

The Honorable James L. Robart

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington
corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

MOTOROLA, INC., and MOTOROLA
MOBILITY LLC, and GENERAL
INSTRUMENT CORPORATION,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C10-1823-JLR

DEFENDANTS' NONOPPOSITION TO
MICROSOFT'S 10/4/12 MOTION TO
FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL

**NOTED ON MOTION CALENDAR:
Friday, October 12, 2012**

MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC, and
GENERAL INSTRUMENT CORPORATION,

Plaintiffs/Counterclaim Defendant,

v.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff.

1 **I. INTRODUCTION**

2 Defendants Motorola, Inc. (now Motorola Solutions, Inc.), Motorola Mobility, LLC, and
3 General Instrument Corporation (collectively “Motorola”) do not oppose Microsoft’s 10/4/12
4 Motion to File Documents Under Seal (Dkt. No. 448) regarding the following documents:

- 5 • Exhibits 1-12 and 14-16 to the Declaration of Christopher Wion in
6 Support of Microsoft’s Motions *In Limine* (“10/4/12 Wion Declaration”)
(Dkt. Nos. 451 and 452);
- 7 • Microsoft’s Motions *In Limine* (Dkt. No. 450); and
- 8 • Microsoft’s Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law (Dkt. No.
9 454).

10 **II. MOTOROLA DOES NOT OPPOSE MICROSOFT’S MOTION TO SEAL**

11 **A. Exhibits 1-12 and 14-16 to the 10/4/12 Wion Declaration**

12 Exhibit 1 to the 10/4/12 Wion Declaration is a copy of the transcript from the deposition of
13 Kirk W. Dailey, taken under oath July 12, 2012, in Chicago, Illinois. This deposition has been
14 designated “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY.” Throughout his
15 testimony, Mr. Dailey disclosed highly confidential information about Motorola’s licenses,
16 licensing history and internal business practices. Disclosure of this information to third parties
17 and other party employees not covered by the protective order would have the potential to lead to
18 competitive harm. Due to the presence of this highly confidential information throughout the
19 transcript, there is good cause to seal Exhibit 1, which should be sealed in its entirety.

20 Exhibit 2 to the 10/4/12 Wion Declaration is a copy of the transcript of the deposition of
21 Motorola witness Timothy M. Kowalski, taken under oath in Chicago, Illinois, on April 4, 2012.
22 During his deposition, Mr. Kowalski, then lead intellectual property counsel at Motorola (and now
23 Senior Patent Counsel at Google), testified extensively as to Motorola’s confidential licensing
24 arrangements with third parties and as to numerous documents that Motorola has designated as
25 “confidential.” Disclosure of this information to third parties and other party employees not
26 covered by the protective order would have the potential to lead to competitive harm. Although

1 Motorola initially did not designate this transcript as confidential, Motorola has asked the court
2 reporter to do so, and now considers the transcript to be designated “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
3 – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY.” Due to the presence of highly confidential information
4 throughout the transcript, there is good cause to seal Exhibit 2, which should be sealed in its
5 entirety.

6 Exhibit 3 to the 10/4/12 Wion Declaration is a copy of the July 24, 2012 Expert Report of
7 Motorola expert Richard Schmalensee. This report, which is designated “HIGHLY
8 CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY,” contains highly confidential information
9 about Microsoft and Motorola business and licensing practices and history. Disclosure of this
10 information to third parties and other party employees not covered by the protective order would
11 have the potential to lead to competitive harm. Accordingly, there is good cause to seal Exhibit 3,
12 which should be sealed in its entirety. This document was previously submitted to the Court as
13 Exhibit 35 to the September 5, 2012 Second Declaration of Samuel L. Brenner In Support of
14 Motorola Mobility’s and General Instrument’s Opposition to Microsoft’s Rule 702 Motion to
15 Preclude Testimony By Charles R. Donohoe and Dr. R. Sukumar (Dkt. No. 392), and was ordered
16 sealed by the Court (Dkt. No. 432).

