12, lines 44-46*] to at least one gesture call, if issued, by rotating a view associated with the event object based on receiving a plurality of input points in the form of the user input.

Claims 6, 13 and 20

Hillis describes that the gesture operations include rotating, and further teaches responding to a call to perform the rotating operation by rotating the view associated with the event object based on the user input (see, e.g., column 7, line 65 to column 8, line 3, “As mentioned above, the predefined actions include various machine implemented operations for updating the presentation of imagery by the display. In one embodiment, gestures are both identified (208) and associated (214) with display control commands via a single procedure,” and see, e.g., column 8, lines 4-8 and 36-39, “After step 214, the computer 126 initiates performance of the identified action (step 216). As described in greater detail below, some examples of actions 126b include panning, zooming, rotating, and the like. Thus, step 216 starts the requested pan, zoom, rotate, or other operation. ... For example, if the action identified in step 214 was ‘rotate,’ then the computer 126 in step 222 directs the projector 128 to additionally continue the requested rotation after the gesture terminates.”). Hillis further teaches software equivalent to the claimed means (see, e.g., column 5, lines 52-55).

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 13

Art Unit: 3992

Claim 7

The method as in claim 1, wherein the device is one of: a data processing device, a portable device, a portable data processing device, a multi touch device, a multi touch portable device, a wireless device, and a cell phone.

Claim 14

The medium as in claim 8, wherein the data processing system is one of: a data processing device, a portable device, a portable data processing device, a multi touch device, a multi touch portable device, a wireless device, and a cell phone.

Claim 21

The apparatus as in claim 15, wherein the apparatus is one of: a data processing device, a portable device, a portable data processing device, a multi touch device, a multi touch portable device, a wireless device, and a cell phone.

Claims 7, 14 and 21

Hillis further teaches that the device, data processing system or apparatus is a data processing device and a multi-touch device (see, e.g., column 5, lines 17-21, “Data processing entities such as the computer 126 may be implemented in various forms. One example is a digital data processing apparatus, as exemplified by the hardware components and interconnections of the digital data processing apparatus 100 of FIG. 1D,” and see, e.g., column 6, lines 59-63, “Broadly, the steps 202, 204, 206 run continuously to process user contact with the display surface 124 as it occurs. Steps 202, 204, 206 therefore serve to analyze contact occurring when the user contacts the surface 124 at one or more contact regions utilizing one or more fingers, hands, arms, etc.”).

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 14

Art Unit: 3992

9. Ground 2: Claims 2, 9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Hillis in view of Lira.

Claim 2

The method as in claim 1, further comprising:

rubberbanding a scrolling region displayed within the window by a predetermined maximum displacement when the scrolling region exceeds a window edge based on the scroll.

Claim 9

The medium as in claim 8, further comprising:

rubberbanding a scrolling region displayed within the window by a predetermined maximum displacement when the scrolled region exceeds a window edge based on the scroll.

Claim 16

The apparatus as in claim 15, further comprising:

means for rubberbanding [*under § 112, ¶ 6, the “application programming interface” described at column 7, lines 48-51*] a scrolling region displayed within the window by a predetermined maximum displacement when the scrolling region exceeds a window edge based on the scroll.

Claims 2, 9 and 16

Hillis does not explicitly describe rubberbanding a scrolling region displayed within the window by a predetermined maximum displacement when the scrolling region exceeds a window edge based on the scroll.

However, in an analogous art, Lira teaches receiving user input on a touch-sensitive display (see, e.g., page 16, lines 4-11). The display includes a window displaying a page or document that is separated into columns (see, e.g., page 11, lines 1-17). Lira further describes scrolling a column within the window based on the user input, and snapping (i.e., rubberbanding) the column according to a predetermined maximum threshold when the scrolling exceeds a boundary (see, e.g., FIG. 14B and page 15, lines 18-31, “Referring to Fig. 14B, in another implementation, the vertical alignment control is enabled when the user lifts the pen 1200 from the display 1205. This causes the logical column 1220 to snap into alignment with the display window 1205 as the user stops scrolling. The user can adjust the snap sensitivity by, for example, setting the alignment control to snap to the nearest logical column based on a user-defined snap threshold. If the user’s scrolling does not exceed the threshold, which indicates an intention to continue to view the text column 1220, the display 1205 centers the logical column 1210 as the pen 1200 is lifted from the screen. If the user’s scrolling exceeds the threshold, which indicates an intention to move beyond the boundary of the logical column 1220, the display is snapped to the adjacent or repositioned column. In other implementations, no snapping occurs when the user’s scrolling exceeds the threshold. The snap-on-column feature can also be animated to provide an appearance of movement as

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 15

Art Unit: 3992

the display scrolls to the correct column-viewing position.”). Lira further teaches software equivalent to the claimed means (see, e.g., page 7, lines 9-10).

A person of ordinary skill in the art could have combined the teachings of Hillis and Lira with predictable results, and would have been prompted to implement the teachings of Hillis such that a scrolling region is automatically and visually “snapped” back into alignment within the window if the scrolling exceeds a threshold, as Lira suggests (see, e.g., page 15, “The snap-on-column feature can also be animated to provide an appearance of movement as the display scrolls to the correct ... position.”). Therefore, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to rubberband a scrolling region displayed within the window by a predetermined maximum displacement when the scrolling region exceeds a window edge based on the scroll.

10. Ground 3: Claims 3, 4, 10, 11, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Hillis in view of Makus.

Claim 3

The method as in claim 1, further comprising:

attaching scroll indicators to a content edge of the window.

Claim 10

The medium as in claim 8, further comprising:

attaching scroll indicators to a content edge of the view.

Claims 3, 10 and 17

To the extent that Hillis does not explicitly describe attaching scroll indicators to a content edge of the window or view, Hillis does teach attaching a slider tool such as a slider bar (i.e., a scroll bar or scroll indicator) to the display (see, e.g., FIG. 5B and column 16, lines 29-39, “When slider mode is activated (step 537), the display 124 presents a slider tool in step 538. Broadly, the slider tool includes a bar, knob, button, dial, or other suitable GUI component. The presently described embodiment utilizes a linearly movable slider bar 560 illustrated in FIG. 5B. In this example, each designated linear position of the slider bar corresponds to a different image layer of step 532. In other words, the slider bar is set up so that different positions of the slider

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 16

Art Unit: 3992

Claim 17

The apparatus as in claim 15, further comprising:

means for attaching *[under § 112, ¶ 6, the “application programming interface” described at column 11, lines 16-20]* scroll indicators to a content edge of the window.

bar correspond to different positions in the prescribed sequence of images. The slider bar observes an appropriately convenient scale.”).

In an analogous art, Makus teaches attaching a scroll bar 76 (i.e., a scroll indicator) to a content edge of a window or view (see, e.g., FIG. 5 and column 8, line 59 to column 9, line 1, “Any of the third-level categories can be selected by touching the subcategory on display 28 with a finger or stylus. For example, as shown in FIG. 5, the user has selected a third-level subcategory 72 entitled ‘Airlines – International,’ causing a list 74 of international airlines to be displayed. Since more international airlines are included within list 74 than can be displayed in the available space on display screen 28 at one time, a scroll bar 76 is included for selectively accessing other international airlines in list 74 that are not currently shown.”). Makus further teaches software equivalent to the claimed means (see, e.g., column 6, lines 24-25).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been prompted to attach scroll indicators to a content edge of the window or view in Hillis in order to illustrate, for example, the relative position of the view using “an appropriately convenient scale,” as Hillis suggests, or to provide access to more content than what fits on the screen at one time, as Makus suggests. Therefore, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to attach scroll indicators to a content edge of the window or view.

Claim 4

The method as in claim 1, further comprising:

attaching scroll indicators to the window edge.

Claims 4, 11 and 18

To the extent that Hillis does not explicitly describe attaching scroll indicators to the window edge or a window edge of the view, Hillis does teach attaching a slider tool such as a slider bar (i.e., a scroll bar or scroll indicator) to the display (see, e.g., FIG. 5B and column 16, lines 29-39, “When slider mode is activated (step 537), the display 124 presents a slider

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 17

Art Unit: 3992

Claim 11

The medium as in claim 8, further comprising:

attaching scroll indicators to a window edge of the view.

Claim 18

The apparatus as in claim 15, further comprising:

means for attaching [*under § 112, ¶ 6, the “application programming interface” described at column 11, lines 16-20*] scroll indicators to the window edge.

tool in step 538. Broadly, the slider tool includes a bar, knob, button, dial, or other suitable GUI component. The presently described embodiment utilizes a linearly movable slider bar 560 illustrated in FIG. 5B. In this example, each designated linear position of the slider bar corresponds to a different image layer of step 532. In other words, the slider bar is set up so that different positions of the slider bar correspond to different positions in the prescribed sequence of images. The slider bar observes an appropriately convenient scale.”).

In an analogous art, Makus teaches attaching a scroll bar 76 (i.e., a scroll indicator) to a window edge (see, e.g., FIG. 5 and column 8, line 59 to column 9, line 1, “Any of the third-level categories can be selected by touching the subcategory on display 28 with a finger or stylus. For example, as shown in FIG. 5, the user has selected a third-level subcategory 72 entitled ‘Airlines – International,’ causing a list 74 of international airlines to be displayed. Since more international airlines are included within list 74 than can be displayed in the available space on display screen 28 at one time, a scroll bar 76 is included for selectively accessing other international airlines in list 74 that are not currently shown.”). Makus further teaches software equivalent to the claimed means (see, e.g., column 6, lines 24-25).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been prompted to attach scroll indicators to the window edge or a window edge of the view in Hillis in order to illustrate, for example, the relative position of the view using “an appropriately convenient scale,” as Hillis suggests, or to provide access to more content than what fits on the screen at one time, as Makus suggests. Therefore, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to attach scroll indicators to a content edge of the window or view.

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 18

Art Unit: 3992

11. Ground 4: Claims 1, 5-8, 12-15 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Nomura in view of Rubine.

Claim 1

A machine implemented method for scrolling on a touch-sensitive display of a device comprising:

receiving a user input, the user input is one or more input points applied to the touch-sensitive display that is integrated with the device;

creating an event object in response to the user input;

Nomura teaches a machine-implemented method for scrolling on a touch-sensitive display of a device (see, e.g., paragraphs [0008] and [0049]).

Nomura teaches receiving user input comprising one or more contact points applied to the touch-sensitive display (see, e.g., paragraph [0130], "When the display screen is contacted by the tip of a user's finger or pen 1120 or the like, the touch panel 1060 detects contact position information, contact pressure, and contact area of the finger ...," and see, e.g., paragraph [0139], "The finger movement detector 1110 is for detecting the movement history, contact pressure, and contact area of the finger performed on the display area by the user.").

Nomura teaches providing data based on the user input (see, e.g., paragraph [0139], "Detection data obtained by the finger movement detector 1110 is input to the processor 1100."), but does not explicitly describe creating an event object in response to the user input.

However, in an analogous art, Rubine teaches receiving user input in the form of gestures (see, e.g., page 192, "Each finger then drags its respective point; the object can thus be rotated by rotating the fingers, scaled by moving the fingers apart or together, or translated by moving the fingers in parallel."). Rubine further teaches creating an event object in response to the user input (see, e.g., page 105, "When input occurs, it is represented as an event which is raised. Raising an event results in a search for an active event handler that will handle the event," and pages 120-121, "Input events are full-blown objects. The Event hierarchy imposes structure on events without imposing device

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 19

Art Unit: 3992

dependencies. ... Much flexibility is possible; for example, a Sensor Frame device might raise a single SensorFrameEvent describing the current set of fingers in the plane of the frame, or separate DragEvents for each finger ...” and see, e.g., page 133, “Raising the GestureEvent initiates the search for the possible gesture classes given the initial event. ... The GestureEvent, handled by the same passive event handler mechanism, will thus be propagated to other GestureEventHandlers in the correct order. Each passive gesture handler that would have handled the initial event sends a message to the gesture handler which raised the GestureEvent indicating the set of gesture classes it recognizes and the view with which it is associated.”).

A person of ordinary skill in the art could have combined the teachings of Nomura and Rubine with predictable results, and would have been prompted to provide Nomura with an object-oriented system for handling user interface gestures such as described in Rubine (see, e.g., pages 4-5 and 8-9). As Rubine suggests, such an implementation would provide structure and flexibility while eliminating device dependencies (see, e.g., pages 120-121, “Input events are full-blown objects. The Event hierarchy imposes structure on events without imposing device dependencies. ... Much flexibility is possible ...”). Therefore, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the teachings of Nomura such that the data representing the user input is encapsulated in an event object, and to create an event object in response to the user input.

determining whether the event object invokes a scroll or gesture operation by distinguishing between a single input point applied to the touch-sensitive display that is interpreted as the scroll operation and two or more input points applied to the touch-sensitive display that are interpreted as the gesture operation;

Nomura teaches determining whether the user input comprises a single contact point invoking a scroll operation or two or more contact points invoking a gesture operation such as zoom in, zoom out or rotate (see, e.g., paragraph [0053], “The operations details determination part 30 judges the operation details input by the user based on the finger movement history detected by the finger movement

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 20

Art Unit: 3992

detector 10. Specifically, the operations details determination part 30 judges ... two fingers moving apart as input of a map image zoom-in operation ... two fingers moving toward each other as input of a map image zoom-out operation ... one finger rotating with another finger as an axis as input of a map image rotate operation ... [and] action of moving one finger as input of a map scroll operation.”).

issuing at least one scroll or gesture call based on invoking the scroll or gesture operation;

Nomura teaches issuing a call to perform the scroll, zoom in, zoom out or rotate operation (see, e.g., paragraph [0054], “The map operation processor 40 performs processing to generate a map image with the operation judged by the operation details determination part 30 implemented and includes a zoom-in processor 42, a zoom-out processor 44, a rotation processor 46, and a scroll processor 48,” and see, e.g., paragraph [0137], “When the user performs various types of finger operation[s] on the display ... the CPU 1010 executes the operation indicated by the user based on the input details.”).

responding to at least one scroll call, if issued, by scrolling a window having a view associated with the event object based on an amount of a scroll with the scroll stopped at a predetermined position in relation to the user input; and

Nomura teaches responding to the call by scrolling the view associated with the user input based on the amount of scroll (see, e.g., FIG. 8 and paragraph [0067], “As shown in Fig. 8, when a finger is placed on the screen and moved in the desired direction while pressing a finger on the screen (map scroll gesture), a map moved in the direction of movement of the finger and the same distance as the moved finger is displayed.”). Nomura further teaches that the scrolling is stopped at a predetermined position based on the user input (see, e.g., paragraph [0016], “With the present invention, the operation amount can be inputted for each type of operation based on the movement history of fingers; therefore, a user can input the desired quantity of operation by adjusting finger motion according to his needs.”).

responding to at least one gesture call, if issued, by scaling the view associated with the event object based on receiving the two or more input points in the form

Nomura teaches responding to the call by scaling the view associated with the user input based on the two or more contact points (see, e.g., FIG. 5 and paragraph [0063], “In the case that the obtaining of

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 21

Art Unit: 3992

of the user input.

information near 'Bombay' is desired, as shown in Fig. 6 (B), the placement of a thumb and forefinger near 'Bombay' on the screen with the thumb and forefinger close together and the action of moving the thumb and forefinger away from each other (map zoom-in gesture) are performed (see Fig. 5). When performed in this manner, a zoomed-in map image corresponding to the movement history of the thumb and forefinger is displayed. In other words, an increased level of separation of the thumb and forefinger leads to a smaller scale and a more detailed map," and see, e.g., FIG. 9 and paragraph [0068], "When desiring a zoomed-out map for a case such as to see a map image with larger scale, or to display information for a wide range or the like, first place a thumb and forefinger apart on the map displayed on the screen as shown in Fig. 6 (A), then move the thumb and forefinger toward each other as shown in Fig. 9 (map zoom-out gesture). When performed in this manner, a zoomed-out map image corresponding to the movement history of the thumb and forefinger is displayed. In other words, an increased level of separation of the thumb and forefinger leads to a smaller scale and a more detailed map.").