17 Exhibit 4 to the 10/4/12 Wion Declaration is a copy of the August 10, 2012 Rebuttal
18 Expert Report of Charles R. Donohoe. This report, which is designated as “CONTAINS
19 CONFIDENTIAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION – OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY –
20 SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER,” includes an examination of, and discloses, confidential
21 and non-public financial and business information relating to both Microsoft and Motorola, and
22 relating to licensing and business practices and history. Disclosure of this information to third
23 parties and other party employees not covered by the protective order would have the potential to
24 lead to competitive harm. Accordingly, there is good cause to seal Exhibit 4, which should be
25 sealed in its entirety. This document was previously submitted to the Court as Exhibit 50 to the
26 September 5, 2012 Second Declaration of Samuel L. Brenner In Support of Motorola Mobility’s

1 and General Instrument's Opposition to Microsoft's Rule 702 Motion to Preclude Testimony By
2 Charles R. Donohoe and Dr. R. Sukumar (Dkt. No. 392), and was ordered sealed by the Court
3 (Dkt. No. 432).

4 Exhibit 5 to the 10/4/12 Wion Declaration is a copy of the August 10, 2012 Rebuttal
5 Expert Report of Roger S. Smith, which has been marked "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –
6 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." This report includes an examination of, and discloses,
7 confidential and non-public financial and business information relating to both Microsoft and
8 Motorola, and relating to licensing and business practices and history. Disclosure of this
9 information to third parties and other party employees not covered by the protective order would
10 have the potential to lead to competitive harm. Accordingly, there is good cause to seal Exhibit 5,
11 which should be sealed in its entirety. This document was previously submitted to the Court as
12 Exhibit 63 to the September 5, 2012 Second Declaration of Samuel L. Brenner In Support of
13 Motorola Mobility's and General Instrument's Opposition to Microsoft's Rule 702 Motion to
14 Preclude Testimony By Charles R. Donohoe and Dr. R. Sukumar (Dkt. No. 392) and Exhibit 11 to
15 the Declaration of Christopher Wion in Support of Microsoft's Opposition to Motorola's *Daubert*
16 Motion to Exclude Certain Testimony of Plaintiff's Experts Drs. Lynde, Murphy, and Simcoe
17 (Dkt. No. 407), and was ordered sealed by the Court (Dkt. Nos. 432 and 433).

18 Exhibit 6 to the 10/4/12 Wion Declaration is a copy of the transcript of the deposition of
19 Motorola expert Michael Dansky, taken under oath in New York on September 5, 2012.
20 Microsoft has informed Motorola that it intends to designate the transcript as "confidential" under
21 the terms of the Protective Order. During his deposition, Mr. Dansky testified extensively
22 regarding the contents of both his opening and rebuttal expert reports, which Microsoft has
23 designated in their entireties as "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only," and also testified
24 regarding the opinions of Motorola expert Charles R. Donohoe, which were themselves contained
25 in reports that Motorola has designated as "CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL FINANCIAL
26 INFORMATION – OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY -- SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE

1 ORDER.” Moreover, during his deposition, Mr. Dansky discussed confidential information about
2 Microsoft’s and Motorola’s business and licensing practices and licensing history. Disclosure of
3 this information to third parties and other party employees not covered by the protective order
4 would have the potential to lead to competitive harm. Due to presence of this highly confidential
5 information throughout the transcript, there is good cause to seal Exhibit 6, which should be sealed
6 in its entirety. This exhibit was previously submitted as Exhibit 6 to the Second Declaration of
7 Christopher Wion in Support of Microsoft’s Rule 702 Motion to Preclude Testimony by Charles
8 R. Donohue and Dr. Ramamirtham Sukumar (the “9/10/12 Wion Declaration”) (Dkt. No. 423),
9 and was ordered sealed by the Court on September 21, 2012 (Dkt. No. 444).

10 Exhibit 7 to the 10/4/12 Wion Declaration is a copy of a Patent Portfolio License between
11 Google, Inc. and MPEG LA, L.L.C., signed in January of 2005. This document is a highly
12 confidential agreement between two non-parties to this litigation, and has been designated as
13 “GOOGLE'S CONFIDENTIAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS'
14 EYES ONLY - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER.” The Agreement itself indicates that in
15 no event shall the licensing administrator provide to any of the licensors information on royalties
16 paid on a licensee-by-licensee basis, unless required by law, court order, or rule or regulation. *See*
17 § 3.11.7. Disclosure of the specific terms of this license to third parties not covered by the
18 protective order would have the potential to lead to competitive harm. Due to presence of highly
19 confidential information throughout the license, there is good cause to seal Exhibit 7, which
20 should be sealed in its entirety.

21 Exhibit 8 to the 10/4/12 Wion Declaration is a copy of the transcript of the deposition of
22 Motorola employee Allen Lo, taken under oath July 12, 2012 in Redwood Shores, California.
23 This transcript has been designated as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES
24 ONLY PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER.” Throughout his testimony, Mr. Lo, Deputy
25 General Counsel for Patents and Patent Litigation at Google, disclosed highly confidential
26 information about Google’s and Motorola’s licensing history and practices and internal business

1 practices. Disclosure of this information to third parties and other party employees not covered by
2 the protective order would have the potential to lead to competitive harm. Due to the presence of
3 this highly confidential information throughout the transcript, there is good cause to seal Exhibit 8,
4 which should be sealed in its entirety.

5 Exhibit 9 to the 10/4/12 Wion Declaration is a copy of the July 24, 2012 Expert Report of
6 Motorola expert Charles R. Donohoe, along with Exhibit C to that report. As Microsoft has
7 previously pointed out (Dkt. No. 394 at 4), this report, which is designated as “CONTAINS
8 CONFIDENTIAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION – OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY –
9 SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER,” includes an examination of, and discloses, confidential
10 and non-public financial and business information relating to both Microsoft and Motorola, and
11 relating to licensing and business practices and history. Disclosure of this information to third
12 parties and other party employees not covered by the protective order would have the potential to
13 lead to competitive harm. Accordingly, there is good cause to seal Exhibit 9, which should be
14 sealed in its entirety. This document was previously submitted as Exhibit 2 to the August 27,
15 2012 Declaration of Christopher Wion in Support of Microsoft’s Rule 702 Motion to Preclude
16 Testimony by Charles R. Donohoe and Dr. R. Sukumar (Dkt. No. 398), and was ordered sealed by
17 the Court on September 10, 2012 (Dkt. No. 419).

18 Exhibit 10 to the 10/4/12 Wion Declaration is a copy of the transcript from the August 24,
19 2012 deposition of Motorola expert Charles R. Donohoe, which has been designated as “Highly
20 Confidential- Pursuant to Protective Order.” At his deposition, Mr. Donohoe testified extensively
21 regarding the content of both his opening and rebuttal expert reports, both of which were
22 designated as “CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION – OUTSIDE
23 ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY – SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER,” and both which include
24 examinations and disclosure of confidential and non-public financial and business information
25 relating to both Microsoft and Motorola, and relating to licensing and business practices and
26 history. Disclosure of this information to third parties and other party employees not covered by

1 the protective order would have the potential to lead to competitive harm. Accordingly, there is
2 good cause to seal Exhibit 10, which should be sealed in its entirety. This document was
3 previously submitted as Exhibit 3 to the August 27, 2012 Declaration of Christopher Wion in
4 Support of Microsoft's Rule 702 Motion to Preclude Testimony by Charles R. Donohoe and Dr.
5 R. Sukumar (Dkt. No. 398), and was ordered sealed by the Court on September 10, 2012 (Dkt.
6 No. 419).

7 Exhibit 11 to the 10/4/12 Wion Declaration is a copy of the transcript from the August 22,
8 2012 deposition of Motorola expert Dr. Richard Schmalensee, which was taken under oath in
9 Boston, Massachusetts. At his deposition, Dr. Schmalensee testified extensively regarding the
10 content of both his opening and rebuttal expert reports, which were both designated as "HIGHLY
11 CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY," and which both contain highly confidential
12 information about Microsoft and Motorola business and licensing practices and history. Dr.
13 Schmalensee was also examined extensively regarding the substance of the opening report
14 submitted by Motorola licensing expert Charles R. Donohoe, which report was designated as
15 "CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION – OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS'
16 EYES ONLY – SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER," includes an examination of, and
17 discloses, confidential and non-public financial and business information relating to both
18 Microsoft and Motorola, and relating to licensing and business practices and history. Dr.
19 Schmalensee's testimony and the expert reports referenced extensively throughout the course of
20 his deposition disclose confidential and non-public financial and business information relating to
21 both Microsoft and Motorola, including as it relates to their confidential licensing arrangements
22 with third parties. Disclosure of this information to third parties and other party employees not
23 covered by the protective order would have the potential to lead to competitive harm.
24 Accordingly, there is good cause to seal Exhibit 11, which should be sealed in its entirety. This
25 document was previously submitted as Exhibit 1 to the August 27, 2012 Declaration of
26 Christopher Wion in Support of Microsoft's Rule 702 Motion to Preclude Testimony by Charles

1 R. Donohoe and Dr. R. Sukumar (Dkt. No. 398), and was ordered sealed by the Court on
2 September 10, 2012 (Dkt. No. 419).