Claim 8

A machine readable storage medium storing executable program instructions which when executed cause a data processing system to perform a method comprising:

receiving a user input, the user input is one or more input points applied to a touch-sensitive display that is integrated with the data processing system;

Nomura teaches a machine-readable storage medium storing instructions for causing a data processing system to perform a method (see, e.g., paragraphs [0008] and [0049], and see, e.g., paragraphs [0132] and [0134]).

Nomura teaches receiving user input comprising one or more contact points applied to a touch-sensitive display (see, e.g., paragraph [0130], "When the display screen is contacted by the tip of a user's finger or pen 1120 or the like, the touch panel 1060 detects contact position information, contact pressure, and contact area of the finger ...," and see, e.g., paragraph [0139], "The finger movement detector 1110 is for detecting the movement history,

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 22

Art Unit: 3992

contact pressure, and contact area of the finger performed on the display area by the user.”).

creating an event object in response to the user input;

Nomura teaches providing data based on the user input (see, e.g., paragraph [0139], “Detection data obtained by the finger movement detector 1110 is input to the processor 1100.”), but does not explicitly describe creating an event object in response to the user input.

However, in an analogous art, Rubine teaches receiving user input in the form of gestures (see, e.g., page 192, “Each finger then drags its respective point; the object can thus be rotated by rotating the fingers, scaled by moving the fingers apart or together, or translated by moving the fingers in parallel.”). Rubine further teaches creating an event object in response to the user input (see, e.g., page 105, “When input occurs, it is represented as an event which is raised. Raising an event results in a search for an active event handler that will handle the event,” and pages 120-121, “Input events are full-blown objects. The Event hierarchy imposes structure on events without imposing device dependencies. ... Much flexibility is possible; for example, a Sensor Frame device might raise a single SensorFrameEvent describing the current set of fingers in the plane of the frame, or separate DragEvents for each finger ...,” and see, e.g., page 133, “Raising the GestureEvent initiates the search for the possible gesture classes given the initial event. ... The GestureEvent, handled by the same passive event handler mechanism, will thus be propagated to other GestureEventHandlers in the correct order. Each passive gesture handler that would have handled the initial event sends a message to the gesture handler which raised the GestureEvent indicating the set of gesture classes it recognizes and the view with which it is associated.”).

A person of ordinary skill in the art could have combined the teachings of Nomura and Rubine with predictable results, and would have been prompted

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 23

Art Unit: 3992

to provide Nomura with an object-oriented system for handling user interface gestures such as described in Rubine (see, e.g., pages 4-5 and 8-9). As Rubine suggests, such an implementation would provide structure and flexibility while eliminating device dependencies (see, e.g., pages 120-121, "Input events are full-blown objects. The Event hierarchy imposes structure on events without imposing device dependencies. ... Much flexibility is possible ..."). Therefore, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the teachings of Nomura such that the data representing the user input is encapsulated in an event object, and to create an event object in response to the user input.

determining whether the event object invokes a scroll or gesture operation by distinguishing between a single input point applied to the touch-sensitive display that is interpreted as the scroll operation and two or more input points applied to the touch-sensitive display that are interpreted as the gesture operation;

Nomura teaches determining whether the user input comprises a single contact point invoking a scroll operation or two or more contact points invoking a gesture operation such as zoom in, zoom out or rotate (see, e.g., paragraph [0053], "The operations details determination part 30 judges the operation details input by the user based on the finger movement history detected by the finger movement detector 10. Specifically, the operations details determination part 30 judges ... two fingers moving apart as input of a map image zoom-in operation ... two fingers moving toward each other as input of a map image zoom-out operation ... one finger rotating with another finger as an axis as input of a map image rotate operation ... [and] action of moving one finger as input of a map scroll operation.").

issuing at least one scroll or gesture call based on invoking the scroll or gesture operation;

Nomura teaches issuing a call to perform the scroll, zoom in, zoom out or rotate operation (see, e.g., paragraph [0054], "The map operation processor 40 performs processing to generate a map image with the operation judged by the operation details determination part 30 implemented and includes a zoom-in processor 42, a zoom-out processor 44, a rotation processor 46, and a scroll processor 48," and see, e.g., paragraph [0137], "When the user performs various types of finger operation[s] on the display ...

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 24

Art Unit: 3992

responding to at least one scroll call, if issued, by scrolling a window having a view associated with the event object; and

responding to at least one gesture call, if issued, by scaling the view associated with the event object based on receiving the two or more input points in the form of the user input.

the CPU 1010 executes the operation indicated by the user based on the input details.”).

Nomura teaches responding to the call by scrolling the view associated with the user input (see, e.g., FIG. 8 and paragraph [0067], “As shown in Fig. 8, when a finger is placed on the screen and moved in the desired direction while pressing a finger on the screen (map scroll gesture), a map moved in the direction of movement of the finger and the same distance as the moved finger is displayed.”).

Nomura teaches responding to the call by scaling the view associated with the user input based on the two or more contact points (see, e.g., FIG. 5 and paragraph [0063], “In the case that the obtaining of information near ‘Bombay’ is desired, as shown in Fig. 6 (B), the placement of a thumb and forefinger near ‘Bombay’ on the screen with the thumb and forefinger close together and the action of moving the thumb and forefinger away from each other (map zoom-in gesture) are performed (see Fig. 5). When performed in this manner, a zoomed-in map image corresponding to the movement history of the thumb and forefinger is displayed. In other words, an increased level of separation of the thumb and forefinger leads to a smaller scale and a more detailed map,” and see, e.g., FIG. 9 and paragraph [0068], “When desiring a zoomed-out map for a case such as to see a map image with larger scale, or to display information for a wide range or the like, first place a thumb and forefinger apart on the map displayed on the screen as shown in Fig. 6 (A), then move the thumb and forefinger toward each other as shown in Fig. 9 (map zoom-out gesture). When performed in this manner, a zoomed-out map image corresponding to the movement history of the thumb and forefinger is displayed. In other words, an increased level of separation of the thumb and forefinger leads to a smaller scale and a more detailed map.”).

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 25

Art Unit: 3992

Claim 15

An apparatus, comprising:

Nomura teaches an apparatus (see, e.g., paragraphs [0008] and [0049], and see, e.g., paragraphs [0132] and [0134]).

means for receiving [*under § 112, ¶ 6, the “multi-touch driver” described at column 12, lines 30-32*], through a hardware device, a user input on a touch-sensitive display of the apparatus, the user input is one or more input points applied to the touch-sensitive display that is integrated with the apparatus;

Nomura teaches equivalent software (see, e.g., paragraph [0134]) for receiving user input comprising one or more contact points applied to a touch-sensitive display (see, e.g., paragraph [0130], “When the display screen is contacted by the tip of a user’s finger or pen 1120 or the like, the touch panel 1060 detects contact position information, contact pressure, and contact area of the finger ...,” and see, e.g., paragraph [0139], “The finger movement detector 1110 is for detecting the movement history, contact pressure, and contact area of the finger performed on the display area by the user.”).

means for creating [*under § 112, ¶ 6, the “multi-touch driver” described at column 12, lines 30-32*] an event object in response to the user input;

Nomura teaches equivalent software (see, e.g., paragraph [0134]) for providing data based on the user input (see, e.g., paragraph [0139], “Detection data obtained by the finger movement detector 1110 is input to the processor 1100.”), but does not explicitly describe creating an event object in response to the user input.

However, in an analogous art, Rubine teaches receiving user input in the form of gestures (see, e.g., page 192, “Each finger then drags its respective point; the object can thus be rotated by rotating the fingers, scaled by moving the fingers apart or together, or translated by moving the fingers in parallel.”). Rubine further teaches creating an event object in response to the user input (see, e.g., page 105, “When input occurs, it is represented as an event which is raised. Raising an event results in a search for an active event handler that will handle the event,” and pages 120-121, “Input events are full-blown objects. The Event hierarchy imposes structure on events without imposing device dependencies. ... Much flexibility is possible; for example, a Sensor Frame device might raise a single SensorFrameEvent describing the current set of

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 26

Art Unit: 3992

fingers in the plane of the frame, or separate DragEvents for each finger ...,” and see, e.g., page 133, “Raising the GestureEvent initiates the search for the possible gesture classes given the initial event. ... The GestureEvent, handled by the same passive event handler mechanism, will thus be propagated to other GestureEventHandlers in the correct order. Each passive gesture handler that would have handled the initial event sends a message to the gesture handler which raised the GestureEvent indicating the set of gesture classes it recognizes and the view with which it is associated.”).

A person of ordinary skill in the art could have combined the teachings of Nomura and Rubine with predictable results, and would have been prompted to provide Nomura with an object-oriented system for handling user interface gestures such as described in Rubine (see, e.g., pages 4-5 and 8-9). As Rubine suggests, such an implementation would provide structure and flexibility while eliminating device dependencies (see, e.g., pages 120-121, “Input events are full-blown objects. The Event hierarchy imposes structure on events without imposing device dependencies. ... Much flexibility is possible ...”). Therefore, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the teachings of Nomura such that the data representing the user input is encapsulated in an event object, and to create an event object in response to the user input.

means for determining [under § 112, ¶ 6, the “window server” described at column 12, lines 32-34] whether the event object invokes a scroll or gesture operation by distinguishing between a single input point applied to the touch-sensitive display that is interpreted as the scroll operation and two or more input points applied to the touch-sensitive display that are interpreted as the gesture operation;

Nomura teaches equivalent software (see, e.g., paragraph [0134]) for determining whether the user input comprises a single contact point invoking a scroll operation or two or more contact points invoking a gesture operation such as zoom in, zoom out or rotate (see, e.g., paragraph [0053], “The operations details determination part 30 judges the operation details input by the user based on the finger movement history detected by the finger movement detector 10. Specifically, the operations details determination part 30 judges ... two fingers

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 27

Art Unit: 3992

moving apart as input of a map image zoom-in operation ... two fingers moving toward each other as input of a map image zoom-out operation ... one finger rotating with another finger as an axis as input of a map image rotate operation ... [and] action of moving one finger as input of a map scroll operation.”).

means for issuing *[under § 112, ¶ 6, the “user interface software” described at column 12, lines 34-37]* at least one scroll or gesture call based on invoking the scroll or gesture operation;

Nomura teaches equivalent software (see, e.g., paragraph [0134]) for issuing a call to perform the scroll, zoom in, zoom out or rotate operation (see, e.g., paragraph [0054], “The map operation processor 40 performs processing to generate a map image with the operation judged by the operation details determination part 30 implemented and includes a zoom-in processor 42, a zoom-out processor 44, a rotation processor 46, and a scroll processor 48,” and see, e.g., paragraph [0137], “When the user performs various types of finger operation[s] on the display ... the CPU 1010 executes the operation indicated by the user based on the input details.”).

means for responding *[under § 112, ¶ 6, the “window or view” described at column 12, lines 44-46]* to at least one scroll call, if issued, by scrolling a window having a view associated with the event object; and

Nomura teaches equivalent software (see, e.g., paragraph [0134]) for responding to the call by scrolling the view associated with the user input (see, e.g., FIG. 8 and paragraph [0067], “As shown in Fig. 8, when a finger is placed on the screen and moved in the desired direction while pressing a finger on the screen (map scroll gesture), a map moved in the direction of movement of the finger and the same distance as the moved finger is displayed.”).

means for responding *[under § 112, ¶ 6, the “window or view” described at column 12, lines 44-46]* to at least one gesture call, if issued, by scaling the view associated with the event object based on receiving the two or more input points in the form of the user input.

Nomura teaches equivalent software (see, e.g., paragraph [0134]) for responding to the call by scaling the view associated with the user input based on the two or more contact points (see, e.g., FIG. 5 and paragraph [0063], “In the case that the obtaining of information near ‘Bombay’ is desired, as shown in Fig. 6 (B), the placement of a thumb and forefinger near ‘Bombay’ on the screen with the thumb and forefinger close together and the action of moving the thumb and forefinger away from each

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 28

Art Unit: 3992

other (map zoom-in gesture) are performed (see Fig. 5). When performed in this manner, a zoomed-in map image corresponding to the movement history of the thumb and forefinger is displayed. In other words, an increased level of separation of the thumb and forefinger leads to a smaller scale and a more detailed map,” and see, e.g., FIG. 9 and paragraph [0068], “When desiring a zoomed-out map for a case such as to see a map image with larger scale, or to display information for a wide range or the like, first place a thumb and forefinger apart on the map displayed on the screen as shown in Fig. 6 (A), then move the thumb and forefinger toward each other as shown in Fig. 9 (map zoom-out gesture). When performed in this manner, a zoomed-out map image corresponding to the movement history of the thumb and forefinger is displayed. In other words, an increased level of separation of the thumb and forefinger leads to a smaller scale and a more detailed map.”).

Claim 5

The method as in claim 1, wherein determining whether the event object invokes a scroll or gesture operation is based on receiving a drag user input for a certain time period.

Claim 12

The medium as in claim 8, wherein determining whether the event object invokes a scroll or gesture operation is based on receiving a drag user input for a certain time period.

Claim 19

The apparatus as in claim 15, wherein determining whether the event object invokes a scroll or gesture operation is based on receiving a drag user input for a

Claims 5, 12 and 19

Nomura further teaches that the determining is based on receiving a drag input for a period of time (see, e.g., paragraph [0010], “The movement history of fingers contacting the display area is a concept including a passage of time element and is distinguished from an operation of simply touching an input mark or the like with a finger that does not include a passage of time element,” and see, for example, paragraph [0193], “Furthermore, this is not limited to simultaneous contact of fingers, but output of search information that is a logical product for contact by fingers within a specified amount of time would also be acceptable.”).

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 29

Art Unit: 3992

certain time period.

Claim 6

The method as in claim 1, further comprising:

responding to at least one gesture call, if issued, by rotating a view associated with the event object based on receiving a plurality of input points in the form of the user input.

Claim 13

The medium as in claim 8, further comprising:

responding to at least one gesture call, if issued, by rotating a view associated with the event object based on receiving a plurality of input points in the form of the user input.

Claim 20

The apparatus as in claim 15, further comprising:

means for responding [*under § 112, ¶ 6, the “window or view” described at column 12, lines 44-46*] to at least one gesture call, if issued, by rotating a view associated with the event object based on receiving a plurality of input points in the form of the user input.

Claim 7

The method as in claim 1, wherein the device is one of: a data processing device, a portable device, a portable data

Claims 6, 13 and 20

Nomura further teaches responding to the call by rotating the view associated with the user input based on the two or more contact points (see, e.g., FIG. 10 and paragraph [0072], “If change of orientation of a map without changing scale is desired, first place a thumb and forefinger apart on the map displayed on the screen as shown in Fig. 6 (A), then hold either the thumb or forefinger in one place and rotate the other finger with the other finger as an axis (map rotation gesture) (see Fig. 10). When performed in this manner, a map image with rotation corresponding to the movement history of the thumb and forefinger is displayed.”). Nomura further teaches software equivalent to the claimed means (see, e.g., paragraph [0134]).

Claims 7, 14 and 21

Nomura further teaches that the device, data processing system or apparatus is a portable data processing device and a multi-touch device (see,

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 30

Art Unit: 3992

processing device, a multi touch device, a multi touch portable device, a wireless device, and a cell phone.

e.g., paragraph [0047], “A characteristic of the present invention is performing rotate, zoom-in, zoom-out, or scrolling of a map image through finger movement on a map image displayed on a mobile information device or electronic book that can display a map image.”).

Claim 14

The medium as in claim 8, wherein the data processing system is one of: a data processing device, a portable device, a portable data processing device, a multi touch device, a multi touch portable device, a wireless device, and a cell phone.

Claim 21

The apparatus as in claim 15, wherein the apparatus is one of: a data processing device, a portable device, a portable data processing device, a multi touch device, a multi touch portable device, a wireless device, and a cell phone.

12. Ground 5: Claims 2, 9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over

Nomura in view of Rubine and further in view of Lira.

Claim 2

The method as in claim 1, further comprising:

rubberbanding a scrolling region displayed within the window by a predetermined maximum displacement when the scrolling region exceeds a window edge based on the scroll.

Claim 9

The medium as in claim 8, further comprising:

Claims 2, 9 and 16

Nomura does not explicitly describe rubberbanding a scrolling region displayed within the window by a predetermined maximum displacement when the scrolling region exceeds a window edge based on the scroll.

However, in an analogous art, Lira teaches receiving user input on a touch-sensitive display (see, e.g., page 16, lines 4-11). The display includes a window displaying a page or document that is separated into columns (see, e.g., page 11, lines 1-17). Lira further describes scrolling a column within the window based on the user input, and snapping (i.e.,

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 31

Art Unit: 3992

rubberbanding a scrolling region displayed within the window by a predetermined maximum displacement when the scrolled region exceeds a window edge based on the scroll.

Claim 16

The apparatus as in claim 15, further comprising:

means for rubberbanding *[under § 112, ¶ 6, the “application programming interface” described at column 7, lines 48-51]* a scrolling region displayed within the window by a predetermined maximum displacement when the scrolling region exceeds a window edge based on the scroll.

rubberbanding) the column according to a predetermined maximum threshold when the scrolling exceeds a boundary (see, e.g., FIG. 14B and page 15, lines 18-31, “Referring to Fig. 14B, in another implementation, the vertical alignment control is enabled when the user lifts the pen 1200 from the display 1205. This causes the logical column 1220 to snap into alignment with the display window 1205 as the user stops scrolling. The user can adjust the snap sensitivity by, for example, setting the alignment control to snap to the nearest logical column based on a user-defined snap threshold. If the user’s scrolling does not exceed the threshold, which indicates an intention to continue to view the text column 1220, the display 1205 centers the logical column 1210 as the pen 1200 is lifted from the screen. If the user’s scrolling exceeds the threshold, which indicates an intention to move beyond the boundary of the logical column 1220, the display is snapped to the adjacent or repositioned column. In other implementations, no snapping occurs when the user’s scrolling exceeds the threshold. The snap-on-column feature can also be animated to provide an appearance of movement as the display scrolls to the correct column-viewing position.”). Lira further teaches software equivalent to the claimed means (see, e.g., page 7, lines 9-10).

A person of ordinary skill in the art could have combined the teachings of Nomura and Lira with predictable results, and would have been prompted to implement the teachings of Nomura such that a scrolling region is automatically and visually “snapped” back into alignment within the window if the scrolling exceeds a threshold, as Lira suggests (see, e.g., page 15, “The snap-on-column feature can also be animated to provide an appearance of movement as the display scrolls to the correct ... position.”). Therefore, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to rubberband a scrolling region displayed within the window by a predetermined maximum displacement when the scrolling region exceeds a window edge based on the scroll.

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 32

Art Unit: 3992

13. Ground 6: Claims 3, 4, 10, 11, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Nomura in view of Rubine and further in view of Makus.

Claim 3

The method as in claim 1, further comprising:

attaching scroll indicators to a content edge of the window.

Claim 10

The medium as in claim 8, further comprising:

attaching scroll indicators to a content edge of the view.

Claim 17

The apparatus as in claim 15, further comprising:

means for attaching [*under § 112, ¶ 6, the “application programming interface” described at column 11, lines 16-20*] scroll indicators to a content edge of the window.

Claims 3, 10 and 17

To the extent that Nomura does not explicitly describe attaching scroll indicators to a content edge of the window, Nomura does teach attaching controls to edge of the display for scrolling or “turning” pages of the content (see, e.g., Figure 3 and paragraph [0062], “The center of the screen is primarily used as an information display area and the edges of the screen are primarily used for search tag and toolbar display areas. ... Furthermore, images 240 and 242 that simulate the ‘thickness of a book’ are displayed on the right and left edges of the display area,” and see, e.g., paragraph [0089], “Here, the page-turning speed can be adjusted by adjustment of the user’s touch pressure. ... When the user finds the page he wants to read and removes his hand from the aforementioned ‘thickness of book,’ page-turning is stopped and the display screen displays this screen.”).

In an analogous art, Makus teaches attaching a scroll bar 76 (i.e., a scroll indicator) to a content edge of a window or view (see, e.g., FIG. 5 and column 8, line 59 to column 9, line 1, “Any of the third-level categories can be selected by touching the subcategory on display 28 with a finger or stylus. For example, as shown in FIG. 5, the user has selected a third-level subcategory 72 entitled ‘Airlines – International,’ causing a list 74 of international airlines to be displayed. Since more international airlines are included within list 74 than can be displayed in the available space on display screen 28 at one time, a scroll bar 76 is included for selectively accessing other international airlines in list 74 that are not currently shown.”). Makus further teaches software equivalent to the claimed

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 33

Art Unit: 3992

means (see, e.g., column 6, lines 24-25).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been prompted to attach scroll indicators to a content edge of the window or view in Nomura in order to illustrate, for example, the relative position of the view or to provide access to more content than what fits on the screen at one time, as Makus suggests. Therefore, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to attach scroll indicators to a content edge of the window or view.

Claim 4

The method as in claim 1, further comprising:

attaching scroll indicators to the window edge.

Claim 11

The medium as in claim 8, further comprising:

attaching scroll indicators to a window edge of the view.

Claim 18

The apparatus as in claim 15, further comprising:

means for attaching *[under § 112, ¶ 6, the “application programming interface” described at column 11, lines 16-20]* scroll indicators to the window edge.

Claims 4, 11 and 18

To the extent that Nomura does not explicitly describe attaching scroll indicators to the window edge or a window edge of the view, Nomura does teach attaching controls to edge of the display for scrolling or “turning” pages of the content (see, e.g., Figure 3 and paragraph [0062], “The center of the screen is primarily used as an information display area and the edges of the screen are primarily used for search tag and toolbar display areas. . . . Furthermore, images 240 and 242 that simulate the ‘thickness of a book’ are displayed on the right and left edges of the display area,” and see, e.g., paragraph [0089], “Here, the page-turning speed can be adjusted by adjustment of the user’s touch pressure. . . . When the user finds the page he wants to read and removes his hand from the aforementioned ‘thickness of book,’ page-turning is stopped and the display screen displays this screen.”).

In an analogous art, Makus teaches attaching a scroll bar 76 (i.e., a scroll indicator) to a window edge (see, e.g., FIG. 5 and column 8, line 59 to column 9, line 1, “Any of the third-level categories can be selected by touching the subcategory on display 28 with a finger or stylus. For example, as shown in FIG. 5, the user has selected a third-level subcategory 72 entitled ‘Airlines – International,’

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 34

Art Unit: 3992

causing a list 74 of international airlines to be displayed. Since more international airlines are included within list 74 than can be displayed in the available space on display screen 28 at one time, a scroll bar 76 is included for selectively accessing other international airlines in list 74 that are not currently shown.”). Makus further teaches software equivalent to the claimed means (see, e.g., column 6, lines 24-25).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been prompted to attach scroll indicators to the window edge or a window edge of the view in Nomura in order to illustrate, for example, the relative position of the view or to provide access to more content than what fits on the screen at one time, as Makus suggests. Therefore, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to attach scroll indicators to a content edge of the window or view.

Conclusion

14. In order to ensure full consideration of any amendments, affidavits or declarations, or other documents as evidence of patentability, such documents must be submitted in response to this Office action. Submissions after the next Office action, which is intended to be a final action, will be governed by the requirements of 37 CFR § 1.116 after final rejection and 37 CFR § 41.33 after appeal, which will be strictly enforced.

15. Extensions of time under 37 CFR § 1.136(a) will not be permitted in these proceedings because the provisions of 37 CFR § 1.136 apply only to “an applicant” and not to parties in a reexamination proceeding. Additionally, 35 U.S.C. § 305 requires that *ex parte* reexamination proceedings “will be conducted with special dispatch” (37 CFR § 1.550(a)). Extensions of time in *ex parte* reexamination proceedings are provided for in 37 CFR § 1.550(c).

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 35

Art Unit: 3992

The patent owner is reminded of the continuing responsibility under 37 CFR § 1.565(a) to apprise the Office of any litigation activity, or other prior or concurrent proceeding, involving the '915 patent throughout the course of this reexamination proceeding. The third party requester is also reminded of the ability to similarly apprise the Office of any such activity or proceeding throughout the course of this reexamination proceeding. See MPEP §§ 2207, 2282 and 2286.

16. All correspondence relating to this *ex parte* reexamination proceeding should be directed:

By mail to: Mail Stop *Ex Parte* Reexam
Attn: Central Reexamination Unit
Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By fax to: (571) 273-9900
Central Reexamination Unit

By hand: Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

By EFS: Registered users may submit correspondence via the EFS-Web electronic filing system at <https://efs.uspto.gov/efile/myportal/efs-registered>.

Application/Control Number: 90/012,332

Page 36

Art Unit: 3992

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Central
Reexamination Unit at telephone number (571) 272-7705.

/Michael J. Yigdall/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3992

Conferees:

/Stephen J Ralis/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3992

/Sudhanshu C Pathak/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3992

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>		
				Application Number	90/012332	
Sheet		1	of	33	Filing Date	05/30/2012
					First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
					Art Unit	3992
					Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
					Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-003US

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS						
Examiner Initials	Cite No. ¹	Document Number		Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
		Number	Kind Code ²			
	US1	3,825,730		7/23/1974	Worthington et al.	
	US2	3,846,826		11/5/1974	Mueller	
	US3	4,014,000		3/22/1977	Uno et al.	
	US4	4,146,924		3/27/1979	Birk et al.	
	US5	4,219,847		8/26/1980	Pinkney et al.	
	US6	4,290,052		9/15/1981	Eichelberger et al.	
	US7	4,305,071		12/8/1981	Bell et al.	
	US8	4,305,131		12/8/1981	Best	
	US9	4,346,376		8/24/1982	Mallos	
	US10	4,375,674		3/1/1983	Thorton	
	US11	4,396,945		8/2/1983	DiMatteo et al.	
	US12	4,435,835		3/6/1984	Sakow et al.	
	US13	4,475,122		10/2/1984	Green	
	US14	4,340,911		7/20/1982	Kato et al.	
	US15	4,484,179		11/20/1984	Kasday	
	US16	4,526,043		7/2/1985	Boie et al.	
	US17	4,542,375		9/17/1985	Alies et al.	
	US18	4,550,221		10/29/1985	Mabush	
	US19	4,561,017		12/24/1985	Greene	
	US20	4,618,989		10/21/1986	Tsakune et al.	
	US21	4,613,942		9/23/1986	Chen	
	US22	4,629,319		12/16/1986	Clarke et al.	
	US23	4,631,676		12/23/1986	Pugh	
	US24	4,654,872		3/31/1987	Hisano et al.	
	US25	4,686,374		8/11/1987	Liptay-Wagner et al.	
	US26	4,631,525		12/23/1986	Serravalle	
	US27	4,644,100		2/17/1987	Brenner et al.	
	US28	4,686,332		8/11/1987	Greanias et al.	
	US29	4,710,760		12/1/1987	Kasday	
	US30	4,746,770		5/24/1988	McAvirney	
	US31	4,755,811		7/5/1988	Slavin et al.	
	US32	4,763,356		8/9/1988	Day, Jr. et al.	
	US33	4,787,040		11/22/1988	Ames et al.	
	US34	4,806,709		2/21/1989	Evans	

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

¹EXAMINER. Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. ² Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ³ See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ⁴ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 2). ⁵ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁶ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. ⁷ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
				Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-083US
Sheet	2	of	33		

US35	4,839,634	6/13/1989	More et al.
US36	4,843,568	6/27/1989	Krueger et al.
US37	4,914,624	4/3/1990	Dunthorn
US38	4,954,967	9/4/1990	Hiroshi
US39	4,988,981	10/29/1991	Zimmerman et al.
US40	5,045,843	9/3/1991	Hansen
US41	5,072,294	12/10/1991	Engle
US42	5,073,950	12/17/1991	Colbert et al.
US43	5,105,186	4/14/1992	May
US44	5,113,041	5/12/1992	Blonder et al.
US45	5,119,079	6/2/1992	Hube et al.
US46	5,153,829	10/6/1992	Furuya et al.
US47	5,168,531	12/1/1992	Sigel
US48	5,186,629	2/16/1993	Rohen
US49	5,203,704	4/20/1993	McCloud
US50	5,227,985	7/13/1993	DeMenthon
US51	5,231,381	7/27/1993	Duwaer
US52	5,233,547	8/3/1993	Kapp
US53	5,235,509	8/10/1993	Mueller et al.
US54	5,252,951	10/12/1993	Tannenbaum et al.
US55	5,267,327	11/30/1993	Hirayama
US56	5,276,794	1/4/1994	Lamb
US57	5,293,430	3/8/1994	Shiau et al.
US58	5,297,030	3/22/1994	Vassigh et al.
US59	5,327,161	7/5/1994	Logan et al.
US60	5,335,557	8/9/1994	Yasutake
US61	5,341,133	8/23/1994	Savoy et al.
US62	5,374,787	12/20/1994	Miller et al.
US63	5,412,189	5/2/1995	Cragun
US64	5,418,760	5/23/1995	Kawashima et al.
US65	5,428,367	6/27/1995	Mikan
US66	5,459,793	10/17/1995	Naoi et al.
US67	5,463,388	10/31/1995	Boie et al.
US68	5,463,725	10/31/1995	Henckel et al.
US69	5,468,947	11/21/1995	Danielson
US70	5,479,528	12/26/1995	Speeter
US71	5,483,261	1/9/1996	Yasutake
US72	5,488,204	1/30/1996	Mead et al.
US73	5,491,495	2/13/1996	Ward et al.

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
				Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-003US
Sheet	3	of	33		

US49	5,495,566	2/27/1996	Kwatineiz
US50	5,488,204	1/30/1996	Mead et al.
US51	5,495,269	2/27/1996	Eitrod et al.
US52	5,495,566	2/27/1996	Kwatineiz
US53	5,511,148	4/23/1996	Wellner
US54	5,528,266	6/18/1996	Arbeitman et al.
US55	5,530,456	6/25/1996	Kokubo
US56	5,543,588	8/6/1996	Bisset et al.
US57	5,543,591	8/6/1996	Gillespie et al.
US58	5,552,787	9/3/1996	Schuler et al.
US59	5,559,301	9/24/1996	Bryan, Jr. et al.
US60	5,566,337	10/15/1996	Szymanski et al.
US61	5,572,239	11/5/1996	Jaeger
US62	5,581,243	12/3/1996	Quellens et al.
US63	5,583,543	12/10/1996	Takahashi et al.
US64	5,594,806	1/14/1997	Colbert
US65	5,603,053	2/11/1997	Gough et al.
US66	5,627,567	5/6/1997	Davidson
US67	5,627,959	5/6/1997	Brown et al.
US68	5,638,093	6/10/1997	Takahashi et al.
US69	5,655,094	8/5/1997	Cline et al.
US70	5,709,219	1/20/1998	Chen et al.
US71	5,712,661	1/27/1998	Jaeger
US72	5,726,685	3/10/1998	Kuth
US73	5,729,249	3/17/1998	Yasutake
US74	5,734,742	3/31/1998	Asacda et al.
US75	5,745,116	4/28/1998	Pisthva-Armond
US76	5,749,908	5/12/1998	Snell
US77	5,764,218	6/9/1998	Della Bona et al.
US78	5,805,144	9/8/1998	Scholder et al.
US79	5,805,145	9/8/1998	Jaeger
US80	5,805,146	9/8/1998	Jaeger et al.
US81	5,805,161	9/8/1998	Tiphane
US82	5,809,166	9/15/1998	Huang et al.
US83	5,818,455	10/6/1998	Stone et al.
US84	5,825,352	10/20/1998	Bisset et al.
US85	5,835,079	11/10/1998	Shieh
US86	5,838,302	11/17/1998	Kuriyama et al.
US87	5,841,428	11/24/1998	Jaeger et al.