3 Exhibit 12 to the 10/4/12 Wion Declaration is a copy of the November 12, 2010 Non
4 Disclosure Agreement between Motorola and Microsoft, which discloses the terms of the parties'
5 confidential framework regarding settlement negotiations. The document has been designated as
6 "Confidential Business Information, Subject to Protective Order." Motorola has no objection to
7 sealing of Exhibit 12.

8 Exhibit 14 to the 10/4/12 Wion Declaration is a copy of the transcript of the August 21,
9 2012 deposition of Motorola expert Timothy Drabik, which has been designated
10 "CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION SUBJECT TO THE PROTECTIVE ORDER."
11 During his deposition, Dr. Drabik testified regarding his opening and rebuttal expert reports,
12 which were designated "Contains Confidential Business Information Subject To Protective
13 Order." In his reports and his testimony, Dr. Drabik discussed sensitive and technical aspects of
14 Microsoft's products, general disclosure of which would have the potential to lead to competitive
15 harm. Accordingly, Motorola does not oppose sealing Exhibit 14. Excerpts from this document
16 were previously submitted as Exhibit 5 to the August 27, 2012 Declaration of Christopher Wion in
17 Support of Microsoft's Rule 702 Motion to Preclude Testimony by Charles R. Donohoe and Dr.
18 R. Sukumar (Dkt. No. 398), and was ordered sealed by the Court on September 10, 2012 (Dkt.
19 No. 419).

20 Exhibit 15 to the 10/4/12 Wion Declaration is a copy of the July 30, 2012 corrected
21 Opening Expert Report of Timothy Drabik (original served on July 24, 2012).¹ This report, which
22 Motorola has designated "Contains Confidential Business Information Subject To Protective
23 Order," contains highly confidential information about Microsoft's business practices and
24

25 ¹ Microsoft states (Dkt. No. 448 at 4) that Exhibit 15 was sealed by the Court pursuant to Dkt. No. 419. However,
26 that Order granted Microsoft's 8/27/12 Motion To File Documents Under Seal (Dkt. No. 394), which did not mention
Dr. Drabik's opening report. Microsoft did not submit Dr. Drabik's opening report in support of Microsoft
Corporation's Rule 702 Motion to Preclude Testimony By Charles R. Donohoe and Dr. R. Sukumar (Dkt. No. 398).

1 strategies, as well as confidential information about both parties' patented technologies.
2 Throughout his report, Dr. Drabik discussed sensitive and technical aspects of Microsoft's
3 products, general disclosure of which would have the potential to lead to competitive harm.
4 Disclosure of this information to third parties and other party employees not covered by the
5 protective order would have the potential to lead to competitive harm. Accordingly, there is good
6 cause to seal Exhibit 15, which should be sealed in its entirety.

7 Exhibit 16 to the 10/4/12 Wion Declaration is a copy of Motorola's Supplemental
8 Response to Microsoft's Interrogatory No. 16. This response, which Motorola has designated
9 "CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION, SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE
10 ORDER – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY," contains highly confidential internal Motorola
11 information regarding its evaluation of the legal scope of its patents. The response also
12 incorporated by reference numerous documents, including the opening and rebuttal expert reports
13 of Timothy Drabik, both of which have been designated as "Contains Confidential Business
14 Information Subject To Protective Order." Disclosure of this information to third parties and other
15 party employees not covered by the protective order would have the potential to lead to
16 competitive harm. Accordingly, there is good cause to seal Exhibit 16, which should be sealed in
17 its entirety.