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
				Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-003US
Sheet	4	of	33		

US98	5,844,547	12/1/1998	Minakuchi et al.
US99	5,850,358	12/15/1998	Danielson et al.
US99	5,856,822	1/5/1999	Du et al.
US99	5,859,631	1/12/1999	Bergman et al.
US99	5,867,149	2/2/1999	Jaeger
US99	5,867,158	2/2/1999	Murasaki et al.
US99	5,869,791	2/9/1999	Young
US99	5,871,251	2/16/1999	Welling et al.
US99	5,880,411	3/9/1999	Gillespie et al.
US99	5,898,434	4/27/1999	Small et al.
US99	5,917,477	6/29/1999	Lee
US99	5,923,319	7/13/1999	Bishop et al.
US99	5,936,613	8/10/1999	Jaeger et al.
US99	5,942,733	8/24/1999	Allen et al.
US99	5,943,043	8/24/1999	Furuhata et al.
US99	5,943,052	8/24/1999	Allen et al.
US99	5,949,345	9/7/1999	Beckert et al.
US99	5,956,020	9/21/1999	D'Amico et al.
US99	5,982,352	11/9/1999	Pryor
US99	5,982,355	11/9/1999	Jaeger et al.
US99	5,995,104	11/30/1999	Kataoka et al.
US99	6,002,808	12/14/1999	Freeman
US99	6,008,800	12/28/1999	Pryor
US00	6,016,355	1/18/2000	Dickinson et al.
US00	6,028,271	2/22/2000	Gillespie et al.
US00	6,029,214	2/22/2000	Dorfman et al.
US00	6,034,688	3/7/2000	Greenwood et al.
US00	6,037,882	3/14/2000	Levy
US00	6,037,937	3/14/2000	Beaton et al.
US00	6,057,540	5/2/2000	Gordon et al.
US00	6,061,063	5/9/2000	Wagner et al.
US00	6,066,075	5/1/2000	Poulton
US00	6,067,068	5/23/2000	Hussain
US00	6,100,874	8/8/2000	Schena et al.
US00	6,111,577	8/29/2000	Ziffes et al.
US00	6,121,960	9/19/2000	Carroll et al.
US00	6,141,018	10/31/2000	Beri et al.
US00	6,169,538	1/2/2001	Nowlan et al.
US00	6,175,610	1/16/2001	Peter

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (underscore). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
Sheet	5	of	33	Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-083US

US027	6,188,391 B1	2/13/2001	Seely et al.
US028	6,208,329	3/27/2001	Ballare
US029	6,219,035	4/17/2001	Skog
US030	6,222,465	4/24/2001	Kumar et al.
US031	6,239,389	5/29/2001	Allen et al.
US032	6,255,604	7/3/2001	Tokioka et al.
US033	6,256,020	7/3/2001	Pabon et al.
US034	6,259,436 B1	7/10/2001	Moon et al.
US035	6,262,717	7/17/2001	Donohue et al.
US036	6,278,441	8/1/2001	Gouzman et al.
US037	6,278,443	8/21/2001	Amro et al.
US038	6,310,610	10/30/2001	Beaton et al.
US039	6,311,162	10/30/2001	Reichwein et al.
US040	6,313,849	11/6/2001	Takase et al.
US041	6,313,853	11/6/2001	Lamontagne et al.
US042	6,323,846 B1	11/27/2001	Westerman et al.
US043	6,330,009	12/11/2001	Murasaki et al.
US044	6,337,698	1/8/2002	Keely et al.
US045	6,380,929	4/30/2002	Platt
US046	6,393,401	5/21/2002	Loudermilk et al.
US047	6,414,672	7/2/2002	Rekimoto et al.
US048	6,421,046	7/16/2002	Edgren
US049	6,421,042	7/1/2002	Omura et al.
US050	6,429,846	8/6/2002	Rosenberg et al.
US051	6,441,806	8/27/2002	Jaeger
US052	6,446,083 B1	9/3/2002	Leight et al.
US053	6,466,203	10/15/2002	Van Ee
US054	6,489,951 B1	12/3/2002	Wong et al.
US055	6,492,979	12/10/2002	Kent et al.
US056	6,498,590	12/24/2002	Diez et al.
US057	6,504,530	1/7/2003	Wilson et al.
US058	6,509,907	1/21/2003	Kuwabara
US059	6,538,635	3/25/2003	Ringot
US060	6,552,719	4/22/2003	Lui et al.
US061	6,559,869	5/6/2003	Lui et al.
US062	6,563,492	5/13/2003	Furuya
US063	6,567,102 B2	5/20/2003	Kung
US064	6,570,557 B1	5/27/2003	Westerman et al.
US065	6,597,347	7/22/2003	Yasutake

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (underscore). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
Sheet 6 of 33				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
				Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-083US

US066	6,636,242 B2	10/21/2003	Bowman-Amuah
US067	6,639,584 B1	10/28/2003	Li
US068	6,657,615	12/2/2003	Harada
US069	6,661,409 B2	12/9/2003	Demartines et al.
US070	6,664,982	12/16/2003	Bi
US071	6,664,989 B1	12/16/2003	Snyder et al.
US072	6,677,932 B1	1/13/2004	Westerman
US073	6,677,965	1/13/2004	Ullmann et al.
US074	6,683,628	1/27/2004	Nakagawa et al.
US075	6,690,365	2/10/2004	Hinckley et al.
US076	6,690,387	2/10/2004	Zimmerman et al.
US077	6,690,387 B2	2/10/2004	Zimmerman et al.
US078	6,707,449 B2	3/16/2004	Hinckley et al.
US079	6,714,221	3/30/2004	Christie et al.
US080	6,714,936 B1	3/30/2004	Nevin, III
US081	6,720,949	4/13/2004	Pryor et al.
US082	6,727,891	4/27/2004	Moriya et al.
US083	6,735,583 B1	5/11/2004	Bjarnestam et al.
US084	6,750,848	6/15/2004	Pryor
US085	6,765,557 B1	7/20/2004	Segal et al.
US086	6,778,992 B1	8/17/2004	Searle et al.
US087	6,788,815	9/7/2004	Lui et al.
US088	6,791,530	9/14/2004	Vernier et al.
US089	6,809,724 B1	10/26/2004	Shiraishi et al.
US090	6,820,237 B1	11/16/2004	Abu-Hakima et al.
US091	6,856,259	2/15/2005	Sharp
US092	6,888,532	5/3/2005	Wong et al.
US093	6,888,536	5/3/2005	Westerman et al.
US094	6,903,927	6/7/2005	Anlauff
US095	6,907,575 B2	6/14/2005	Duarte
US096	6,912,462 B2	6/28/2005	Ogaki
US097	6,920,619	7/19/2005	Milekic et al.
US098	6,938,222	8/30/2005	Hullender et al.
US099	6,958,749	10/25/2005	Matsushita et al.
US100	6,970,160	11/29/2005	Mulligan et al.
US101	6,972,749	12/6/2005	Hinckley et al.
US102	6,972,776 B2	12/6/2005	Davis et al.
US103	6,975,306 B2	12/13/2005	Hinckley et al.
US104	6,985,137 B2	1/10/2006	Kaikurama

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (underscore). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are requested to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
Sheet	7	of	33	Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-003US

US205	6,924,790	8/2/2005	Bi
US206	7,009,599 B2	3/7/2006	Pihlaja
US207	7,009,626	3/7/2006	Anwar
US208	7,015,894	3/21/2006	Morohoshi
US209	7,023,427 B2	4/4/2006	Krnus et al.
US210	7,030,860	4/18/2006	Hsu et al.
US211	7,030,861	4/18/2006	Westerman et al.
US212	7,030,862	4/18/2006	Nozaki
US213	7,046,230 B2	5/16/2006	Zadesky et al.
US214	7,061,474	6/13/2006	Hinckley et al.
US215	7,075,512 B1	7/11/2006	Fabre et al.
US216	7,081,886	7/25/2006	Nakano et al.
US217	7,102,626 B2	9/5/2006	Denny, III
US218	7,109,978	9/19/2006	Gillespie et al.
US219	7,138,983	11/21/2006	Wakai et al.
US220	7,152,210 B1	12/19/2006	Van Den Hoven et al.
US221	7,154,534 B2	12/26/2006	Seki et al.
US222	7,155,048 B2	12/26/2006	Ohara
US223	7,180,500	2/20/2007	Marvit et al.
US224	7,181,373 B2	2/20/2007	Le Cocq et al.
US225	7,184,064	2/27/2007	Zimmerman et al.
US226	7,184,796 B2	2/27/2007	Karidis et al.
US227	7,202,857	4/10/2007	Hinckley et al.
US228	7,240,291 B2	7/3/2007	Card et al.
US229	7,254,775	8/7/2007	Geaghan et al.
US230	7,256,767	8/14/2007	Wong et al.
US231	7,283,126	10/16/2007	Leung
US232	7,337,412	2/26/2008	Guido et al.
US233	7,339,580	3/4/2008	Westerman et al.
US234	7,355,620	4/8/2008	Ikehata et al.
US235	7,382,139	6/3/2008	Mackey
US236	7,411,575	8/12/2008	Hill et al.
US237	7,446,783	11/4/2008	Grossman
US238	7,450,113	11/11/2008	Gillespie et al.
US239	7,450,114	11/11/2008	Anwar
US240	7,469,381 B2	12/23/2008	Ording
US241	7,479,949	1/20/2009	Jobs et al.
US242	7,487,447 B1	2/3/2009	Jerger
US243	7,508,375	3/24/2009	Liu

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (underscore). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are requested to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
				Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-003US
Sheet	8	of	33		

US244	7,511,702	3/31/2009	Hotelling
US245	7,532,205	5/12/2009	Gillespie et al.
US246	7,576,732	8/1/2009	Liu
US247	7,598,949	10/6/2009	Han
US248	7,612,786	11/3/2009	Vale et al.
US249	7,614,019	11/3/2009	Ribikauskas et al.
US250	7,653,883 B2	1/26/2010	Hotelling et al.
US251	7,658,675	2/9/2010	Hotta
US252	7,663,607	2/16/2010	Hotelling et al.
US253	7,701,442	4/20/2010	Wong et al.
US254	7,719,523	5/18/2010	Hillis
US255	7,724,242	5/25/2010	Hillis et al.
US256	7,728,821	6/1/2010	Hillis et al.
US257	7,735,016	6/8/2010	Celik
US258	7,750,893 B2	7/6/2010	Hashimoto et al.
US259	7,786,975 B2	8/31/2010	Ording et al.
US260	7,812,826	10/12/2010	Ording et al.
US261	7,839,391	11/23/2010	Varian et al.
US262	7,843,429	11/30/2010	Pryor
US263	7,844,913	11/30/2010	Amono et al.
US264	7,844,915 B2	11/30/2010	Platzer et al.
US265	7,864,037 B2	1/4/2011	Miller
US266	7,872,640	1/18/2011	Lira
US267	7,872,652 B2	1/18/2011	Platzer et al.
US268	7,903,115 B2	3/8/2011	Platzer et al.
US269	7,907,124	3/15/2011	Hillis et al.
US270	7,907,125	3/15/2011	Weiss et al.
US271	7,917,584 B2	3/29/2011	Arthursson
US272	7,924,271	4/12/2011	Christie et al.
US273	7,956,847	6/7/2011	Christie
US274	7,995,030	8/9/2011	Jeung et al.
US275	8,051,406 B2	11/1/2011	Knight et al.
US276	RE 34,476	12/14/1993	Norwood
US277	RE 40,153	3/18/2008	Westerman et al.

U.S. PATENT APPLICATION PUBLICATIONS

Examiner Initials	Cite No. 1	Document Number	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
-------------------	------------	-----------------	-----------------------------	---	---

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER. Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (underscore). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 2). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
				Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-003US
Sheet	9	of	33		

USA 1	2001/0011998 A1	8/9/2001	Agata et al.
USA 2	2001/0028369 A1	10/11/2001	Gallo et al.
USA 3	2001/0035880	11/1/2001	Musatov et al.
USA 4	2002/0015024 A1	2/27/2002	Westerman et al.
USA 5	2002/0015064	2/7/2002	Robotham et al.
USA 6	2002/0018051	2/14/2002	Singh
USA 7	2002/0036618	3/28/2002	Wakai et al.
USA 8	2002/0056575	5/16/2002	Keely
USA 9	2002/0067346	6/6/2002	Mouton
USA 10	2002/0130839	9/19/2002	Wallace et al.
USA 11	2002/0158838	10/31/2002	Smith et al.
USA 12	2002/0191029	12/19/2002	Gillespie et al.
USA 13	2003/0016252	1/23/2003	Noy et al.
USA 14	2003/0071858 A1	4/17/2003	Morohoshi
USA 15	2003/0080946 A1	5/1/2003	Chuang
USA 16	2003/0085870	5/8/2003	Hinckley
USA 17	2003/0095135 A1	5/22/2003	Kassila et al.
USA 18	2003/0095697 A1	5/22/2003	Wood et al.
USA 19	2003/0159567	8/28/2003	Subotnick
USA 20	2003/0167119	9/4/2003	Cherveny
USA 21	2003/0184525	10/2/2003	Tsai
USA 22	2003/0231168	12/18/2003	Bell et al.
USA 23	2004/0161132	8/19/2004	Cohen et al.
USA 24	2003/0184525 A1	10/2/2003	Tsai
USA 25	2003/0184593	10/2/2003	Dunlop
USA 26	2003/0193481	10/16/2003	Sokolsky
USA 27	2003/0197689 A1	10/23/2003	May
USA 28	2004/0012572	1/22/2004	Sowden et al.
USA 29	2004/0021694	2/5/2004	Doar
USA 30	2004/0027398 A1	2/12/2004	Jaeger
USA 31	2004/0034801	2/19/2004	Jaeger
USA 32	2004/0056837	3/25/2004	Koga et al.
USA 33	2004/0080541 A1	4/29/2004	Saiga et al.
USA 34	2004/0095387 A1	5/20/2004	Demsey et al.
USA 35	2004/0108995	6/10/2004	Hoshino et al.
USA 36	2004/0119700	6/24/2004	Ichikawa
USA 37	2004/0155888 A1	8/12/2004	Padgitt et al.
USA 38	2004/0160419 A1	8/19/2004	Padgitt
USA 39	2004/0160420	8/19/2004	Baharav et al.

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (underscore). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
Sheet	10	of	33	Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-003US

USA 40	2004/0167919	8/26/2004	Sterling et al.
USA 41	2004/0189720	9/30/2004	Wilson et al.
USA 42	2004/0263486 A1	12/30/2004	Seni
USA 43	2005/0008343 A1	1/13/2005	Frohlich et al.
USA 44	2005/0012723 A1	1/20/2005	Pallakoff
USA 45	2005/0017957 A1	1/27/2005	Yi
USA 46	2005/0024341 A1	2/3/2005	Gillespie et al.
USA 47	2005/0030255	2/10/2005	Chiu et al.
USA 48	2005/0041385	2/24/2005	Kikinis et al.
USA 49	2005/0046621	3/3/2005	Kaikuranta
USA 50	2005/0052427	3/10/2005	Wu et al.
USA 51	2005/0057524	3/17/2005	Hill et al.
USA 52	2005/0088418	4/28/2005	Van Nguyen
USA 53	2005/0093868	5/5/2005	Hinckley
USA 54	2005/0110769	5/26/2005	DaCosta et al.
USA 55	2005/0114788	5/26/2005	Fabrinus
USA 56	2005/0122806 A1	6/6/2005	Arakawa et al.
USA 57	2005/0145807 A1	7/7/2005	Lapstun et al.
USA 58	2005/0162402 A1	7/28/2005	Watanachote
USA 59	2005/0168353	8/4/2005	Dement et al.
USA 60	2005/0168488 A1	8/4/2005	Montague
USA 61	2005/0190144	9/1/2005	Kong
USA 62	2005/0193351	9/1/2005	Hueviala
USA 63	2005/0195154 A1	9/8/2005	Robbins et al.
USA 64	2005/0198588 A1	9/8/2005	Lin et al.
USA 65	2005/0212754	9/29/2005	Marvit et al.
USA 66	2005/0237308 A1	10/27/2005	Autio et al.
USA 67	2005/0268247 A1	12/1/2005	Baneth
USA 68	2005/0270269 A1	12/8/2005	Tokkonen
USA 69	2005/0275618 A1	12/15/2005	Juh et al.
USA 70	2005/0275636 A1	12/15/2005	Dehlin et al.
USA 71	2006/0001650	1/5/2006	Robbins et al.
USA 72	2006/0001652	1/5/2006	Chiu et al.
USA 73	2006/0007174	1/12/2006	Shen
USA 74	2006/0007176	1/12/2006	Shen
USA 75	2006/0007178	1/12/2006	Davis
USA 76	2006/0010400 A1	1/12/2005	Dehlin et al.
USA 77	2006/0022955	2/2/2006	Kennedy
USA 78	2006/0022956	2/2/2006	Lengeling et al.

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (underscore). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
Sheet	11	of	33	Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-003US

USA 70	2006/0025218 A1	2/2/2006	Hotta
USA 80	2006/0026521 A1	2/2/2006	Hotelling et al.
USA 81	2006/0026536 A1	2/2/2006	Hotelling et al.
USA 82	2006/0028428	2/9/2006	Dai et al.
USA 83	2006/0031786	2/9/2006	Hillis et al.
USA 84	2006/0033751	2/16/2006	Keely et al.
USA 85	2006/0036955 A1	2/16/2006	Baudisch et al.
USA 86	2006/0038796	2/23/2006	Hinckley et al.
USA 87	2006/0044259	3/2/2006	Hotelling et al.
USA 88	2006/0047386	3/2/2006	Kanevsky et al.
USA 89	2006/0048073 A1	3/2/2006	Jarrett et al.
USA 90	2006/0049920	3/9/2006	Sadler et al.
USA 91	2006/0055662	3/16/2006	Rimas-Ribikauskas et al.
USA 92	2006/0055669 A1	3/16/2006	Das
USA 93	2006/0061551 A1	3/23/2006	Fateh
USA 94	2006/0066588 A1	3/30/2006	Lyon et al.
USA 95	2006/0077544 A1	4/13/2006	Stark
USA 96	2006/0082549 A1	4/20/2006	Hoshino et al.
USA 97	2006/0084852	4/20/2006	Mason et al.
USA 98	2006/0092142	5/4/2006	Gillespie et al.
USA 99	2006/0094502 A1	5/4/2006	Katayama et al.
USA 100	2006/0097991 A1	5/11/2006	Hotelling et al.
USA 101	2006/0101354	5/11/2006	Hashimoto
USA 102	2006/0125799 A1	6/15/2006	Hillis et al.
USA 103	2006/0125803 A1	6/15/2006	Westerman et al.
USA 104	2006/0132460	6/22/2006	Kolmykov-Zotov et al.
USA 105	2006/0156249 A1	7/13/2006	Blythe et al.
USA 106	2006/0161871 A1	7/20/2006	Hotelling et al.
USA 107	2006/0164399	7/27/2006	Cheston et al.
USA 108	2006/0181510 A1	8/17/2006	Faith
USA 109	2006/0181519	8/17/2006	Vernier et al.
USA 110	2006/0187215 A1	8/24/2006	Rosenberg et al.
USA 111	2006/0190833	8/24/2006	SanGiovanni et al.
USA 112	2006/0197753 A1	9/7/2006	Hotelling
USA 113	2006/0202953	9/14/2006	Pryor et al.
USA 114	2006/0210958	9/21/2006	Rimas-Ribikauskas et al.
USA 115	2006/0227116	10/12/2006	Zotov et al.
USA 116	2006/0236263	10/19/2006	Bathiche et al.
USA 117	2006/0238495 A1	10/26/2006	Davis

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (underscore). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	YIGDALL, MICHAEL J
Sheet	12	of	33	Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-003US

USA 118	2006/0250377	11/9/2006	Zadesky et al.
USA 119	2006/0253793	11/9/2006	Zhai et al.
USA 120	2006/0267959	11/30/2006	Goto et al.
USA 121	2006/0288313	12/21/2006	Hillis
USA 122	2006/0294472	12/28/2006	Cheng et al.
USA 123	2007/0008066 A1	1/11/2007	Fukuda
USA 124	2007/0013697 A1	1/18/2007	Gilboa
USA 125	2007/0024646 A1	2/1/2007	Saarninen et al.
USA 126	2007/0028191	2/1/2007	Tsuji
USA 127	2007/0035513	2/15/2007	Sherrard et al.
USA 128	2007/0036346 A1	2/15/2007	Kwon
USA 129	2007/0046646	3/1/2007	Kwon et al.
USA 130	2007/0050469 A1	3/1/2007	Gupta et al.
USA 131	2007/0055967	3/8/2007	Poff et al.
USA 132	2007/0061126 A1	3/15/2007	Russo et al.
USA 133	2007/0064004 A1	3/22/2007	Bonner et al.
USA 134	2007/0064869	6/22/2007	Hun
USA 135	2007/0067745 A1	3/22/2007	Choi et al.
USA 136	2007/0109275 A1	5/17/2007	Chuang
USA 137	2007/0109279	5/17/2007	Sigona
USA 138	2007/0120835 A1	5/31/2007	Sato
USA 139	2007/0132789	6/14/2007	Ording et al.
USA 140	2007/0146337	6/28/2007	Ording et al.
USA 141	2007/0149252 A1	6/28/2007	Jobs et al.
USA 142	2007/0150826 A1	6/28/2007	Anzures et al.
USA 143	2007/0150842 A1	6/28/2007	Chaudhri et al.
USA 144	2007/0152976 A1	7/5/2007	Townsend et al.
USA 145	2007/0152978 A1	7/5/2007	Kocienda et al.
USA 146	2007/0152979 A1	7/5/2007	Jobs et al.
USA 147	2007/0152984	7/5/2007	Ording et al.
USA 148	2007/0155434 A1	7/5/2007	Jobs et al.
USA 149	2007/0156364 A1	7/5/2007	Rothkopf
USA 150	2007/0157089 A1	7/5/2007	van Os et al.
USA 151	2007/0157094	7/5/2007	Van Os et al.
USA 152	2007/0214462 A1	9/13/2007	Boillot
USA 153	2007/0236475	10/11/2007	Wherry
USA 154	2007/0242056 A1	10/18/2007	Engelhardt et al.
USA 155	2007/0247435 A1	10/25/2007	Benko et al.
USA 156	2007/0252821	11/1/2007	Holleman et al.

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
				Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-003US
Sheet	13	of	33		

USA 157	2007/0256026	11/1/2007	Klassen et al.
USA 158	2007/0257891	11/8/2007	Esenther et al.
USA 159	2007/0262964 A1	11/15/2007	Zotov et al.
USA 160	2008/0001923 A1	1/3/2008	Hall et al.
USA 161	2008/0028327 A1	1/31/2008	Hirota et al.
USA 162	2008/0043020 A1	2/21/2008	Snow et al.
USA 163	2008/0046425	2/21/2008	Perski
USA 164	2008/0048978	7/1/2008	Ording et al.
USA 165	2008/0005703	1/1/2008	Radivojevic et al.
USA 166	2008/0052945	3/6/2008	Matas et al.
USA 167	2008/0062207	3/13/2008	Park
USA 168	2008/0084400	4/10/2008	Rosenberg
USA 169	2008/0088602	4/17/2008	Hotelling
USA 170	2008/0094368 A1	4/24/2008	Ording et al.
USA 171	2008/0094369	4/24/2008	Ganatra et al.
USA 172	2008/0094370	4/24/2008	Ording et al.
USA 173	2008/0104544 A1	5/1/2008	Collins et al.
USA 174	2008/0120576 A1	5/22/2008	Kariathungal et al.
USA 175	2008/0158191 A1	7/3/2008	Yang et al.
USA 176	2008/0165132 A1	7/10/2008	Weiss et al.
USA 177	2008/0168404	7/10/2008	Ording
USA 178	2008/0180404	7/31/2008	Han et al.
USA 179	2008/0204426	8/28/2008	Hotelling et al.
USA 180	2008/0218489 A1	9/11/2008	Park et al.
USA 181	2008/0231610	9/1/2008	Hotelling et al.
USA 182	2008/0284925	11/20/2008	Han
USA 183	2009/0049388 A1	2/19/2009	Taib et al.
USA 184	2009/0058830 A1	3/5/2009	Herz et al.
USA 185	2009/0207140 A1	8/20/2009	Hansson
USA 186	2009/0211891 A1	8/27/2009	Lai et al.
USA 187	2009/0225037 A1	9/10/2009	Williamson et al.
USA 188	2009/0225038 A1	9/10/2009	Bolsinga et al.
USA 189	2009/0225039 A1	9/10/2009	Williamson et al.
USA 190	2009/0244020 A1	10/1/2009	Sjolin
USA 191	2009/0284479 A1	11/19/2009	Dennis et al.
USA 192	2009/0307623	12/10/2009	Agarwala et al.
USA 193	2009/0322699 A1	12/31/2009	Hansson
USA 194	2009/0322700 A1	12/31/2009	D'Souza et al.
USA 195	2010/0020221 A1	1/28/2010	Tupman et al.

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (underscore). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>		
				Application Number	90/012332	
Sheet		14	of	33	Filing Date	05/30/2012
					First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
					Art Unit	3992
					Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
					Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/120716-003US

USA 186	2010/0146458 A1	6/10/2010	Wadekar
USA 187	2010/0149122 A1	6/17/2010	Lin
USA 188	2010/0172624	7/8/2010	Watts
USA 189	2010/0182248 A1	7/22/2010	Chen
USA 200	2010/0235118 A1	9/16/2010	Moore et al.
USA 201	2011/0037714 A1	2/17/2011	Seo et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials	Cite No.	Foreign Patent Document	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T ⁶
		Country Code ² - Number ³ - Kind Code ⁴ (if known)				
FP1		AU 2007 283771	4/3/2007	Apple Inc.		
FP2		CN 1695105 A	11/9/2005	Koninkl Philips Elec. NV		
FP3		CN 1754141	3/1/2006	Mulligan et al.		
FP4		CN 1841284 A	10/4/2006	Shanghai Techhub Corp		
FP5		DE 10 2008 052 485	4/22/2010	Hohne		
FP6		EP 0 450196	4/2/1990	Gerissen et al.		
FP7		EP 0 609 021	1/19/1994	Boie et al.		
FP8		EP 0 626 635 A2	11/30/1994	Firstperson, Inc.		
FP9		EP 0 635 779 A1	1/25/1995	Xerox Corporation		
FP10		EP 0 701 220 A1	3/13/1996	Adobe Systems Inc.		
FP11		EP 0 725 331	7/8/1996	Tac		
FP12		EP 0 827 064	8/19/1997	Shieh		
FP13		EP 0 827 094	8/28/1997	Nielsen		
FP14		EP 0 880 091 A2	11/25/1998	Nokia Mobile Phones Ltd.		
FP15		EP 0 944218	9/22/1999	Ringot		
FP16		EP 1 517 228	3/1/2005	Hill		
FP17		EP 1 964 022 B1	3/10/2010	Apple Inc.		
FP18		EP 2 102 738	7/17/2008	Platzer et al.		
FP19		EP 2 141 576 A2	1/6/2010	Sony Corporation		
FP20		EP 2 184 673 A1	5/12/2010	Sony Corporation		
FP21		EP 2 69364	11/19/1987	Reed		

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

¹EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. ² Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ³ See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ⁴ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). ⁵ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁶ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. ⁷ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
Sheet	15	of	33	Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-003US

EP22	EP 622722	11/2/1994	Rank Xerox Limited	
EP23	GB 2 319 591	5/27/1998	Sato et al.	
EP24	GB 2327558	1/27/1999	Charlier	
EP25	GB 2 347 200	8/30/2000	Lowe	
EP26	GB 2 351 215	11/17/1999	Kusuda et al.	
EP27	GB 2 351 639 A	1/3/2001	IBM Corporation	
EP28	JP 1-142818	6/5/1989	Yoshinori et al.	
EP29	JP 02 140822	5/30/1990	NEC Corporation	
EP30	JP 03 271976	12/3/1990	Toshiba Corporation	
EP31	JP 05 298002	11/12/1993	Oki Electric Ind Co Ltd	X
EP32	JP 4542637	9/15/2010	Yasuhiro et al.	
EP33	JP 63167923	7/12/1988	Yamamoto	
EP34	JP 7-230352	8/29/1995	Hitachi	X
EP35	JP 8-076926	3/22/1996	Tsuneo	X
EP36	JP 9-231004	9/5/1997	Michiaki	X
EP37	JP 10-141974	5/29/1998	Chiharu	X
EP38	JP 6161661	6/10/1994	Norio et al.	X
EP39	JP 1999/126149	5/11/1999	Miwa	X
EP40	JP 2000/163031	6/16/2000	Yasuhiro et al.	X
EP41	JP 2000/163444	6/16/2000	Yasuhiro	X
EP42	JP 2000/163193	6/16/2000	Yasuhiro	X
EP43	JP 2000/163443	6/16/2000	Yasuhiro	X
EP44	JP 2000/322495	11/24/2000	Toshiyuki	X
EP45	JP 2000/501526	2/8/2000	Ure	X
EP46	JP 2000/222130	8/11/2000	Minako et al.	X
EP47	JP 2000/137555	5/16/2000	Shinichiro et al.	X
EP48	JP 2000/137564	5/16/2000	Miwa et al.	X
EP49	JP 2001/ 290585	10/19/2001	Canon KK	X
EP50	JP 2002/254614	9/11/2002	Yasushi	X
EP51	JP 2002/342033	11/29/2002	Rekimoto	X
EP52	JP 2003/330613	11/21/2003	Kantaki	X
EP53	JP 2005/056286	3/3/2005	NEC Engineering Ltd.	X
EP54	JP 2005 082086	3/31/2005	Fujitsu Ten Ltd.	X
EP55	JP 2005/234199	9/2/2005	Daigo	X
EP56	JP 2005/234291	2/9/2005	Takeshi	X
EP57	JP 2005 242669	9/8/2005	Seiko Epson Corp	X
EP58	JP 2008 146165	6/26/2008	Sony Corporation	X
EP59	KR 2004/0071767	8/12/2004	Kim et al.	X
EP60	KR 2002/0095992	12/28/2002	Ryu	X

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. * Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). * See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. * Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). * For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. * Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. * Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
Sheet	16	of	33	Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-003US

FP61	WO 94/29788	12/22/1994	Staggs et al.
FP62	WO 98/06054	2/12/1998	Huffman et al.
FP63	WO 1998/07112	2/19/1998	Gill
FP64	WO 1999/28812	6/10/1999	Northern Telecom Ltd.
FP65	WO 1999/57630	11/11/1999	Scientific Atlanta
FP66	WO 99/40562	8/12/1999	Lev et al.
FP67	WO 01/29702 A2	4/26/2001	Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V.
FP68	WO 01/77792 A2	10/18/2001	RSA Security Inc.
FP69	WO 02/01338 A1	1/3/2002	Intel Corporation
FP70	WO 02/08881 A	1/31/2002	Qinetiq Limited
FP71	WO 02/13176 A2	2/14/2002	Zframe Inc
FP72	WO 02/21338 A2	3/14/2002	Oracle Corporation
FP73	WO 03/060622 A2	7/24/2003	Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V.
FP74	WO 03/081458 A1	10/2/2003	America Online Inc
FP75	WO 2003/054681	7/3/2003	Pihlaja
FP76	WO 04/001360 A1	12/31/2003	Nokia Corporation
FP77	WO 05/052773 A2	6/9/2005	Nokia Corp.
FP78	WO 2006/003591 A2	1/12/2006	Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V.
FP79	WO 06/020305 A2	2/23/2006	Apple Computer
FP80	WO 06/045530 A2	5/4/2006	Novo Nordisk A/S
FP81	WO 2006/094308 A2	9/8/2006	Apple Computer, Inc.
FP82	WO 2006/128248 A1	12/7/2006	National ICT Australia Ltd
FP83	WO 2007/037806 A1	4/5/2007	Apple Computer, Inc.
FP84	WO 2007/067858	11/29/2006	Apple Computer, Inc.
FP85	WO 2007/079425 A2	7/12/2007	Apple Computer, Inc.
FP86	WO 2007/089766 A2	8/9/2007	Apple Computer, Inc.
FP87	WO 2008/030563	3/13/2008	Ligtenberg
FP88	WO 2008/030879 A2	3/13/2008	Apple Inc.
FP89	WO 2008/030880 A1	3/13/2008	Apple Inc.