18 **B. Microsoft's Motions *In Limine***

19 Microsoft's Motions *In Limine* include references to and descriptions of confidential
20 information contained in Exhibits 1-12 and 14-16 to the 10/4/12 Wion Declaration. For the
21 reasons stated in Microsoft's Motion 10/4/12 Motion to File Documents Under Seal (Dkt.
22 No. 448), and for the additional reasons stated above, there is good cause to seal those portions of
23 Microsoft's Motions *In Limine* (Dkt. No. 450) that refer to such confidential information.

24 **C. Microsoft's Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law**

25 Microsoft's Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law (Dkt. No. 454) disclose
26 information that has been designated as confidential under the terms of the Protective Order by

1 both the parties and third parties not involved in this litigation. Such information includes highly
2 confidential information about licensing practices, finances, proprietary technologies, and business
3 practices. Disclosure of this information to third parties and other party employees not covered by
4 the protective order would have the potential to lead to competitive harm. Microsoft's Proposed
5 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law should therefore be sealed to the extent that they discuss
6 or refer to such confidential information.

7 **III. CONCLUSION**

8 Defendants do not oppose Microsoft's 10/4/12 Motion to File Documents Under Seal (Dkt.
9 No. 448). Nothing herein is intended as a waiver of Defendants' right to contest Microsoft's
10 designation of material as Confidential Business Information in accordance with the terms of the
11 Protective Order entered on July 21, 2011 (Dkt. No. 72). Defendants expressly reserve the right to
12 do so as the circumstances warrant.

13 DATED this 10th day of October, 2012.

14 SUMMIT LAW GROUP PLLC

15 By /s/ Ralph H. Palumbo

16 Ralph H. Palumbo, WSBA #04751

17 Philip S. McCune, WSBA #21081

18 Lynn M. Engel, WSBA #21934

19 *ralphp@summitlaw.com*

20 *philm@summitlaw.com*

21 *lynne@summitlaw.com*

22 By /s/ Thomas V. Miller

23 Thomas V. Miller

24 MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC

25 600 North U.S. Highway 45

26 Libertyville, IL 60048-1286

(847) 523-2162

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

And by

Jesse J. Jenner (*pro hac vice*)
Steven Pepe (*pro hac vice*)
Kevin J. Post (*pro hac vice*)
Ropes & Gray LLP
1211 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036-8704
(212) 596-9046
jesse.jenner@ropesgray.com
steven.pepe@ropesgray.com
kevin.post@ropesgray.com

James R. Batchelder (*pro hac vice*)
Norman H. Beamer (*pro hac vice*)
Ropes & Gray LLP
1900 University Avenue, 6th Floor
East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2284
(650) 617-4030
james.batchelder@ropesgray.com
norman.beamer@ropesgray.com

Paul M. Schoenhard (*pro hac vice*)
Ropes & Gray LLP
One Metro Center
700 12th Street NW, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20005-3948
(202) 508-4693
paul.schoenhard.@ropesgray.com

***Attorneys for Motorola Solutions, Inc., Motorola
Mobility LLC and General Instrument Corp.***

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this day I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Arthur W. Harrigan, Jr., Esq.
Christopher T. Wion, Esq.
Shane P. Cramer, Esq.
Calfo Danielson, Harrigan, Leyh & Eakes LLP
arthurh@calfoharrigan.com
chrisw@calfoharrigan.com
shanec@calfoharrigan.com

Richard A. Cederroth, Esq.
Brian R. Nester, Esq.
David T. Pritikin, Esq.
Douglas I. Lewis, Esq.
John W. McBride, Esq.
David Greenfield, Esq.
William H. Baumgartner, Jr., Esq.
David C. Giardina, Esq.
Carter G. Phillips, Esq.
Constantine L. Trela, Jr., Esq.
Ellen S. Robbins, Esq.
Nathaniel C. Love, Esq.
Sidley Austin LLP
rcederroth@sidley.com
bnester@sidley.com
dpritikin@sidley.com
dilewis@sidley.com
jwmcbride@sidley.com
david.greenfield@sidley.com
wbaumgartner@sidley.com
dgiardina@sidley.com
cphillips@sidley.com
ctrela@sidley.com
erobbins@sidley.com
nlove@sidley.com

T. Andrew Culbert, Esq.
David E. Killough, Esq.
Microsoft Corp.
andycu@microsoft.com
davkill@microsoft.com

DATED this 10th day of October, 2012.

/s/ Marcia A. Ripley

Marcia A. Ripley