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (redlines). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	YIGDALL, MICHAEL J
Sheet	17	of	33	Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-003US

	EP96	WO 2008/085846 A2	7/17/2008	Apple, Inc.		
	EP91	WO 2006/094308 A2	9/8/2006	Apple Computer, Inc.		
	EP92	WO 2008/085855 A1	7/17/2008	Apple, Inc.		
	EP93	WO 2008/085871 A1	7/17/2008	Apple, Inc.		
	EP94	WO 2008/085877	7/17/2008	Platzer et al.		
	EP95	WO 2008/086218	7/17/2008	Ordning		
	EP96	WO 2009/018314 A2	2/3/2009	Perceptive Pixel, Inc.		
	EP97	WO 2009/111189 A1	9/11/2009	Apple Inc.		
	EP98	WO 2009/111458 A1	9/11/2009	Apple Inc.		
	EP99	WO 2009/111460 A1	9/11/2009	Apple Inc.		
	EP100	WO 2009/111469 A1	9/11/2009	Apple Inc.		
	EP101	WO 2011/045805	4/21/2011	Prasenjit et al		

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published
	NP1.1	Allen, J., "Override the GNU C library--painlessly," ibm.com, April 2002, 4 pages.
	NP1.2	Anonymous, "Firegestures: Firefox Extension," Internet Article, October 27, 2009, 2 pages, http://xuldev.org/firegestures/ .
	NP1.3	Anonymous, "Firegestures: Changelog," Internet Article, October 28, 2009, 8 pages, http://xuldev.org/firegestures/changelog.php .
	NP1.4	Anonymous, "Firegestures Version History," Internet Article, October 28, 2009, 6 pages, http://addons.mozilla.org/en-US/firefox/addons/version/6366 .
	NP1.5	BENKO et al., "Precise Selection Techniques for Multi-Touch Screens," CHI 2006, April 22-27 2006, 10 pages.
	NP1.6	Chartier, D., "Apple releases iOS 4.3 beta for developers," Macworld.com, January 12, 2011, http://www.macworld.com/article/1157114/ios_4_3.html , 7 pages.
	NP1.7	Certificate of Examination dated May 7, 2012, received in Australian Patent No. 2011101154, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 1 page (Beaver)

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER. Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (underscore). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
Sheet	18	of	33	Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-003US

NPL 8	Certificate of Examination dated May 8, 2012, received in Australian Patent No. 2011101157, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 1 page (Beaver)
NPL 9	Certificate of Examination dated May 8, 2012, received in Australian Patent No. 2011101156, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 1 page (Beaver)
NPL 10	Certificate of Examination dated May 8, 2012, received in Australian Patent No. 2011101155, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 1 page (Beaver)
NPL 11	Decision to Grant dated July 27, 2012, received in Japanese Patent Application No. 2009080377, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 4 pages (Beaver)
NPL 12	Decision to Grant dated March 23, 2012, received in Japanese Patent Application No. 2010-502358, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,299, 5 pages (Williamson)
NPL 13	Decision to Grant and European Patent dated July 26, 2012, 1 page.
NPL 14	European Search Report dated July 9, 2012, received in European Patent Application No. 12156395.1, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 8 pages (Beaver)
NPL 15	European Search Report dated January 13, 2012, received in European Patent Application No. 11184226.6, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,299, 7 pages (Williamson)
NPL 16	European Search Report dated January 13, 2012, received in European Patent Application No. 11184224.1, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,299, 7 pages (Williamson)
NPL 17	European Search Report dated November 29, 2011, received in European Patent Application No. 11182954.5, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 11/956,969, 6 pages (Ording)
NPL 18	European Search Report dated November 30, 2011, received in European Patent Application No. 11182959.4, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 11/956,969, 7 pages (Ording)
NPL 19	European Search Report dated April 21, 2009, received in European Application No. 09154313.2, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 6 pages (Platzer)
NPL 20	European Search Report dated November 23, 2011, received in European Patent Application No. 11184167.2, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 6 pages (Beaver)
NPL 21	European Search Report dated November 24, 2011, received in European Patent Application No. 11184169.8, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 6 pages (Beaver)
NPL 22	European Search Report dated November 18, 2011, received in European Patent Application No. 11184170.6, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 6 pages (Beaver)
NPL 23	European Search Report dated November 18, 2011, received in European Patent Application No. 11184172.2, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 6 pages (Beaver)

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (udcnum). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 2). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
Sheet	19	of	33	Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-003US

NPI 54	European Search Report dated November 30, 2011, received in European Patent Application No. 11184409.8, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318; 6 pages (Beaver).
NPI 25	Examiner's Amendment dated October 29, 2008, received in U.S. Application No. 11/956,969, 13 pages (Ording).
NPI 26	Newman, "A System for Interactive Graphical Programming," AFIPS '68 (Spring) Proceedings of the April 30-May 2, 1968, spring joint computer conference pp. 47-54
NPI 27	Examiner's Report dated February 19, 2009, received in Australian Patent Application No. 2008201540, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 11/956,969, 2 pages (Ording).
NPI 28	Examiner's Report dated July 15, 2009, received in Australian Patent Application No. 2008201540, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 11/956,969, 2 pages (Ording).
NPI 29	Examiner's Report dated July 1, 2008, received in Australian Patent Application No. 2008100283, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 11/956,969, 2 pages (Ording).
NPI 30	Ferlines, et al., "DTLens: Multi-user Tabletop Spatial Data Exploration," UIST'05, October 2005, Seattle Washington, USA, 6 pages.
NPI 31	Ferlines, et al., "Glimpse: A Novel Input Model for Multi-Level Devices," CHI 2005, April 2-7, 2005, Portland, Oregon, 6 pages.
NPI 32	Grant of Innovation Patent dated March 2, 2012 received in Australian Patent Application No. 2012100050, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 11/956,969, 3 pages (Ording).
NPI 33	Grant for Invention Patent dated March 22, 2011, received in Chinese Patent Application No. ZL200910118596.4, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 3 pages (Beaver).
NPI 34	Holzner, "Built-in JavaScript Objects," JavaScript Complete, 1998, McGraw/Hill, New York, pages 71-79.
NPI 35	IBM, "Scroll Control Box," IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, Vol. 38, No. 04, April 1993, pages 399-403.
NPI 36	Invitation to Pay Additional Fees dated March 12, 2012, received in International Application No. PCT/US2011/065859, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 13/077,925, 10 pages (Shaffer).
NPI 37	Invitation to Pay Additional Fees dated July 18, 2008, received in International Application No. PCT/US2008/050292, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 11/956,969, 4 pages (Ording).
NPI 38	International Search Report and Written Opinion dated June 1, 2012, received in International Application No. PCT/US2011/065859, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 13/077,925, 22 pages (Shaffer).
NPI 39	International Search Report and Written Opinion dated September 19, 2008, received in International Application No. PCT/US2008/050292, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 11/956,969, 25 pages (Ording).

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. * Applicant's unique citation designation number (udcnum). * See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. * Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). * For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. * Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 10 if possible. * Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
Sheet	20	of	33	Attorney Docket Number	P4893USREX1/129716-083US

		Invitation to Pay Additional Fees dated July 13, 2010, received in International Application No. PCT/US2010/027118, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/566,660, 7 pages (Moore).
	NPL 60	
		International Search Report and Written Opinion dated October 5, 2010, received in International Application No. PCT/US2010/027118, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/566,660, 19 pages (Moore).
	NPL 61	
		International Preliminary Report on Patentability dated September 16, 2010, received in International Application No. PCT/US2009/035856, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,067, 8 pages (Williamson)
	NPL 62	
		International Preliminary Report on Patentability dated September 16, 2010, received in International Application No. PCT/US2009/035874, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,299, 8 pages (Williamson)
	NPL 63	
		International Preliminary Report on Patentability dated September 20, 2011, received in International Application No. PCT/US2010/027118, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/566,660, 10 pages (Moore).
	NPL 64	
		European Search Report dated December 7, 2011, received in European Patent Application No. 11184186.2, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/566,660, 6 pages (Moore).
	NPL 65	
		International Search Report and Written Opinion dated May 20, 2011, received in International Application No. PCT/US2011/022516, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/789,695, 16 pages (Moore).
	NPL 66	
		International Search Report and Written Opinion dated December 13, 2011, received in International Patent Application No. PCT/US2011/039583, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/892,848, 12 pages (Dale).
	NPL 67	
		International Search Report and Written Opinion dated November 11, 2009, received in International Application No. PCT/US2009/035874, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,299, 7 pages (Williamson)
	NPL 68	
		International Search Report and Written Opinion dated July 3, 2009, received in International Application No. PCT/US2009/035856, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,067, 15 pages (Williamson)
	NPL 69	
		International Search Report dated April 16, 2009, received in International Application No. PCT/US2009/034772, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 3 pages (Platzer).
	NPL 70	
		Japanese patent issued May 20, 2011, for Japanese patent application no. 2009 544996, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 11/956,969, 1 page (Ording).
	NPL 71	
		KARLSON et al., "AppLens and LaunchTile: Two Designs for One-handed Thumb Use on Small Devices," CHI 2005 April 2-7, 2005, Portland, OR, 10 pages.
	NPL 72	
		KARLSON et al., "AppLens and LaunchTile: Two Designs for One-handed Thumb Use on Small Devices," PowerPoint presentation, CHI 2005 April 2-7, 2005, Portland, OR, 17 pages
	NPL 73	

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (redline). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST 10 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
Sheet	21	of	33	Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-003US

NPL 54	KARLSON et al., "AppLens and LaunchTile: Two Designs for One-Handed Thumb Use on Small Devices," HCL Symposium 2004
NPL 55	KennyTM, "UIGestureRecognizer," from iPhone Development Wiki, October 31, 2009, 3 pages, http://iphonedevwiki.net/index.php?title=UIGestureRecognizer&oldid=319 http://iphonedevwiki.net/index.php?title=UIGestureRecognizer&action=history .
NPL 56	Mertz et al., "The influence of design techniques on user interfaces: the DigiStrips experiment for air traffic control," Proceeding of HCI-Aero 2000 International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction in Aeronautics, Toulouse, France, 6 pages.
NPL 57	Microsoft Word 2003 Screen Shots, Microsoft 2003, 2 pages.
NPL 58	MILLER, D., "Personal/Java Application Environment," June 8, 1999, http://java.sun.com/products/personaljava/touchable/ , 12 pages.
NPL 59	Millhollon et al., "Microsoft Office Word 2003 Inside Out," 2003, Microsoft Press, Redmond, Washington, 7 pages.
NPL 60	Rubinc, Combining Gestures and Direct Manipulation, CHI-92, 1992
NPL 61	Laurel, The Art of Human-Computer Interface Design, 1990
NPL 62	Notice of Allowance dated May 24, 2012, received in U.S. Application No. 12/566,660, 10 pages (Moore)
NPL 63	Notice of Allowance dated May 17, 2012, received in U.S. Application No. 12/270,805, 26 pages (Ording)
NPL 64	Notice of Allowance dated July 20, 2010, received in U.S. Application No. 11/620,717 (Platzer)
NPL 65	Westermann et al., "MultiTouch: A New Tactile 2-D Gesture Interface for Human-Computer Interaction," Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 45th Annual Meeting- 2001, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA pp. 632-636
NPL 66	Faconti et al., "The Input Model of Standard Graphics Systems Revisited by Formal Specification," EUROGRAPHICS '92, 1992, Volume 11, No. 3 pp. C-237-C-251
NPL 67	Notice of Allowance dated March 6, 2012, received in U.S. Application No. 12/042,237, 16 pages (Bolsinga).
NPL 68	Office Action dated June 18, 2012, received in U.S. Application No. 13/464,800, 10 pages (Bolsinga)
NPL 69	Wellner, "The DigitalDesk Calculator: Tangible Manipulation on a Desk Top Display," Proceedings of ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST '91) (Available online at http://www.idemployee.id.tue.nl/g.w.m.rauteberg/publications/wellner-91.pdf , last visited Apr. 22, 2010) pp. 27-33
NPL 70	Office Action dated July 24, 2012, received in U.S. Application No. 13/221,837, 25 pages (Blumenberg)
NPL 71	Office Action dated June 13, 2012, received in Chinese Patent Application No. 200980000014.0, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,067, 6 pages (Williamson)

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (if desired). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 2). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	YIGDALL, MICHAEL J
Sheet	22	of	33	Attorney Docket Number	P4893USREX1/129716-003US

NPI.72	Final Office Action dated July 9, 2012, received in U.S. Application No. 13/251,121, 30 pages (Blumenberg)
NPI.73	Office Action dated June 6, 2012, received in Chinese Patent Application No. 200980000013.6, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,299, 7 pages (Williamson)
NPI.74	Office Action dated June 6, 2012, received in Chinese Patent Application No. 200880001855.9, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 11/620,715, (Platzer)
NPI.75	Final Office Action dated July 5, 2012, received in U.S. Application No. 13/251,150, 36 pages (Blumenberg)
NPI.76	Final Office Action dated June 20, 2012, received in U.S. Application No. 13/251,146, 28 pages (Blumenberg)
NPI.77	Final Office Action dated March 12, 2012, received in U.S. Application No. 11/620,727, 21 pages (Blumenberg)
NPI.78	Office Action dated May 3, 2012, received in U.S. Application No. 12/042,299, 14 pages (Williamson)
NPI.79	Office Action dated June 9, 2010, received in U.S. Application No. 11/620,709 (Platzer)
NPI.80	Office Action dated December 29, 2009, received in U.S. Application No. 11/620,717 (Platzer)
NPI.81	Office Action dated June 22, 2011, received in Canadian Patent Application No. 2,658,177, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 11/956,969, 2 pages (Ording)
NPI.82	Office Action dated November 23, 2010, received in Chinese Patent Application No. 200880000019.9, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 11/956,969, 13 pages (Ording)
NPI.83	Office Action dated January 5, 2012, received in Chinese Patent Application No. 200880000019.9, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 11/956,969, 14 pages (Ording)
NPI.84	Office Action dated October 29, 2010, received in German Patent Application No. DE 11 2008 000 144.8-53, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 11/956,969, 8 pages (Ording)
NPI.85	Office Action dated December 29, 2009, received in European Application No. 08 713 567.9, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 11/956,969, 5 pages (Ording)
NPI.86	Office Action dated November 8, 2010, received in Japanese Patent Application No. 2009-544996, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 11/956,969, 6 pages (Ording)
NPI.87	Office Action dated October 11, 2011, received in U.S. Application No. 12/270,803, 33 pages (Ording)
NPI.88	Office Action dated October 11, 2011, received in U.S. Application No. 12/270,807, 32 pages (Ording)
NPI.89	Office Action dated October 13, 2011, received in U.S. Application No. 12/270,812, 18 pages (Ording)

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (if desired). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are requested to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
Sheet	23	of	33	Attorney Docket Number	P4893USREXP129716-083US

NPL01	Office Action dated October 11, 2011, received in U.S. Application No. 12/270,815, 18 pages (Ordling).
NPL02	Office Action dated December 9, 2011, received in U.S. Application No. 12/566,660, 14 pages (Moore).
NPL03	Office Action dated February 16, 2011, received in U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 26 pages (Beaver).
NPL04	Final Office Action dated September 15, 2011, received in U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 46 pages (Beaver).
NPL05	Office Action dated February 25, 2011, received in Australian Patent Application No. 2009200493, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 3 pages (Beaver).
NPL06	Office Action dated August 9, 2011, received in Australian Patent Application No. 2009200493, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 2 pages (Beaver).
NPL07	Office Action dated February 22, 2012, received in Australian Patent Application No. 2011205170, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 3 pages (Beaver).
NPL08	Office Action dated December 13, 2011, received in Australian Patent Application No. 2011101154, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 4 pages (Beaver).
NPL09	Office Action dated December 13, 2011, received in Australian Patent Application No. 2011101157, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 4 pages (Beaver).
NPL10	Office Action dated December 13, 2011, received in Australian Patent Application No. 2011101156, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 3 pages (Beaver).
NPL10a	Office Action dated December 13, 2011, received in Australian Patent Application No. 2011101155, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 3 pages (Beaver).
NPL101	Office Action dated March 2, 2011, received in European Patent Application No. 11150786.9, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,318, 5 pages (Beaver).
NPL102	Office Action dated August 26, 2011, received in Japanese Patent Application No. 2009-080377, which corresponds to U.S. Application 12/042,318, 2 pages (Beaver).
NPL103	Office Action dated January 18, 2011, received in U.S. Application No. 12/042,067, 14 pages (Williamson).
NPL104	Final Office Action dated July 28, 2011, received in U.S. Application No. 12/042,067, 18 pages (Williamson).
NPL105	Wellner, "Self Calibration for the DigitalDesk". Technical Report, Rank Xerox Research Centre, Cambridge Laboratory, Cambridge, United Kingdom (Available online at http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.44.7371&rep=rep1&type=pdf , last visited Apr. 22, 2010), pp. 1-15
NPL106	Office Action dated October 19, 2010, received in German Patent Application No. 11 2009 000 001.0, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,067, 5 pages (Williamson).
NPL107	Office Action dated October 15, 2010, received in European Patent Application No. 09 700 006.1, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,067, 4 pages (Williamson).
NPL108	Office Action dated October 24, 2011, received in Japanese Patent Application No. 2010-502356, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,067, 2 pages (Williamson).

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (underscore). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 2). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
Sheet	24	of	33	Attorney Docket Number	P4893USREX1/129716-003US

NPL109	Office Action dated January 4, 2011, received in U.S. Application No. 12/042,299, 9 pages (Williamson).
NPL110	Final Office Action dated July 8, 2011, received in U.S. Application No. 12/042,299, 13 pages (Williamson).
NPL111	Office Action dated October 26, 2011, received in Chinese Patent Application No. 200980000013.6, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,299, 11 pages (Williamson).
NPL112	Office Action dated August 10, 2010, received in German Patent Application No. 11 2009 000 003.7, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,299, 3 pages (Williamson).
NPL113	Office Action dated November 26, 2010, received in European Patent Application No. 09 700 007.9, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/402,299, 5 pages (Williamson).
NPL114	Office Action dated September 14, 2011, received in U.S. Application No. 12/042,237, 8 pages (Bolsinga).
NPL115	Office Action dated July 14, 2011, received in Chinese Patent Application No. 200980000015.5, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,237, 8 pages (Bolsinga).
NPL116	Office Action dated January 16, 2012, received in Japanese Patent Application No. 2010-502357, which corresponds to U.S. Application No. 12/042,237, 2 pages (Bolsinga).
NPL117	Office Action dated April 1, 2009, received in U.S. Application No. 11/620,723.
NPL118	Photo Mesa 3.1.2 2006 Screen Shots, 5 pages.
NPL119	Pixley, "Document Object Model (DOM) Level 2 Events Specifications Version 1.0," W3C Recommendation, 50 pages, November 13, 2000.
NPL120	Plaisant et al., "Touchscreen Toggle Design," Proceedings of the Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, Addison Wesley, US, May 3, 1992, 2 pages.
NPL121	Raihä, L., "Delegation: Dynamic Specialization," Proceeding of the conference on TRI-Ada '94, pages 172-179.
NPL122	Rogers, M., "It's for You! An iPhone Development Primer for the Busy College Professor," Journal of Computing Sciences in Colleges, vol. 25, no. 1, October 1, 2009, pages 94-101.
NPL123	Salmoni, "The Zooming User Interface," Advogato, August 16, 2004, http://www.advogato.org/article/788.html , 14 pages.
NPL124	Tidwell, J., "Magnetism," from Designing Interfaces, Copyright © 2006 O'Reilly Media, Inc., pages 279-280.
NPL125	Apple Inc. vs. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. et al., Judgment dated August 24, 2011, 65 pages.
NPL126	Notice of Appeal in Expedited Appeal in Summary Proceedings dated September 11, 2011, 51 pages.
NPL127	Buxton, "Invited Paper: A Touching Story: A Personal Perspective on the History of Touch Interfaces Past and Future," Society for Information Display (SID) Symposium Digest of Technical Papers, May 2010, Volume 41(1), Session 31, pp. 444-448
NPL128	Sony, The power of a full-sized PC in the palm of your hand, 2004

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

<p style="text-align: center;">INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Substitute for Form 1449-PTO</p>				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
Sheet	25	of	33	Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-003US

NPE 129	Gross, Grids in Design and CAD, Proceedings of Association for Computer Aided Design in Architecture, 1991, pp. 1-11
NPE 130	Robertson, et al., Data Mountain: Using Spatial Memory for Document Management, UIST '98: Proceedings of the 11th annual ACM, 1998, pp. 153-162
NPE 131	Erickson, Working with Interface Metaphors, in Human-computer interaction, 1995, pp. 65-73
NPE 132	Chang, et al., Animation: From Cartoons to the User Interface, UIST '93 Proceedings of the 6th annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology, 1993, pp. 1-18
NPE 133	Bederson, et al., Pad++: A Zooming Graphical Interface for Exploring Alternate Interface Physics, UIST '94 Proceedings of the 7th annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology, November 2-4, 1994, pp. 17-26
NPE 134	Smith, et al., The Radial Scroll Tool: Scrolling Support for Stylus- or Touch-Based Document Navigation, UIST '04 Proceedings of the 17th annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology, October 24-27, 2004, Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA, pp. 1-4
NPE 135	Krolik, PIV Creator 3.41, Cross Browser DHTML 360 Panorama Image Viewer (PIV), 1999
NPE 136	Wireless News, Adobe Rolls Out Flash Player 9, 6/28/2006
NPE 137	Davis, Flash to the Core - An Interactive Sketchbook, 2003, pp. 144-176, flashtothecore.prystation.com, Flash to the Core Website (http://flashtothecore.prystation.com/), 2002
NPE 138	Flashloaded.com, Flashloaded SlideMenu Date, 2007
NPE 140	Flashloaded.com, Flashloaded SlideMenu Website
NPE 141	Scroll Movie with Inertia, Flash Kit, 8/28/2005, pp.1-5
NPE 142	Ishak, et al., Content-Aware Scrolling, UIST '06 Proceedings of the 19th annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology, October 15-18, 2006, Montreux, Switzerland
NPE 143	Sun, et al., Flipper: A New Method of Digital Document Navigation, CHI '05 extended abstracts on Human factors in computing systems, April 2-7, 2005, Portland, Oregon, USA, pp. 2001-2004.
NPE 144	Plasmaplugs, PlasmaplugsScrollBar Website, 8/24/2006
NPE 145	VBForums, Teleprompter - VBForums, 2003
NPE 146	Johnson, et al., The Effect of Touch-Pad Size on Pointing Accuracy, Apr. 1994, pp. 1-8.
NPE 147	Johnson, A Comparison of User Interfaces for Panning on a Touch-Controlled Display, CHI '95 Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems, Mosaic of Creativity, May 1995, pp. 1-8.
NPE 148	Bederson, et al., Jazz: An Extensible Zoomable User Interface Graphics Toolkit in Java, UIST '00 Proceedings of the 13th annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology, May 2000
NPE 149	Bederson, et al., "Jazz: An Extensible 2D+Zooming Graphics Toolkit in Java," July 1999

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. * Applicant's unique citation designation number (underscore). * See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. * Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 2). * For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. * Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. * Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.

Builder's Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
Sheet	26	of	33	Attorney Docket Number	P4893USREX1/129716-083US

NPL150	Owen, Principles of Animation: Slow In and Out, 3/13/1999
NPL151	Rosenthal et al., "The Detailed Semantics of Graphics Input Devices," Computer Graphics, Volume 16, No. 3, July 1982 pp. 33-38
NPL152	Gleicher, Image Snapping, 1995, pp.183-190
NPL153	Pickering, Touch-Sensitive Screens: The Technologies and Their Application, International Journal of Man-Machine Studies, Sept. 1986, Volume 25, Issue 3
NPL154	Shneiderman, Future Directions for Human - Computer Interaction, International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction, 1990, pp. 1-19
NPL155	Hauptman, et al., Gesture Analysis for Graphic Manipulation, 11/28/1988, pp. 1-15
NPL156	Sears, et al., High Precision Touchscreens: Design Strategies and Comparisons with a Mouse, Int'l J. Man-Machine Studies, 1991
NPL157	Wallace, "The Semantics of Graphic Input Devices," ACM SIGGRAPH Computer Graphics, 1974, Vol. 10(1) pp. 61-65
NPL158	Rekimoto, Pick-and-Drop: A Direct Manipulation Technique for Multiple Computer Environments, UIST '97 Proceedings of the 10th annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology, 1997, Banff, Alberta, Canada, pp. 31-39
NPL159	Myers, et al., Past, Present, and Future of User Interface Software Tools, ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction, Vol. 7, No. 1, March 2000, pp. 3-28
NPL160	Fukuchi, et al., SmartSkin, 2003
NPL161	Rekimoto, et al., Augmented Surfaces: A Spatially Continuous Work Space for Hybrid Computing Environments, CHI '99 Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems: the CHI is the limit, 1999
NPL162	Fukuchi, Concurrent Manipulation of Multiple Components on Graphical User Interface, 10/23/2006
NPL163	Fukuchi, et al., Marble Market: Bimanual Interactive Game with a Body Shape Sensor, 2007
NPL164	Fukuchi, Multi-track Scratch Player On A Multi-Touch Sensing Device, 2007
NPL165	Fukuchi, et al., Interaction Techniques for SmartSkin, In Proceedings of the 15th annual ACM symposium on User Interface Software and Technology, 2002
NPL166	Moyle, et al., The Design and Evaluation of a Flick Gesture for 'Back' and 'Forward' in Web Browsers, AUIC '03 Proceedings of the Fourth Australasian user interface conference on User interfaces 2003 - Volume 18
NPL167	Malik, et al., Visual Touchpad: A Two-handed Gestural Input Device, ICMI'04, October 13-15, 2004, State College, Pennsylvania, USA
NPL168	Malik, et al., Interacting with Large Displays from a Distance with Vision-Tracked Multi-Finger Gestural Input," UIST'05, October 23-27, 2005, Seattle, Washington, USA, pp.43-52
NPL169	Malik, An Exploration of Multi-Finger Interaction on Multi-Touch Surfaces (Doctoral Dissertation), 2007, pp. 1-169

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (redorse). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

<p style="text-align: center;">INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Substitute for Form 1449-PTO</p>				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>		
				Application Number	90/012332	
Sheet		27	of	33	Filing Date	05/30/2012
					First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
					Art Unit	3992
					Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
					Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-003US

NPL170	Wu, et al., Multi-Finger and Whole Hand Gestural Interaction Techniques for Multi-User Tabletop Displays, ACM UIST 2003
NPL171	Buxton, Lexical and Pragmatic Considerations of Input Structures, Computer Graphics, 17 (1), 1983, pp. 31-37
NPL172	Benko, et al., Precise Selection Techniques for Multi-Touch Screens, CHI 2006, April 22-28, 2006, Montréal, Québec, Canada
NPL173	TE Connectivity, CarrollTouch Infrared Touch Technology
NPL174	Wilson, TouchLight: An Imaging Touch Screen and Display for Gesture-Based Interaction, ICMI'04, October 13-15, 2004, State College, Pennsylvania, USA
NPL175	Minsky, Manipulating Simulated Objects with Real-World Gestures Using a Force and Position Sensitive Screen, Proceeding SIGGRAPH '84, Proceedings of the 11th annual conference on Computer Graphics and interactive techniques, July 1984, pp. 195-203
NPL176	Moscovich, et al., A Multifinger Interface for Performance Animation of Deformable Drawings, UIST '05 - Adjunct Proceedings of the 18th annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology, 2005
NPL177	Nakatani, et al., Soft Machines: A Philosophy of User-Computer Interface Design, CHI '83 Proceedings, December 1983, pp. 19-23
NPL178	Wilson, PlayAnywhere: A Compact Interactive Tabletop Projection-Vision System, UIST'05, October 23-27, 2005, Seattle, Washington, USA
NPL179	Moscovich, et al., Multi-Finger Cursor Techniques, GI '06 Proceedings of Graphics Interface 2006
NPL180	Wu, et al., Gesture Registration, Relaxation, and Reuse for Multi-Point Direct-Touch Surfaces, Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems (TABLETOP '06), 2006
NPL181	Kim, et al., Multi-touch Interaction for Table-Top Display, ICAT 2006, LNCS 4282, pp. 1273-1282
NPL182	Tse, et al., Enabling Interaction with Single User Applications through Speech and Gestures on a Multi-User Tabletop, AVI '06, May 23-26, 2006, Venezia, Italy
NPL183	Rekimoto, SmartSkin: An Infrastructure for Freehand Manipulation on Interactive Surfaces, CHI 2002, April 20-25, 2002, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA, pp. 113-120
NPL184	Gingold, et al., A Direct Texture Placement and Editing Interface, UIST '06, October 15-18, 2006, Menneux, Switz, pp. 23-31
NPL185	Han, Multi-Touch Interaction Wall
NPL186	Dietz, et al., Submerging Technologies, ACM SIGGRAPH 2006 Sketches, 2006
NPL187	Rosenberg, et al., Real-Time Stereo Vision using Semi-Global Matching on Programmable Graphics Hardware, ACM SIGGRAPH 2006 Sketches, 2006
NPL188	Han, Multi-Touch Interaction Research
NPL189	Davidson, et al., Synthesis and Control on Large Scale Multi-Touch Sensing Displays, NIME 06, June 4-8, 2006, Paris, France, pp. 216-219

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (underscore). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are requested to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	WIGDOR, MICHAEL J
				Attorney Docket Number	P4893USREX1/129716-003US
Sheet	28	of	33		

NPL190	Han, Low-Cost Multi-Touch Sensing through Frustrated Total Internal Reflection, UIST'05, October 23-27, 2005, Seattle, Washington, USA, pp. 115-118
NPL191	Han, Media Mirror
NPL192	Han, Multi-Touch Sensing through Frustrated Total Internal Reflection
NPL193	Han, "Unveiling the Genius of Multi-Touch Interface Design," Feb. 2006
NPL194	Sugimoto, et al., HybridTouch: An Intuitive Manipulation Technique for PDAs Using Their Front and Rear Surfaces, MobileHCT'06, September 12-15, 2006, Helsinki, Finland, pp. 137-140
NPL195	Epps, et al., A Study of Hand Shape Use in Tabletop Gesture Interaction, CHI 2006 - Work-in-Progress April 22-27, 2006, Montréal, Québec, Canada, pp. 748-753
NPL196	Bartlett, et al., Rock 'n' Scroll is here to stay [user interface], Computer Graphics and Applications, IEEE, Volume 20, Issue 3, May/June 2000
NPL197	Wigdor, et al., Table-Centric Interactive Spaces for Real-Time Collaboration, AVI '06, May 23-26, 2006, Venezia, Italy
NPL198	Wigdor, et al., Effects of Display Position and Control Space Orientation on User Preference and Performance, CHI 2006, April 22-27, 2006, Montréal, Québec, Canada, pp. 309-318
NPL199	Forlines, et al., Exploring the Effects of Group Size and Display Configuration on Visual Search, CSCW'06, November 4-8, 2006, Banff, Alberta, Canada, pp. 11-20
NPL200	Wigdor, et al., Empirical Investigation into the Effect of Orientation on Text Readability in Tabletop Displays, ECSCW'05 Proceedings of the ninth conference on European Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work, 2005
NPL201	Grossman, et al., Multi-Finger Gestural Interaction with 3D Volumetric Displays, UIST '04, October 24-27, 2004, Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA
NPL202	Forlines, et al., Zoom-and-Pick: Facilitating Visual Zooming and Precision Pointing with Interactive Handheld Projectors, UIST'05, October 23-27, 2005, Seattle, Washington, USA, pp. 73-82.
NPL203	Cao, et al., Interacting with Dynamically Defined Information Spaces using a Handheld Projector and a Pen, UIST'06, October 15-18, 2006, Montreux, Switzerland, pp. 225-234
NPL204	Forlines, et al., HybridPointing: Fluid Switching Between Absolute and Relative Pointing with a Direct Input Device, UIST'06, October 15-18, 2006, Montreux, Switzerland, 211-220
NPL205	Wigdor, et al., Under the Table Interaction, UIST'06, October 15-18, 2006, Montreux, Switzerland, pp. 259-268
NPL206	Grossman, et al., The Design and Evaluation of Selection Techniques for 3D Volumetric Displays, UIST'06, October 15-18, 2006, Montreux, Switzerland, pp. 3-12
NPL207	Sibert, et al., "An Object-Oriented User Interface Management System," SIGGRAPH '86, 1986, Volume 20, Number 4, pp. 259-268
NPL208	Hansen, et al., Events Not Equal To GUIs, SIGCSE'04, March 3-7, 2004, Norfolk, Virginia, USA, pp. 378-381

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (underscore). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 601.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are requested to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
Sheet	29	of	33	Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREX1/129716-003US

NPL 209	Han, et al., Measuring Bidirectional Texture Reflectance with a Kaleidoscope, 2003, pp. 741-748
NPL 210	Esenher, et al., DiamondTouch SDK: Support for Multi- User, Multi-Touch Applications, ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work CSCW (2002)
NPL 211	Dietz, et al., DiamondTouch: A MultiUser Touch Technology, UIST '01 Proceedings of the 14th annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology, 2001
NPL 212	Forlines, et al., Under My Finger: Human Factors in Pushing and Rotating Documents Across the Table, Proceedings of Human-Computer Interaction - INTERACT 2005: IFIP TC13 International Conference, '994-997, Rome, Italy, Dec. 2005
NPL 213	Shen, et al., Building and Sharing Digital Group Histories, CSCW'02, November 16-20, 2002, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA.
NPL 214	Wittenburg, et al., Research on Public, Community, and Situated Displays at MERL, Cambridge, Public, Community and Situated Displays Workshop (CSCW), November 2002 (CSCW 2002)
NPL 215	Shen, et al., Personal Digital Historian: Story Sharing Around the Table, interactions - Winds of change, 2003, Vol. 10(2), pp. 15-22
NPL 216	Everitt, UbiTable: Impromptu Face-to-Face Collaboration on Horizontal Interactive Surfaces, The Fifth International Conference on Ubiquitous Computing UbiComp 2003, October 12-15, 2003 Seattle, Washington USA
NPL 217	Raskar, et al., Intelligent Clusters and Collaborative Projector-based Displays, NSF Lake Tahoe Workshop on Collaborative Virtual Reality and Visualization, Oct 2003, pp. 1-5.
NPL 218	Shen, et al., DiamondSpin: An Extensible Toolkit for Around-the-Table Interaction, CHI 2004, April 24-29, 2004, Vienna, Austria
NPL 219	Moghaddam, et al., Visualization & User-Modeling for Browsing Personal Photo Libraries, International Journal of Computer Vision, 56(1/2), Feb. 2004, pp. 109-130, 2004
NPL 220	Morris, et al., Beyond Social Protocols: Multi-User Coordination Policies for Co-located Groupware, CSCW '04, November 6-10, 2004, Chicago, Illinois, USA.
NPL 221	Ryall, et al., Exploring the Effects of Group Size and Table Size on Interactions with Tabletop Shared-Display Groupware, CSCW '04, November 6-10, 2004, Chicago, Illinois, USA
NPL 222	Morris, et al., Conflict Resolution in Paper and Digital Worlds: Two Surveys of User Expectations, ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW), Chicago, I
NPL 223	Everitt, et al., Observations of a Shared Tabletop User Study, CSCW'04, November 6-10, 2004, Chicago, USA
NPL 224	Lee, et al. Haptic Pen: Tactile Feedback Stylus for Touch Screens, UIST '04 Proceedings of the 17th annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology, 2004
NPL 225	Shen, et al., CoR2Ds: Context-Rooted Rotatable Draggables for Tabletop Interaction, CHI 2005, April 2-7, 2005, Portland, Oregon, USA, pp. 1781- 1784

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (redone). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	YIGDALL, MICHAEL J
Sheet	30	of	33	Attorney Docket Number	P4893USREX1/129716-083US

NPL 226	Everitt, et al., Modal Spaces: Spatial Multiplexing to Mediate Direct-Touch Input on Large Displays, CHI 2005, April 2-7, 2005, Portland, Oregon, USA, pp.1359- 1362
NPL 227	Ryall, et al., Temporal Magic Lens: Combined Spatial and Temporal Query and Presentation, INTERACT 2005 (Tenth IFIP TC13 Int. Conf on Human-Computer Interaction), 2005
NPL 228	Hancock, et al., Exploring Non-Speech Auditory Feedback at an Interactive Multi-User Tabletop, GI '05 Proceedings of Graphics Interface 2005
NPL 229	Ryall, et al., iDwidgets: Parameterizing Widgets by User Identity, INTERACT 2005 (Tenth IFIP TC13 Int. Conf on Human-Computer Interaction)
NPL 230	Dietz, et al., DT Controls: Adding Identity to Physical Interfaces, UIST'05, October 23-27, 2005, Seattle, Washington, USA
NPL 231	Furuchi, et al., DTMap Demo: Interactive Tabletop Maps for Ubiquitous Computing, UbiComp 2005
NPL 232	Esenther, et al., Multi-User Multi-Touch Games on DiamondTouch with the DTFlash Toolkit, Intelligent Technologies for Interactive Entertainment (INTETAIN), November 2005 (INTETAIN 2005)
NPL 233	Ryall, et al., Experiences with and Observations of Direct-Touch Tabletops, TABLETOP '06 Proceedings of the First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems
NPL 234	Everitt, et al., MultiSpace: Enabling Electronic Document Micro-mobility in Table-Centric, Multi- Device Environments, First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, 2006, TableTop 2006
NPL 235	Shen, et al., Multi-User Interface and Interactions on Direct-Touch Horizontal Surfaces: Collaborative Tabletop Research at MERL, First IEEE International Workshop on Horizontal Interactive Human-Computer Systems, 2006, TableTop 2006
NPL 236	Esenther, et al., Fluid DTMouse: Better Mouse Support for Touch-Based Interactions," AVI '06, May 23-26, 2006, Venezia, Italy
NPL 237	Yse, et al., Multimodal Multiplayer Tabletop Gaming, Third International Workshop on Pervasive Gaming Applications - PerGames 2006 May 07th 2006, in Dublin, Ireland
NPL 238	Shen, et al., Three Modes of Multi-Surface Interaction and Visualization, ACM CHI'06 International Conference: Saturday 22 and Sunday 23 April 2006 - Montreal, Canada, pp. 1-4
NPL 239	Forlines, et al., Multi-User, Multi-Display Interaction with a Single-User, Single-Display Geospatial Application, UIST'06, October 15-18, 2006, Montreux, Switzerland
NPL 240	Esenther, et al., RemoteDT: Support for Multi-Site Table Collaboration, International Conference on Collaboration Technologies (CollabTech), July 2006 (CollabTech 2006)
NPL 241	Yse, et al., GSI Demo: Multiuser Gesture/Speech Interaction over Digital Tables by Wrapping Single User Applications, ICMI'06, November 2-4, 2006, Alberta, Canada
NPL 242	Ferg, Event-Driven Programming: Introduction, Tutorial, History (Version 0.2), 2/8/2006, pp. 1-59.

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (redlined). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 2). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
Sheet	31	of	33	Attorney Docket Number	P4893USREX1/129716-083US

NPL 543	Thomas, et al., Applying Cartoon Animation Techniques to Graphical User Interfaces, ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction, Vol. 8, No. 3, September 2001, pp. 198-222
NPL 244	Lytte, "FingerWorks Announces a Gesture Keyboard for Apple PowerBooks," PR Newswire
NPL 245	Foley et al., "The Art of Natural Graphic Man - Machine Conversation," Proceedings of the IEEE, Volume 62, No. 4, April 1974 pp. 462-471
NPL 546	Raab, Pedagogical Power Tools for Teaching Java, ITiCSE 2000 7/00 Helsinki, Finland, pp. 156-159
NPL 247	Rasala, Java Power Tools: Model Software for Teaching Object-Oriented Design, SIGCSE 2001 3/01 Charlotte, NC USA, pp. 297-301
NPL 248	Stein, What We Swept Under the Rug: Radically Rethinking CSI, Computer Science Education, 1998, Vol. 8, No. 2, pp. 118-129
NPL 249	Cheng, et al., Navigation Control and Gesture Recognition Input Device for Small, Portable User Interfaces, 6/11/2004, Synaptics Inc. of San Jose, California, pp. 1-13
NPL 250	IBM, Method to Disable and Enable a Touch Pad Pointing Device or Tablet Input Device Using Gestures, 6/11/2002, pp. 1-3
NPL 251	FingerWorks Inc., FingerWorks Installation and Operation Guide for the TouchStream ST & TouchStream LP, 2002, pp. 1-25
NPL 252	Rubine, "The Automatic Recognition of Gestures," Dec. 1991, pp. 1-266
NPL 253	Rubine et al., "The VideoHarp," ICMC Proceedings 1988, pp. 49-55
NPL 254	Hilary Browne et al., "Designing a Collaborative Finger Painting Application for Children," UM Computer Science Department; 2000, CS-TR-4184
NPL 255	Thomas et al., "Animating Direct Manipulation Interfaces," UIST 95 Pittsburgh, PA USA, Nov. 1995, pp. 3-12
NPL 256	Bier et al., "Snap-Drugging," Proceedings of SIGGRAPH 86, Computer Graphics (20) 4, 1986, pp. 233-240
NPL 257	Agarwala et al., "Keepin' It Real: Pushing the Desktop Metaphor with Physics, Piles and the Pen," CHI 2006, April 22-27, 2006, Montréal, Québec, Canada
NPL 258	Harrison et al., "Squeeze Me, Hold Me, Tilt Me! An Exploration of Manipulative User Interfaces," CHI '98, Apr. 1998, Los Angeles, CA USA, pp. 17-24
NPL 259	Kurtenbach et al., "The Design of a GUI Paradigm based on Tablets, Two-hands, and Transparency," CHI '97, 22-27 March 1997 pp. 35-42
NPL 260	Duce et al., "Components, Frameworks and GKS Input," CWI Report, No. CS-R8947), Amsterdam: CWI, 1980, pp. 87-106
NPL 261	Duce et al., "An Approach to Hierarchical Input Devices," Computer Graphics Forum, 1990, Vol. 9(1) pp. 1-16
NPL 262	C.A.R. Hoare, "Programming Techniques - Communicating Sequential Processes," Communications of the ACM, Volume 21, No. 8, August 1978, pp. 666-677

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (redlined). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, if it applies. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Examiner Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>	
				Application Number	90/012332
				Filing Date	05/30/2012
				First Named Inventor	Andrew Platzer
				Art Unit	3992
				Examiner Name	VIGDALL, MICHAEL J
				Attorney Docket Number	P4693USREXP129716-003US
Sheet	32	of	33		

NPL 263	Dammenberg et al., "A Gesture Based User Interface Prototyping System," UIST '89 Proceedings of the 2nd annual ACM SIGGRAPH symposium on User interface software and technology, 1989, pp. 127-132
NPL 264	Sensor Frame Corporation, "The Sensor Frame Graphic Manipulator NASA Phase II Final Report," 5/8/1990, pp. 1-25
NPL 265	Seonkyoo Lee, "A Fast Multiple-Touch-Sensitive Input Device," Oct. 1984
NPL 266	Rubine, "Integrating Gesture Recognition and Direct Manipulation," Usenix Technical Conference, 1991, pp. 1-18
NPL 267	Rubine, "Specifying Gestures by Example," Computer Graphics, Volume 25, Number 4, July 1991 pp. 329-337
NPL 268	Balakrishnan et al., "Performance Differences in the Fingers, Wrist, and Forearm in Computer Input Control," ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI'97), 1997, pp 303-310
NPL 269	Fitzmaurice et al., "Bricks: Laying the Foundations for Graspable User Interfaces," Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI'95), 1995, pp. 432-449. New York: ACM
NPL 270	Davies, "Lateral Histograms for Efficient Object Location: Speed Versus Ambiguity," Pattern Recognition Letters, Aug. 1987, Vol. 6(3) pp. 189-198
NPL 271	Fearing, "Tactile Sensing Mechanisms," The International Journal of Robotics Research, Vol. 9, No. 3, June 1990, pp. 3-23
NPL 272	Kirk, Optimal Control Theory - An Introduction, Numerical Determination of Optimal Trajectories, 1970, pp. 331-343
NPL 273	Ogawa et al., "Preprocessing for Chinese Character Recognition and Global Classification of Handwritten Chinese Characters," Pattern Recognition, 1979, Vol. 11, pp. 1-7
NPL 274	Rubine et al., "Programmable Finger-Tracking Instrument Controllers," Computer Music Journal, Vol. 14, No. 1, New Performance Interfaces I (Spring, 1990), pp. 26-41
NPL 275	Siegel et al., "Performance Analysis of a Tactile Sensor," IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, Proceedings, 1987 pp. 1493-1499
NPL 276	Son et al., "Comparison of Contact Sensor Localization Abilities During Manipulation," Robotics and Autonomous Systems, 1996, Vol. 17 (4) pp. 217-233
NPL 277	Sharon, "Haptic Perception with an Articulated, Sensate Robot Hand," Robotica (1992), Volume 10, pp. 497-508
NPL 278	Stauffer, "Progress in Tactile Sensor Development," Robotics Today, Jun. 1983, pp. 43-49
NPL 279	Sugiyama et al., "Tactile Image Detection Using a 1k- element Silicon Pressure Sensor Array," Sensors and Actuators, A21 -A23 (1990) pp. 397-400
NPL 280	Sean Captain, Future Gear: Keyless (Data) Entry, PCWorld, 4/24/2002
NPL 281	Narayanaswamy et al., "User Interface for a PCS Smart Phone," Multimedia Computing and Systems, IEEE Conference 1999, Published Jun. 7-11, 1999, vol. 1, pp. 777-781.

Examiner Signature	Date Considered
--------------------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (udcnum). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 2). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.


Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are requested to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION Substitute for Form 1449-PTO				<i>Electronically filed October 18, 2012</i>			
				Application Number		90/012332	
				Filing Date		05/30/2012	
				First Named Inventor		Andrew Platzer	
				Art Unit		3992	
				Examiner Name		YIGDALL, MICHAEL J	
Sheet	33	of	33	Attorney Docket Number		P4693USREX1/129716-003US	

		Wellner, "Adaptive Thresholding for the Digital Desk". Technical Report, Rank Xerox Research Centre, Cambridge Laboratory, Cambridge, United Kingdom (Available online at http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.71.8970&rep=rep1&type=pdf , last visited Apr. 22, 2010) pp. 1-17
NPL 262		
NPL 263		Order Construing Disputed Claim Terms of U.S. Patent Nos. ... 7,844,915 (DI 849); <u>Apple Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. et al.</u> , 5:11-cv-01846 (N.D. Cal.)
NPL 264		Order Denying Motion for Summary Judgment (DI 1158); <u>Apple Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. et al.</u> , 5:11-cv-01846 (N.D. Cal.)
NPL 265		Order No. 52: Initial Determination Granting Renewed Motion to Terminate Investigation With Respect to Certain Claims; ITC Inv. No. 337-TA-797
NPL 266		Corrected Notice of Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation as to Certain Asserted Patent Claims; June 22, 2012; ITC Inv. No. 337-TA-797
NPL 267		Order No. 57: Construing The Terms of The Asserted Claims of The Patents at Issue; ITC Inv. No. 337-TA-797
NPL 268		Order No. 58: Initial Determination Granting Motion to Terminate Investigation with Respect to Certain Claims; ITC Inv. No. 337-TA-797
NPL 269		Notice of Allowance dated May 23, 2012, received in U.S. Application No. 13/221,830, 8 pages (Moore)

Examiner Signature	/Michael Yigdall/	Date Considered	12/11/2012
--------------------	-------------------	-----------------	------------

*EXAMINER. Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 608. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (in parentheses). See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST 3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST, 10 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.
 Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Reexamination 	Application/Control No. 90012332	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination 7844915
	Certificate Date	Certificate Number

Requester Correspondence Address: Patent Owner Third Party

BRYAN CAVE LLP
 1290 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
 NEW YORK, NY 10104

LITIGATION REVIEW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MY (examiner initials)	06/04/2012 (date)
Case Name		Director Initials
1:11cv611 (Open)		/SP/ for IY
5:11cv1846 (Open)		/SP/ for IY

COPENDING OFFICE PROCEEDINGS	
TYPE OF PROCEEDING	NUMBER
1. (No copending Office proceedings)	

--	--